#### University of Denver

# Digital Commons @ DU

All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council)

Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications

1954

### 0001 State Fund Structure

Colorado Legislative Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc\_all

#### **Recommended Citation**

Colorado Legislative Council, "0001 State Fund Structure" (1954). *All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council)*. 1.

https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc\_all/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council) by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

0001 State Fund Structure		

COLO.

COLO. KFC 1820 .L4 no.1 1954 LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL REPORT TO THE COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY STATE FUND STRUCTURE

RESEARCH PUB. NO. 1 1954

#### UNIVERSITY OF DENVER LAW LIBRARY

COLO. KFC 1820 .L4 no.1 1954

Colorado. General Assembly. Legislative Council.

State fund structure

# NON-CIRCULATING

Univ. of Denver Law Library

U186003411501





# LEGGLATIVE GOUNGIL

# LECTORATOR CENERAL ASSEMBLY

STATE BUILD STRUCTURE:

tingspirations in the series of the constant o

COLO. KFC 1626 -L4 no.1 1954

Colorado General Assembly. Legislative Cosacil.

State fund attucture

A PARTIAL REPORT ON THE

EARMARKING OF STATE REVENUES

IN COLORADO

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

T - A			Page	
	ion		2	
Marille A	Earmarked Reven	Local Market		
	Statutory Earman			
	Conclusion		7	
	CHA			
National States				
				4-14-44

그는 그 가는 그 마음으로 가득하는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 함께 하는 것이 되었다면 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 하는데 되었다. 사람들이 나를 하는데
Chart I The State of Colorado Fund Structure - an Overall Picture First of Part
and the second of the second o
Chart II The Impact of Contitutional Earmarking on Distribution of
State Revenues First of Part
Chart III The Impact of Statutory Earmarking on Distribution of
State Revenues First of Part I

#### INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Senate Resolution 23 (Dunklee), 1953 Regular Session, the Legislative Council submits this partial report on the fund structure of the State of Colorado. This report is limited to a presentation of the extent of earmarking of revenues through the establishment of special funds and the problems created thereby. It does not contain detailed data or recommendations but merely attempts to point out the characteristics and magnitude of the earmarking practice with special emphasis being placed on statutory earmarking.

The second phase of the study will be a compilation of all pertinent.

data on each fund, i.e., legal citation, sources of revenue, purpose of fund,

spending authority. This project is underway and will serve as a working in
dex for further examination.

#### PART I

# EARMARKED FUNDS

A description of the Colorado

State Fund Structure in terms

of the number and kind of funds.

#### AN OVERALL PICTURE

Start Here: Add Special Revenue Funds General Created by STATUTE Fund 139 in number (1)\$31,805,000 A STATE OF THE STA 30,310,000 Special Revenue Funds Resulting From CONSTITUTIONAL General Fund and Earmarked Revenues. STATUTORY Special Plus Other Funds: 8 in number Revenue Funds Trust, Agency, \$92,717,000 (140)Suspense, \$62,115,000 Sinking, Working Capital, and the first of the sale of t 111 in number Total Revenue Funds \$26,544,000 (148) Plus \$154,832,000 Refunds, on the Grand and the Market was the construction of the contract of the contract and Duplicated' Receipts, All Funds Investment (259) sold \$21,163,000 \$181,376,000 Total Receipts PARALLE STATE OF THE PARALLE STATE OF THE ST \$202,539,000

Note: Revenue figures are net receipts for Fiscal Year 1952-53.

#### EARMARKED FUNDS

#### Fund Defined

A fund is generally defined as a "sum of money or other resources set aside for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations, and constituting an independent fiscal and accounting entity." The fact that the fiscal system of the state of Colorado contains 259 such funds has attracted substantial publicity and criticism. In order to obtain a clear picture of these funds they must be analyzed in terms of their effect on the distribution of state revenues.

#### Significance of Earmarked Funds

It should be pointed out that a fund is only a means to an end; the end being to set aside the proceeds of a revenue source for a particular activity or purpose. There are many funds created through the application of recognized accounting practices that are necessary and desirable (e.g., bond funds, sinking funds, trust funds) and are not unsound per se.

# Funds Classified

Some clarification of the fund picture is presented by defining the various types of funds that make up the total fund structure and classifying them accordingly. This will then enable the analysis to be focused on the primary problems involved——the use of special revenue funds to earmark current state revenues for the support of a particular agency or activity.

to the south the state of

The property of the state of th

The Colorado Fund Structure is composed of the following basic types.

