

University of Denver

Digital Commons @ DU

All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council)

Colorado Legislative Council Research
Publications

12-1960

0050 Sales Ratio Study, Part II

Colorado Legislative Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc_all

Recommended Citation

Colorado Legislative Council, "0050 Sales Ratio Study, Part II" (1960). *All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council)*. 58.

https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc_all/58

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council) by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu, dig-commons@du.edu.

0050 Sales Ratio Study, Part II

Report to the Colorado General Assembly:

SALES RATIO STUDY

Part Two



COLORADO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

RESEARCH PUBLICATION NO. 50

December 1960

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL
OF THE
COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Representatives

Charles Conklin, Chairman
Dewey Carnahan
Joe Dolan
Peter H. Dominick
Guy Poe
Raymond H. Simpson
Albert J. Tomsic

Senators

David J. Clarke, Vice Chairman
Charles E. Bennett
T. Everett Cook
Carl W. Fulghum
Paul E. Wenke
Robert L. Knous, Lt. Governor

Lyle C. Kyle, Director

* * * * *

The Legislative Council, which is composed of five Senators, six Representatives, and the presiding officers of the two houses, serves as a continuing research agency for the legislature through the maintenance of a trained staff. Between sessions, research activities are concentrated on the study of relatively broad problems formally proposed by legislators, and the publication and distribution of factual reports to aid in their solution.

During the sessions, the emphasis is on supplying legislators, on individual request, with personal memoranda, providing them with information needed to handle their own legislative problems. Reports and memoranda both give pertinent data in the form of facts, figures, arguments, and alternatives.

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY



OFFICERS
CHARLES CONKLIN
CHAIRMAN
DAVID J. CLARKE
VICE CHAIRMAN

STAFF
LYLE C. KYLE
DIRECTOR
HARRY O. LAWSON
SENIOR ANALYST
PHILLIP E. JONES
SENIOR ANALYST

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 343, STATE CAPITOL
DENVER 2, COLORADO
KEYSTONE 4-1171 - EXTENSION 287

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

MEMBERS
LT. GOV. ROBERT L. KNOUS
SEN. CHARLES E. BENNETT
SEN. DAVID J. CLARKE
SEN. T. EVERETT COOK
SEN. CARL W. FULGHUM
SEN. PAUL E. WENKE

SPEAKER CHARLES CONKLIN
REP. DEWEY CARNAHAN
REP. JOE DOLAN
REP. PETER H. DOMINICK
REP. GUY POE
REP. RAYMOND H. SIMPSON
REP. ALBERT J. TOMSIC

December 9, 1960

MEMBERS COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Dear Colleagues:

Transmitted herewith is Part II of the report on the sales ratio study conducted by the Legislative Council. This report presents detailed figures for each county by class of property for 1959-1960 and for the three years 1957-1960 combined.

This report has been prepared for the General Assembly pursuant to H.B. 96, passed in 1960 during the Second Regular Session of the Forty-second General Assembly.

Cordially,

Charles Conklin
Chairman
Colorado Legislative Council

FOREWORD

House Bill 96 passed at the Second Regular Session of the 42nd General Assembly directed the Legislative Council to issue a report on sales ratios for the periods July 1, 1959, to June 30, 1960, and July 1, 1957 to June 30, 1960, to the First Regular Session of the Forty-third General Assembly.

This is the second part of a two-part report on the results of the sales ratio study for 1959-1960 and the three-year period 1957-1960. Part I, issued on December 9, 1960, describes the method used in arriving at the sales ratio figures and gives the county ratio figures, the rural and urban ratio figures for each county, and the state-wide ratio by class of property.

Part II of the report presents detailed data on the sales ratio study for 1959-1960 and 1957-1960. Included, for each county, are the number of conveyances in each property class, a frequency distribution showing the range of individual sales ratios, and the sales ratios by class of property, except in cases of inadequate data.

The Legislative Council wishes to thank the county assessors, the clerks and recorders, and other public officials, as well as many private citizens and organizations, who cooperated with the staff in gathering the information reported herein.

Lyle C. Kyle
Director

December 9, 1960

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
FOREWORD	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF SALES RATIO DATA BY COUNTY	23
Adams County	23
Alamosa County	25
Arapahoe County	27
Archuleta County	29
Baca County	31
Bent County	33
Boulder County	35
Chaffee County	37
Cheyenne County	39
Clear Creek County	41
Conejos County	43
Costilla County	45
Crowley County	47
Custer County	49
Delta County	51
Denver County	53
Dolores County	55
Douglas County	57
Eagle County	59
Elbert County	61
El Paso County	63
Fremont County	65
Garfield County	67
Gilpin County	69
Grand County	71

	<u>Page</u>
Gunnison County	73
Hinsdale County	75
Huerfano County	77
Jackson County	79
Jefferson County	81
Kiowa County	83
Kit Carson County	85
Lake County	87
La Plata County	89
Larimer County	91
Las Animas County	93
Lincoln County	95
Logan County	97
Mesa County	99
Mineral County	101
Moffat County	103
Montezuma County	105
Montrose County	107
Morgan County	109
Otero County	111
Ouray County	113
Park County	115
Phillips County	117
Pitkin County	119
Prowers County	121
Pueblo County	123
Rio Blanco County	125
Rio Grande County	127
Routt County	129
Saguache County	131
San Juan County	133
San Miguel County	135
Sedgwick County	137
Summit County	139
Teller County	141
Washington County	143
Weld County	145
Yuma County	147

THE COLORADO SALES RATIO STUDY

1959-1960 and 1957-1960

Part Two

Introduction

Part One of the Colorado Sales Ratio Report for 1959-1960 and 1957-1960 sets forth (1) a brief statement concerning the methodology of the sales ratio study, (2) the results of the study both for its third year and for the three years combined, (3) an examination of the comparative accuracy of the county-wide sales ratios, and (4) comparative sales and appraisal ratios for selected counties. In addition, it includes a statement covering the General Assembly's assignment of the study to the Legislative Council.

The purpose of Part Two of the report is to present the sales ratio data for 1959-1960 and for the three-year period 1957-1960 for each county in sufficient detail to provide so far as possible a basis for effective comparison of (1) one class or parcel of property with another in each county, (2) one county with another for each class of property, and (3) the situation within each county with that in the state as a whole. For the latter purpose a brief statement concerning the state-wide picture is needed.

Contrary to the plan followed in the earlier years of the study, transfers of vacant urban land have been excluded from the computation of the ratios for the third year and from the three-year average ratios. Because significant differences were found to exist among the ratios for the several property classes distinguished, property transfers under conditions wherein changes of use and hence changes in classification were contemplated have been excluded from the study since its inception. The exclusion of vacant urban lands is based upon the reasoning that many, perhaps the majority, of the transfers of such land, result in definite use changes. Because vacant urban land constitutes only 1.5 per cent of the total locally assessed real property on the tax rolls state-wide, this exclusion has small effect (only 0.2 of a percentage point) upon the state-wide average ratio for the three years combined.

The county-wide average ratios for the three-year period range from a low of 17 per cent for Gilpin County to a high of 38 per cent for Saguache County. The middle one-third of the counties (in terms of size of the ratio) have ratios which range from 22.7 per cent to 26.0 per cent; and forty-five of the counties have ratios that are below the state-wide average of 27.3 per cent. Included among the eighteen counties having ratios above the general average are Arapahoe, Larimer, Boulder, and Denver.

There are eleven counties which have three-year ratios that are 25 per cent (6.825 percentage points) or more below the state-wide average; and there are four counties whose sales ratios are an equal amount above this average (Table I and Table II). The

combined 1957 assessed value of locally assessed real property in these fifteen counties with sales ratios differing from the state-wide average by 25 per cent or more constituted only 4.3 per cent of the state-wide total assessed value for that year.

Table I

Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Real Property in Colorado by Counties Grouped According to Size of the 1957-1960 Sales Ratio and Expressed as Per Cent of the 1957 State-Wide Assessed Value^a

<u>Sales Ratios Class (%)</u>	<u>Number of Counties</u>	<u>Proportion of Total Assessed Value</u>
Under 18.9	5	1.0%
18.9 and under 20.3	6	2.3
20.3 and under 21.7	5	2.7
21.7 and under 23.1	7	9.3
23.1 and under 24.5	7	6.7
24.5 and under 25.9	10	8.7
25.9 and under 27.3	5	13.8
27.3 and under 28.7	4	14.9
28.7 and under 30.1	4	2.1
30.1 and under 31.5	1	0.2
31.5 and under 32.9	3	36.3
32.9 and under 34.3	2	1.0
34.3 and over	4	1.0
	<u>63</u>	<u>100.0%</u>

A tolerance of five per cent of the state-wide ratio is regarded in some localities as a reasonable margin above and below the ratio within which no adjustments should be made in an equalization program. A range of this magnitude in Colorado for the combined three-year data extends from 25.9 per cent to 28.7 per cent (1.4 percentage points above and below 27.3 per cent). Because such a tolerance is sometimes considered reasonable, it is of interest that 54 of the counties in Colorado have ratios for the three years combined which fall outside this range and that the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in these counties in 1957 constituted 71.3 per cent of the total assessed value state-wide in that year. If this tolerance were extended to 10 per cent of the state-wide ratio, there would still be 43 counties with ratios falling outside the indicated range and with a combined assessed value equivalent to 61.3 per cent of the state's total.

a. Exclusive of assessed value of vacant urban land.

In the state as a whole in 1957, one-family dwellings accounted for 45 per cent of the total assessed value of locally assessed real property; and one-family dwellings eight years old or less accounted for more than one-fifth of the state-wide total for all classes combined. Other proportions of the state-wide total were: commercial buildings, 16.4 per cent; all urban properties combined, 73.7 per cent; agricultural properties (with and without improvements), 18.5 per cent; and total rural, 26.3 per cent (Table III).

Market activity among urban properties was relatively greater during each year of the study than it was among rural properties. This is indicated by the fact that the combined assessed value recorded on the usable certificates for urban properties as a proportion of total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls was larger than the corresponding proportion for rural properties.¹ The assessed value reported on the certificates for urban properties in the three years combined was 16.8 per cent as large as the total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for rural properties was only 6.4 per cent. Total assessed value of properties sold (urban and rural combined) was 14.1 per cent as large as the state-wide total assessed value for 1957.

As shown by an examination of the measures of variation or ranges within which the middle halves of the sales ratios fall, there is greater uniformity among the ratios for one-family dwellings one to eight years old than among those for any other class of property distinguished in the study (Table III). While sales ratios for commercial buildings are less uniform than those for other classes, urban properties as a group show somewhat greater uniformity in the assessment-sales relationship than do rural properties as a group.

-
1. When the data on number of certificates or assessed value reported on them are compared, one year with another, it should be recognized that there is some lack of comparability among them for some of the counties. During the early weeks of the first year's study the county assessors were instructed to report assessed value for 1956 rather than for 1957. When it was decided to base all sales ratios for the first year's study on 1957 assessed values, it was ruled that the effort required to secure the 1957 assessed values and make the changes on the certificates already submitted was not warranted in the case of a few of the large counties because the number of certificates that would be available without them would be adequate for determination of the sales ratios.

TABLE II

Sales Ratios and Measures of Variation by Counties of Colorado:
 Total, Urban, and Rural for the Fiscal Years 1957-1958, 1958-1959, and 1959-1960
 and for Combined Years With Counties Ranked According to Size of the Sales Ratio in the Three Years Combined^a

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Gilpin										
First Year ('57-'58)	41	14.6	2	9.2	20	20.8	10.0	21	13.6	9.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	71	17.0	2	13.3	15	15.1	12.1	56	17.5	13.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	63	16.0	1	9.7	15	20.8	14.1	48	15.2	8.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	112	17.1	1	11.7	35	19.3	11.0	77	16.6	11.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	159	17.0	1	10.7	34	20.4	16.2	125	16.4	9.8
Teller										
First Year ('57-'58)	146	18.4	5	14.4	111	22.8	23.9	35	16.3	10.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	115	15.6	1	8.1	93	22.1	13.3	22	13.1	6.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	91	20.2	9	23.3	51	19.8	29.3	40	20.5	19.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	261	17.7	2	11.9	204	22.5	18.3	57	15.5	8.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	304	17.8	2	12.5	207	22.0	20.6	97	16.0	9.1
Douglas										
First Year ('57-'58)	81	16.3	3	10.4	42	22.6	16.0	39	14.9	9.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	95	20.5	14	10.1	38	28.1	9.3	57	18.8	10.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	104	24.8	31	7.0	22	25.1	6.7	82	24.7	7.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	176	18.3	3	10.6	80	25.9	12.7	96	16.7	10.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	259	18.3	3	10.5	81	26.3	11.9	178	16.8	10.1
Pitkin										
First Year ('57-'58)	57	20.7	11	6.4	48	19.5	7.5	9	21.8	5.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	119	17.4	3	10.2	86	18.2	8.0	33	16.7	12.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	69	18.2	6	5.6	40	19.7	7.3	29	17.1	3.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	176	18.3	4	9.8	134	18.8	8.9	42	17.9	10.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	197	18.5	4	9.2	126	19.7	8.8	71	17.6	9.5

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Jackson ^d										
First Year ('57-'58)	27	14.1	1	2.9	21	28.0	13.7	6	12.5	2.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	28	18.7	7	12.4	19	25.9	6.3	9	12.2	15.8
Third Year ('59-'60)	13	e	49	----	12	29.1	16.8	1	e	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	55	18.5	5	14.0	40	30.4	10.9	15	16.8	14.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	51	18.6	5	14.8	35	32.7	16.6	16	16.8	14.6
Yuma										
First Year ('57-'58)	104	18.2	4	10.2	61	25.1	22.0	43	16.8	7.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	126	19.3	8	14.6	81	25.3	37.8	45	18.0	9.7
Third Year ('59-'60)	78	20.4	10	12.2	56	27.5	9.7	22	18.9	12.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	230	18.5	6	11.3	142	24.7	21.3	88	17.3	9.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	281	18.9	6	10.2	171	26.9	17.4	110	17.4	8.8
Clear Creek										
First Year ('57-'58)	108	18.9	6	11.0	64	18.9	11.5	44	18.9	10.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	105	20.3	9	14.5	60	20.9	14.7	45	19.7	14.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	149	21.0	15	14.1	47	22.0	20.5	102	20.2	8.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	213	19.2	7	13.1	124	19.5	14.3	89	19.0	11.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	324	19.5	7	13.1	133	19.3	15.9	191	19.7	10.5
Elbert										
First Year ('57-'58)	46	21.2	13	10.4	29	41.1	28.1	17	20.1	9.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	67	18.6	6	11.9	25	21.1	18.7	42	18.3	11.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	45	20.7	13	11.1	28	30.9	17.6	17	20.0	10.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	113	19.6	8	12.8	54	31.9	49.3	59	18.8	10.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	146	19.8	8	13.5	70	32.1	43.0	76	19.0	11.7
Archuleta										
First Year ('57-'58)	30	25.2	28	9.7	24	30.4	24.3	6	24.0	8.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	38	18.0	5	25.4	27	24.2	20.2	11	16.9	25.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	20	20.9	14	6.3	16	22.1	11.2	4	20.6	5.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	68	19.8	9	18.8	51	26.7	18.5	17	18.5	18.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	64	19.9	9	14.6	43	25.6	20.0	21	18.9	----

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Gunnison										
First Year ('57-'58)	106	23.8	21	15.1	91	25.5	13.1	15	22.9	16.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	113	17.5	4	13.4	95	18.9	11.7	18	16.8	14.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	74	18.5	8	11.9	63	27.5	12.3	11	15.6	11.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	219	20.5	13	15.2	186	23.7	11.9	33	19.0	16.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	232	19.9	10	15.5	188	25.7	14.0	44	17.7	16.1
Baca ^f										
First Year ('57-'58)	80	20.3	9	7.3	45	26.5	13.2	35	19.5	6.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	117	20.4	13	10.1	77	27.8	21.8	40	19.1	8.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	70	17.1	2	13.0	61	33.1	11.3	9	15.3	13.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	197	20.4	12	9.7	122	27.7	22.1	75	19.1	7.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	229	20.2	11	9.9	145	28.6	19.8	84	18.8	8.3
Phillips ^g										
First Year ('57-'58)	76	20.3	10	8.4	49	27.3	23.6	27	19.1	5.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	84	20.3	10	7.5	64	30.0	21.3	20	18.8	5.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	49	21.6	18	11.2	39	25.1	14.1	10	20.8	10.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	160	20.3	11	7.0	113	29.2	14.1	47	18.8	5.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	189	20.6	12	7.5	132	28.1	12.7	57	19.3	6.6
Huerfano										
First Year ('57-'58)	114	19.9	8	20.4	79	26.7	22.2	35	15.7	19.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	98	26.0	42	14.4	62	37.9	19.6	36	19.4	11.8
Third Year ('59-'60)	78	17.7	4	10.2	53	32.8	19.0	25	11.9	6.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	212	21.3	15	21.1	141	28.0	27.1	71	16.9	17.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	269	20.9	13	19.4	173	29.5	24.4	96	16.0	16.6
Washington										
First Year ('57-'58)	68	23.3	19	11.8	38	29.8	9.6	30	22.6	11.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	106	21.1	18	8.0	50	26.2	16.0	56	20.6	7.6
Third Year ('59-'60)	59	18.0	5	8.1	48	25.4	12.0	11	17.4	7.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	174	21.9	17	9.0	88	30.6	15.0	86	21.1	8.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	207	21.3	14	9.4	110	30.1	15.8	97	20.6	8.9

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Kit Carson										
First Year ('57-'58)	101	24.1	24	13.2	51	35.8	25.7	50	21.5	10.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	145	20.3	11	8.1	100	31.6	15.0	45	17.9	7.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	75	18.5	7	12.5	66	34.0	23.9	9	15.3	10.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	246	22.4	18	10.6	151	35.9	20.6	95	19.7	8.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	276	21.3	15	11.0	172	31.3	22.1	104	19.1	9.2
Lake ^h										
First Year ('57-'58)	75	21.6	15	19.0	74	e	----	71	e	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	58	20.6	16	15.7	52	e	----	6	e	----
Third Year ('59-'60)	62	24.1	30	13.1	54	e	----	8	e	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	133	21.0	14	15.2	126	e	----	7	e	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	178	21.6	16	13.2	163	e	----	15	e	----
Montezuma										
First Year ('57-'58)	174	21.2	12	12.7	134	23.5	16.3	40	19.6	10.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	136	22.0	23	14.2	87	26.8	17.3	49	19.2	12.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	102	21.7	19	10.4	75	30.3	13.4	27	17.7	9.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	310	21.5	16	13.3	221	25.2	16.3	89	19.3	11.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	362	21.8	17	12.4	246	27.0	14.9	116	18.9	11.0
Hinsdale										
First Year ('57-'58)	10	25.5	32	16.5	9	e	----	1	e	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	13	22.0	24	13.6	12	e	----	1	e	----
Third Year ('59-'60)	10	21.3	16	12.0	9	e	----	1	e	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	23	23.8	27	19.1	21	e	----	2	e	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	22	22.2	18	12.5	19	e	----	3	e	----
Sedgwick ⁱ										
First Year ('57-'58)	39	19.7	7	6.4	22	29.3	12.2	17	18.4	5.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	61	21.3	19	12.5	52	24.9	8.8	9	20.7	13.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	49	23.8	27	16.6	44	33.7	21.2	5	20.9	14.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	100	20.2	10	7.5	74	26.9	10.7	26	19.2	7.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	141	22.3	19	8.9	110	33.5	15.4	31	19.2	7.1

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Fremont										
First Year ('57-'58)	293	23.8	22	13.8	270	24.8	11.7	23	22.5	17.0
Second Year ('58-'59)	427	22.5	27	9.4	359	22.5	8.8	68	22.5	10.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	290	22.6	21	13.2	260	20.9	12.1	30	25.6	15.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	720	22.9	23	10.2	629	23.4	9.6	91	22.2	11.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	880	22.7	20	11.3	759	22.4	9.9	121	23.2	13.1
Lincoln										
First Year ('57-'58)	54	24.1	25	15.2	25	23.1	13.9	29	24.4	15.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	99	21.6	20	13.0	49	26.7	38.0	50	20.6	7.7
Third Year ('59-'60)	58	20.4	11	13.8	49	24.4	26.4	9	19.5	11.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	153	22.9	22	12.5	74	26.9	28.6	79	22.0	8.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	184	22.7	21	11.7	96	25.9	22.5	88	22.0	9.3
La Plata										
First Year ('57-'58)	314	23.9	23	10.6	245	23.5	7.6	69	24.3	13.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	315	23.4	31	13.8	229	25.1	13.9	86	21.8	13.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	240	20.4	12	13.0	170	22.3	11.4	70	18.7	14.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	629	23.5	25	11.8	474	24.3	9.7	155	22.7	13.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	727	22.7	22	12.0	502	24.0	8.4	225	21.5	15.2
El Paso										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,967	23.0	18	9.2	1,904	23.1	8.0	63	22.1	14.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	2,718	22.1	25	7.9	2,581	22.8	7.6	137	19.0	8.6
Third Year ('59-'60)	2,634	23.5	25	9.4	2,533	24.4	8.7	101	19.6	11.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	4,685	22.4	19	8.5	4,485	23.0	7.9	200	19.8	10.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	6,998	22.9	23	8.6	6,697	23.6	8.1	301	20.0	10.9
Pueblo										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,627	24.3	26	9.1	1,567	25.0	8.9	60	23.1	9.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,786	23.2	29	10.7	1,653	25.4	9.5	133	19.6	12.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,514	23.3	24	10.4	1,328	25.6	10.0	186	19.7	10.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	3,413	23.5	26	10.4	3,220	25.3	9.5	193	20.6	12.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	4,458	23.4	24	10.4	4,079	25.5	9.7	379	20.2	11.7

