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In this dissertation on the philosophical work Zarathustra, the author proposes that

Zarathustra is concerned with “creating a discourse suitable both to one’s solitary wisdom and to the generalizations demanded by an audience,” albeit with little success.

Zarathustra then “resolves to address his speeches to those with wills related to his.”

Parallels can be found in Strauss’s compositional philosophy, as expounded by musicologist Charles Youmans in his works on Strauss’s life and mind.

Wilde, Denis Gerard. The Development of Melody in the Tone Poems of Richard Strauss: Motif, Figure, and Theme. Studies in the History and Interpretation of Music; v. 32.


This is one of the few books that analyses primarily the melodic material of Strauss’s tone poems in relations to the dramatic elements that I was able to find. The author spends a chapter on Also Sprach Zarathustra, summarizing Strauss’s compositional traits after giving a brief history of the work and its programme.

This book will supply me with the bulk of my research materials. Youmans has written many works on Strauss, and this one in particular is closely related to my topic. The author suggests that Strauss is much more intellectually informed and engaged than the commercially successful, flashy composer image he purposely crafted for himself. The book introduces key thinkers of late nineteenth century Germany, namely Schopenhauer, Wagner, Nietzsche, and Goethe, and Strauss’ intellectual evolution in relation or in opposition to them. Youmans makes the intriguing proposition that all Strauss’s tone poems since the *Zarathustra* are “plagued” by and are manifestations of Nietzsche’s existential angst. Upon the author’s studies, the readers are convinced that Strauss himself believes that as a musician, he is obligated to convey a metaphysical truth through music writing. Youmans’ commentary on Strauss’s own annotated, unpublished copy of Nietzsche’s *Zarathustra* also sheds light on the secretly philosophically brilliant mind of Strauss.