Revenue Funds - This group includes all funds arising primarily from revenue sources. These funds relate to the current operations and activities of the state, and their transactions are usually controlled by the budget.

General Fund - Consists of general tax revenues and other receipts not allocated by law or other agreement to a special fund.

Special Revenue Funds - These are established by allocating taxes, fees, licenses, or other receipts to the financing of particular activities. The basic difference between these funds and the General Fund is the restriction as to the use of the revenues.

Other Funds - The following are funds which are established to further some governmental purpose but whose income normally is from non-revenue receipts which do not affect the total assets of the state.

Working Capital Funds - These are also known by other names,
e.g., rotary, revolving, auxiliary, and are usually used to
finance operations of a state agency performing services for
other state departments. The income of these funds will usually
consist of charges for services rendered to other state agencies.

Bond Funds - These are funds used to account for the receipt and disbursement of the proceeds of a bond issue.

Sinking Funds - These are funds established to accumulate resources for the retirement of bonds. This is particularly the case where term bonds are issued. An example of this type of fund is the Denver-Boulder Turnpike Sinking Fund

Trust Funds - These are funds which are set up to account for money and properties held and disbursed by the state as trustee or custodian, or in the capacity of an agent for certain individuals, organizations or governmental units. Within trust funds there are expendable and non-expendable categories, the former being represented by the Penitentiary Land Permanent Fund where the principal must remain intact, and the latter by the Penitentiary Land Income Fund where the income may be spent in conformance with the trust.

Agency and Suspense Funds - An agency fund consists of resources received and held by the state as an agent for others, e.g., the Forest Reserve Fund receives the earnings of the forest reserves

in the state from the Federal Government that are then distributed to the participating counties. A suspense fund usually involves the accounting separately for certain cash receipts pending the distribution or disposal thereof, such as the State Public Welfare Fund

The number of state funds in each classification described above is shown in the following tabulation.

#### Revenue Funds

General Fund Special Revenue Funds	1 147		148
Other Funds			
Working Capital Funds	10		
Bond Funds	0		
Sinking Funds	5	and the second	
Trust Funds		Carlo Carlo	
Agency and Suspense Fur	1ds 63		111
ALL FUNDS			259

The funds comprising each of the above categories are listed in the appendix.

#### Comment

The fact that the state has 259 earmarked funds does not mean that the ultimate goal should be the elimination of all funds except the General Fund

--a significant number of these funds are necessary to properly account for the receipt and disbursement of state income. Although the 111 funds listed above under "Other Funds" will not be discussed in detail in the subsequent presentation, this is not meant to imply that the same objectives cannot be accomplished with fewer funds. The difficulty in identifying and classifying these funds indicates that these distinctions should be made more discernible in reports presenting state financial data.

# PART II

PACKACION CONTRACTOR

# EARMARKED REVENUES

The underlying reasons for earmarking; and the distinction between constitutional and statutory dedication of revenues.

#### CHART II

# IMPACT OF CONSTITUTIONAL EARMARKING ON DISTRIBUTION OF STATE REVENUES

TOTAL REVENUE FUNDS: \$154,832,000

REVENUES EARMARKED BY CONSTITUTION REVENUES
NOT EARMARKED
BY CONSTITUTION

(000 omitted from figures)	(000 smitted from figures)	
Old Age Pensions \$ 50,066	Income Tax \$ 19,112	
	Property Tax 6,616	
Sales Tax & License 25,681	Sales Tax & License 6,069	
Use Tax 1,568	Game & Fish Dept. 3,433	
Alcoholic Beverage	Institutional Earn-	
Tax & License 3,829	ings - 3,419	
Inheritance Tax 231	Inheritance Tax 2,829	
Incorporation Fees 3	Insurance Tax 2,714	
Federal Grant 18,754	Highway Taxes E	
	Administration	
	charges 2,484	
	Pari Mutuel Tax and	
	License 1,906	
The second of the second se	Alcoholic Beverage Tax	
The state of the s	and license 895	
Highways \$ 42,634	Business & Regulatory	
	Licenses 657	
Motor Fuel Tax 24,050	Motor Vehicle Private	
Motor Vehicle	Ownership Tax 447	
Licenses 4,523	Use Tax 395	
P.U.C. Tax 2,662	Corporation Tax 176	
Federal Grant 8,608	Gift Tax	
Other 2,891	Federal Grants 8, 135	
	Other 2,603	
Land Commissioners Fees, 17		

\$92,717,000	\$62,115,000	
59.9%	40.1%	·×
8 Funds	140 Funds	

Note: Revenue figures are net receipts for Fiscal year 1952-53 including surpluses distributed after end of fiscal year.