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Grand										
First Year ('57-'58)	106	22.8	17	11.6	71	25.3	17.1	35	20.9	7.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	113	22.2	26	12.4	66	25.5	17.3	47	19.8	9.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	92	27.7	43	12.3	40	27.7	14.4	52	27.7	10.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	219	22.4	20	11.4	137	25.3	15.7	82	20.4	8.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	258	23.5	25	12.1	124	26.7	15.1	134	21.2	10.1
Park										
First Year ('57-'58)	86	25.2	30	17.2	49	27.5	39.4	37	24.4	9.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	99	20.3	12	15.4	44	24.8	12.9	55	18.9	15.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	71	28.0	46	12.2	29	29.7	22.2	42	27.6	10.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	185	23.0	24	17.1	93	25.7	33.0	92	22.0	11.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	212	23.6	26	14.6	78	29.8	24.4	134	22.5	12.9
Las Animas										
First Year ('57-'58)	155	26.0	34	15.7	126	35.9	19.7	29	21.3	13.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	166	23.9	33	25.0	127	32.2	25.2	39	19.8	25.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	84	17.3	3	51.5	68	30.8	29.3	16	13.0	58.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	321	24.3	31	25.1	253	33.1	25.7	68	20.1	24.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	385	23.7	27	26.0	301	32.3	27.4	84	19.7	25.4
Custer										
First Year ('57-'58)	61	27.1	40	27.0	40	28.9	39.2	21	26.9	25.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	47	20.6	17	9.6	28	22.4	13.5	19	20.4	9.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	19	29.0	48	18.8	10	26.9	7.7	9	29.3	19.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	108	22.5	21	18.0	68	24.7	19.5	40	22.2	17.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	95	23.8	28	19.7	46	23.2	16.5	49	23.9	20.2
Ouray										
First Year ('57-'58)	26	22.4	16	17.3	19	e	----	7	e	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	46	28.6	50	20.7	20	e	----	26	e	----
Third Year ('59-'60)	24	21.4	17	18.0	16	e	----	8	e	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	72	25.6	38	18.3	39	e	----	33	e	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	88	23.8	29	15.7	47	e	----	41	e	----

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Rio Blanco										
First Year ('57-'58)	70	32.9	54	10.6	61	34.5	15.7	9	31.9	7.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	57	20.6	15	19.1	46	23.5	11.7	11	19.1	21.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	35	25.8	37	10.6	32	28.4	10.6	3	24.4	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	127	24.6	34	22.9	107	31.9	18.5	20	21.5	24.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	131	24.3	30	23.6	108	31.3	19.8	23	21.5	25.2
Summit										
First Year ('57-'58)	37	21.6	14	18.5	29	28.8	41.3	8	20.6	15.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	44	23.2	30	26.0	29	28.7	23.4	15	22.4	26.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	25	25.9	39	24.0	16	25.1	44.4	9	26.1	22.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	81	24.2	30	27.4	58	29.5	30.3	23	23.4	27.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	83	24.5	31	25.8	51	28.3	35.4	32	23.9	25.0
Dolores										
First Year ('57-'58)	30	23.7	20	14.6	19	34.0	14.1	11	21.6	14.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	51	22.8	28	12.2	35	23.7	11.1	16	22.6	12.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	14	32.9	56	40.9	11	27.7	13.3	3	35.0	61.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	81	24.1	29	14.6	54	31.2	10.1	27	22.5	15.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	82	24.7	32	15.2	52	31.8	11.5	30	23.1	16.0
Logan										
First Year ('57-'58)	265	25.2	29	12.7	227	28.1	12.1	38	23.1	13.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	387	24.1	35	9.8	330	29.3	9.4	57	20.9	9.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	262	23.9	28	10.7	229	30.4	17.7	33	20.2	6.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	652	24.7	35	11.0	557	28.9	10.9	95	22.0	10.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	867	24.7	33	11.6	739	29.4	12.0	128	21.8	11.4
Cheyenne										
First Year ('57-'58)	20	26.1	35	11.7	10	45.3	18.6	10	24.4	11.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	55	24.1	34	10.5	24	35.1	28.9	31	22.9	9.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	21	22.9	22	13.2	15	49.6	37.8	6	21.1	11.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	75	24.6	33	13.6	34	36.6	24.3	41	23.3	12.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	81	24.8	34	13.7	34	42.5	20.3	47	23.3	13.2

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Montrose										
First Year ('57-'58)	224	24.9	27	13.8	169	27.0	15.3	55	23.2	12.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	234	25.4	38	14.6	170	28.0	17.4	64	23.5	12.6
Third Year ('59-'60)	163	24.0	29	15.0	108	27.8	23.3	55	21.5	9.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	458	25.2	36	14.2	339	27.5	15.9	119	23.5	12.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	520	24.8	35	12.9	346	27.8	15.6	174	22.7	11.1
Eagle										
First Year ('57-'58)	43	29.3	50	14.6	32	35.4	25.8	11	27.5	11.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	33	21.9	21	8.6	19	42.0	35.4	14	18.5	4.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	27	29.9	50	17.5	18	27.8	13.2	9	30.7	18.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	76	24.4	32	14.2	51	36.8	33.4	25	21.6	10.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	95	24.8	36	16.8	61	36.3	28.0	34	22.2	14.5
Moffat										
First Year ('57-'58)	96	26.6	37	12.4	84	26.6	16.0	12	26.5	6.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	143	25.7	41	19.0	104	28.6	19.0	39	23.1	19.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	66	23.6	26	14.8	59	24.4	11.9	7	22.9	19.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	239	25.8	41	14.6	188	27.4	13.0	51	24.3	16.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	224	24.9	37	13.9	166	26.7	10.5	58	23.1	16.8
Kiowa										
First Year ('57-'58)	50	28.5	46	14.0	18	27.0	27.0	32	28.9	12.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	67	23.7	32	11.4	25	31.6	14.1	42	22.3	11.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	23	22.3	20	9.6	17	28.7	17.2	6	19.6	8.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	117	25.5	37	13.7	43	29.1	16.3	74	24.7	13.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	129	25.2	38	13.1	49	28.9	9.7	80	24.5	13.6
Delta										
First Year ('57-'58)	284	25.7	33	16.1	168	28.1	17.8	116	21.5	14.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	293	26.3	44	13.2	182	28.0	12.2	111	24.9	14.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	181	23.2	23	13.2	97	25.8	14.5	84	21.4	12.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	577	26.1	42	14.0	350	28.3	14.2	227	24.3	14.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	691	25.3	39	14.0	380	27.6	14.1	311	23.6	13.9

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County			Total Urban			Total Rural			
	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Weld										
First Year ('57-'58)	877	27.7	43	15.2	742	30.0	14.4	135	26.4	15.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,080	24.7	37	12.8	881	27.8	10.5	199	23.1	14.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,007	25.7	36	12.8	866	29.0	13.1	142	24.1	12.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,957	25.8	40	12.5	1,623	28.6	11.5	334	24.3	13.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,759	25.8	40	13.0	2,283	29.0	13.3	476	24.2	12.8
Jefferson										
First Year ('57-'58)	2,425	25.3	31	8.9	1,796	25.5	8.1	629	24.4	14.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,292	26.3	45	9.2	2,415	27.7	8.5	877	19.8	12.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	2,410	25.3	32	8.3	1,747	26.6	7.4	663	19.4	12.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,717	25.7	39	8.9	4,211	26.6	8.3	1,506	21.3	12.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	7,389	25.9	41	8.9	5,220	26.9	8.2	2,169	20.7	12.2
Garfield										
First Year ('57-'58)	159	26.9	39	19.7	117	24.2	21.7	42	29.4	17.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	204	22.0	22	13.3	151	23.3	16.3	53	21.1	11.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	139	30.0	51	21.1	103	25.5	32.0	36	34.5	10.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	363	24.0	28	14.9	268	23.7	15.7	95	24.3	14.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	424	26.0	42	17.5	293	25.6	20.9	131	26.3	15.1
Chaffee										
First Year ('57-'58)	140	28.1	45	15.1	123	28.0	20.5	17	28.3	6.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	159	25.4	39	14.7	137	27.5	17.4	22	22.7	11.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	108	25.5	34	14.6	85	26.7	9.0	23	23.9	22.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	299	26.3	43	14.8	260	27.8	16.7	39	24.1	12.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	336	26.3	43	13.3	274	27.8	13.3	62	24.3	13.4
Adams										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,587	27.6	42	8.4	1,412	29.3	8.3	175	24.2	8.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	2,028	25.5	40	8.7	1,857	27.7	8.8	171	21.0	8.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,929	25.4	33	10.6	1,484	30.3	8.3	445	18.0	14.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	3,615	26.5	44	8.2	3,269	28.6	8.2	346	22.4	8.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	5,192	26.9	44	8.6	4,401	29.7	8.2	791	21.9	9.5

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County			Total Urban			Total Rural			
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Mesa										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,025	26.2	36	12.6	869	26.0	12.9	156	26.5	12.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,142	27.1	46	10.1	884	28.9	9.3	258	24.7	10.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	803	27.2	42	8.3	563	29.1	6.7	240	24.8	10.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,167	27.0	45	10.9	1,753	27.9	10.8	414	25.7	11.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,720	27.0	45	10.1	2,066	28.0	9.3	654	25.6	11.0
Arapahoe										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,820	29.0	48	10.7	1,496	31.1	10.4	324	25.0	11.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	2,638	26.0	43	6.9	2,031	27.0	6.9	607	23.9	6.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	2,237	27.7	44	9.4	1,605	27.2	9.9	632	29.2	8.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	4,458	27.7	47	8.4	3,527	28.7	8.3	931	25.3	8.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	6,291	27.4	46	8.5	4,728	28.2	8.5	1,563	25.6	8.3
Morgan										
First Year ('57-'58)	291	27.6	41	13.2	215	31.3	13.0	76	25.3	13.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	363	27.3	48	13.8	292	29.3	11.8	71	25.9	15.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	297	25.7	35	12.3	252	31.3	13.0	45	22.5	11.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	654	27.5	46	13.1	507	30.2	12.5	147	25.6	13.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	863	27.5	47	13.3	671	31.2	13.5	192	25.2	13.2
Larimer										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,171	28.7	47	11.9	962	28.7	9.9	209	28.8	16.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,355	27.3	47	12.7	1,056	28.0	12.2	299	25.9	13.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,188	26.8	41	14.6	956	27.5	12.4	232	25.6	18.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,526	27.9	48	12.8	2,018	28.5	11.5	508	26.9	15.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,391	27.6	48	12.8	2,651	28.1	11.6	740	26.6	15.2
Boulder										
First Year ('57-'58)	1,325	29.3	49	11.6	1,162	30.1	11.5	163	26.8	12.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,552	28.8	52	8.6	1,265	30.7	7.6	287	23.4	11.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,275	26.7	40	9.2	1,010	29.5	7.8	265	20.0	12.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,877	29.0	51	9.8	2,427	30.4	8.9	450	24.9	12.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,567	28.4	49	9.5	2,852	30.2	8.6	715	23.4	11.8

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Routt										
First Year ('57-'58)	135	27.8	44	16.0	110	40.2	29.1	25	24.6	12.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	131	30.6	55	21.7	94	35.8	58.4	37	28.9	9.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	114	27.9	45	20.1	85	34.8	21.5	29	25.9	19.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	266	29.8	52	14.8	204	38.1	24.9	62	27.3	11.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	350	29.3	50	18.1	259	37.2	22.6	91	27.0	16.8
Prowers										
First Year ('57-'58)	131	30.6	52	14.9	111	31.1	15.4	20	30.4	14.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	217	27.9	49	18.5	153	28.6	15.9	64	27.4	20.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	165	30.4	52	9.3	152	31.7	10.1	13	29.5	8.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	348	28.6	50	17.1	264	29.5	15.2	84	28.0	18.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	464	29.5	51	14.6	367	31.0	13.4	97	28.6	15.4
San Miguel										
First Year ('57-'58)	31	40.0	61	36.5	24	46.5	42.2	7	38.5	35.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	30	24.6	36	31.7	19	42.1	27.2	11	22.0	32.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	30	34.8	60	14.9	24	38.3	33.1	6	33.9	10.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	61	30.2	53	32.0	43	41.5	35.0	18	28.0	31.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	87	30.0	52	26.5	63	38.9	37.6	24	28.2	24.1
Alamosa^j										
First Year ('57-'58)	113	29.9	51	16.2	96	28.7	20.6	17	31.5	11.3
Second Year ('58-'59)	103	30.0	53	20.3	89	25.0	19.4	14	34.9	21.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	110	28.5	47	16.1	87	29.0	19.7	23	28.0	12.5
Two Years ('57-'59)	216	30.3	54	18.0	185	28.0	18.2	31	33.4	17.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	284	30.0	53	16.9	230	28.7	19.1	54	31.5	14.5
Crowley										
First Year ('57-'58)	39	26.6	38	16.7	26	31.8	19.1	13	25.3	16.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	54	28.8	51	20.2	37	33.2	17.6	17	27.5	20.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	44	34.4	59	17.0	27	30.4	19.3	17	35.9	16.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	93	28.6	49	22.8	63	34.6	18.4	30	27.0	23.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	132	30.4	54	23.3	85	33.8	21.6	47	29.5	23.8

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Mineral										
First Year ('57-'58)	5	40.6	62	22.2	4	e	----	1	e	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	18	35.7	60	50.0	16	e	----	2	e	----
Third Year ('59-'60)	8	25.9	38	62.5	4	e	----	4	e	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	23	36.5	61	33.7	20	e	----	3	e	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	31	31.8	55	49.3	24	e	----	7	e	----
Otero										
First Year ('57-'58)	311	33.8	55	17.1	259	35.7	21.3	52	31.5	11.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	441	32.7	57	18.3	384	35.7	16.9	57	29.1	19.8
Third Year ('59-'60)	397	31.9	53	15.7	339	32.2	14.2	58	31.5	17.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	752	33.0	57	17.5	643	35.4	17.8	109	30.0	17.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	1,077	32.2	56	17.3	910	33.7	18.0	167	30.2	16.4
Denver										
First Year ('57-'58)	5,413	32.2	53	11.0	5,413	32.2	11.0	----	----	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	7,945	32.3	56	9.6	7,945	32.3	9.6	----	----	----
Third Year ('59-'60)	7,396	32.0	54	10.1	7,396	32.0	10.1	----	----	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	13,358	32.3	55	10.0	13,358	32.3	10.0	----	----	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	20,100	32.3	57	10.1	20,100	32.3	10.1	----	----	----
Rio Grande										
First Year ('57-'58)	120	33.8	56	21.9	95	32.1	15.9	25	34.8	25.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	146	32.7	58	17.7	110	33.5	8.8	36	32.4	21.7
Third Year ('59-'60)	84	33.0	58	14.5	64	31.0	13.5	20	34.0	15.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	266	33.1	58	20.5	205	32.6	13.7	61	33.3	23.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	320	33.0	58	19.1	239	32.1	12.9	81	33.5	22.1
Conejos										
First Year ('57-'58)	77	37.1	58	39.5	46	34.9	35.8	31	37.7	40.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	69	30.1	54	20.9	38	31.5	33.1	31	29.8	19.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	41	37.5	62	34.7	28	28.8	24.4	13	40.7	37.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	146	32.6	56	25.4	84	34.3	29.3	62	32.2	24.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	161	33.5	59	28.5	86	33.0	27.3	75	33.6	28.8

Table II
(continued)

County and Year	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio ^b	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread ^c (pct. pts.)
Bent										
First Year ('57-'58)	104	36.2	57	19.0	70	34.4	27.1	34	36.8	16.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	68	34.4	59	15.9	39	33.7	14.9	29	34.7	16.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	62	32.7	55	19.4	45	28.9	15.3	17	34.1	20.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	172	35.2	59	17.7	109	34.7	16.6	63	35.3	18.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	220	34.7	60	17.2	140	33.1	16.1	80	35.2	17.6
San Juan										
First Year ('57-'58)	15	38.7	59	30.9	14	e	----	1	e	----
Second Year ('58-'59)	10	37.7	62	16.0	10	e	----	0	e	----
Third Year ('59-'60)	24	34.9	61	16.3	24	e	----	0	e	----
Two Years ('57-'59)	25	38.1	62	26.6	24	e	----	1	e	----
Three Years ('57-'60)	48	36.5	61	25.7	47	e	----	1	e	----
Costilla										
First Year ('57-'58)	31	39.5	60	27.2	15	48.1	20.4	16	37.7	28.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	44	35.8	61	46.7	12	60.3	37.4	32	32.4	47.1
Third Year ('59-'60)	21	44.7	63	42.0	11	44.2	----	10	44.8	32.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	75	36.2	60	32.7	27	53.1	31.3	48	33.4	32.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	86	37.2	62	36.9	28	47.3	35.2	58	35.4	37.1
Saguache										
First Year ('57-'58)	34	40.9	63	20.0	24	31.9	34.4	10	44.1	15.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	38	42.9	63	21.1	29	36.0	33.6	9	45.1	17.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	26	32.9	57	21.0	19	31.9	29.8	7	33.2	18.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	72	40.5	63	20.2	53	33.7	29.7	19	42.7	17.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	89	38.0	63	22.7	63	34.1	29.5	26	39.1	20.6
Total State										
First Year ('57-'58)	24,670	27.9		11.5	21,346	29.5	11.0	3,324	24.3	12.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	32,002	27.0		10.7	27,159	29.3	9.9	4,843	22.1	12.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	27,019	26.9		11.5	22,880	29.3	10.4	4,139	22.0	13.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	56,672	27.4		11.1	48,505	29.4	10.4	8,167	22.9	12.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	77,456	27.3		10.9	65,150	29.5	10.2	12,306	22.8	12.6

Table II
(continued)
Footnotes

- a. Vacant urban land is included in the tabulations for the first and second years of the study and the first two years combined; it is excluded from the tabulations for the third year and for the three years combined. This means, for example, that the total number of certificates shown for the three years combined is not in agreement with the sum of the numbers shown for individual years.
- b. Ranked according to size of the sales ratio for the given year.
- c. Average range within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- d. Exclusive of agricultural properties with improvements in 1958-1959, for which there was only one conveyance in that year, and of all rural properties in 1959-1960, for which there was only one conveyance in that year.
- e. Insufficient data for determination of the sales ratio.
- f. Exclusive of commercial properties in 1957-1958, for which there were no conveyances in that year.
- g. Exclusive of industrial properties, for which there was only one conveyance in the entire three-year period.
- h. Exclusive of industrial properties in 1957-1958 and in 1958-1959, for which there were no conveyances in either of those two years.
- i. Exclusive of commercial and industrial properties in 1957-1958 and in 1958-1959, but including them in 1959-1960.
- j. Exclusive of commercial and industrial properties in 1958-1959, for which there were no conveyances in that year.

TABLE III

Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation in the Ratios, Proportion of Total Assessed Value on the Tax Rolls, and Assessed Value on Certificates as Per Cent of Total Assessed Value by Class of Property For Each of Three Fiscal Years and for Combined Years^a

Class of Property and Year	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points ^b		Total	Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value ^c
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio			
One-family Dwellings							
1 to 8 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	8,579	31.8	2.6	3.1	5.7	21.1	8.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	11,548	31.6	2.7	3.0	5.7	----	11.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	10,374	31.1	2.9	2.9	5.8	----	10.7
Two Years ('57-'59)	20,127	31.7	2.7	3.1	5.8	----	19.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	30,501	31.5	2.7	3.1	5.8	----	30.7
9 to 18 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	2,455	29.1	3.6	4.1	7.7	7.6	5.0
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,646	28.8	3.0	3.4	6.4	----	7.6
Third Year ('59-'60)	3,672	28.4	3.2	3.5	6.7	----	7.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	6,101	28.9	3.2	3.6	6.8	----	12.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	9,773	28.7	3.2	3.6	6.8	----	20.4
19 to 28 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	917	27.0	4.2	5.6	9.8	2.9	4.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,032	26.7	4.0	4.6	8.6	----	5.3
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,013	26.8	3.6	4.6	8.2	----	5.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,949	26.8	4.1	4.9	9.0	----	9.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,962	26.8	3.9	4.8	8.7	----	15.1
29 to 48 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	2,603	24.6	4.0	4.8	8.8	8.2	3.4
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,186	24.0	3.8	4.5	8.3	----	4.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	2,953	23.7	3.7	4.3	8.0	----	4.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,789	24.3	3.9	4.5	8.4	----	7.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	8,742	24.1	3.9	4.5	8.4	----	12.1

Table III
(continued)

Class of Property and Year	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points ^b			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value ^c
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total		
Over 48 years old							
First Year ('57-'58)	2,470	22.0	4.7	5.4	10.1	5.2	3.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	3,074	21.6	4.3	5.1	9.4	----	4.9
Third Year ('59-'60)	3,278	21.9	4.3	5.3	9.6	----	5.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,544	21.8	4.5	5.4	9.9	----	8.7
Three Years ('57-'60)	8,822	21.8	4.4	5.4	9.8	----	14.6
All ages combined							
First Year ('57-'58)	17,024	28.1	3.5	4.2	7.7	45.0	6.1
Second Year ('58-'59)	22,486	27.7	3.3	3.9	7.2	----	8.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	21,290	27.5	3.3	3.8	7.1	----	8.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	39,510	27.9	3.4	4.0	7.4	----	14.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	60,800	27.8	3.4	3.9	7.3	----	22.7
Multi-family Dwellings							
First Year ('57-'58)	628	31.3	7.0	4.1	11.1	4.4	4.2
Second Year ('58-'59)	808	30.8	5.6	5.3	10.9	----	5.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	924	31.1	5.9	5.4	11.3	----	6.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,436	30.7	5.9	5.1	11.0	----	9.6
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,360	30.9	6.0	5.2	11.2	----	15.8
Commercial buildings							
First Year ('57-'58)	521	32.0	7.5	12.8	20.3	16.4	1.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	574	33.4	7.5	9.9	17.4	----	2.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	521	33.4	8.1	10.2	18.3	----	2.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,095	32.8	7.6	10.2	17.8	----	3.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	1,616	33.0	7.7	10.5	18.2	----	5.8

Table III
(continued)

Class of Property and Year	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points ^b			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value ^c
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total		
Industrial buildings							
First Year ('57-'58)	93	37.1	8.2	5.7	13.9	6.4	0.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	139	34.4	5.9	7.0	12.9	----	1.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	145	35.2	7.6	11.4	19.0	----	1.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	232	35.8	6.9	6.4	13.3	----	2.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	374	34.9	7.0	7.8	14.8	----	3.6
Total urban							
First Year ('57-'58)	21,346	29.5	4.9	6.1	11.0	72.2	4.6
Second Year ('58-'59)	27,159	29.3	4.5	5.4	9.9	----	6.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	22,880	29.3	4.6	5.8	10.4	----	6.1
Two Years ('57-'59)	48,505	29.4	4.7	5.5	10.2	----	10.8
Three Years ('57-'60)	65,150	29.5	4.6	5.6	10.2	----	16.8
Agric. land with impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	799	25.7	5.6	7.1	12.7	14.2	1.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,005	23.1	5.6	7.3	12.9	----	1.8
Third Year ('59-'60)	499	23.2	5.6	9.8	15.4	----	0.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,804	24.1	5.6	7.5	13.1	----	3.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,303	23.9	5.6	7.9	13.5	----	4.3
Agric. land without impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	448	20.2	4.4	7.7	12.1	4.3	0.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	773	18.3	4.0	6.4	10.4	----	1.6
Third Year ('59-'60)	229	17.0	3.4	8.8	12.2	----	0.3
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,221	18.8	3.9	6.9	10.8	----	2.5
Three Years ('57-'60)	1,450	18.4	3.9	7.2	11.1	----	2.8

Table III
(continued)

Class of Property and Year	Number of Certificates	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Measure of Variation: Range in Percentage Points ^b			Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value ^c
			Below Average Ratio	Above Average Ratio	Total		
Misc. rural land with impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	1,184	25.6	6.2	6.0	12.2	6.9	2.5
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,961	24.1	4.6	7.0	11.6	----	4.4
Third Year ('59-'60)	2,290	25.2	5.3	6.2	11.5	----	5.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	3,145	24.7	5.1	7.2	12.3	----	6.9
Three Years ('57-'60)	5,435	25.0	5.1	6.7	11.8	----	12.8
Misc. rural land without impts.							
First Year ('57-'58)	893	16.7	4.1	6.7	10.8	0.9	2.9
Second Year ('58-'59)	1,104	16.5	4.5	8.1	12.6	----	3.0
Third Year ('59-'60)	1,121	14.8	3.9	8.4	12.3	----	2.4
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,997	17.4	5.2	7.2	12.4	----	6.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,118	16.8	4.7	7.5	12.2	----	8.3
Total rural							
First Year ('57-'58)	3,324	24.3	5.5	7.0	12.5	26.3	1.7
Second Year ('58-'59)	4,843	22.1	5.0	7.2	12.2	----	2.5
Third Year ('59-'60)	4,139	22.0	4.9	8.7	13.6	----	2.2
Two Years ('57-'59)	8,167	22.9	5.1	7.4	12.5	----	4.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	12,306	22.8	5.1	7.5	12.6	----	6.4
Grand total							
First Year ('57-'58)	24,670	27.9	5.1	6.4	11.5	98.5	3.8
Second Year ('58-'59)	32,002	27.0	4.7	6.0	10.7	----	5.2
Third Year ('59-'60)	27,019	26.9	4.7	6.8	11.5	----	5.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	56,672	27.4	4.9	6.1	11.0	----	9.0
Three Years ('57-'60)	77,456	27.3	4.8	6.1	10.9	----	14.1

Table III
(continued)
Footnotes

- a. Vacant urban land is included in the tabulations for the first and second years of the study and the first two years combined; it is excluded from the tabulations for the third year and for the three years combined. This means, for example, that the total number of certificates shown for the three years combined is not in agreement with the sum of the numbers shown for individual years.
- b. Average range (above and below the average ratio) within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- c. Total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls as reported by the county assessors for 1957.

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10		1	0	0	2
10 and.	"	12		1	0	1	3
12 "	"	14		1	2	1	2
14 "	"	16		2	1	1	6
16 "	"	18		6	0	2	6
18 "	"	20		9	7	3	6
20 "	"	22		24	9	4	10
22 "	"	24		46	27	0	2
24 "	"	26		42	56	2	7
26 "	"	28		118	70	1	1
28 "	"	30		138	34	0	3
30 "	"	32		189	19	3	2
32 "	"	34		139	8	2	1
34 "	"	36		145	3	0	2
36 "	"	38		90	5	1	0
38 "	"	40		65	1	1	0
40 "	"	42		56	1	1	0
42 "	"	44		26	1	0	2
44 "	"	46		10	0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	1	0	1
48 "	"	50		0	2	0	0
50 "	"	55		1	0	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0
60 and Over				4	2	0	0
Total Cases				1,113	249	23	56
Average Sales Ratio (%)				32.0	26.6	24.6	20.8
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				3.6	2.0	6.1	4.5
Above Average Ratio				3.6	2.0	6.9	4.6
Total				7.2	4.0	13.0	9.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				48.1	6.7	1.5	3.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio falls.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

Age (years)	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Ratio
Over 48							
0	3	0	0	0	3	1	
0	5	0	0	0	5	2	
1	7	0	0	0	7	0	
2	12	0	1	0	13	0	
1	15	0	0	0	15	0	
0	25	0	0	0	25	1	
7	54	0	2	0	56	0	
1	76	0	2	1	79	1	
1	108	0	2	0	110	0	
0	190	0	1	0	191	0	
0	175	0	2	0	177	0	
0	213	0	1	0	214	0	
0	150	3	1	0	154	0	
0	150	1	0	0	151	0	
0	96	0	2	0	98	0	
0	67	0	0	0	67	0	
1	59	1	0	0	60	0	
0	29	0	1	0	30	1	
0	10	1	2	0	13	0	
0	2	0	1	0	3	0	
1	3	0	1	0	4	0	
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
1	1	0	0	0	1	0	
1	7	0	0	0	7	0	
17	1,458	6	19	1	1,484	6	
22.6	30.1	35.0	31.5	---	30.3	14.9	
3.3	3.5	2.0	7.7	---	3.9	4.4	
6.4	3.6	6.0	10.1	---	4.4	8.1	
9.7	7.1	8.0	17.8	---	8.3	12.5	
0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.7	8.4	

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

nd

<u>Denver</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Without</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>		
4	6	12	15
4	0	7	12
2	0	12	19
3	1	8	21
0	0	6	21
2	0	8	33
3	0	13	69
2	0	25	104
1	0	19	129
1	1	27	218
0	0	54	231
0	0	40	254
0	0	53	207
0	1	77	228
0	0	56	154
0	0	14	81
0	0	3	63
0	0	2	32
1	1	3	16
0	0	1	4
1	0	1	5
0	0	2	3
0	0	0	1
1	0	2	9
25	10	445	1,929
16.5	---	18.0	25.4
5.4	---	3.9	3.9
6.3	---	10.3	6.7
11.7	---	14.2	10.6
0.6	4.7	27.9	97.6

				One-Family Dwellings by Age Class			
Sales Ratio Class (%)				1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48
	Under	10		3	1	1	3
10	and	"	12	3	1	2	9
12	"	"	14	4	4	7	9
14	"	"	16	5	4	2	14
16	"	"	18	13	10	3	16
18	"	"	20	38	15	8	17
20	"	"	22	95	17	8	22
22	"	"	24	100	55	6	16
24	"	"	26	151	99	8	20
26	"	"	28	355	161	2	6
28	"	"	30	558	72	1	9
30	"	"	32	572	42	6	6
32	"	"	34	416	23	2	1
34	"	"	36	392	12	0	4
36	"	"	38	286	14	1	0
38	"	"	40	256	4	3	0
40	"	"	42	153	5	2	0
42	"	"	44	49	3	2	3
44	"	"	46	20	1	0	0
46	"	"	48	3	1	0	1
48	"	"	50	3	2	0	0
50	"	"	55	3	1	0	2
55	"	"	60	1	0	0	0
60	and Over			11	7	0	1
Total Cases				3,490	554	64	159
Average Sales Ratio (%)				31.8	26.9	22.3	20.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				3.4	2.3	4.1	4.3
Above Average Ratio				3.8	2.4	7.7	4.4
Total				7.2	4.7	11.8	8.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				48.1	6.7	1.5	3.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio falls.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

(years)	All	Multi-Family	Commercial	Industrial	Total	Agri
Over 48	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Buildings	Urban	With Impts.
0	8	0	0	0	8	4
1	16	0	1	0	17	5
7	31	0	3	0	34	3
4	29	0	3	0	32	5
4	46	0	1	0	47	1
2	80	0	0	0	80	5
14	156	0	5	0	161	5
2	179	2	8	1	190	6
2	280	2	3	1	286	2
1	525	1	4	0	530	1
3	643	0	3	1	647	0
1	627	3	2	0	632	0
0	442	8	3	0	453	1
1	409	2	3	0	414	1
0	301	1	2	1	305	0
0	263	3	2	1	269	0
1	161	2	0	0	163	0
0	57	0	2	0	59	1
0	21	1	2	0	24	0
0	5	0	2	1	8	0
1	6	0	1	0	7	0
0	6	0	1	1	8	0
1	2	2	0	0	4	0
1	20	0	3	0	23	0
46	4,313	27	54	7	4,401	40
20.7	29.9	33.1	27.1	40.9	29.7	18.5
4.9	3.4	1.9	5.0	14.9	3.6	5.8
3.8	3.8	5.7	10.4	4.1	4.6	4.2
8.7	7.2	7.6	15.4	19.0	8.2	10.0
0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.7	8.4

fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

c. Land	Misc. Rural Land				Total Rural	Total County
	Remote From Denver		Near Denver			
Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
8	0	9	4	7	32	40
2	1	2	2	8	20	37
5	2	1	13	7	31	65
5	0	2	5	7	24	56
1	2	2	8	8	22	69
2	1	1	11	4	24	104
2	2	0	18	6	33	194
2	1	0	36	5	50	240
1	1	0	26	4	34	320
1	1	2	41	3	49	579
0	8	0	72	1	81	728
0	2	0	77	1	80	712
0	4	0	84	0	89	542
1	4	0	97	0	103	517
0	3	0	62	0	65	370
0	0	1	18	0	19	288
0	0	0	7	0	7	170
0	1	0	4	0	6	65
1	1	1	2	2	7	31
0	0	1	3	0	4	12
0	0	0	2	1	3	10
0	0	0	3	1	4	12
0	1	0	0	0	1	5
0	1	0	1	1	3	26
31	36	22	596	66	791	5,192
14.2	28.9	10.9	31.6	16.6	21.9	26.9
8.7	4.9	2.8	4.3	4.2	4.9	4.0
6.0	6.1	8.1	3.4	6.4	4.6	4.6
14.7	11.0	10.9	7.7	10.6	9.5	8.6
4.6	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	27.9	97.6

Council.

Alamosa County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sale
and Proportion of Assessed
for the Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (y				
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 4
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	3	2
12 " " 14	0	0	1	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	1	3	0
16 " " 18	0	1	2	3	1
18 " " 20	0	2	2	4	1
20 " " 22	0	1	1	4	1
22 " " 24	0	2	2	2	1
24 " " 26	0	2	0	3	0
26 " " 28	1	2	3	1	2
28 " " 30	2	1	0	3	0
30 " " 32	1	1	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	3	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	1	0	1	0
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	1	1	2	1
Total Cases	4	18	13	31	14
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	27.1	20.6	21.8	26.0
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	---	4.6	3.4	4.6	7.0
Above Average Ratio	---	9.9	5.9	6.4	13.0
Total	---	14.5	9.3	11.0	20.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ra
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total
assessor to the Legislative Council.

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 1959-1960

Years)	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
5	1	6	0	0	0	0	6
1	1	2	0	0	1	1	3
4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
7	1	8	0	1	1	2	10
9	1	10	0	2	0	2	12
7	1	8	1	0	0	1	9
7	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
5	0	5	1	1	0	2	7
9	0	9	1	1	0	2	11
6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6
2	0	2	0	1	1	2	4
0	1	1	1	1	0	2	3
1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
4	0	4	1	0	1	2	6
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	1	6	0	1	1	2	8
30	7	87	6	12	5	23	110
24.0	---	29.0	31.0	27.4	---	28.0	28.5
4.5	---	11.1	6.0	8.4	---	5.2	8.1
7.1	---	8.6	4.0	9.6	---	7.3	8.0
11.6	---	19.7	10.0	18.0	---	12.5	16.1
28.7	24.2	52.9	35.5	5.0	5.9	46.4	99.3

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings		
	1-8	9-18	19-28
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	2
14 " " 16	0	0	1
16 " " 18	0	3	5
18 " " 20	0	2	4
20 " " 22	1	5	2
22 " " 24	3	2	3
24 " " 26	6	5	0
26 " " 28	4	3	5
28 " " 30	4	1	2
30 " " 32	2	1	0
32 " " 34	3	0	1
34 " " 36	3	0	2
36 " " 38	3	4	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	1	0
44 " " 46	0	2	0
46 " " 48	0	1	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	0
55 " " 60	1	0	0
60 and Over	1	4	4
Total Cases	32	34	32
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.7	27.0	23.7
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	5.4	5.6	5.7
Above Average Ratio	4.0	10.8	7.5
Total	9.4	16.4	13.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.1	5.3	4.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent

Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Property Age Class (years)</u>		<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>All</u>
<u>(29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Dwellings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Other</u>
					<u>Urban</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0
3	2	5	0	2	0
1	0	3	0	1	0
6	0	7	0	1	0
7	1	16	0	1	1
11	3	20	1	0	0
8	3	19	1	0	0
4	4	16	1	0	0
6	1	18	1	0	0
2	3	17	3	0	0
5	0	12	0	1	0
2	3	8	0	0	0
0	1	5	1	1	0
3	4	12	0	1	0
1	1	9	0	0	0
2	2	4	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0
1	0	2	0	0	0
2	2	6	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	2	0	2	0
0	2	3	1	0	0
2	0	3	0	0	0
7	2	18	0	1	1
74	35	207	10	11	2
22.8	27.7	25.3	29.7	37.1	---
4.5	5.9	5.2	6.7	23.6	---
11.5	10.5	9.7	3.3	8.0	---
16.0	16.4	14.9	10.0	31.6	---
10.0	4.5	28.7	2.6	16.7	4.9

of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
of total assessed value in the county as reported by the asses

tion
ty

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.				
0	0	0	1	0	1	1
7	0	0	0	0	0	7
4	0	3	0	1	4	8
8	1	0	0	1	2	10
18	0	0	1	1	2	20
21	0	0	3	0	3	24
20	1	1	0	0	2	22
17	0	0	1	0	1	18
19	2	1	1	0	4	23
20	2	0	2	0	4	24
13	2	2	0	0	4	17
8	1	3	1	0	5	13
7	2	0	1	0	3	10
13	2	0	0	0	2	15
9	2	1	0	0	3	12
4	0	0	0	0	0	4
2	0	1	1	0	2	4
2	1	0	1	0	2	4
6	1	0	0	0	1	7
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
4	1	0	0	0	1	5
4	1	0	1	0	2	6
3	0	0	0	0	0	3
20	1	1	2	2	6	26
230	20	13	16	5	54	284
28.7	33.5	24.6	29.1	---	31.5	30.0
3.5	6.5	5.4	9.8	---	6.7	8.5
15.6	6.7	8.4	12.9	---	7.8	8.4
19.1	13.2	13.8	22.7	---	14.5	16.9
52.9	35.5	5.8	5.0	0.1	46.4	99.3

or to the Legislative Council.

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Cla</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10		1	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		3	0	0	4
12 "	"	14		1	1	0	6
14 "	"	16		0	3	2	17
16 "	"	18		1	0	2	33
18 "	"	20		5	8	13	25
20 "	"	22		12	20	2	16
22 "	"	24		39	54	7	10
24 "	"	26		102	73	8	11
26 "	"	28		163	53	3	6
28 "	"	30		165	26	6	4
30 "	"	32		167	10	5	1
32 "	"	34		170	5	0	1
34 "	"	36		112	4	0	2
36 "	"	38		59	1	1	0
38 "	"	40		30	2	0	0
40 "	"	42		8	1	1	1
42 "	"	44		2	1	1	0
44 "	"	46		0	1	0	2
46 "	"	48		1	0	0	1
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		1	0	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	1	0
60 and Over				0	0	0	1
Total Cases				1,047	263	52	141
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.2	25.3	22.5	19.4
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				3.0	2.1	3.1	2.9
Above Average Ratio				3.2	2.1	6.2	3.6
Total				6.2	4.2	9.3	6.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

Age (years)	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land	
						Remote From Denver With Impts.	Near With Impts.
1	2	0	0	0	2	0	5
1	8	0	0	0	8	0	4
5	13	0	1	0	14	0	2
7	29	1	0	0	30	1	10
4	40	0	0	0	40	1	10
9	60	0	0	0	60	0	8
6	56	1	2	1	60	0	23
5	115	1	2	0	118	2	24
4	198	0	0	0	198	0	34
0	230	1	0	1	232	0	39
0	201	3	0	1	205	0	63
0	183	1	1	0	185	1	84
0	176	1	1	1	179	3	86
0	118	5	0	0	123	2	88
1	62	5	0	0	67	0	30
0	32	3	1	0	36	0	30
1	12	2	0	1	15	0	6
0	4	9	1	0	14	0	3
1	4	2	0	0	6	0	1
0	2	1	0	0	3	0	3
0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2
1	2	2	0	0	4	0	1
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	2	4	0	2
46	1,549	39	10	7	1,605	10	558
19.0	26.0	38.4	29.3	35.3	27.2	27.8	30.9
3.7	2.9	4.1	7.8	7.8	4.0	5.3	3.9
3.6	3.3	4.8	9.7	29.3	5.9	5.9	3.7
7.3	6.2	8.9	17.5	37.1	9.9	11.2	7.6
1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.3	1.9	20.3

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

<u>Denver</u>			
<u>Without</u>	All	Total	Total
<u>Impts.</u>	Other	Rural	County
	Rural		
1	1	7	9
6	0	10	18
13	0	15	29
7	0	18	48
9	0	20	60
5	0	13	73
10	0	33	93
4	1	31	149
2	0	36	234
0	0	39	271
1	0	64	269
0	0	85	270
1	1	91	270
0	0	90	213
0	0	30	97
0	0	30	66
1	0	7	22
0	0	3	17
0	0	1	7
0	0	3	6
0	0	2	3
0	0	1	5
0	0	0	1
0	1	3	7
60	4	632	2,237
17.5	---	29.2	27.7
4.3	---	4.1	4.0
3.3	---	4.0	5.4
7.6	---	8.1	9.4
1.6	1.8	28.6	99.9

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One-Family Dwellings by</u>			
	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-36</u>
Under 10	3	0	2	1
10 and " 12	4	0	1	1
12 " " 14	5	2	5	1
14 " " 16	2	5	5	1
16 " " 18	5	1	6	1
18 " " 20	8	13	25	1
20 " " 22	25	33	22	1
22 " " 24	110	102	32	1
24 " " 26	322	142	22	1
26 " " 28	522	114	15	1
28 " " 30	500	71	16	1
30 " " 32	513	48	14	1
32 " " 34	510	22	4	1
34 " " 36	359	16	3	1
36 " " 38	225	12	3	1
38 " " 40	95	7	6	1
40 " " 42	47	6	2	1
42 " " 44	8	4	2	1
44 " " 46	4	1	0	1
46 " " 48	6	1	0	1
48 " " 50	4	0	0	1
50 " " 55	1	0	0	1
55 " " 60	2	3	2	1
60 and Over	0	4	3	1
Total Cases	3,280	607	190	1
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.6	26.4	23.8	2
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	3.3	2.5	3.5	
Above Average Ratio	3.1	2.8	5.1	
Total	6.4	5.3	8.6	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.6	6.7	2.3	1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Age Class (years)		All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric With Impts.
19-48	Over 48						
7	2	14	0	0	0	14	0
10	1	16	0	1	0	17	2
19	9	40	0	2	2	44	2
40	12	64	1	1	0	66	0
70	9	91	0	0	0	91	2
61	15	122	1	1	0	124	2
50	13	143	1	3	1	148	2
45	9	298	1	4	0	303	0
31	7	524	0	1	2	527	1
21	4	676	5	2	1	684	0
13	4	604	3	1	3	611	0
8	0	583	5	5	3	596	1
5	1	542	6	4	2	554	0
5	1	384	9	1	0	394	0
2	3	245	8	0	0	253	0
3	0	111	11	3	0	125	0
3	1	59	9	3	1	72	0
4	0	18	11	5	1	35	1
2	3	10	2	1	0	13	0
2	0	9	3	0	0	12	0
1	0	5	1	1	0	7	0
4	1	6	4	1	0	11	0
0	0	7	0	3	0	10	0
1	3	11	1	3	2	17	0
407	98	4,582	82	46	18	4,728	13
10.3	20.5	26.7	37.8	32.6	35.9	28.2	22.8
3.6	4.4	3.3	4.6	8.8	10.4	4.5	9.6
3.9	4.5	3.4	4.5	10.4	2.4	4.0	0.4
7.5	8.9	6.7	9.1	19.2	12.8	8.5	10.0
0.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.2	3.0

the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

Misc. Rural Land

nd Without Impts.	Remote From Denver		Near Denver		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
3	1	1	9	59	73	87
2	2	0	12	35	53	70
3	1	0	15	58	79	123
1	1	1	15	40	58	124
0	1	1	23	35	62	153
0	0	0	28	32	62	186
1	1	2	51	23	80	228
0	3	1	52	11	67	370
0	0	0	67	10	78	605
0	3	0	83	8	94	778
1	2	0	141	4	148	759
0	2	0	163	3	169	765
0	4	1	164	7	176	730
0	3	0	160	0	163	557
0	1	0	61	1	63	316
0	0	0	44	9	53	178
0	0	0	17	3	20	92
0	0	0	16	1	18	53
0	0	0	7	2	9	22
0	0	0	8	1	9	21
0	0	0	5	1	6	13
0	0	0	3	2	5	16
0	0	0	1	2	3	13
0	0	1	13	1	15	32
11	25	8	1,158	348	1,563	6,291
11.9	26.3	17.1	30.6	15.3	25.6	27.4
2.3	6.3	1.1	4.2	3.7	4.7	4.6
2.6	6.6	10.9	4.0	4.8	3.6	3.9
4.9	12.9	12.0	8.2	8.5	8.3	8.5
1.6	1.9	0.2	20.3	1.6	28.6	99.8

ncil.