#### EARMARKED REVENUES

The 148 revenue funds received a total of \$154,832,000 in receipts in the fiscal year 1952-53. Of this total the special revenue funds received \$124,522,000 or 80.4% leaving the General Fund with only 19.6%.

Underlying Reasons for Earmarking

There are several reasons why the electorate or General Assembly may set aside certain revenues for the exclusive use or benefit of a particular activity or segment of the population. These reasons will generally fall into the following broad categories.

1) Special Benefit or Reimbursement - To require that certain functions performed by the state for the benefit of a special group pay their own way, through the taxes, licenses and fees imposed on the beneficiaries, e.g., highway users, game and fish sportsmen. Glosely inallied with this application is the desirability to require occupations or activities which are being regulated under the state's police power to pay the full costs of regulation through licenses and fees, e.g., examining boards, coal mine inspection.

- 2) Protection To ensure that certain functions will be adequately supported on a continuing basis by designating that the revenues from a particular source be dedicated to its support, notwithstanding that there is no apparent relationship between the source of revenue and the program supported, e.g., Old Age Pensions, institutional tax levies.
- 3) Federal Grants To fulfill the stipulated requirements of the federal government to qualify for grants-in-aid, e.g., Game and Fish.

A secondary reason for earmarking is that sometimes it is easier to impose a particular tax if the proceeds are earmarked for what is regarded as a worthy function.

Although there may be some basis for applying the special benefit and reimbursement concept described above under (1), much could be done to improve the mechanics of establishing and controlling the concept's application. This will be discussed at greater length later in the report

#### Constitutional Earmarking

Through constitutional enactments the voters of the state have designated that certain major revenue sources be utilized solely for the use of two specific state programs. Chart II shows the impact of constitutional earmarking on state finances. The effect of this earmarking has been to remove revenues and programs from legislative and executive determination. This practice is open to criticism from the standpoint of removing the particular activity from annual determination of its financial needs as compared to other vital state functions.

Although complete agreement is lacking as to the soundness of earmarking highway revenues, the application of the special benefit concept gives this practice some credence as compared to Old Age Pension earmarking, for which there is no recognizable relationship between the revenue source and the program supported Comment

The General Assembly can act only indirectly in changing constitutional earmarking of revenues, by providing leadership in presenting the problem to the public and referring constitutional amendments to the electorate. However, it should be recognized that a significant portion of the earmarking problem lies within the General Assembly's power to remedy, and much can be accomplished through direct legislative action.

#### PART III

#### STATUTORY EARMARKING

An analysis of the practice of earmarking revenues by statutory enactments.

#### CHART III

# IMPACT OF STATUTORY EARMARKING ON DISTRIBUTION OF STATE REVENUES

GENERAL FUND & STATUTORY SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS: \$62, 115,000

#### REVENUES

VE A FIACES			
EARMARKED BY STATUTE	GENERAL FUND REVENUES		
(000 omitted from figures)	(000 omitted from figures)		
Property Tax \$6,608	Income Tax \$ 18,156		
Game & Fish Dept. 3,430	Inheritance Tax 2,829		
Institutional Earnings 3,419	Sales Tax & License 2,660		
Sales Tax & License 3,409	Insurance Tax 2,524		
Highway Taxes & Licenses	Pari Mutuel Tax &		
Administration Charges 2,484	License 1,798		
Income Tax 956	Interest on Deposits 475		
Alcoholic Beverage Tax	Business & Regulatory		
& License 580	Licenses 354		
Motor Vehicle Private	Alcoholic Beverage Tax		
Ownership Tax 447	& License 315		
Business & Regulatory	Revenue Administration		
Licenses 303	General Surplus 272		
Use Tax 219	Corporation Tax 176		
Insurance Tax 190	Use Tax		
Pari Mutuel Tax & License 107	Gift Tax		
Federal Grants 8,134	Other 465		
Other 1,519			
The second of th	17 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			
1			
\$31,805,000	\$30,310,000		
51.2%	48.8%		
120 70 1			
139 Funds	1 Fund		

Note: Revenue figures are net receipts for Fiscal Year 1952-53, including surpluses distributed after end of fiscal year.

#### STATUTORY EARMARKING OF REVENUES

#### Extent and Effect.

As shown in Chart III there are presently 139 special revenue funds used to funnel earmarked revenues to the supported activities that have been established through legislative action. Chart III shows that previous General Assemblies have practiced earmarking to the extent that 51.2% of the revenues not dedicated by constitutional acts were earmarked in 1952-53. Through earmarking the Legislature has further complicated the fiscal planning process by denying itself the opportunity to fully examine annually the relative needs of all state activities within its areas flectision.