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	1	0	1	0	1
16 " " 18	1	0	1	0	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1	1	2
20 " " 22	6	0	6	0	6
22 " " 24	0	1	1	0	1
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	1
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	1
28 " " 30	0	0	0	1	1
30 " " 32	1	0	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	0	1	0	1
Total Cases	15	1	16	4	20
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.1	---	22.1	20.6	20.9
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.0	---	2.0	1.0	1.2
Above Average Ratio	8.2	---	9.2	4.9	5.1
Total	11.2	---	11.2	5.9	6.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.9	8.4	19.3	78.7	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Archul
of Sales R
and Prop

Sales Ratio Class (%)

	Under	10	
10 and	"	12	
12 "	"	14	
14 "	"	16	
16 "	"	18	
18 "	"	20	
20 "	"	22	
22 "	"	24	
24 "	"	26	
26 "	"	28	
28 "	"	30	
30 "	"	32	
32 "	"	34	
34 "	"	36	
36 "	"	38	
38 "	"	40	
40 "	"	42	
42 "	"	44	
44 "	"	46	
46 "	"	48	
48 "	"	50	
50 "	"	55	
55 "	"	60	
60 and Over			

Total Cases

Average Sales Ratio (%)

Measure of Variation^a

 Below Average Ratio

 Above Average Ratio

 Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b

a. Range in percentage
low to high.

b. Assessed value in 19
as reported by the a

eta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Portion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0.
0	0	0	2	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	1	1	1
1	0	1	0	2	2	3
2	0	2	0	2	2	4
3	0	3	1	0	1	4
8	0	8	1	0	1	9
3	1	4	0	0	0	4
3	0	3	2	0	2	5
3	0	3	0	0	0	3
1	1	2	1	1	2	4
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
3	0	3	1	3	4	7
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	1	1	2
5	0	5	1	0	1	6
41	2	43	10	11	21	64
25.6	---	25.6	18.5	---	18.9	19.9
2.5	---	2.5	0.5	---	---	0.4
17.5	---	17.5	14.5	---	---	14.2
20.0	---	20.0	15.0	---	---	14.6
10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7	12.0	78.7	98.0

points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from
 57 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county
 assessor to the Legislative Council.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	1	0	1	1	2
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1
14 " " 16	4	0	4	2	6
16 " " 18	2	0	2	0	2
18 " " 20	3	0	3	0	3
20 " " 22	2	0	2	0	2
22 " " 24	6	0	6	1	7
24 " " 26	8	0	8	0	8
26 " " 28	9	0	9	2	11
28 " " 30	4	0	4	1	5
30 " " 32	2	0	2	0	2
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	5	0	5	0	5
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	1	1	0	1
48 " " 50	1	0	1	1	2
50 " " 55	1	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over	5	3	8	0	8
Total Cases	57	4	61	9	70
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.3	---	33.1	15.3	17.1
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	5.0	---	4.5	1.5	1.7
Above Average Ratio	7.1	---	6.8	11.8	11.3
Total	12.1	---	11.3	13.3	13.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.5	6.3	19.8	79.8	99.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative C

Baca County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the Three-Year

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	1	1	5	0
16 " " 18	0	1	0	2	0
18 " " 20	2	4	0	3	0
20 " " 22	2	5	1	5	0
22 " " 24	2	5	3	2	0
24 " " 26	2	10	0	3	0
26 " " 28	0	7	3	7	1
28 " " 30	2	4	2	6	0
30 " " 32	1	2	0	2	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	1	10	1	0	0
36 " " 38	0	4	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	2	1	0	0
42 " " 44	0	1	1	0	0
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	1	1	0	0
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	5	1	5	0
Total Cases	14	67	15	40	1
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.9	27.6	28.7	23.5	---
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	4.4	3.7	4.9	3.5	---
Above Average Ratio	5.1	8.5	10.8	5.5	---
Total	9.5	12.2	15.7	9.0	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.5	4.4	2.5	5.0	0.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 Period 1957-1960

All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	1	3	0	4	4
1	0	1	0	3	0	3	4
0	0	0	2	5	0	7	7
7	0	7	3	4	0	7	14
3	1	4	1	10	1	12	16
9	0	9	4	8	0	12	21
13	0	13	3	5	1	9	22
12	0	12	2	6	0	8	20
15	0	15	1	1	0	2	17
18	0	18	3	3	0	6	24
14	0	14	1	3	0	4	18
5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	0	12	0	1	0	1	13
4	0	4	0	1	0	1	5
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	1	5	0	1	1	2	7
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2
1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
12	4	16	0	2	0	2	18
137	8	145	21	58	5	84	229
25.9	---	28.6	18.3	19.1	---	18.8	20.2
3.9	---	3.2	2.8	3.3	---	3.1	3.1
7.3	---	16.6	5.5	4.7	---	5.2	6.8
11.2	---	19.8	8.3	8.0	---	8.3	9.9
13.5	6.3	19.8	27.9	51.0	0.9	79.8	99.6

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Bent County: Number of Conve
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio,
and Proportion of Assessed Value b
for the Year 1959-1

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	2
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0
18 " " 20	0	1	0	1	1
20 " " 22	1	1	0	1	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	2
24 " " 26	1	0	0	2	1
26 " " 28	1	0	0	0	4
28 " " 30	1	1	0	0	1
30 " " 32	0	1	0	0	2
32 " " 34	0	2	0	0	2
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	1	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	2	1	0
Total Cases	6	6	4	6	18
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.5	30.6	---	22.1	24.5
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.5	9.6	---	3.1	4.0
Above Average Ratio	6.5	1.9	---	3.4	4.5
Total	10.0	11.5	---	6.5	8.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported to the assessor to the Legislative Council.

yances by Size
 Measure of Variation
 y Class of Property
 1960

<u>All</u> <u>Ages</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Agric.</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	0	1	1	4
5	0	5	0	2	2	7
2	2	4	0	0	0	4
4	0	4	0	0	0	4
5	0	5	0	0	0	5
3	0	3	1	0	1	4
3	1	4	1	0	1	5
4	0	4	1	1	2	6
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	1	1	2	2
0	0	0	2	0	2	2
0	1	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	2	3	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	1
3	1	4	0	1	1	5
40	5	45	8	9	17	62
26.7	---	28.9	40.3	---	34.1	32.7
4.9	---	5.6	8.3	---	8.3	7.5
5.1	---	9.7	2.9	---	12.6	11.9
10.0	---	15.3	11.2	---	20.9	19.4
16.1	7.2	23.3	59.1	17.1	76.2	99.5

os fall when arranged from low to high.
 essed value in the county as reported by

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

Sales Ratio Class (%)				1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48
	Under	10		0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	1	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	2
14 "	"	16		0	0	1	0
16 "	"	18		0	0	1	0
18 "	"	20		0	1	0	1
20 "	"	22		1	2	0	4
22 "	"	24		0	0	0	0
24 "	"	26		1	1	1	2
26 "	"	28		2	1	1	0
28 "	"	30		3	1	0	3
30 "	"	32		3	2	1	1
32 "	"	34		0	2	1	1
34 "	"	36		2	0	1	0
36 "	"	38		1	1	2	2
38 "	"	40		0	1	2	0
40 "	"	42		0	0	2	0
42 "	"	44		0	1	1	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	1
46 "	"	48		1	0	1	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	1	0
50 "	"	55		0	1	0	1
55 "	"	60		0	0	1	0
60 and Over				0	2	8	3
Total Cases				14	16	26	21
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.2	31.8	37.9	31.3
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				2.7	5.8	4.9	10.2
Above Average Ratio				4.3	9.2	30.9	8.1
Total				7.0	15.0	35.8	18.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

ent County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 s Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 roportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Age (years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Mi Wi Imp
					With Impts.	Without Impts.	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
1	2	0	0	2	0	1	
1	3	0	0	3	0	1	
2	3	0	0	3	1	3	
2	3	0	0	3	1	3	
4	6	0	0	6	1	3	
3	10	0	0	10	0	1	
5	5	1	1	7	1	3	
5	10	0	1	11	1	1	
9	13	0	0	13	0	0	
4	11	0	0	11	1	0	
4	11	0	1	12	3	3	
2	6	0	0	6	2	1	
3	6	2	0	8	2	0	
0	6	0	0	6	1	1	
1	4	0	0	4	3	2	
0	2	0	0	2	5	0	
1	3	2	0	5	0	0	
0	1	0	0	1	2	1	
0	2	1	0	3	0	0	
0	1	0	1	2	2	1	
0	2	1	0	3	2	1	
0	1	0	0	1	2	0	
1	14	4	0	18	3	1	
48	125	11	4	140	33	28	1
25.5	29.1	50.4	---	33.1	40.1	24.5	26.
4.2	5.4	13.2	---	6.8	8.6	7.8	4.
4.5	7.6	16.7	---	9.3	8.7	10.5	13.
8.7	13.0	29.9	---	16.1	17.3	18.3	17.
6.1	16.1	6.6	0.6	23.3	59.1	14.5	2.0

ps fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council!

<u>Ac. Rural Land</u>	<u>Without</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>h</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
<u>s.</u>			
0	0	1	1
0	0	1	3
0	0	1	4
1	0	5	8
0	0	4	7
0	0	4	10
3	1	5	15
0	1	5	12
1	0	3	14
2	1	3	16
0	0	1	12
1	0	7	19
2	0	5	11
0	0	2	10
0	0	2	8
1	0	6	10
2	0	7	9
0	0	0	5
1	1	5	6
0	0	0	3
0	0	3	5
0	0	3	6
0	0	2	3
1	0	5	23
5	4	80	220
7	22.5	35.2	34.7
2	0.5	8.2	7.8
5	13.5	9.4	9.4
7	14.0	17.6	17.2
5	-- ^c	76.2	99.5

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age C</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		1	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		1	2	0	1
14 "	"	16		1	1	0	5
16 "	"	18		2	1	0	5
18 "	"	20		0	4	1	4
20 "	"	22		5	4	2	12
22 "	"	24		4	6	2	13
24 "	"	26		8	3	5	16
26 "	"	28		21	11	8	12
28 "	"	30		65	15	1	5
30 "	"	32		101	31	5	11
32 "	"	34		119	11	6	6
34 "	"	36		111	12	1	1
36 "	"	38		64	6	1	1
38 "	"	40		35	6	1	5
40 "	"	42		12	6	0	3
42 "	"	44		4	3	0	0
44 "	"	46		5	2	0	3
46 "	"	48		1	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		2	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	2	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0
60 and Over				2	0	0	1
Total Cases				564	126	33	104
Average Sales Ratio (%)				33.2	31.1	28.5	26.2
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				2.5	3.2	3.2	4.4
Above Average Ratio				2.5	3.8	3.7	4.7
Total				5.0	7.0	6.9	9.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the r
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Boulder County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

Class (years)	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
					With Impts.	Without Impts.
Over 48						
4	4	0	0	4	0	2
3	4	0	0	4	0	2
5	9	0	0	9	3	0
9	16	0	0	16	2	0
9	17	0	3	20	1	0
15	24	0	0	24	0	2
17	40	1	2	43	0	1
20	45	1	2	48	2	1
14	46	3	0	49	2	0
14	66	3	5	74	5	1
11	97	2	2	101	1	0
8	156	2	1	159	0	0
6	148	2	1	151	0	0
1	126	1	0	127	0	0
4	76	1	1	78	1	0
3	50	0	2	52	0	0
0	21	0	0	21	0	0
0	7	0	1	8	1	0
2	12	0	0	12	0	0
1	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	3	0	1	4	0	1
146	973	16	21	1,010	18	10
23.8	29.7	29.2	29.1	29.5	21.7	13.7
4.9	3.5	3.9	6.9	4.0	6.2	3.7
4.1	3.5	2.8	4.9	3.8	5.7	11.1
9.0	7.0	6.7	11.8	7.8	11.9	14.8
3.8	60.2	3.1	12.5	12.7	14.8	3.9

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
2	3	7	11
4	10	16	20
6	6	15	24
0	12	14	30
9	21	31	51
9	3	14	38
15	16	32	75
7	6	16	64
4	21	27	76
8	7	21	95
8	3	12	113
11	8	19	178
7	2	9	160
8	3	11	138
2	1	4	82
2	0	2	54
2	1	3	24
1	0	2	10
1	0	1	13
2	0	2	4
1	0	1	3
0	0	0	2
0	1	1	1
1	3	5	9
110	127	265	1,275
26.4	19.9	20.0	26.7
7.0	3.8	5.6	4.5
5.5	5.8	7.1	4.7
12.5	9.6	12.7	9.2
2.5	0.8	22.0	97.9

e Council.

				<u>One-Family Dwe</u>		
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19</u>
	Under	10		0	1	
10	and	"	12	1	0	
12	"	"	14	2	2	
14	"	"	16	3	1	
16	"	"	18	3	6	
18	"	"	20	4	7	
20	"	"	22	14	7	
22	"	"	24	16	10	
24	"	"	26	28	11	
26	"	"	28	42	18	
28	"	"	30	131	33	
30	"	"	32	245	52	
32	"	"	34	296	35	
34	"	"	36	304	35	
36	"	"	38	205	20	
38	"	"	40	140	18	
40	"	"	42	71	14	
42	"	"	44	30	9	
44	"	"	46	17	2	
46	"	"	48	12	3	
48	"	"	50	4	0	
50	"	"	55	0	2	
55	"	"	60	0	0	
60	and Over			4	1	
Total Cases				1,572	287	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				34.0	32.2	29
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				2.8	3.7	4
Above Average Ratio				2.9	3.6	4
Total				5.7	7.3	8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				28.8	6.8	3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per

Boulder County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variat
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Propert
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Sales by Age Class (years)							
<u>0-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	
0	1	6	8	0	0	0	
0	2	3	6	0	0	1	
0	11	11	26	0	0	0	
1	13	26	44	0	1	0	
3	19	23	54	0	3	0	
3	35	39	88	1	2	1	
7	33	52	113	3	2	1	
5	48	46	125	1	6	0	
7	43	27	116	3	2	0	
14	44	40	158	4	6	1	
5	42	19	230	3	5	0	
13	44	20	374	7	2	0	
13	31	13	388	5	5	0	
5	17	6	367	2	6	0	
6	13	16	260	1	4	1	
3	13	4	178	0	4	0	
2	5	2	94	1	1	0	
1	3	5	48	0	2	0	
0	7	4	30	0	0	0	
1	1	3	20	0	1	1	
1	0	3	8	0	1	0	
0	2	1	5	0	1	0	
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	
0	7	2	14	0	4	1	
90	436	371	2,756	31	58	7	
1.3	26.9	23.5	30.4	29.5	29.9	26.7	
.3	5.2	4.3	3.9	3.7	5.4	7.2	
.2	4.7	5.1	3.8	3.0	7.9	17.8	
.5	9.9	9.4	7.7	6.7	13.3	25.0	
.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1	12.5	0.1	

Half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Percent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assess

ion
y

al s	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
		With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
	8	0	8	6	29	43	51
	7	2	3	7	31	43	50
	26	4	3	19	25	51	77
	45	4	1	11	21	37	82
	57	1	2	16	34	53	110
	92	1	4	20	13	38	130
	119	6	5	24	30	65	184
	132	6	3	27	25	61	193
	121	8	0	17	36	61	182
	169	7	1	11	11	30	199
	238	7	4	24	5	40	278
	383	6	2	29	19	56	439
	398	1	2	17	13	33	431
	375	1	0	18	3	22	397
	266	5	0	11	3	19	285
	182	2	0	4	0	6	188
	96	1	0	5	6	12	108
	50	2	0	2	4	8	58
	30	0	0	2	2	4	34
	22	0	0	5	2	7	29
	9	1	0	3	0	4	13
	6	0	1	1	3	5	11
	2	0	0	1	1	2	4
	19	1	1	6	7	15	34
	2,852	66	40	286	323	715	3,567
	30.2	25.4	17.4	26.9	18.5	23.4	28.4
	4.1	3.9	6.1	7.7	4.8	4.9	4.4
	4.5	5.8	10.6	5.5	7.4	6.9	5.1
	8.6	9.7	16.7	13.2	12.2	11.8	9.5
	75.9	14.8	3.9	2.5	0.8	22.0	97.9

or to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Numt
of Sales Ratio, Average Sa
and Proportion of Asses
for the Y

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	3
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	1	2
14 "	"	16	0	0	1	1	1
16 "	"	18	1	2	0	1	3
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	1
20 "	"	22	0	1	1	2	4
22 "	"	24	1	1	0	2	5
24 "	"	26	2	1	0	0	7
26 "	"	28	3	0	0	2	2
28 "	"	30	6	0	0	1	2
30 "	"	32	4	1	0	0	1
32 "	"	34	1	0	0	1	0
34 "	"	36	1	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	1	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	1	0	0	0	2
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	1
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	1
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			21	6	2	11	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.4	21.4	---	21.7	22.5
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			2.6	3.9	---	3.8	4.7
Above Average Ratio			2.0	3.6	---	5.5	3.7
Total			4.6	7.5	---	9.3	8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 in Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Year 1959-1960

All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
3	0	0	3	1	0	1	2	5
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
7	0	0	7	0	1	0	1	8
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	3
8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8
9	0	0	9	4	0	0	4	13
10	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	11
7	1	0	8	0	0	1	1	9
9	1	0	10	0	1	0	1	11
6	0	0	6	1	1	0	2	8
2	1	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
1	2	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	3
75	9	1	85	12	6	5	23	108
23.3	38.8	---	26.7	25.5	19.4	---	23.9	25.5
4.1	6.8	---	4.6	3.0	8.4	---	4.6	4.6
3.5	7.2	---	4.4	8.5	11.6	---	17.7	10.0
7.6	14.0	---	9.0	11.5	20.0	---	22.3	14.6
37.9	18.3	5.0	59.1	16.6	1.1	21.1	38.9	98.0

These figures fall when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

R	Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family I	
		1-8	9-18
	Under 10	0	0
nd	10 and " 12	0	0
	12 " " 14	0	0
	14 " " 16	2	0
	16 " " 18	2	3
	18 " " 20	1	0
	20 " " 22	1	2
	22 " " 24	1	4
	24 " " 26	3	1
	26 " " 28	6	1
	28 " " 30	14	0
	30 " " 32	13	2
	32 " " 34	8	1
	34 " " 36	3	0
	36 " " 38	3	0
	38 " " 40	0	1
	40 " " 42	3	1
	42 " " 44	0	0
	44 " " 46	0	2
	46 " " 48	0	0
	48 " " 50	0	0
	50 " " 55	0	2
	55 " " 60	0	1
nd	60 and Over	0	1
l C	Total Cases	60	22
ge	Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.0	26.8
ure	Measure of Variation ^a		
low	Below Average Ratio	2.3	4.6
ve	Above Average Ratio	2.5	17.7
ot	Total	4.8	22.3
c	Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5	3.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the mid
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as

Chaffee County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variat
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Propert
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industri Building</u>
<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>					
0	1	1	2	0	0	0	
0	0	3	3	0	0	0	
0	3	3	6	0	0	0	
1	4	8	15	0	0	0	
1	3	12	21	0	0	0	
0	4	3	8	0	0	0	
1	5	17	26	0	2	0	
0	5	8	18	0	1	0	
2	4	17	27	0	1	1	
0	5	6	18	0	1	0	
1	2	7	24	0	2	0	
1	1	5	22	0	1	0	
0	2	2	13	1	1	0	
0	0	0	3	2	2	0	
0	0	0	3	1	0	1	
0	1	0	2	1	0	0	
0	1	3	8	0	0	1	
0	1	3	4	0	2	0	
0	2	1	5	0	1	0	
0	0	2	2	1	0	0	
0	0	1	1	1	1	0	
1	1	1	5	0	1	0	
0	0	1	2	0	0	0	
0	0	3	4	0	3	3	
8	45	107	242	7	19	6	
23.3	22.1	23.4	24.8	39.2	34.1	67.0	
4.3	4.0	5.4	4.6	4.4	6.6	30.0	
6.7	5.8	5.2	6.0	5.8	13.9	14.2	
11.0	9.8	10.6	10.6	10.2	20.5	44.2	
1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	2.0	18.3	0.9	

...dle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
...per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the asses

ion
y

al s	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
	2	0	0	1	0	1	3
	3	1	0	1	0	2	5
	6	1	1	2	1	5	11
	15	0	0	2	0	2	17
	21	0	2	2	0	4	25
	8	1	2	0	0	3	11
	28	1	2	0	0	3	31
	19	3	4	0	0	7	26
	29	2	2	0	0	4	33
	19	2	1	0	0	3	22
	26	1	2	2	0	5	31
	23	0	2	5	1	8	31
	15	0	3	0	0	3	18
	7	1	1	0	0	2	9
	5	1	0	0	0	1	6
	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
	6	0	0	1	1	2	8
	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
	3	0	0	0	0	0	3
	3	0	1	1	0	2	5
	6	1	0	0	0	1	7
	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
	10	1	1	0	0	2	12
	274	18	24	17	3	62	336
	27.8	24.9	23.9	19.6	---	24.3	26.3
	5.3	2.6	2.9	5.4	---	3.0	4.3
	8.0	12.1	8.1	11.5	---	10.4	9.0
	13.3	14.7	11.0	16.9	---	13.4	13.3
	59.1	19.5	16.6	1.2	1.6	38.9	98.0

sor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10	and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	2	0
14	"	"	16	1	0	1	0	0
16	"	"	18	0	0	0	0	0
18	"	"	20	0	0	0	0	0
20	"	"	22	1	0	1	0	0
22	"	"	24	0	0	0	2	0
24	"	"	26	1	0	1	1	0
26	"	"	28	1	0	1	0	0
28	"	"	30	1	0	1	0	0
30	"	"	32	1	0	1	0	0
32	"	"	34	0	0	0	0	0
34	"	"	36	0	0	0	0	0
36	"	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38	"	"	40	2	0	2	0	0
40	"	"	42	0	1	1	1	0
42	"	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44	"	"	46	1	0	1	0	0
46	"	"	48	1	0	1	0	0
48	"	"	50	1	0	1	0	0
50	"	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60	and Over			1	2	3	0	0
Total Cases				12	3	15	6	0
Average Sales Ratio (%)				35.1	---	49.6	21.1	---
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				5.6	---	17.2	7.6	---
Above Average Ratio				19.8	---	20.6	3.9	---
Total				25.4	---	37.8	11.5	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				7.3	6.5	13.8	59.1	26.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

e
ation
rty

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0
0	0
2	2
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	1
0	1
2	2
1	2
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	2
1	2
0	0
0	1
0	1
0	1
0	0
0	0
0	3
6	21
21.1	22.9
7.6	8.1
3.9	5.1
11.5	13.2
85.9	99.7

fall when arranged from low to high.
ssed value in the county as reported

Cheye
of Sales R
and Prop

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwelling</u>
Under 10	0
10 and "	0
12 " "	2
14 " "	1
16 " "	0
18 " "	1
20 " "	2
22 " "	1
24 " "	3
26 " "	1
28 " "	1
30 " "	1
32 " "	1
34 " "	0
36 " "	1
38 " "	3
40 " "	2
42 " "	0
44 " "	2
46 " "	2
48 " "	1
50 " "	0
55 " "	0
60 and Over	2
Total Cases	27
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.7
Measure of Variation ^a	
Below Average Ratio	6.1
Above Average Ratio	7.0
Total	13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.3

- a. Range in percentage points with
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class
by the assessor to the Legisla

ne County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Portion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5
0	0	1	1	2	0	3	4
0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3
0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
0	0	1	2	7	0	9	10
0	0	3	1	6	0	7	10
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
1	0	2	0	3	0	3	5
0	0	1	1	4	0	5	6
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
1	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
1	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
6	1	34	11	36	0	47	81
77.0	---	42.5	23.6	23.1	---	23.3	24.8
36.0	---	14.1	4.1	5.1	---	4.8	5.6
15.5	---	6.2	13.4	6.2	---	8.4	8.1
51.5	---	20.3	17.5	11.3	---	13.2	13.7
4.0	2.5	13.8	26.8	59.1	0.0	85.9	99.7

in which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 s of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported
 tive Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of Conveyances by
 of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural With Impts.
Under 10	5	1	0	6	1
10 and " 12	8	0	0	8	4
12 " " 14	9	0	0	9	1
14 " " 16	5	0	0	5	2
16 " " 18	3	0	0	3	1
18 " " 20	4	0	0	4	1
20 " " 22	1	0	0	1	3
22 " " 24	4	1	0	5	3
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	1
26 " " 28	1	0	0	1	2
28 " " 30	0	1	0	1	1
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	0	1	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	1	0	1	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	2	0	2	0
Total Cases	41	6	0	47	23
Average Sales Ratio (%)	13.5	41.4	---	22.0	18.5
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.0	18.4	---	7.0	5.1
Above Average Ratio	3.6	6.1	---	13.5	7.6
Total	5.6	24.5	---	20.5	12.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio is reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total value reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ize
riation
perty

<u>l Land</u> <u>without</u> <u>mpts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	1	7
2	0	6	14
1	0	2	11
2	0	4	9
6	0	7	10
5	0	6	10
29	0	32	33
4	0	7	12
15	0	16	16
7	0	9	10
2	0	3	4
1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1
0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
3	0	4	4
0	0	0	0
1	0	2	2
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	2
79	0	102	149
21.8	---	20.2	21.0
1.5	---	3.2	4.9
3.6	---	5.5	9.2
5.1	---	8.7	14.1
23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

ios fall when arranged from low
ssessed value in the county as

Clear Creek County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. With Impts.</u>	<u>Rural Land Without Impts.</u>
Under	10	10	1	0	11	3	4
10 and	" 12	14	1	0	15	10	3
12 "	" 14	19	0	0	19	2	3
14 "	" 16	12	0	0	12	3	6
16 "	" 18	15	1	0	16	10	11
18 "	" 20	7	4	1	12	4	6
20 "	" 22	6	0	0	6	3	40
22 "	" 24	7	1	0	8	6	11
24 "	" 26	5	2	0	7	3	19
26 "	" 28	2	1	0	3	3	8
28 "	" 30	2	1	1	4	2	3
30 "	" 32	3	0	0	3	2	3
32 "	" 34	1	0	1	2	1	2
34 "	" 36	1	1	0	2	2	0
36 "	" 38	0	0	0	0	1	1
38 "	" 40	2	1	0	3	0	0
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0	1	4
42 "	" 44	1	0	0	1	0	0
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	2	1
46 "	" 48	0	1	0	1	1	0
48 "	" 50	1	1	0	2	0	0
50 "	" 55	1	0	0	1	0	2
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over		2	3	0	5	0	2
Total Cases		111	19	3	133	59	129
Average Sales Ratio (%)		15.2	25.4	---	19.3	18.5	20.8
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio		2.5	6.5	---	4.1	4.7	1.0
Above Average Ratio		6.5	19.6	---	11.8	7.7	4.5
Total		9.0	26.1	---	15.9	12.4	5.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		19.4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value
by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

S
Va
ro

ira
V
1

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	8	19
0	13	28
0	5	24
0	9	21
0	21	37
1	11	23
0	43	49
0	17	25
0	22	29
0	11	14
0	5	9
0	5	8
0	3	5
0	2	4
0	2	2
0	0	3
0	5	5
0	0	1
0	3	3
0	1	2
0	0	2
0	2	3
0	0	0
1	3	8
3	191	324
---	19.7	19.5
---	3.2	3.6
---	7.3	9.5
---	10.5	13.1
0.4	51.8	98.5

en arranged from low to high.
ue in the county as reported

at
a

Conejos County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>
Under 10	1	0	1	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	0
20 " " 22	2	0	2	1	0
22 " " 24	1	0	1	0	1
24 " " 26	2	0	2	0	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0	1	0
28 " " 30	5	0	5	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1	0	0
32 " " 34	4	0	4	2	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1	0
36 " " 38	2	0	2	1	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	2	0	2	0	0
42 " " 44	3	0	3	0	0
44 " " 46	1	0	1	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	3	0	3	1	2
Total Cases	28	0	28	8	5
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.8	---	28.8	28.2	---
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.6	---	3.6	4.2	---
Above Average Ratio	20.8	---	20.8	7.8	---
Total	24.4	---	24.4	12.0	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	6.3	20.5	10.4	68.3

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	1
1	1
0	0
1	1
0	0
0	1
1	3
1	2
0	2
1	1
0	5
0	1
2	6
1	1
1	3
0	0
0	2
0	3
0	1
0	0
0	0
1	1
0	0
3	6
13	41
40.7	37.5
19.5	15.5
17.8	19.2
37.3	34.7
78.7	99.2

fall when arranged

ssed value in the

Conejos County: Num
of Sales Ratio, Average S
and Proportion of Asses
for the Three-

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (
	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Ove</u>
Under 10	0	1	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	
18 " " 20	0	1	1	0	
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	
22 " " 24	0	1	0	2	
24 " " 26	0	0	1	1	
26 " " 28	0	1	0	2	
28 " " 30	1	2	1	5	
30 " " 32	0	0	1	3	
32 " " 34	1	1	1	2	
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	
40 " " 42	0	1	0	2	
42 " " 44	1	2	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	1	0	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	1	
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	
60 and Over	0	0	3	10	
Total Cases	3	11	9	34	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	33.1	35.4	33.7	31
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	---	9.1	7.4	5.5	5
Above Average Ratio	---	9.1	38.4	32.9	19
Total	---	18.2	45.8	38.4	24
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.7	5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of tot. assessor to the Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Year Period 1957-1960

Years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
				With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
1	1	1	2	1	3	0	4	6
1	2	0	2	3	0	0	3	5
1	3	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
1	2	0	2	3	4	0	7	9
0	3	0	3	2	0	0	2	5
0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
2	5	0	5	2	2	0	4	9
2	11	0	11	0	2	0	2	13
0	4	0	4	3	3	0	6	10
0	5	0	5	2	5	0	7	12
1	1	0	1	4	5	0	9	10
1	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
2	5	1	6	2	2	0	4	10
1	4	0	4	1	1	0	2	6
1	2	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	1	2	0	3	4
2	4	1	5	2	1	0	3	8
0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
4	17	2	19	8	3	0	11	30
22	79	7	86	37	38	0	75	161
.6	32.9	---	33.0	33.9	31.8	---	33.6	33.5
.1	6.0	---	8.8	10.7	5.3	---	9.9	9.7
.6	22.3	---	18.5	20.5	8.7	---	18.9	18.8
.7	28.3	---	27.3	31.2	14.0	---	27.8	28.5
.4	14.2	6.3	20.5	68.3	10.4	0.0	78.7	99.2

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 al assessed value in the county as reported by the

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	1
14 " " 16	0	1	1	0	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0	0	0
18 " " 20	0	0	0	1	1
20 " " 22	0	0	0	0	0
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	0
24 " " 26	0	0	0	0	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0	0	0
28 " " 30	2	0	2	0	2
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	1	0	1	0	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	1	1	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1	1	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	1
60 and Over	4	0	4	3	7
Total Cases	10	1	11	10	21
Average Sales Ratio (%)	48.7	---	44.2	44.8	44.7
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	---	---	---	5.3	5.4
Above Average Ratio	---	---	---	27.0	36.6
Total	---	---	---	32.3	42.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	11.9	7.1	19.0	79.1	98.1

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costil
of Sales Ra
and Propc

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>
	Under	10	0
10	and "	12	0
12	" "	14	0
14	" "	16	0
16	" "	18	0
18	" "	20	0
20	" "	22	1
22	" "	24	1
24	" "	26	0
26	" "	28	0
28	" "	30	2
30	" "	32	0
32	" "	34	1
34	" "	36	0
36	" "	38	2
38	" "	40	0
40	" "	42	0
42	" "	44	5
44	" "	46	1
46	" "	48	0
48	" "	50	3
50	" "	55	0
55	" "	60	2
60	and Over		9
Total Cases			27
Average Sales Ratio (%)			49.5
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio			9.7
Above Average Ratio			25.5
Total			35.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			11.9

- a. Range in percentage points with
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class
by the assessor to the Legislat

la County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 tio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 rtion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
		<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2
0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2
0	1	1	1	0	0	2	3
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	2	0	1	0	3	3
0	2	1	0	0	0	1	3
0	0	0	6	0	0	6	6
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	2	2	2	0	0	4	6
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	2	3	0	5	5
0	5	0	1	0	0	1	6
0	1	0	1	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	1	0	1	3	3
0	3	0	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3
0	2	2	3	0	0	5	7
0	9	5	2	0	3	10	19
1	28	16	28	9	5	58	86
---	47.3	37.0	28.8	26.2	---	35.4	37.2
---	7.5	9.0	---	9.0	---	6.5	7.3
---	27.7	33.0	---	15.0	---	30.6	29.6
---	35.2	42.0	---	24.0	---	37.1	36.9
7.1	19.0	61.0	14.5	0.7	2.9	79.1	98.1

in which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported
 ive Council.

Crowley County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	0
14 " " 16	1	0	1	0	0
16 " " 18	1	0	1	1	0
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	0
20 " " 22	4	0	4	0	0
22 " " 24	3	0	3	0	0
24 " " 26	0	0	0	2	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0	1	1
28 " " 30	1	0	1	1	0
30 " " 32	2	0	2	1	1
32 " " 34	1	0	1	0	0
34 " " 36	1	1	2	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1	1	0
40 " " 42	2	1	3	1	0
42 " " 44	2	0	2	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	1	0	1	0	2
48 " " 50	0	0	0	2	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	0	2	0	2
Total Cases	25	2	27	10	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	---	30.4	34.4	---
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	4.6	---	4.1	8.9	---
Above Average Ratio	19.0	---	15.2	6.6	---
Total	23.6	---	19.3	15.5	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	16.4	6.3	22.7	54.6	20.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ti
ty

ui
i

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0
0	0
0	1
0	1
1	2
0	1
0	4
0	3
2	2
2	2
1	2
2	4
0	1
1	3
0	1
1	2
1	4
0	2
0	0
2	3
2	2
0	0
0	0
2	4
17	44
35.9	34.4
9.1	7.7
7.1	9.3
16.2	17.0
75.4	98.1

fall when arranged
ssed value in the

Crowley County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Land Without Impts.</u>
	Under	10		1	0	0	1	1	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0	1
12 "	"	14		2	0	0	2	0	0
14 "	"	16		2	0	0	2	0	4
16 "	"	18		5	0	0	5	2	2
18 "	"	20		9	0	0	9	1	0
20 "	"	22		10	0	0	10	1	1
22 "	"	24		5	0	0	5	1	2
24 "	"	26		6	0	0	6	2	1
26 "	"	28		3	0	0	3	2	1
28 "	"	30		3	0	0	3	1	0
30 "	"	32		2	0	0	2	3	0
32 "	"	34		3	0	0	3	0	0
34 "	"	36		2	1	0	3	0	1
36 "	"	38		3	0	0	3	2	1
38 "	"	40		2	0	0	2	1	0
40 "	"	42		3	1	0	4	1	0
42 "	"	44		4	0	0	4	0	1
44 "	"	46		2	0	0	2	0	0
46 "	"	48		1	0	0	1	1	2
48 "	"	50		1	1	0	2	3	1
50 "	"	55		1	0	0	1	0	0
55 "	"	60		2	0	0	2	1	0
60 and Over				5	5	0	10	0	1
Total Cases				77	8	0	85	23	19
Average Sales Ratio (%)				29.0	59.0	---	33.8	30.6	26.1
Measure of Variation ^a									
Below Average Ratio				7.7	14.0	---	8.7	7.2	10.2
Above Average Ratio				11.8	19.1	---	12.9	9.9	15.4
Total				19.5	33.1	---	21.6	17.1	25.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				16.4	6.3	0.0	22.7	54.6	14.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ion

on

<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	1	2
0	1	1
0	0	2
0	4	6
0	4	9
0	1	10
0	2	12
0	3	8
0	3	9
0	3	6
0	1	4
1	4	6
0	0	3
0	1	4
0	3	6
0	1	3
0	1	5
1	2	6
0	0	2
0	3	4
0	4	6
0	0	1
1	2	4
2	3	13
5	47	132
---	29.5	30.4
---	5.8	6.4
---	18.0	16.9
---	23.8	23.3
6.1	75.4	98.1

when arranged from low to high.
value in the county as reported

ps

tsse

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	2	2
16 " " 18	1	0	1	1	2
18 " " 20	1	0	1	0	1
20 " " 22	1	0	1	1	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0	0	0
24 " " 26	1	0	1	2	3
26 " " 28	1	0	1	1	2
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	1	0	1	0	1
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1	1	2
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	10	0	10	9	19
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.1	---	26.9	29.3	29.0
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.8	---	2.6	12.2	11.3
Above Average Ratio	4.9	---	5.1	7.6	7.5
Total	7.7	---	7.7	19.8	18.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.6	3.2	11.8	87.9	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Custe
of Sales Ra
and Propo

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>
Under 10	0
10 and " 12	1
12 " " 14	2
14 " " 16	0
16 " " 18	3
18 " " 20	4
20 " " 22	8
22 " " 24	2
24 " " 26	1
26 " " 28	3
28 " " 30	1
30 " " 32	0
32 " " 34	1
34 " " 36	1
36 " " 38	1
38 " " 40	1
40 " " 42	3
42 " " 44	1
44 " " 46	1
46 " " 48	0
48 " " 50	2
50 " " 55	0
55 " " 60	2
60 and Over	2
Total Cases	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.6
Measure of Variation ^a	
Below Average Ratio	4.4
Above Average Ratio	11.1
Total	15.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.6

- a. Range in percentage points with
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class
by the assessor to the Legislat

Per County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Portion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
		With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	2	0	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	3	0	2	1	6	6
2	5	1	1	2	5	9	14
1	5	2	0	0	0	2	7
0	8	0	1	0	0	1	9
0	2	1	1	1	0	3	5
0	1	2	1	0	3	6	7
1	4	0	0	2	1	3	7
0	1	0	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	1	0	1	0	1	2	3
0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2
0	3	0	0	0	1	1	4
0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2
0	1	2	0	2	1	5	6
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	0	1	0	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
1	3	0	0	2	0	2	5
6	46	14	9	12	14	49	95
---	23.2	23.9	20.1	23.0	28.6	23.9	23.8
---	5.2	8.2	4.1	6.0	11.6	8.0	7.6
---	11.3	11.1	10.9	22.0	8.4	12.2	12.1
---	16.5	19.3	15.0	28.0	20.0	20.2	19.7
3.2	11.8	71.2	2.6	9.5	4.6	87.9	99.7

in which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported
 tive Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	(
Under 10	0	0	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	
12 " " 14	0	0	1	1	
14 " " 16	0	0	1	2	
16 " " 18	0	1	1	3	
18 " " 20	0	0	1	2	
20 " " 22	0	4	2	2	
22 " " 24	2	3	0	2	
24 " " 26	1	1	3	2	
26 " " 28	2	1	1	4	
28 " " 30	2	2	0	2	
30 " " 32	1	3	1	1	
32 " " 34	2	0	0	1	
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	0	1	0	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	
55 " " 60	0	0	1	0	
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	
Total Cases	10	17	12	22	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.6	26.3	21.8	21.8	
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.6	4.7	3.8	4.1	
Above Average Ratio	3.4	4.2	4.5	5.4	
Total	6.0	8.9	8.3	9.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

Delta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>(years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		
					<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Buildings</u>
						<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	4	1	
1	3	0	0	3	3	0	
2	5	1	0	6	4	0	
3	8	0	0	8	4	0	
7	10	1	0	11	4	1	
2	10	2	0	12	1	0	
1	8	0	0	8	1	1	
0	7	0	0	7	4	0	
1	9	1	0	10	1	1	
0	6	0	0	6	2	0	
2	8	0	0	8	1	1	
0	3	0	0	3	1	0	
1	1	0	0	1	2	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	1	0	1	0	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	1	0	0	1	0	0	
1	1	0	0	1	1	1	
2	2	0	1	3	0	0	
0	0	1	0	1	0	0	
0	0	2	0	2	0	0	
1	2	0	0	2	0	1	
0	1	2	0	3	0	0	
24	85	11	1	97	33	8	
22.6	24.0	31.9	---	25.8	20.5	28.7	
4.6	4.1	10.9	---	5.6	5.9	7.7	
8.4	5.6	20.6	---	8.9	5.4	13.3	
13.0	9.7	31.5	---	14.5	11.3	21.0	
8.7	32.0	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.0	6.4	

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>				
<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>T</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>	<u>Co</u>
1	0	1	1	
1	2	8	8	
0	0	3	6	
2	2	8	14	
4	3	11	19	
1	1	7	18	
2	0	3	15	
3	1	6	14	
3	1	8	15	
2	0	4	14	
1	0	3	9	
0	1	3	11	
0	1	2	5	
0	1	3	4	
0	1	1	1	
1	0	2	3	
0	1	1	1	
0	0	0	1	
1	0	3	4	
0	1	1	4	
0	0	0	1	
0	1	1	3	
0	0	1	3	
4	0	4	7	
26	17	84	181	
22.9	23.3	21.4	23.2	
5.7	7.2	6.1	5.9	
6.1	12.2	6.1	7.3	
11.8	19.4	12.2	13.2	
3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2	

re Council.

				One-Family Dwellings by Age Class				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>0</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	1	1	
12 "	"	14		0	0	1	3	
14 "	"	16		0	1	1	7	
16 "	"	18		0	4	1	9	
18 "	"	20		0	0	3	10	
20 "	"	22		0	7	6	15	
22 "	"	24		5	10	3	5	
24 "	"	26		3	8	7	6	
26 "	"	28		5	10	5	12	
28 "	"	30		10	7	2	3	
30 "	"	32		5	6	7	7	
32 "	"	34		4	4	0	3	
34 "	"	36		3	4	1	2	
36 "	"	38		3	1	0	2	
38 "	"	40		1	0	2	1	
40 "	"	42		2	0	1	0	
42 "	"	44		0	3	0	0	
44 "	"	46		1	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		1	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		1	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	2	1	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	2	0	
60 and Over				0	3	0	1	
Total Cases				44	70	44	87	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.5	27.1	26.1	22.5	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				3.3	4.0	4.8	4.1	
Above Average Ratio				4.2	4.7	4.8	5.0	
Total				7.5	8.7	9.6	9.1	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asses

County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
					With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	1	3
3	5	0	0	5	6	3
3	7	0	0	7	9	2
3	12	1	0	13	9	3
9	23	0	0	23	20	3
18	31	1	0	32	17	2
10	38	4	0	42	9	3
7	30	1	1	32	10	3
8	32	1	0	33	23	3
10	42	2	0	44	8	2
2	24	1	0	25	7	0
5	30	4	0	34	9	3
4	15	0	0	15	10	1
3	13	1	0	14	4	0
3	9	0	0	9	2	0
2	6	1	0	7	6	2
4	7	0	0	7	1	3
1	4	0	0	4	0	0
1	2	0	1	3	1	1
2	3	0	1	4	2	0
1	2	3	0	5	1	0
1	4	3	0	7	3	0
1	3	1	1	5	0	1
0	4	6	0	10	1	5
01	346	30	4	380	159	43
5	25.4	33.0	---	27.6	23.2	26.1
7	4.1	8.0	---	5.0	5.7	10.3
6	5.6	21.2	---	9.1	6.9	13.1
3	9.7	29.2	---	14.1	12.6	23.4
7	32.0	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.0	6.4

All when arranged from low to high.
 and value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Court

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>		
1	2	7	7
3	4	16	21
3	1	15	22
4	3	19	32
5	3	31	54
6	1	26	58
5	0	17	59
5	3	21	53
5	1	32	65
6	1	17	61
6	0	13	38
7	2	21	55
3	1	15	30
1	1	6	20
1	3	6	15
1	0	9	16
3	3	10	17
1	0	1	5
1	0	3	6
0	1	3	7
0	0	1	6
1	1	5	12
1	0	2	7
9	0	15	25
78	31	311	691
24.9	24.3	23.6	25.3
5.7	9.8	6.2	5.7
8.8	11.9	7.7	8.3
14.5	21.7	13.9	14.0
3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

til.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class
for the Year 1959-1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (y)				
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 4
	Under	10	1	0	1	1	4
10 and	"	12	0	0	1	6	12
12 "	"	14	0	1	0	12	40
14 "	"	16	0	1	1	9	59
16 "	"	18	6	2	3	20	77
18 "	"	20	4	9	8	40	93
20 "	"	22	5	20	17	68	97
22 "	"	24	12	63	18	127	139
24 "	"	26	38	113	29	142	117
26 "	"	28	125	211	48	179	112
28 "	"	30	310	239	51	143	84
30 "	"	32	437	276	57	103	51
32 "	"	34	527	166	49	60	41
34 "	"	36	503	114	26	44	31
36 "	"	38	354	63	20	27	20
38 "	"	40	191	34	13	10	11
40 "	"	42	90	26	9	9	11
42 "	"	44	44	6	6	8	5
44 "	"	46	23	7	4	4	6
46 "	"	48	17	6	0	4	4
48 "	"	50	2	4	2	6	5
50 "	"	55	4	4	1	2	2
55 "	"	60	0	3	1	1	4
60 and Over			13	7	1	5	10
Total Cases			2,706	1,375	366	1,030	1,035
Average Sales Ratio (%)			33.6	30.2	30.1	27.0	23.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			2.8	2.9	3.5	3.4	4.5
Above Average Ratio			2.7	3.0	3.6	3.5	4.7
Total			5.5	5.9	7.1	6.9	9.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value to the Legislative Council.