#### Characteristics of Statutory Earmarking.

This part of the report will point out that statutory earmarking of revenues takes many forms and shapes.

Application of Earmarking - Past legislatures have not followed any consistent policy when determining that a particular revenue source be earmarked.

On numerous occasions they have earmarked revenues on the basis of the special benefit or reimbursement concept in order to ensure that those benefited or regulated by the state government meet all costs. However, there are some cases in which this concept is applied where the agency also receives a General Fund appropriation.

It should be noted that in a significant portion of legislative earmarking there is no relationship between the source of revenue and the program supported.

The following tabulation shows the extent of special benefit or reimbursement earmarking as compared to earmarking where this concept is not involved.

Type of Earmarking	No. of Funds	1952-53 Net Revenues
Special benefit or reimbursement	51	\$ 4,604,000
Little or no relationship between source of revenue and activity supported	e <u>88</u>	27,201,000
	139	\$ 31,805,000

Retention of Balances - Another example of inconsistency that may be found in statutory earmarking is the disposition of the balances of the special revenue funds. The unexpended balance in most cases remains in the fund; however, in 33 cases all or part of the balance on hand reverts to the General Fund.

Degree of Legislative Control. The revenue funds can be classified into the following categories from the standpoint of legislative and administrative control. They are listed in descending order of degree of control.

- 1) General Fund This fund receives all revenues not otherwise earmarked.

  The General Assembly appropriates specific sums from this fund for the support of various agencies and activities. The Division of Accounts and Controls sets quarterly allotments of the appropriations and checks the expenditures from the appropriation.
- 2) Special Revenue Funds These funds receive revenues earmarked for them, either by a specific statute or by some general statutory provision, which revenues may be expended only in accordance with the law establishing them.
  - Appropriated Cash Funds The General Assembly
    appropriates a specific sum from the anticipated
    revenue of each fund for the support of the designated agency or activity. (Federal Grants credited
    to these funds are not subject to legislative appropriation.) The Division of Accounts and Controls sets
    quarterly allotments of the appropriation, subject
    to the actual receipts of the fund, and checks the
    expenditures from the appropriation.
  - other Special Revenue Funds -These funds are not necessarily subject to a specific annual appropriation by the General Assembly. Some of them receive revenues which are appropriated on a continuing basis by the enacting statute. Some may receive an annual blanket appropriation of the earmarked revenues from the General Assembly. Other funds may be expended on a general authorization to spend any or all of the earmarked revenues. Primarily, the only control by the Division of Accounts and Control is the power of suasion. Also, the Division may require the supported agency to deplete its special Revenue fund before it can spend any General Fund appropriation it may have received, e.g. education institutions.

The following tabulation shows the distribution of revenues within the area of legislative determination according to the above categories.

No	195253 et Revenues	Percent
General Fund \$	30,310,000	48.8
Special Revenue Funds Subject to Specific Appropriation	11,826,000	19.0
Other Special Revenue Funds	19,979,000	32.2
Total \$	62,115,000	100

Reimbursement for Overhead: Where the legislature places the state in a position similar to that of a corporation or business, by charging (through licenses, fees or a tax levied directly on the group benefited) for particular services rendered, there may be some grounds for earmarking the revenues deemed necessary to cover the cost of the particular service. However, when the state does assume the role of a proprietor in offering certain services to a particular class or group, the state should assess an amount from the revenues to contribute to the payment of the general overhead expenses of accounting purchasing, personnel, and other services rendered by the state. The state has, in some cases, applied this theory, although not on a consistent basis.

The following tabulation shows the extent of present charges against some special revenue funds for overhead expenses. In addition there are others had the same which no overhead charge is assessed.

	•		Amount transferred		
Funds	No.	Charge		195253	
Agriculture Funds	10	10%	\$	44,629	
Examing Board	18	10%		19,296	
Real Estate Brokers	1	5%		1,717	
Restaurant Inspection	1	10%		3,786	
Game & Fish Dept.	1	3%*		3,276	
TOTAL	31		\$	72,704	

<sup>\*</sup> Of certain receipts only.

#### Comment.

The following is a summary of some of the inconsistencies found in the Legislature's use of special revenue funds to earmark revenues that are not dedicated by the constitution.