ces by Size
 sure of Variation
 ass of Property

<u>Years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Industrial</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Dwellings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>County</u>
7	1	1	0	9	9	
19	3	0	0	22	22	
53	5	2	0	60	60	
70	5	0	0	75	75	
108	18	2	3	131	131	
154	37	4	0	195	195	
207	48	3	0	258	258	
359	43	4	2	408	408	
439	46	4	10	499	499	
675	67	6	1	749	749	
827	65	10	3	905	905	
924	67	2	6	999	999	
843	66	7	3	919	919	
718	54	10	3	785	785	
484	44	7	6	541	541	
259	37	8	5	309	309	
145	38	8	6	197	197	
69	21	2	4	96	96	
44	12	2	1	59	59	
31	6	4	2	43	43	
19	4	4	2	29	29	
13	10	4	6	33	33	
9	3	2	0	14	14	
36	8	11	6	61	61	
6,512	708	107	69	7,396	7,396	
29.9	30.9	35.9	35.4	32.0	32.0	
3.2	6.2	7.7	6.6	4.9	4.9	
3.3	5.4	8.3	8.5	5.2	5.2	
6.5	11.6	16.0	15.1	10.1	10.1	
51.2	9.5	25.0	12.4	98.1	98.1	

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Denver County: Num
of Sales Ratio, Average S
and Proportion of Asses
for the Three-

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
Under 10	3	2	1	3
10 and " 12	0	1	3	13
12 " " 14	1	1	2	28
14 " " 16	2	4	11	37
16 " " 18	9	3	7	63
18 " " 20	7	19	14	113
20 " " 22	8	50	32	192
22 " " 24	27	123	58	306
24 " " 26	64	271	70	427
26 " " 28	202	513	137	472
28 " " 30	532	657	141	411
30 " " 32	1,019	741	139	292
32 " " 34	1,581	525	136	194
34 " " 36	1,555	349	95	138
36 " " 38	1,087	230	68	73
38 " " 40	677	119	36	50
40 " " 42	338	78	20	32
42 " " 44	171	32	14	20
44 " " 46	71	30	9	14
46 " " 48	41	14	1	8
48 " " 50	18	11	5	13
50 " " 55	15	11	4	7
55 " " 60	2	5	4	3
60 and Over	20	19	5	12
Total Cases	7,450	3,808	1,021	2,921
Average Sales Ratio (%)	34.4	30.8	30.4	27.2
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	2.4	2.9	3.7	3.4
Above Average Ratio	2.7	3.0	3.7	3.7
Total	5.1	5.9	7.4	7.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios f
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assess

Number of Conveyances by Size
Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
Used Value by Class of Property
Year Period 1957-1960

(years)	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Total County
Over 48						
24	33	3	3	0	39	39
56	73	6	0	0	79	79
119	151	16	5	2	174	174
168	222	25	2	0	249	249
225	307	49	7	4	367	367
234	387	83	9	1	480	480
266	548	115	14	1	678	678
319	833	125	11	6	975	975
314	1,155	149	16	11	1,331	1,331
241	1,565	160	16	2	1,743	1,743
188	1,929	161	33	8	2,131	2,131
119	2,310	164	14	9	2,497	2,497
110	2,546	161	20	11	2,738	2,738
80	2,217	128	21	13	2,379	2,379
45	1,503	113	25	13	1,654	1,654
34	916	80	18	8	1,022	1,022
24	492	74	21	13	600	600
16	253	45	8	5	311	311
16	140	36	7	3	186	186
9	73	18	10	5	106	106
10	57	19	10	2	88	88
8	45	25	12	12	94	94
7	21	8	7	2	38	38
22	78	16	36	11	141	141
2,654	17,854	1,779	325	142	20,100	20,100
23.4	30.3	30.6	35.6	36.5	32.3	32.3
4.8	3.1	6.3	7.8	6.4	5.0	5.0
4.9	3.4	5.2	8.6	7.3	5.1	5.1
9.7	6.5	11.5	16.4	13.7	10.1	10.1
5.0	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.4	98.1	98.1

all when arranged from low to high.
Used value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	1	1	0	1
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	0	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	1	1
20 "	"	22	2	0	2	0	2
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	0	0
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28	0	0	0	0	0
28 "	"	30	1	0	1	0	1
30 "	"	32	2	0	2	0	2
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36	1	0	1	0	1
36 "	"	38	2	0	2	0	2
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	1	0	1	0	1
50 "	"	55	1	0	1	0	1
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	1	1
Total Cases			10	1	11	3	14
Average Sales Ratio (%)			27.7	---	27.7	35.0	32.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			3.6	---	3.6	19.0	13.4
Above Average Ratio			9.7	---	9.7	42.9	27.5
Total			13.3	---	13.3	61.9	40.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			14.9	8.8	23.7	75.8	99.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dol
of Sales
and Pr

Sales Ratio Class (%)

	Under	10	
10 and	"	12	
12 "	"	14	
14 "	"	16	
16 "	"	18	
18 "	"	20	
20 "	"	22	
22 "	"	24	
24 "	"	26	
26 "	"	28	
28 "	"	30	
30 "	"	32	
32 "	"	34	
34 "	"	36	
36 "	"	38	
38 "	"	40	
40 "	"	42	
42 "	"	44	
44 "	"	46	
46 "	"	48	
48 "	"	50	
50 "	"	55	
55 "	"	60	
60 and Over			

Total Cases

Average Sales Ratio (%)

Measure of Variation^a

Below Average Ratio

Above Average Ratio

Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b

a. Range in percentage |
from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 19
county as reported by

Pres County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2
2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	4	2	6	6
1	0	1	2	1	1	4	5
2	0	2	1	0	1	2	4
4	0	4	2	1	1	4	8
9	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
4	1	5	0	1	0	1	6
3	0	3	0	0	1	1	4
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2
47	5	52	9	10	11	30	82
27.9	---	31.8	21.6	22.2	---	23.1	24.7
3.8	---	8.0	4.4	7.0	---	6.6	6.9
6.0	---	3.5	5.9	10.8	---	9.4	8.3
9.8	---	11.5	10.3	17.8	---	16.0	15.2
14.9	8.8	23.7	28.2	25.8	21.8	75.8	99.5

points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged
 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the
 the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of Conveyance
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measu
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Clas
for the Year 1959-1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	1
14 " " 16	1	0	0	1	1
16 " " 18	2	0	0	2	3
18 " " 20	1	0	0	1	0
20 " " 22	2	0	0	2	2
22 " " 24	1	0	0	1	0
24 " " 26	3	0	0	3	1
26 " " 28	3	0	0	3	0
28 " " 30	5	1	0	6	0
30 " " 32	1	0	0	1	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	0
38 " " 40	1	0	0	1	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	21	1	0	22	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.4	---	---	25.1	17.1
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.7	---	---	2.4	2.6
Above Average Ratio	4.0	---	---	4.3	3.7
Total	6.7	---	---	6.7	6.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	15.2	4.2	3.3	22.7	3.2

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asse
by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

s by Size
 re of Variation
 s of Property

t	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	3	0	4	4
	0	10	0	11	11
	0	4	0	5	6
	1	6	0	10	12
	0	3	0	3	4
	0	8	0	10	12
	0	3	0	3	4
	3	7	0	11	14
	0	3	0	3	6
	2	2	0	4	10
	2	2	0	4	5
	1	1	0	2	2
	0	3	0	3	3
	0	0	0	0	1
	1	0	0	1	2
	1	5	0	6	6
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	1	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	1	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0
	11	62	0	82	104
	29.2	20.2	---	24.7	24.8
	4.0	5.0	---	3.6	2.9
	3.4	7.5	---	3.7	4.1
	7.4	12.5	---	7.3	7.0
	10.0	0.6	61.6	75.4	98.1

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported

ze
riation
erty

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
0	0	1	0	5	6	6
1	3	3	1	8	15	16
2	1	3	0	17	21	23
3	3	1	0	5	9	12
7	0	5	3	9	17	24
3	0	2	0	5	7	10
5	2	3	0	16	21	26
9	3	1	2	6	12	21
8	1	1	4	10	16	24
6	0	0	3	4	7	13
12	0	0	3	8	11	23
5	0	0	2	2	4	9
2	0	0	2	5	7	9
7	0	0	2	3	5	12
4	0	1	1	0	2	6
2	0	0	2	0	2	4
0	0	0	4	6	10	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	2	2	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	2	3
2	0	0	0	1	1	3
0	0	0	1	0	1	1
81	13	21	31	113	178	259
26.3	15.9	13.6	28.9	19.9	16.8	18.3
3.1	3.4	0.8	4.0	6.1	3.3	3.5
8.8	6.6	6.9	9.3	8.0	6.8	7.0
11.9	10.0	7.7	13.3	14.1	10.1	10.5
22.7	61.6	3.2	10.0	0.6	75.4	98.1

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variability
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	0	0
1	0	1	0	2	0	0
0	0	1	1	2	1	0
1	0	2	4	7	0	0
0	1	0	2	3	0	0
2	1	1	1	5	0	0
1	1	2	1	8	1	0
3	0	2	2	8	0	0
2	0	0	1	6	0	0
3	1	0	2	10	2	0
0	0	0	2	5	0	0
0	0	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	2	0	7	0	0
2	0	0	0	3	1	0
0	0	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	4	11	17	73	8	0
25.0	21.3	22.3	21.9	26.4	26.2	---
3.2	1.3	5.5	4.8	4.0	0.4	---
3.8	4.7	2.9	5.6	4.3	24.2	---
7.0	6.0	8.4	10.4	8.3	24.6	---
1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	4.2	3.3

Each the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

the
 Association
 Property

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
0	0	1	0	5	6	6
1	3	3	1	8	15	16
2	1	3	0	17	21	23
3	3	1	0	5	9	12
7	0	5	3	9	17	24
3	0	2	0	5	7	10
5	2	3	0	16	21	26
9	3	1	2	6	12	21
8	1	1	4	10	16	24
6	0	0	3	4	7	13
12	0	0	3	8	11	23
5	0	0	2	2	4	9
2	0	0	2	5	7	9
7	0	0	2	3	5	12
4	0	1	1	0	2	6
2	0	0	2	0	2	4
0	0	0	4	6	10	10
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	2	2	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	2	3
2	0	0	0	1	1	3
0	0	0	1	0	1	1
81	13	21	31	113	178	259
26.3	15.9	13.6	28.9	19.9	16.8	18.3
3.1	3.4	0.8	4.0	6.1	3.3	3.5
8.8	6.6	6.9	9.3	8.0	6.8	7.0
11.9	10.0	7.7	13.3	14.1	10.1	10.5
22.7	61.6	3.2	10.0	0.6	75.4	98.1

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	1	1
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16		1	0	1	0	1
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	2	2
18 "	"	20		0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22		1	0	1	0	1
22 "	"	24		2	0	2	0	2
24 "	"	26		1	0	1	2	3
26 "	"	28		0	0	0	0	0
28 "	"	30		1	0	1	0	1
30 "	"	32		2	0	2	1	3
32 "	"	34		2	0	2	1	3
34 "	"	36		1	0	1	0	1
36 "	"	38		2	0	2	0	2
38 "	"	40		1	0	1	0	1
40 "	"	42		2	0	2	0	2
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		1	0	1	0	1
46 "	"	48		1	0	1	0	1
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	0	0	2	2
Total Cases				18	0	18	9	27
Average Sales Ratio (%)				27.8	---	27.8	30.7	29.9
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				2.1	---	2.1	2.4	2.2
Above Average Ratio				11.1	---	11.1	16.4	15.3
Total				13.2	---	13.2	18.8	17.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				19.1	8.5	27.6	72.0	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings by Age			
	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48
Under 10	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	1	0
16 " " 18	0	0	0	2
18 " " 20	0	1	1	0
20 " " 22	0	1	0	0
22 " " 24	0	0	2	1
24 " " 26	0	0	4	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0	1
28 " " 30	0	1	1	0
30 " " 32	0	2	1	1
32 " " 34	0	0	2	0
34 " " 36	0	0	1	0
36 " " 38	0	0	2	2
38 " " 40	0	0	1	0
40 " " 42	0	2	1	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	2	2	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	3	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	1	0
55 " " 60	0	2	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	1	2
Total Cases	0	14	21	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	40.2	29.3	26.8
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	---	9.7	4.7	7.9
Above Average Ratio	---	8.8	10.2	16.3
Total	---	18.5	14.9	24.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	3.7	3.6	5.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the 1
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

gle County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Class (years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
				With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	1	0
0	1	0	1	2	1
0	2	0	2	2	0
1	3	0	3	0	1
1	2	0	2	1	0
0	3	0	3	1	1
1	5	0	5	0	0
1	2	0	2	1	1
0	2	0	2	0	0
0	4	1	5	2	0
1	3	0	3	1	1
1	2	0	2	0	0
0	4	0	4	1	0
0	1	0	1	0	0
0	3	0	3	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	6	0	6	0	0
1	1	0	1	0	0
0	3	0	3	0	0
0	1	2	3	0	0
0	2	0	2	0	0
2	5	2	7	0	0
10	56	5	61	12	7
33.0	30.9	---	36.3	22.7	17.3
8.0	7.4	---	7.5	6.7	5.3
14.0	13.1	---	20.5	8.3	8.7
22.0	20.5	---	28.0	15.0	14.0
3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	43.7	11.3

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Le

<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>-Total County</u>
0	2	3	3
0	0	1	1
0	0	1	2
0	0	3	4
1	1	4	6
1	1	3	6
2	0	3	5
0	0	2	5
4	0	4	9
0	0	2	4
0	0	0	2
0	0	2	7
0	0	2	5
0	0	0	2
1	0	2	6
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	6
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	3
0	0	0	3
0	0	0	2
2	0	2	9
11	4	34	95
25.4	---	22.2	24.8
4.6	---	6.1	6.5
8.8	---	8.4	10.3
13.4	---	14.5	16.8
16.8	0.2	72.0	99.6

Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of C
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat
and Proportion of Assessed Valu
for the Year 1959

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	1
14 "	"	16	0	0	1	1	1
16 "	"	18	0	0	1	1	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22	0	0	1	0	1
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	2	0
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28	1	0	1	1	0
28 "	"	30	0	1	1	0	0
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	1	1
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	1	1
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	1	0
38 "	"	40	1	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	1	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	1
Total Cases			2	1	6	8	6
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	---	22.0	24.9	24.3
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			---	---	5.0	4.9	9.3
Above Average Ratio			---	---	7.0	8.1	10.7
Total			---	---	12.0	13.0	20.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			1.1	0.5	0.9	2.7	1.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value reported to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 -1960

All Classes	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	0	3	0	3	4
3	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
2	1	3	1	0	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
2	1	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	2	2	0	0	2	4
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
23	5	28	11	6	0	17	45
25.5	---	30.9	20.5	14.2	---	20.0	20.7
6.0	---	9.3	2.1	1.2	---	2.0	2.5
8.0	---	8.3	9.1	2.8	---	8.6	8.6
14.0	---	17.6	11.2	4.0	---	10.6	11.1
6.3	3.6	9.9	85.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	99.9

fall when arranged from low to high.
 used value in the county as reported by the

Elbert County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of A
for the TH

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>I-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	2
14 " " 16	0	0	1	2	3
16 " " 18	0	0	1	3	1
18 " " 20	0	0	3	3	1
20 " " 22	0	0	1	1	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0	2	0
24 " " 26	0	0	0	1	1
26 " " 28	2	0	1	1	0
28 " " 30	0	1	1	1	1
30 " " 32	0	0	0	3	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	2	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0	1	1
38 " " 40	1	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	1	0
42 " " 44	0	0	1	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	1	1	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	1	0	1
Total Cases	3	1	11	25	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.8	---	22.2	22.6	22.1
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	7.0	---	3.7	5.8	6.9
Above Average Ratio	2.3	---	17.3	8.6	8.4
Total	9.3	---	21.0	14.4	15.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	0.5	1.0	2.7	1.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Year Period 1957-1960

All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
				With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	2
2	0	0	2	1	2
3	0	0	3	4	7
6	0	0	6	6	3
5	1	0	6	7	2
7	1	0	8	9	1
4	0	0	4	4	3
2	1	0	3	3	0
2	0	0	2	3	0
4	0	0	4	1	1
4	1	0	5	1	0
4	0	0	4	4	1
0	0	0	0	1	0
3	0	0	3	0	0
2	0	0	2	0	0
1	1	0	2	2	0
1	0	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	2	0	1
0	0	0	0	1	0
0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	2	0
2	2	0	4	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	0
2	5	0	7	0	0
55	12	0	70	51	23
24.0	72.2	---	32.1	19.4	14.3
5.9	46.2	---	12.6	2.9	1.8
9.1	133.1	---	30.4	9.1	5.9
15.0	179.3	---	43.0	12.0	7.7
6.3	3.6	0.0	9.9	85.0	5.0

Arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Co

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	2	2
0	3	5
0	11	14
0	9	15
0	9	15
0	10	18
0	7	11
0	3	6
0	3	5
1	3	7
0	1	6
1	6	10
0	1	1
0	0	3
0	0	2
0	2	4
0	0	1
0	1	3
0	1	1
0	0	1
0	2	3
0	1	5
0	1	1
0	0	7
2	76	146
---	19.0	19.8
---	2.8	3.4
---	8.9	10.1
---	11.7	13.5
0.0	90.0	99.9

uncil.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

Sales Ratio Class (%)			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	0
	Under	10	0	0	0	8	
10 and	"	12	11	0	2	13	
12 "	"	14	6	1	5	15	
14 "	"	16	6	13	7	27	
16 "	"	18	10	12	6	31	
18 "	"	20	23	22	12	28	
20 "	"	22	43	37	12	23	
22 "	"	24	73	47	12	10	
24 "	"	26	203	43	5	12	
26 "	"	28	277	41	3	6	
28 "	"	30	274	21	2	6	
30 "	"	32	255	12	1	2	
32 "	"	34	183	12	0	2	
34 "	"	36	102	3	0	3	
36 "	"	38	56	1	0	2	
38 "	"	40	14	3	0	0	
40 "	"	42	6	2	0	0	
42 "	"	44	3	0	0	3	
44 "	"	46	0	1	0	0	
46 "	"	48	3	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	2	1	0	1	
50 "	"	55	2	2	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over			2	1	0	1	
Total Cases			1,554	275	67	193	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			28.8	24.4	20.1	18.4	
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			2.7	3.3	3.2	3.5	
Above Average Ratio			3.1	3.1	2.9	3.6	
Total			5.8	6.4	6.1	7.1	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

El Paso County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

(years)	All	Multi-Family	Commercial	Industrial	Total	Agric
over 48	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Buildings	Urban	With Impts.
14	22	0	3	0	25	2
17	43	1	1	0	45	2
32	59	1	2	0	62	3
40	93	0	3	0	96	2
55	114	2	4	2	122	2
43	128	1	2	0	131	1
43	158	1	1	0	160	0
32	174	3	4	0	181	0
31	294	2	0	1	297	0
14	341	1	1	1	344	1
12	315	1	3	0	319	1
6	276	4	0	0	280	0
5	202	4	0	0	206	0
3	111	5	3	0	119	0
3	62	3	1	1	67	0
2	19	6	2	0	27	0
4	12	5	0	0	17	2
0	6	0	0	1	7	1
2	3	3	0	0	6	0
0	3	1	0	0	4	0
0	4	1	0	1	6	0
0	4	0	0	0	4	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	0
2	6	1	0	0	7	0
360	2,449	46	31	7	2,533	17
19.1	23.9	33.7	24.1	30.0	24.4	17.4
3.7	3.1	6.7	8.9	10.6	4.5	5.2
4.5	3.5	6.1	5.4	11.5	4.2	10.1
8.2	6.6	12.8	14.3	22.1	8.7	15.3
11.3	60.8	3.1	15.5	3.2	82.6	1.6

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
 ...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Land</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
2	2	6	12	37
0	5	2	9	54
0	4	1	8	70
1	7	0	10	106
1	3	2	8	130
0	4	0	5	136
0	5	0	5	165
2	8	0	10	191
0	8	2	10	307
0	5	0	6	350
0	1	0	2	321
0	4	0	4	284
0	1	0	1	207
0	1	0	1	120
0	0	0	0	67
0	0	0	0	27
0	0	1	3	20
0	2	0	3	10
1	0	0	1	7
0	0	0	0	4
0	1	0	1	7
0	0	0	0	4
1	0	0	1	2
0	1	0	1	8
8	62	14	101	2,634
23.0	22.6	10.5	19.6	23.5
11.8	7.3	4.7	6.6	5.0
14.2	3.6	7.0	5.2	4.4
26.0	10.9	11.7	11.8	9.4
0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.4

ve Council.