- 1) Some of the earmarking represents the dedication of revenues derived from a particular group for the cost in regulating or serving the group, but in the majority of instances there is earmarking without such a relationship.
- 2) The funds are subjected to a number of different kinds of appropriation control, i.e., General Fund appropriations, appropriated cash, continuing appropriation, etc.
- 3) The year-end balance of some of the special revenue funds reverts to the General Fund while in other cases the balance is retained.
- 4) In some instances an overhead charge (in varying percentages) is made for the state's expense in performing a governmental function for particular groups, while in other cases this charge is not exacted.

PARTICIPATION CONTRACTOR

the state of the s

The second of the second of the second

- Charles of the Committee of the Commit

Andrews and the second second

# PART IV CONCLUSION

The effects of constitutional and statutory earmarking and a program for further study.

and the contract of the second of the second

recommendately for the first recommendation of the

La partia de la constante de l

the second secon

#### CONCLUSION

# Effect of Earmarking.

The practice of earmarking, both constitutional and statutory, has affected fiscal planning and the control of state revenues to the extent that the General Fund receives only about one-fifth of the expendable revenues. The following are some of the problems created by the earmarking practice.

- 1) It discourages legislative and public interest and understanding because of the complex picture presented.
- 2) An agency supported by earmarked revenues has little incentive to increase its operating efficiency because its income is assured.
- 3) Expenditures by agencies supported by special revenue funds are not subject to the close control inherent in a comprehensive budget. This is true of both the legislative deliberations and administrative execution of the budget.
- 4) There is not necessarily any relationship between the yield of a particular tax and the cost of carrying on the activity. For instance, both the earmarked property taxlevies and institutional earnings do not in themselves provide enough to finance the educational, eleemosynary or penal institutions. Almost all receive additional support in the form of a General Fund appropriation.
- 5) It places the state in the embarrassing financial position where one fund may be issuing anticipation warrants while others contain surpluses.
- 6) It tends to over-emphasize an individual activity or agency of the state government by creating a situation where the extent of financial support is not determined on relative worth or need but by the amount of revenue derived from the earmarked source.

As the policy making body of the state government . the General Assembly should have the responsibility to determine what activities are to be undertaken and to what extent they are to be conducted. Under present conditions, with substantial items of revenue already dedicated to particular activities by constitutional provisions, the Legislature is prevented from fulfilling this remarking has resulted in further hampering activities out of this responsibility.

# A Program for Action -- Statutory Earmarking

Any specific changes in the present fund structure should be based on further study. A program for subsequent analyses leading to remedial action might consist of the following.

- 1) Establishing a policy as to when it is in the public interest to earmark revenues. When such earmarking is deemed desirable, establishing a policy to be applied with consistency in regard to:
  - a) Type of budgetary control
  - b) An overhead charge
  - c) Retention of balances.
- 2) Unearmarking all revenues presently dedicated that do not meet policy requirements and placing them in the General! Fund.
- 3) Establishing a fiscal code that would contain the following:
  - a) A fund plan
  - b) Appropriating precedures
  - c) Financial transactions procedures.

The above action would strengthen the General Assembly's hand in its
role as the policy making body of the state. It would also serve as an impetus
to remove unsound constitutional earmarking.

#### APPENDIX

The earmarked funds of the State of Colorado are herein classified and listed in the following order:

Mark and what Miles

Special Revenue Funds - Revenues Earmarked Constitution.

Special Revenue Funds - Revenues Earmarked by Statute
Appropriated Cash Funds
Other Special Revenue Funds
Anticipation Building Levy Funds

Other Funds
Trust
Agency
Suspense
Working Capital
Sinking

Revenue figures are net receipts of fiscal year 1952--53. Footnotes are at the end of the appendix.

SECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE

wall-the than all a carbast and a viscous statement

#### SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

#### REVENUES EARMARKED BY CONSTITUTION.

FUND		REVENUE
Old Age Pensions		\$50,065,798 <b>.</b>
Highway Funds **/ Highway Users Tax Fund \$\partial\$ State Highway Fund State Highway Supplementary	30,072.	42,633,988.
Notor Vehicle Penalty Assessments	113,964	
Motor Vehicle J. P. Court Fines Denver - Boulder Turnpike	255,071	
Construction	27,419.	
Land Commissioners Cash	general <del>de</del> la companya de la comp	16,836.

The State Constitution states that "The proceeds from the imposition of any license, registration fee or other charge with respect to the operation of any motor vehicle upon any public highway in the state and the imposition of any excise tax on gasoline or other liquid motor fuel shall, except costs of administration, be used exclusively for the construction, maintenance and supervision of the public highways of this state.

The funds themselves are established by statute to account for the earmarked revenues. The funds listed here are classified as special revenue funds for the sake of simplification in showing their net receipts before distribution to counties and debt retirement. Actually, only the State Highway Supplementary Fund and the Denver - Boulder Turnpike Fund are of the nature of special revenue funds; the others are essentially suspense funds.

The revenue figures shown are direct receipts and/or transfers from other suspense funds; the figures do not include transfers to or from other funds within this particular group.

### SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS - APPROPRIATED CASH

# REVENUES EARMARKED BY STATUTE

FUND	REVENUE	END OF YEAR BALANCE TO GENERAL FUND	OVERHEAD CHARGE
Military Special State Patrol Radio Operation Colorado State Patrol Mineral Land Expense Time Cash	\$ 26,821. 214,043. 1,220,823. 88,042. 3,404,649.		3%*
Fishing Easement  Wetal Mining Fund  Water Well Fund  Stock Inspection  Fortilizer Inspection	7,409. 30,903. 527. 227,609. 14,080.	<b>a</b>	10%
Flant and Insect Control Carry Section Insecticide Inspection Weights and Measures Fied Control	2,064. 7,583. 6,878. 26,348.	•	10% 10% 10%
Fruit and Vegetable Inspection Decmargarine Inspection Faultry and Egg Inspection Freduce Dealer Liste Fair Commission	244,596. 1,620. 40,232. 52,714. 151,152.		10% 10% 10% 10%
Tate Hail Insurance Tefrigerated Lockers The Public Welfare Administration Theregoney and Contingent The to the Blind	78,095. 3,415. 2,402,045. 300,000 237,051.	• •	10% 10%
to Dependent Children Welfare Services State to the Needy Disabled Theatrical Employment	4,550,507. 125,000 1,844,889.		
Device and Method	166. 49,549.	<b>C</b>	
Special Special The Inspector of Oils Coal Mine Inspection That ment of Revenue Adminis-	201.639. 34,788. 30,708.	Entire d	
ration Highway Safety Council	1,957,944. 16,542.	and the second second second	er eg er jæren.

# APPROPRIATED CASH - cont.

<u>FUND</u>	REVENUE	END OF YEAR BALANCE TO GENERAL FUND	OVERHEAD CHARGE
State Board of Teachers Examin- ers Abstracters Board of Examiners State Board of Accountancy State Board of Examiners for Architects State Board of Barbers Examiners	\$ 12,069. 1,800. 9,074. 2,169. 14,160.	e e e	10% 10% 10% 10%
State Board of Examiners in the Basic Sciences State Athletic Commission State Board of Chirpractic Examiners Schlection Agency Board State Board of Cosmetology	8,967, 7,141, 2,778, 2,357, 25,196.	Entire e	10% 10% 10% 10%
Itate Board of Dental Examiners Professional Engineers Tate Board of Engineers and Land Surveyors State Board of Funeral Directors and Embalmers State Board of Medical Examiners	8,062. 11,814. 3,401. 19,975.	e e e	10% 10% 10% 10% 10%
State Board of Nurses' Examiners State Board of Optometric Examiners State Board of Pharmacy Real Estate Brokers Board State Board of Shorthand Reporter	23,579. 3,245. 23,784. 24,343. 95.	. е	10% 10% 10% 5% 10%
late Board of Veterinary Medicing Inspection Late Restaurant Inspection	931. 169,845. 5,471. 34,006.	e f g e	10% 10%

### OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS

### REVENUES EARMARKED BY STATUTE

<u>FUND</u>	REVENUE	END OF YEAR BALANCE TO GENERAL FUND	OVERHEAD CHARGE
Military Timber Administration Predatory Animal Control Ecient Pest Control Child Welfare Services Federal	\$ 170,496. 4,137. 92,053. 8,055. 75,894.		
Industries for the Blind Rehabilitation Colorado Industries for the Blind Industrial School for Boys Industrial School for Girls Coldiers and Sailors Home	38,231. 211,309. 3,862. 23,870. 23,093.		
Colorado State Children's Home State Home and Training School- Grand Junction State Home and Training School-	4,029. 27,133.		
Ridge State Compensation Insurance Administration Faployment Security Administra-	43,006. 350,000.		
tion	1,163,498.		
Colorado Racing Commission Livestock Water Tank Fund Colorado Commission on Alcoholism	107,081. 183.	Entire	
Meter Vehicle Administrator	45,210. 37,992	<b>h</b>	
School Facilities Survey Severnor's Advisory Council Vet-	13,652.		
erans Training Lite Vocational Education Coloral Vocational Education	39,699. 3,880		
Vocational Education Rehab-	116,108.		
Luacion	9,695.		
Caral Vocational Education Cmith-Hughes Caral Vocational Education Capage Forder	63,385.		
tentiary Convict Earnings	154,123. 737,000. 12,816.		
State Hospital	954,558.		ا الموضوع المراجع في الموسوع الموسوع