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwellings		
	1-8	9-18	19-28
Under 10	1	1	1
10 and " 12	12	3	4
12 " " 14	17	4	15
14 " " 16	13	23	20
16 " " 18	22	32	17
18 " " 20	50	57	24
20 " " 22	105	86	24
22 " " 24	249	128	22
24 " " 26	549	102	11
26 " " 28	858	80	8
28 " " 30	753	44	4
30 " " 32	630	37	2
32 " " 34	461	21	1
34 " " 36	242	14	1
36 " " 38	102	5	1
38 " " 40	35	8	1
40 " " 42	18	3	0
42 " " 44	4	0	0
44 " " 46	4	2	0
46 " " 48	4	0	0
48 " " 50	4	1	0
50 " " 55	3	3	1
55 " " 60	2	2	0
60 and Over	4	1	2
Total Cases	4,142	657	159
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.5	24.2	19.7
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.5	3.2	3.7
Above Average Ratio	2.9	3.2	3.6
Total	5.4	6.4	7.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.1	7.7	2.3

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent

El Paso County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variat
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Propert
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

by Age Class (years)

<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>
17	30	50	0	4	0
36	42	97	2	5	1
58	103	197	1	10	1
82	115	253	1	8	4
95	137	303	3	15	3
85	111	327	2	8	0
60	113	388	3	8	2
29	74	502	5	9	1
22	59	743	6	10	3
18	36	1,000	8	10	2
9	23	833	8	7	2
6	14	689	13	4	1
4	17	504	10	2	0
6	6	269	19	4	1
5	7	120	11	2	1
0	4	48	13	5	0
2	5	28	11	1	0
5	1	10	6	0	1
0	3	9	4	3	0
1	0	5	2	0	0
4	1	10	1	0	1
0	1	8	4	0	1
0	0	4	0	2	0
5	8	20	1	4	0
549	910	6,417	134	121	25
17.9	18.6	23.5	33.8	22.5	23.6
3.3	3.7	3.0	5.2	6.1	7.4
3.4	4.3	3.4	5.8	6.6	6.2
6.7	8.0	6.4	11.0	12.7	13.6
7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1	15.5	3.2

of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessors

ion
y

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
54	8	5	6	16	35	89
105	11	2	9	5	27	132
209	11	1	13	7	32	241
266	7	2	10	5	24	290
324	7	4	12	5	28	352
337	8	1	14	1	24	361
401	3	1	15	1	20	421
517	3	2	15	0	20	537
762	3	0	13	4	20	782
1,020	6	2	13	1	22	1,042
850	3	0	7	1	11	861
707	1	0	6	0	7	714
516	2	0	1	0	3	519
293	0	0	2	1	3	296
134	0	0	1	0	1	135
66	1	1	3	0	5	71
40	2	0	1	1	4	44
17	1	0	3	0	4	21
16	0	1	0	1	2	18
7	0	0	0	0	0	7
12	1	0	2	0	3	15
13	0	0	1	0	1	14
6	0	1	0	0	1	7
25	0	0	4	0	4	29
6,697	78	23	151	49	301	6,998
23.6	18.4	16.6	22.3	12.4	20.0	22.9
3.9	6.3	5.8	6.3	4.3	5.9	4.2
4.2	5.9	6.6	4.7	5.1	5.0	4.4
8.1	12.2	12.4	11.0	9.4	10.9	8.6
82.6	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.4

r to the Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48
Under 10	0	0	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	3
14 " " 16	0	2	1	5
16 " " 18	1	2	1	10
18 " " 20	1	2	0	5
20 " " 22	1	1	0	3
22 " " 24	7	2	0	3
24 " " 26	10	5	2	3
26 " " 28	10	5	0	0
28 " " 30	17	5	0	1
30 " " 32	12	0	1	0
32 " " 34	5	0	0	0
34 " " 36	2	0	0	0
36 " " 38	2	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	68	25	5	37
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2	24.5	21.5	18.3
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	2.8	3.9	5.0	2.6
Above Average Ratio	2.5	3.4	5.4	3.5
Total	5.3	7.3	10.4	6.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.5	5.6	1.9	6.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as

Mont County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

(years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
5	6	1	0	7	1	0
17	20	2	0	22	0	1
11	19	0	0	19	2	0
15	29	0	0	29	2	1
14	22	0	0	22	1	0
10	15	1	0	16	1	1
9	21	0	0	21	1	0
11	31	1	1	33	0	1
3	18	1	0	19	0	2
2	25	0	1	26	1	0
1	14	1	0	15	0	0
5	10	1	1	12	0	0
2	4	0	0	4	0	0
2	4	0	0	4	0	0
0	2	0	0	2	0	2
2	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
1	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
1	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
111	246	11	3	260	10	10
19.0	21.3	19.4	---	20.9	18.7	27.5
4.0	3.6	4.0	---	3.6	3.2	6.5
5.4	4.3	22.6	---	8.5	4.3	12.0
9.4	7.9	26.6	---	12.1	7.5	18.5
18.7	43.5	11.9	3.9	59.3	7.7	27.3

os fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislativ

<u>Rural Land</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Without</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>		
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	8
0	0	1	23
0	0	2	21
1	0	4	33
0	0	1	23
2	0	4	20
1	0	2	23
1	1	3	36
0	0	2	21
1	0	2	28
1	1	2	17
0	0	0	12
0	0	0	4
0	0	0	4
0	0	2	4
0	0	0	2
0	1	2	2
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	2
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	1
0	0	0	2
0	0	0	1
7	3	30	290
23.8	---	25.6	22.6
3.0	---	5.7	4.4
4.2	---	9.4	8.8
7.2	---	15.1	13.2
0.3	3.6	38.9	98.2



e Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>I-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	1
10 and " 12	0	4	0	0
12 " " 14	0	2	0	1
14 " " 16	0	1	0	0
16 " " 18	0	2	1	1
18 " " 20	1	2	0	1
20 " " 22	3	2	0	1
22 " " 24	3	0	0	0
24 " " 26	0	2	0	0
26 " " 28	4	4	0	0
28 " " 30	4	1	0	1
30 " " 32	6	2	0	0
32 " " 34	4	2	0	0
34 " " 36	1	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	1	1	1
38 " " 40	0	1	0	0
40 " " 42	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	1	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0	0
Total Cases	28	27	2	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.1	23.9	---	19.6
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	4.1	8.4	---	4.6
Above Average Ratio	2.9	6.3	---	13.4
Total	7.0	14.7	---	18.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.1	5.0	1.9	2.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

Field County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

(years)	All	Commercial	All	Total	Agric	Misc. F
Over 48	Ages	Buildings	Other	Urban	Land	With
			Urban		With	Impts.
					Impts.	
4	5	0	0	5	0	0
2	6	0	0	6	0	0
5	8	0	0	8	0	0
3	4	0	0	4	0	2
1	5	0	1	6	1	1
1	5	0	0	5	0	0
3	9	0	1	10	0	0
2	5	1	0	6	0	0
0	2	0	0	2	0	2
2	10	0	0	10	0	1
2	8	0	0	8	1	0
0	8	1	0	9	0	1
1	7	0	0	7	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	5	0	1	6	3	1
0	1	1	0	2	1	1
0	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	1	1	0	2	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	0	3	0	3	0	0
27	92	8	3	103	10	12
16.2	22.1	34.7	---	25.5	38.0	32.3
3.9	5.1	---	---	3.6	3.0	11.5
7.0	6.9	---	---	28.4	5.0	11.7
10.9	12.0	---	---	32.0	8.0	23.2
6.7	25.5	15.6	1.3	42.4	39.1	7.2

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
 ...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislativ

<u>Rural Land</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Without</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>		
0	0	0	5
0	0	0	6
1	0	1	9
1	0	3	7
0	0	2	8
1	0	1	6
1	3	4	14
0	0	0	6
1	0	3	5
0	0	1	11
1	1	3	11
2	0	3	12
1	0	1	8
0	0	1	2
1	0	5	11
0	0	2	4
0	0	0	2
0	0	1	3
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	0	1	1
0	0	2	2
0	0	1	2
0	0	0	3
10	4	36	139
25.5	---	34.5	30.0
6.5	---	5.0	4.3
6.0	---	5.1	16.8
12.5	---	10.1	21.1
4.4	5.8	56.5	98.9

e Council.

Sales Ratio Class (%) -	One-Family 1	
	1-8	9-18
Under 10	0	0
10 and " 12	0	5
12 " " 14	0	2
14 " " 16	0	1
16 " " 18	0	3
18 " " 20	2	5
20 " " 22	9	5
22 " " 24	6	6
24 " " 26	4	6
26 " " 28	13	9
28 " " 30	15	3
30 " " 32	18	4
32 " " 34	10	4
34 " " 36	4	0
36 " " 38	4	1
38 " " 40	3	1
40 " " 42	0	1
42 " " 44	2	1
44 " " 46	0	0
46 " " 48	1	2
48 " " 50	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0
60 and Over	1	1
Total Cases	92	60
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.3	24.7
Measure of Variation ^a		
Below Average Ratio	3.0	5.1
Above Average Ratio	3.1	5.3
Total	6.1	10.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.1	5.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the mid
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as

Garfield County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Vari-
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Prope-
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>					
0	1	9	10	0	0	0	
0	0	6	11	0	0	0	
0	3	9	14	0	0	0	
1	2	6	10	2	0	0	
3	5	9	20	0	1	0	
2	4	2	15	1	1	0	
0	5	7	26	0	1	0	
1	0	6	19	2	0	0	
0	0	2	12	0	0	0	
0	2	4	28	0	1	0	
0	1	5	24	1	0	0	
1	2	4	29	1	0	0	
0	1	2	17	2	0	0	
0	0	0	4	0	0	0	
1	1	1	8	0	1	0	
0	1	1	6	1	0	1	
0	3	0	4	0	0	0	
1	0	0	4	1	0	1	
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	
0	0	0	3	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
1	0	0	3	5	0	0	
11	32	73	268	17	6	2	
22.8	21.0	17.8	23.1	31.8	21.5	---	
5.6	4.2	5.1	4.5	8.6	2.5	---	
12.7	10.0	7.0	6.3	35.1	15.5	---	
18.3	14.2	12.1	10.8	43.7	18.0	---	
2.0	2.7	6.7	25.5	15.6	1.0	0.3	

middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
percent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assess

ation
rty

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
10	0	0	0	0	0	10
11	0	0	2	2	4	15
14	1	1	4	1	7	21
12	1	2	5	2	10	22
21	4	1	5	2	12	33
17	1	0	0	1	2	19
27	3	4	3	2	12	39
21	4	0	2	0	6	27
12	5	1	7	3	16	28
29	3	0	3	2	8	37
25	3	2	1	2	8	33
30	1	0	3	2	6	36
19	2	1	1	2	6	25
4	1	0	0	0	1	5
9	5	0	2	1	8	17
8	2	0	2	0	4	12
4	1	1	1	3	6	10
6	2	0	0	1	3	9
1	0	1	0	0	1	2
3	1	0	0	0	1	4
0	2	1	1	0	4	4
0	1	0	1	1	3	3
2	0	0	1	0	1	3
8	1	0	0	1	2	10
293	44	15	44	28	131	424
25.6	27.9	20.7	22.8	29.3	26.3	26.0
5.6	5.4	2.9	6.8	11.3	5.7	5.6
15.3	9.7	11.4	7.9	15.9	9.4	11.9
20.9	15.1	14.3	14.7	17.2	15.1	17.5
42.4	39.1	5.8	7.2	4.4	56.5	98.9

...sor to the Legislative Council.

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Var
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Prop
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>
	Under	10	1	0	1	0	0
10 and	"	12	1	0	1	0	16
12 "	"	14	2	0	2	0	0
14 "	"	16	2	0	2	1	1
16 "	"	18	1	0	1	1	1
18 "	"	20	2	0	2	4	2
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	5	1
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	2	1
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	2	1
26 "	"	28	0	0	0	0	0
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	2	0
30 "	"	32	1	0	1	0	0
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	1	0
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	1	0
36 "	"	38	1	0	1	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	1	1	1	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	1	0	1	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	1	0	1	1	3
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	1	1	0	0
Total Cases			13	2	15	21	26
Average Sales Ratio (%)			15.4	---	20.8	24.8	11.6
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			3.1	---	3.3	5.2	0.8
Above Average Ratio			8.2	---	10.8	4.0	7.9
Total			11.3	---	14.1	9.2	8.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			10.4	7.8	18.2	30.6	38.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asse
by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

iation
erty

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	1
0	16	17
1	1	3
0	2	4
0	2	3
0	6	8
0	6	6
0	3	3
0	3	3
0	0	0
0	2	2
0	0	1
0	1	1
0	1	1
0	0	1
0	0	0
0	1	2
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0
0	4	5
0	0	0
0	0	1
1	48	63
---	15.2	16.0
---	2.0	2.2
---	6.8	7.5
---	8.8	9.7
11.4	80.8	99.0

fall when arranged from low to high.
ssed value in the county as reported

iation
erty

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	1
0	16	17
1	1	3
0	2	4
0	2	3
0	6	8
0	6	6
0	3	3
0	3	3
0	0	0
0	2	2
0	0	1
0	1	1
0	1	1
0	0	1
0	0	0
0	1	2
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	0	0
0	4	5
0	0	0
0	0	1
1	48	63
---	15.2	16.0
---	2.0	2.2
---	6.8	7.5
---	8.8	9.7
11.4	80.8	99.0

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class
for the Three-Year Period 1957

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. With Impts</u>
Under 10	1	0	1	3
10 and " 12	3	0	3	0
12 " " 14	4	0	4	7
14 " " 16	4	0	4	2
16 " " 18	2	0	2	2
18 " " 20	4	0	4	7
20 " " 22	2	0	2	7
22 " " 24	2	0	2	5
24 " " 26	0	1	1	4
26 " " 28	3	0	3	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0	4
30 " " 32	1	0	1	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0	3
34 " " 36	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	1	0	1	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	1	1	1
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	1	0	1	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1	0
50 " " 55	1	0	1	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	1	1	2	1
Total Cases	31	3	34	52
Average Sales Ratio (%)	16.7	---	20.4	20.6
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	3.5	---	3.1	3.6
Above Average Ratio	7.2	---	13.1	8.4
Total	10.7	---	16.2	12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.4	7.8	18.2	30.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ces by Size
 ure of Variation
 ass of Property
 -1960

<u>Rural Land</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Without</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>		
3	1	7	8
27	1	28	31
5	1	13	17
7	0	9	13
5	0	7	9
2	0	9	13
3	0	10	12
3	0	8	10
1	0	5	6
1	0	1	4
1	0	5	5
4	0	5	6
0	0	3	3
0	0	1	1
1	0	2	3
2	0	2	2
0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1
4	0	6	7
1	0	2	2
0	0	1	3
70	3	125	159
16.7	---	16.4	17.0
5.6	---	4.3	4.1
5.6	---	5.5	6.6
11.2	---	9.8	10.7
38.8	11.4	80.8	99.0

of the ratios fall when arranged from
 of total assessed value in the county

Grand County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R
and Proportion of Assessed Va
for the Year 1

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	1	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0
16 " " 18	0	2	0	1	0
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0
20 " " 22	0	0	0	1	0
22 " " 24	1	0	0	1	0
24 " " 26	4	0	0	0	0
26 " " 28	2	0	0	1	0
28 " " 30	1	1	1	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1	1	0
32 " " 34	0	0	1	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	0	1	0	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	1	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	1	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	1	1	0
Total Cases	12	4	7	9	3
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.9	---	29.4	24.9	---
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.4	---	12.4	4.9	---
Above Average Ratio	3.1	---	9.6	14.6	---
Total	5.5	---	22.0	19.5	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.7	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass
to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 1959-1960

All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3
0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
2	0	2	0	2	0	2	4
1	0	1	0	4	0	4	5
3	0	3	0	4	1	5	8
0	0	0	3	1	0	4	4
1	0	1	3	8	0	11	12
2	1	3	2	2	0	4	7
4	1	5	4	0	1	5	10
3	0	3	1	1	0	2	5
3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
3	0	3	0	1	1	2	5
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	1	3	3	0	1	4	7
1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	0	1	1	2	4
35	5	40	17	30	5	52	92
26.4	---	27.7	23.7	17.7	---	27.7	27.7
5.0	---	5.1	3.5	2.5	---	4.6	4.8
8.2	---	9.3	2.2	4.8	---	5.9	7.5
13.2	---	14.4	5.7	7.3	---	10.5	12.3
26.8	18.4	45.2	17.6	1.1	34.0	52.7	97.9

Values fall when arranged from low to high.
 Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	1	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	1	1	1
12 " " 14	1	0	1	0	0
14 " " 16	0	2	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	3	2	2	2
18 " " 20	1	0	1	1	1
20 " " 22	0	0	2	2	2
22 " " 24	3	0	1	1	1
24 " " 26	7	2	2	2	2
26 " " 28	2	2	2	2	2
28 " " 30	4	3	1	0	0
30 " " 32	3	2	1	1	1
32 " " 34	1	3	2	1	1
34 " " 36	1	1	0	0	0
36 " " 38	1	1	0	2	2
38 " " 40	0	0	0	2	2
40 " " 42	1	0	2	1	1
42 " " 44	0	0	1	1	1
44 " " 46	0	1	0	0	0
46 " " 48	1	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	1	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	1	1	4	4
Total Cases	28	22	21	24	24
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.0	26.6	22.7	27.6	27.6
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.4	2.1	4.2	6.6	6.6
Above Average Ratio	4.0	7.1	10.1	12.4	12.4
Total	7.4	9.2	14.3	19.0	19.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.7	5.5	4.1	4.3	4.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 of, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
					With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	2	0	1	3	0	0
0	3	0	0	3	2	0
1	8	3	0	11	1	2
0	3	2	0	5	2	1
0	4	1	0	5	1	0
1	6	2	0	8	1	0
0	13	1	0	14	1	1
0	8	1	0	9	0	1
0	8	1	0	9	0	0
0	7	0	1	8	1	0
0	7	1	0	8	0	0
1	3	0	0	3	0	0
1	5	2	0	7	1	0
0	2	1	1	4	0	0
0	4	1	0	5	0	0
0	2	2	0	4	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	2	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
1	3	0	1	4	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	6	1	0	7	0	1
5	100	20	4	124	10	8
5	27.4	25.7	---	26.7	19.3	31.7
-	4.7	5.7	---	5.1	2.3	13.7
-	6.9	14.3	---	10.0	5.7	12.3
-	11.6	20.0	---	15.1	8.0	26.0
2	26.8	18.3	0.1	45.2	29.6	4.4

1 when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Cour

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total- Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		

1	13	14	15
3	1	4	6
1	4	5	8
0	9	11	14
2	7	12	23
3	3	9	14
6	12	19	24
4	5	10	18
4	2	8	22
4	2	7	16
2	3	5	14
4	1	6	14
1	3	4	12
2	0	2	5
3	0	4	11
2	0	2	6
1	4	5	10
0	0	1	5
1	0	2	3
0	0	0	2
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0
1	2	4	11
45	71	134	258
23.4	19.6	21.2	23.5
3.0	5.7	3.2	4.0
8.5	4.1	6.9	8.1
11.5	9.8	10.1	12.1
17.6	1.1	52.7	97.9

icil.

Gunnison County: Number of Conveyance
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class
for the Year 1959-1960

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	1	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	1	0	2
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	3
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	2	5
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	1	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	1	1	3
20 "	"	22	0	2	1	0	4
22 "	"	24	0	0	1	0	2
24 "	"	26	4	1	0	1	4
26 "	"	28	4	0	0	2	3
28 "	"	30	1	1	0	0	1
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	0	2
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	1
34 "	"	36	0	1	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over			0	1	0	0	0
Total Cases			9	6	5	7	31
Average Sales Ratio (%)			26.4	30.3	16.6	20.9	19.3
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			1.3	8.8	6.1	5.1	4.2
Above Average Ratio			1.0	4.7	4.9	5.3	6.9
Total			2.3	13.5	11.0	10.4	11.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			4.5	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value reported to the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ns by Size
e of Variation
of Property

(Years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	1	0	1	2	3
	3	0	3	0	3
	3	0	3	4	7
	7	0	7	0	7
	1	0	1	0	1
	5	1	6	1	7
	7	0	7	0	7
	3	0	3	1	4
	10	0	10	0	10
	9	0	9	0	9
	3	0	3	0	3
	2	0	2	0	2
	1	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	0	1
	0	0	0	1	1
	0	1	1	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	1
	0	1	1	0	1
	0	1	1	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	1	0	1
	1	1	2	1	3
	58	5	63	11	74
	22.0	---	27.5	15.6	18.5
	4.6	---	6.1	3.7	4.4
	5.0	---	6.2	8.1	7.5
	9.6	---	12.3	11.8	11.9
	20.6	15.3	35.9	62.7	98.6

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported by

				One-Family Dwellings by Age Class			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	1	2
10 and	"	12		0	1	2	5
12 "	"	14		0	1	0	2
14 "	"	16		0	0	3	4
16 "	"	18		0	0	1	4
18 "	"	20		1	0	2	3
20 "	"	22		1	4	4	1
22 "	"	24		0	1	2	2
24 "	"	26		7	1	0	3
26 "	"	28		4	1	1	3
28 "	"	30		4	2	0	1
30 "	"	32		1	0	1	0
32 "	"	34		1	2	0	0
34 "	"	36		0	2	0	0
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	1
38 "	"	40		1	0	0	0
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	1	0
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60		1	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	1	0	0
Total Cases				21	16	18	31
Average Sales Ratio (%)				26.9	27.3	20.0	17.6
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				2.0	6.3	5.0	4.8
Above Average Ratio				2.5	5.7	2.5	6.6
Total				4.5	12.0	7.5	11.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				4.5	3.4	1.6	4.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

on County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Distribution of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Agric</u>
<u>er 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>With</u>
			<u>Urban</u>		<u>Impts.</u>
2	5	0	0	5	1
4	12	0	0	12	0
5	8	0	0	8	1
12	19	0	0	19	0
3	8	0	0	8	0
10	16	3	0	19	1
7	17	0	0	17	1
5	10	0	0	10	1
6	17	1	0	18	1
4	13	1	0	14	0
4	11	2	0	13	0
4	6	0	0	6	0
5	8	1	0	9	0
3	5	0	0	5	0
2	3	0	0	3	0
1	2	2	0	4	1
1	1	0	0	1	0
1	1	0	0	1	0
0	0	1	0	1	1
0	0	1	0	1	0
0	1	1	0	2	0
2	2	0	0	2	0
1	2	0	0	2	0
6	7	1	0	8	0
88	174	14	0	188	8
1.6	22.1	34.2	---	25.7	19.9
5.8	4.8	9.2	---	6.1	3.9
0.4	6.7	10.8	---	7.9	12.1
6.2	11.5	20.0	---	14.0	16.0
6.8	20.6	13.5	1.8	35.9	42.7

all when arranged from low to high.
 ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Lec

<u>Land</u> <u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	3	4	8	13
1	0	2	3	15
1	4	1	7	15
0	0	1	1	20
0	0	1	1	9
1	1	0	3	22
0	1	0	2	19
0	1	0	2	12
2	0	2	5	23
0	1	0	1	15
0	0	0	0	13
0	2	0	2	8
0	0	1	1	10
0	0	0	0	5
0	0	1	1	4
0	0	0	1	5
0	0	1	1	2
1	0	0	1	2
0	1	0	2	3
0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	2
0	0	1	1	3
0	0	1	1	9
6	14	16	44	232
14.3	14.6	13.7	17.7	19.9
1.3	2.4	3.7	3.3	4.1
11.2	12.4	21.3	12.8	11.4
12.5	14.8	25.0	16.1	15.5
8.3	7.5	4.2	62.7	98.6

islative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	1	0	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	2	0	2
24 " " 26	0	0	0
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0
34 " " 36	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	0	0
Total Cases	9	1	10
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	21.3
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	2.3
Above Average Ratio	---	---	9.7
Total	---	---	12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	96.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	1	1	2
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	5	0	5
20 " " 22	3	0	3
22 " " 24	3	0	3
24 " " 26	1	0	1
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	2	0	2
32 " " 34	0	1	1
34 " " 36	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	1	0	1
Total Cases	19	3	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	22.2
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	3.2
Above Average Ratio	---	---	9.3
Total	---	---	12.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	96.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the Year