# OTHER SPECIAL REVENUE FUNDS - cont.

<u>FUND</u>	REVENUE	END OF YEAR BALANCE TO OVERHEAD GENERAL FUND CHARGE
Mams State College Colorado A. & M. College Colorado A. & M. College	\$ 143,914. 1,020,945.	
Experiment Station Withio Fund	132,519.	
Colorado School for the Deaf and Blind	295,088.	
Colorado School for the Deaf and Blind Library Colorado State College of Edu-	1,680.	
cation Ft. Lewis A & M College Ft. Lewis Experimental Farm Colorado School of Mines	936,181. 104,955. 58,499. 708,300.	
Sclorado School of Mines Experi- ment Station Sclorado School of Mines Mineral	29,862.	
Leasing  niversity of Colorado  iversity School of Medicine and		
Eurses Training Costern State College	184,814. 278,731.	
Ft. Lewis School Veterans on the Farm Training Tenny Dam Revolving Fund The and Fish Conservation Maga- tine Telerado Geological Survey	44,278. 1,152. 16,564. 109.	
Control Laboratory Building Lite Inspector of Oils-Anti-Free Inspection	ze 1,325.	
France Court Library France Court Library France School of Mines Special	566. 8,655.	
eriding Pensions	583,085. 190,327.	
Pricemen's Pensions Of Revenue Special Bldg.	371,334.	

# ANTICIPATION BLDG. LEVY FUNDS

FUND	REVENUE	END OF YEAR BALANCE TO OVERHEAD GENERAL FUND CHARGE
Minms State College Colorado A & M College Capitol Buildings Colorado General Hospital Colorado Psychopathic Hospital	\$ 50,095 169,686 285,193 106,845 40,074	
Tolorado State Fair Commission Tolorado State Hospital Tolorado State Ponitentiary Tolorado State Reformatory Tolorado School for the Deaf	20,087 714,622 267.157 56,113	
Itate College of Education Pt. Lewis A & M Arts College Innustrial School for Boys Injustrial School for Girls Injustrial Workshop for the Blind	272,779 48,450 66,760 40,057 5,353	
Vilitary Colorado School of Mines Coliters and Sailors Home Colorado State Childrens Home Chate Home and Training School	9,086 160,394 13,370 40,057	
State Home and Training School	128,468 66,807	
ctiversity of Colorado	327,416 53,482	

# TRUST FUNDS

FUNDS		*	R	EVENUE_
			<del></del>	:
Agricultural College Lan Permanent Agricultural College Lan	d	• • •	• • • \$	12,322. . 23,196.
Income.  Desert Land.  Ft. Lewis School Endowme  Ft. Lewis School Endowme	ent	• • • • •		$\cdots$
Tt. Lewis School Endowner Tr. Lewis School Endowner Income	ent.	• • • •	• • • • •	.34,506 .11,150 .2,695 .1,882
Public Building Permanen Public Building Income. Public School Permanent. Public School Income. Saline Land Permanent.			• • • • •	. 1,314 .480,590 .33,079
Saline Land Income	it	• • • •	• • • • •	3,252 3,665 2,625
Employment Security Bene Subsequent Injury Fund. State Historical Society State Library Heritage A	efits  7		1	,560,000 .6,000 .9,984 .10,000
Kellogg Training Center. State Hospital Special Escheats Scheats Income				. 61,993. <u>1/</u> . 29,580. 2/
Escheats Blocked . Partition in Realty . Pablic Employees Retirem Municipal	nent		• • • • •	283,828.
Public Employees Retirem School	nent nent		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	,657,829.
State	intv Judge	S		. 38,603.
and our por ave	• . • . • . • .	• • • •	• • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

# AGENCY FUNDS

FUNDS	REVENUE
Clarke-McNary Forestry Colorado State Forest Forest Reserve U. S. Mineral Leasing Flood Control Lands Act Hope Flannigan Revolving Fund Soldiers and Sailors Home Benefits Civil Defense Trust Fund Motor Vehicle Specific Ownership	1,066. .301,820. .1508,133. .11.361.
Civil Defense Trust Fund Motor Vehicle Specific Ownership Tax "A"	58,241
School Lunch Program School Lunch Revolving Fund Public School Fund	262,129. 499,253. 1.243.
Federal Vocational Education Veterans Training Public Health Service Grants S. Public Health Service Training	.646,675. .747,408. .5,000.
Taylor Grazing Act Children's Bureau Grants	· 320:288

#### SUSPENSE FUNDS

FUNDS		4	REVENUE
Land Commissioners Sus Department of Agricult	tureSuspense 👢	\$	2,000.
Employment Security Cl Account			5,313,113.
Suspense			. 13,490.
Department of Revenue Old Age and Survivors	Suspense Insurance	• • • • • •	. 48,188. • 529,759.

The following funds do not retain any revenue:

Internal Improvement Permanent Internal Improvement Income Farm Loan Interest U. S. Insured Loans Interest Erand Inspection State Public Welfare Fund Public Utilities Commission Private Carriers Tax Public Utilities Commission Commercial Carriers Tax Public Utilities Commission Fruck and Bus Tax Insurance Store License Sales Tax Use Tax Income Tax Income Tax Refund Account Oil Income Tax Gil Withholding Tax Income Tax Non-Resident Certification Liquor License 35% City and County Liquor Licenses Motor Fuel Tax Auto Title Motor Vehicle Licenses Operators and Chauffeurs Licenses Motor Vehicle Specific Ownership Tax "B" Motor Fuel Tax Refund Account Aircraft Specific Ownership Tax Aircraft Registration infair Practices Act

# SUSPENSE FUNDS----cont.

#### FUNDS

REVENUE

Inheritance Tax
Gift Tax
Foreign and Domestic Corporation
Tax
Interest Fund Funding Bonds 1910
Interest on Delinquent Taxes
Sinking Fund - Funding Bonds 1910
Tax Apportionment Clearing Account
Motor Vehicle Safety Responsibility
Motor Fuel Tax Refund Suspense

# WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

FUNDS	REVENUE
State Purchasing Agent Revolving Fund Motor Car Pool Revolving Game and Fish Stores Revolving Fund Federal Aid Revolving Fund State Veterinarian Revolving Fund Industries for the Blind	
Revolving Fund State Penitentiary Canteen and Library State Penitentiary Revolving Fund Colorado A & M College Revolving Fund	134,460. 1,562,841. 378,518.
General Cash Revolving Fund  SINKING FUNDS	
FUNDS (A. C.	REVENUE

of the first of the Funds of the first of th	REVENUE
그 그 그 그는 그는 그 아이를 가장 얼마 그 그 살았다.	
State Highway Anticipation Derver - Boulder Turnpike	
Sinking	\$ 409,691.
Special Interest Denver - Boulder Turnpike	
Revenue Bond Reserve Jenver - Boulder Turnpike	
Sond Principal	

# WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

FUNDS	REVENUE
State Purchasing Agent Revolving Fund Motor Car Pool Revolving Game and Fish Stores Revolving Fund Federal Aid Revolving Fund State Veterinarian Revolving Fund Industries for the Blind Revolving Fund State Penitentiary Canteen and Library State Penitentiary Revolving Fund Colorado A & M College Revolving Fund General Cash Revolving Fund	.\$ 140,318. .4,988. .19,823. .23,951. .134,460. .1,562,841. .378,518.
SINKING FUNDS	
FUNDS	REVENUE

State Highway Anticipation Denver - Boulder Turnpike

Tenver - Boulder Turnpike Eond Principal

#### FOOT NOTES

- \* Applied only to certain minor receipts.
- 1/No receipts in Fiscal Year 1952-53.
- 2/ No revenue retained.
- a. Any balance in excess of \$10,000 transferred to General Fund.
- b. 15% of surplus to General Fund, 85% to Old Age Pensions.
- c. 15% of revenues retained for administration, balance transferred to General Fund.
- d. Surplus of receipts from constitutionally earmarked revenue revert to the designated funds; remaining balance transferred to the General Fund.
- 3. Any balance in excess of 50% of the annual appropriation shall be proprated on renewal licenses, if properly certified to the Director of Revenue; otherwise, the excess shall be transferred to the General Fund.
- f. Surplus distributed as follows: first, 5% to State Welfar Administration Fund; of the remainder, 85% to Old Age Pensions, 15% to General Fund.
- g. Any balance in excess of \$5,000 transferred to General Fund.
- h. All moneys in excess of \$10,000 remaining in this fund at the end of any fiscal year for licenses issued by the administrator covering any part of said fiscal year shall be transferred to the General fund.