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)				
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	1
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	2	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	1
14 "	"	16	0	1	0	3	1
16 "	"	18	0	0	2	0	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	2	0
20 "	"	22	1	0	0	0	0
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	1	0
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	0
26 "	"	28	0	1	2	4	0
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	3	1
30 "	"	32	1	1	0	1	2
32 "	"	34	0	0	1	2	0
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	0	0	1	2	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	1	1	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	1
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	1
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	2	2
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	2	1	1
Total Cases			2	3	9	24	11
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	---	33.3	26.6	27.5
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			---	---	9.1	7.6	9.0
Above Average Ratio			---	---	16.6	0.4	23.1
Total			---	---	25.7	8.0	32.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			1.2	2.1	2.3	15.5	11.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio falls.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as assessed to the Legislative Council.

f Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 1959-1960

s)	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
All Ages			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
1	0	1	1	2	2	5	6
2	0	2	1	2	2	5	7
1	0	1	2	1	1	4	5
5	0	5	2	2	1	5	10
2	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2
1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
4	0	4	0	0	1	1	5
5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	1	5	0	0	0	0	5
0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2
4	1	5	0	0	0	0	5
49	4	53	9	9	7	25	78
27.0	---	32.8	12.0	13.1	---	11.9	17.7
7.9	---	7.6	---	2.9	---	0.1	2.2
12.6	---	11.4	---	2.7	---	6.8	8.0
20.5	---	19.0	---	5.6	---	6.9	10.2
32.1	19.0	51.1	39.9	1.4	6.8	48.1	99.2

os fall when arranged from low to high.
 sessed value in the county as reported by the

	<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>0</u>
	Under 10	0	
	10 and " 12	1	
	12 " " 14	0	
	14 " " 16	1	
	16 " " 18	0	
	18 " " 20	1	
	20 " " 22	1	
	22 " " 24	0	
	24 " " 26	1	
	26 " " 28	0	
	28 " " 30	1	
	30 " " 32	1	
	32 " " 34	0	
	34 " " 36	0	
	36 " " 38	0	
	38 " " 40	0	
	40 " " 42	0	
	42 " " 44	0	
	44 " " 46	0	
	46 " " 48	0	
	48 " " 50	0	
	50 " " 55	0	
	55 " " 60	1	
	60 and Over	0	
	Total Cases	8	
	Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.1	2
	Measure of Variation ^a		
	Below Average Ratio	7.1	
	Above Average Ratio	5.9	
	Total	13.0	
	Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.2	

a. Range in percentage points within which
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of pro

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of P:
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>Commercial</u>	<u>All</u>
<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over *48</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Other</u>
				<u>Ages</u>		<u>Urban</u>
0	0	2	2	4	1	0
0	0	2	2	5	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	0	0
1	0	5	5	12	0	0
0	2	1	2	5	1	0
0	0	2	2	5	0	0
0	0	2	7	10	0	0
0	0	7	1	8	2	0
0	1	3	1	6	0	0
3	3	7	1	14	0	0
1	0	6	3	11	0	0
1	0	2	5	9	0	0
2	1	3	1	7	0	0
2	0	1	2	5	0	0
1	1	5	1	8	0	0
1	3	2	0	6	1	0
0	0	5	1	6	1	0
0	0	3	0	3	1	0
0	0	2	0	2	0	0
0	0	2	1	3	0	0
0	0	1	2	3	0	0
0	0	3	2	5	2	0
0	1	2	1	5	1	0
0	3	9	3	15	3	2
12	15	77	46	158	13	2
7.1	37.5	30.9	25.1	28.4	31.4	---
---	11.0	7.4	7.6	7.1	8.2	---
---	15.5	12.9	10.4	11.5	27.4	---
---	26.5	20.3	18.0	18.6	35.6	---
2.1	2.3	15.5	11.0	32.1	18.6	0.4

In the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

Size
Variation
Property

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
5	3	6	0	4	13	18
5	3	5	2	3	13	18
1	4	5	0	1	10	11
12	5	9	2	0	16	28
6	1	2	0	1	4	10
5	2	5	1	0	8	13
10	2	1	0	0	3	13
10	3	2	0	0	5	15
6	0	2	1	0	3	9
14	2	1	0	0	3	17
11	0	0	2	0	2	13
9	3	0	0	1	4	13
7	3	1	0	0	4	11
5	0	0	0	0	0	5
8	0	1	0	0	1	9
7	0	1	0	0	1	8
7	0	0	1	0	1	8
4	1	0	0	0	1	5
2	0	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	0	1	0	1	4
3	1	0	0	0	1	4
7	0	0	0	0	0	7
6	1	0	0	0	1	7
20	1	0	0	0	1	21
173	35	41	10	10	96	269
29.5	15.5	14.2	22.3	12.6	16.0	20.9
7.5	2.1	2.5	7.8	4.5	2.7	4.4
16.9	15.3	5.3	7.2	0.4	13.9	15.0
24.4	17.4	7.8	15.0	4.9	16.6	19.4
51.1	39.9	1.4	5.9	0.9	48.1	99.2

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0	0	0
16 " " 18	2	0	2	0	2
18 " " 20	0	0	0	0	0
20 " " 22	1	0	1	0	1
22 " " 24	1	0	1	0	1
24 " " 26	2	0	2	0	2
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	1
28 " " 30	0	0	0	0	0
30 " " 32	0	0	0	0	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	1	1
34 " " 36	1	1	2	0	2
36 " " 38	1	0	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	1	0	1	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	0	1	0	1
Total Cases	11	1	12	1	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.0	---	29.1	---	---
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.0	---	3.1	---	---
Above Average Ratio	13.8	---	13.7	---	---
Total	16.8	---	16.8	---	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under 10	0	0	0	3	3
10	and " 12	1	0	1	1	2
12	" " 14	0	0	0	2	2
14	" " 16	1	0	1	2	3
16	" " 18	2	0	2	1	3
18	" " 20	1	1	2	1	3
20	" " 22	4	0	4	1	5
22	" " 24	1	0	1	1	2
24	" " 26	4	0	4	1	5
26	" " 28	3	0	3	0	3
28	" " 30	3	0	3	0	3
30	" " 32	1	0	1	2	3
32	" " 34	3	0	3	1	4
34	" " 36	1	1	2	0	2
36	" " 38	1	0	1	0	1
38	" " 40	1	0	1	0	1
40	" " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42	" " 44	0	0	0	0	0
44	" " 46	1	0	1	0	1
46	" " 48	1	0	1	0	1
48	" " 50	1	0	1	0	1
50	" " 55	0	1	1	0	1
55	" " 60	1	0	1	0	1
60	and Over	1	0	1	0	1
Total Cases		32	3	35	16	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)		28.0	---	32.7	16.8	18.6
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		5.9	---	8.1	5.2	5.5
Above Average Ratio		10.4	---	8.5	9.4	9.3
Total		16.3	---	16.6	14.6	14.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (

Sales Ratio Class (%)				1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over
10 and Under	10	12	15	1	2	2	15	
12 "	"	14	11	2	0	1	11	
14 "	"	16	10	1	9	5	10	
16 "	"	18	18	4	13	5	18	
			20	9	12	5	20	
18 "	"	20	23	15	17	13	23	
20 "	"	22	16	31	27	13	16	
22 "	"	24	12	53	40	17	12	
24 "	"	26	10	126	51	13	10	
26 "	"	28	5	162	61	14	5	
28 "	"	30	2	206	50	3	2	
30 "	"	32	5	167	27	5	5	
32 "	"	34	1	111	10	0	1	
34 "	"	36	3	50	11	0	3	
36 "	"	38	0	28	4	1	0	
38 "	"	40	0	9	4	1	0	
40 "	"	42	0	1	2	2	0	
42 "	"	44	0	4	3	1	0	
44 "	"	46	0	2	4	2	0	
46 "	"	48	1	2	2	1	1	
48 "	"	50	0	2	2	0	0	
50 "	"	55	2	2	2	0	2	
55 "	"	60	1	0	1	0	1	
60 and Over			0	1	1	1	0	
Total Cases				989	355	105	155	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				28.8	26.0	22.7	18.1	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				2.7	3.6	3.4	3.8	
Above Average Ratio				2.8	3.4	4.0	4.4	
Total				5.5	7.0	7.4	8.2	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

years) er 48	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rura	
						Remote From Denver With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	20	0	0	0	20	12	40
6	20	0	3	0	23	16	12
8	33	0	0	1	34	21	11
6	46	0	1	0	47	15	4
9	55	1	1	0	57	12	3
10	78	2	1	0	81	17	10
12	99	0	1	0	100	9	8
3	125	1	2	0	128	7	29
4	204	12	1	0	217	13	3
5	247	9	3	0	259	12	1
0	261	8	1	0	270	13	6
0	204	3	2	0	209	6	5
1	123	2	2	0	127	4	5
0	64	7	1	0	72	5	1
0	33	4	3	0	40	0	1
0	14	2	0	0	16	0	0
0	5	0	0	0	5	1	1
0	8	1	0	0	9	2	1
0	8	1	0	0	9	3	2
0	6	0	0	0	6	3	0
0	4	0	0	0	4	3	0
0	6	0	0	0	6	0	5
0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0
1	4	0	2	0	6	6	6
65	1,669	53	24	1	1,747	181	154
7.9	26.4	29.8	27.1	---	26.6	20.3	13.8
3.1	3.0	4.3	7.1	---	3.6	6.7	4.2
3.7	3.2	4.7	6.9	---	3.8	8.0	10.1
6.8	6.2	9.0	14.0	---	7.4	14.7	14.3
2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.4	4.3	0.5

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

<u>Land</u>		<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>Near Denver</u>				
<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>			
2	66	1	121	141
1	3	0	32	55
2	6	1	41	75
1	6	0	26	73
4	8	1	28	85
8	2	0	37	118
7	9	0	33	133
16	3	0	55	183
12	5	0	33	250
27	3	0	43	302
18	1	0	38	308
36	3	0	50	259
28	0	0	37	164
12	1	0	19	91
9	2	0	12	52
3	1	0	4	20
5	0	0	7	12
1	0	0	4	13
1	0	0	6	15
3	0	0	6	12
1	1	0	5	9
1	1	0	7	13
1	1	0	3	5
3	1	0	16	22
202	123	3	663	2,410
29.8	7.9	---	19.4	25.3
4.2	2.5	---	5.8	4.0
3.4	12.4	---	6.3	4.3
7.6	14.9	---	12.1	8.3
5.4	0.9	2.4	13.5	97.9

One-Family Dwellings by

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>
Under 10	2	4	9
10 and " 12	4	3	12
12 " " 14	6	22	14
14 " " 16	8	25	15
16 " " 18	17	37	17
18 " " 20	38	50	28
20 " " 22	100	87	39
22 " " 24	145	123	33
24 " " 26	370	140	28
26 " " 28	545	140	25
28 " " 30	601	112	9
30 " " 32	536	64	11
32 " " 34	417	32	3
34 " " 36	220	20	1
36 " " 38	208	7	2
38 " " 40	42	9	3
40 " " 42	12	7	2
42 " " 44	6	11	2
44 " " 46	6	8	2
46 " " 48	7	7	2
48 " " 50	2	3	2
50 " " 55	4	3	1
55 " " 60	2	3	0
60 and Over	2	4	3
Total Cases	3,300	921	263
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.4	25.5	21.8
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.9	3.5	3.9
Above Average Ratio	3.1	3.6	4.4
Total	6.0	7.1	8.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	44.6	11.8	3.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Age Class (years)		All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total Urban	Agri With Impts.
29-48	Over 48						
22	3	40	1	1	0	42	5
34	11	64	0	4	0	68	0
27	17	86	0	0	2	88	4
42	27	117	0	3	0	120	1
47	22	140	1	1	0	142	2
41	21	178	2	4	0	184	2
50	23	299	0	3	0	302	2
29	12	342	4	3	0	349	0
28	14	580	19	1	0	600	1
17	5	732	17	4	1	754	0
5	5	732	20	5	0	757	0
10	1	622	14	7	2	645	0
4	3	459	11	4	0	474	1
3	0	244	13	4	0	261	1
2	3	222	8	5	1	236	0
0	2	56	5	1	0	62	0
1	1	23	3	1	0	27	0
1	0	20	1	1	0	22	0
1	0	17	2	0	0	19	0
1	0	17	1	1	0	19	0
0	0	7	1	0	0	8	0
4	1	13	2	2	0	17	1
1	0	6	1	0	1	8	0
2	1	12	0	4	0	16	1
372	172	5,028	126	59	7	5,220	21
18.5	18.2	26.7	31.1	28.1	24.4	26.9	17.7
4.0	3.3	3.2	4.6	6.9	7.5	3.8	6.2
4.6	4.8	3.4	3.7	8.0	11.2	4.4	4.7
8.6	8.1	6.6	8.3	14.9	18.7	8.2	10.9
4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.4	2.0

of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

Misc. Rural Land

Land	Remote From Denver		Near Denver		Total Rural	Total County
	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.		
4	34	97	6	95	241	283
1	53	45	2	18	119	187
0	49	33	4	27	117	205
0	51	22	7	24	105	225
0	49	22	10	36	119	261
0	50	24	19	16	111	295
1	39	28	30	34	134	436
0	22	85	37	15	159	508
0	38	17	45	21	122	722
1	32	14	76	13	136	890
0	35	16	103	6	160	917
0	19	18	124	7	168	813
0	17	19	96	7	140	614
0	10	6	60	2	79	340
0	6	6	27	7	46	282
0	6	0	13	4	23	85
1	9	10	12	2	34	61
0	6	3	4	1	14	36
0	8	8	4	0	20	39
0	9	2	3	2	16	35
0	7	2	3	2	14	22
0	2	21	1	2	27	44
0	2	0	4	2	8	16
0	22	19	6	9	57	73
8	575	517	696	352	2,169	7,389
7.9	20.6	15.7	30.0	12.9	20.7	25.9
2.9	6.3	4.3	3.6	3.5	4.9	4.1
16.1	8.2	12.4	3.2	11.0	7.3	4.8
19.0	14.5	16.7	6.8	14.5	12.2	8.9
0.4	4.3	0.5	5.4	0.9	13.5	97.9

Council.

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	2
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0	1	1
18 " " 20	1	0	1	1	2
20 " " 22	1	0	1	0	1
22 " " 24	2	0	2	0	2
24 " " 26	3	0	3	0	3
26 " " 28	1	0	1	0	1
28 " " 30	0	2	2	0	2
30 " " 32	2	0	2	1	3
32 " " 34	2	0	2	0	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	1
42 " " 44	1	0	1	0	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	0	1	0	1
Total Cases	15	2	17	6	23
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.3	---	28.7	19.6	22.3
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	4.3	---	4.7	6.4	8.1
Above Average Ratio	12.9	---	12.5	2.4	1.5
Total	17.2	---	17.2	8.8	9.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.5	12.5	20.0	79.5	99.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kiowa Cour
of Sales Ratio,
and Proportion
for t

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Cl</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	1
18 "	"	20		0	0	3	0
20 "	"	22		0	0	0	1
22 "	"	24		0	0	2	3
24 "	"	26		0	1	1	4
26 "	"	28		1	0	0	0
28 "	"	30		0	0	0	0
30 "	"	32		0	1	1	2
32 "	"	34		2	0	1	1
34 "	"	36		0	1	0	0
36 "	"	38		1	0	0	0
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42		1	0	0	1
42 "	"	44		0	0	1	1
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	1	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	0	1	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	1	0	3
Total Cases				5	5	10	17
Average Sales Ratio (%)				35.3	35.7	24.5	29.0
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				4.2	6.2	4.8	5.5
Above Average Ratio				2.7	19.2	8.5	12.5
Total				6.9	25.4	13.3	18.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				2.5	1.0	1.1	2.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio falls.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

: Number of Conveyances by Size
Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
of Assessed Value by Class of Property
Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Age (years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural
				With Impts.	Without Impts.	
Over 48						
0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	4	0
0	0	0	0	1	6	0
0	1	0	1	2	9	1
1	4	0	4	0	7	0
0	1	0	1	0	6	0
0	5	0	5	2	4	0
1	7	1	8	3	2	1
1	2	1	3	0	4	0
0	0	2	2	0	2	0
1	5	1	6	2	8	0
1	5	0	5	1	0	1
1	2	0	2	2	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	0
0	2	0	2	0	1	1
0	2	0	2	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	0	0
1	2	0	2	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	4	0	4	0	2	0
7	44	5	49	16	60	4
27.6	30.7	---	28.9	26.2	22.3	---
2.1	4.9	---	3.0	6.2	5.4	---
6.9	9.4	---	6.7	7.8	7.7	---
9.0	14.3	---	9.7	14.0	13.1	---
0.3	7.5	12.5	20.0	47.4	32.1	--c

ages fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
2	2
0	0
4	4
7	7
12	13
7	11
6	7
6	11
6	14
4	7
2	4
10	16
2	7
2	4
1	2
2	2
2	4
0	2
1	1
0	0
1	2
1	3
0	0
2	6
80	129
24.5	25.2
5.9	5.7
7.7	7.4
13.6	13.1
79.5	99.5

d
cc Council.

Kit Carson County: Number of Conveyances
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of
for the Year 1959-1960

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10	"	12	0	0	0	0	1
12	"	14	0	1	0	2	0
14	"	16	0	0	3	6	1
16	"	18	0	2	0	3	2
18	"	20	0	0	0	6	1
20	"	22	1	1	0	2	0
22	"	24	1	0	0	3	0
24	"	26	0	0	0	1	0
26	"	28	1	0	1	2	0
28	"	30	1	1	0	1	1
30	"	32	0	0	0	0	1
32	"	34	0	0	0	0	0
34	"	36	0	4	0	0	0
36	"	38	0	1	0	0	0
38	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40	"	42	0	1	0	0	0
42	"	44	0	1	0	0	0
44	"	46	0	0	0	1	0
46	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50	"	55	0	1	0	0	0
55	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	2	0	1	0
Total Cases			4	15	4	28	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	32.2	---	18.8	17.8
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			---	9.2	---	3.1	2.4
Above Average Ratio			---	10.3	---	4.5	8.7
Total			---	19.5	---	7.6	11.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

by Size
of Variation
Property

<u>Years)</u> <u>All</u> <u>Ages</u>	<u>Commercial</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	2
3	0	0	3	0	3
10	0	0	10	3	13
7	0	0	7	1	8
7	0	0	7	0	7
4	0	0	4	1	5
4	0	0	4	1	5
1	0	0	1	0	1
4	1	0	5	0	5
4	1	0	5	2	7
1	0	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	1
4	0	0	4	0	4
1	1	0	2	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	1	7	0	7
58	7	1	66	9	75
22.0	44.7	---	34.0	15.3	18.5
3.7	14.7	---	12.8	1.2	3.5
5.3	53.4	---	11.1	9.5	9.0
9.0	68.1	---	23.9	10.7	12.5
12.2	8.3	6.2	26.7	72.9	99.6

fall when arranged from low to high.
used value in the county as reported

Kit Carson County
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of
for the 1

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	1
12 " " 14	0	1	0	2	1
14 " " 16	0	0	5	10	2
16 " " 18	0	2	0	8	2
18 " " 20	0	2	0	10	2
20 " " 22	1	1	0	6	2
22 " " 24	2	0	1	5	0
24 " " 26	1	2	1	6	0
26 " " 28	4	2	1	4	1
28 " " 30	3	3	0	2	1
30 " " 32	1	0	1	3	1
32 " " 34	1	0	1	3	0
34 " " 36	1	6	2	0	0
36 " " 38	0	2	1	0	0
38 " " 40	1	1	1	0	0
40 " " 42	0	4	0	1	0
42 " " 44	2	3	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	1	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0	1	0
48 " " 50	0	1	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	1
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0
60 and Over	0	2	2	3	0
Total Cases	17	33	16	68	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.8	32.1	25.7	21.0	22.7
Measure of Variation^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.7	5.9	10.1	4.2	6.9
Above Average Ratio	3.7	9.3	10.3	6.0	5.8
Total	7.4	15.2	20.4	10.2	12.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value^b	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Five-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric With Impts.</u>
0	0	0	0	0
3	1	0	4	1
4	0	0	4	2
17	0	0	17	6
12	0	1	13	4
14	0	0	14	4
10	0	0	10	9
8	0	0	8	3
10	0	0	10	1
12	1	1	14	3
9	1	0	10	0
6	0	0	6	3
5	1	0	6	0
9	1	1	11	1
3	1	0	4	1
3	1	0	4	0
5	0	0	5	1
5	0	0	5	1
2	1	0	3	0
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	0
2	2	0	4	0
1	1	1	3	0
7	7	1	15	0
149	18	5	172	40
25.6	47.0	---	31.3	20.2
5.0	12.0	---	6.8	3.7
6.3	39.2	---	15.3	5.8
11.3	51.2	---	22.1	9.5
12.2	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6

... arranged from low to high.
 ... in the county as reported by the assessor to the L...

<u>Land</u> <u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
1	0	1	1
3	0	4	8
6	0	8	12
14	0	20	37
10	0	14	27
3	0	7	21
5	1	15	25
6	0	9	17
6	0	7	17
1	0	4	18
2	0	2	12
0	0	3	9
1	0	1	7
1	0	2	13
1	0	2	6
1	0	1	5
1	0	2	7
0	0	1	6
0	0	0	3
0	1	1	2
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	4
0	0	0	3
0	0	0	15
62	2	104	276
18.3	---	19.1	21.3
3.5	---	3.6	4.4
5.2	---	5.6	6.6
8.7	---	9.2	11.0
40.0	0.3	72.9	99.6

Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	1	1	2
10 and " 12	5	1	6
12 " " 14	5	0	5
14 " " 16	3	0	3
16 " " 18	2	1	3
18 " " 20	3	0	3
20 " " 22	1	2	3
22 " " 24	4	2	6
24 " " 26	7	0	7
26 " " 28	7	0	7
28 " " 30	4	0	4
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	1	1	2
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	1	0	1
40 " " 42	3	0	3
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	4	0	4
Total Cases	54	8	62
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	24.1
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	8.4
Above Average Ratio	---	---	4.7
Total	---	---	13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	93.1	5.5	98.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10		14	6	20
10 and	"	12		13	2	15
12 "	"	14		10	0	10
14 "	"	16		12	0	12
16 "	"	18		8	1	9
18 "	"	20		10	0	10
20 "	"	22		10	2	12
22 "	"	24		10	2	12
24 "	"	26		15	0	15
26 "	"	28		21	0	21
28 "	"	30		7	0	7
30 "	"	32		1	0	1
32 "	"	34		6	1	7
34 "	"	36		1	0	1
36 "	"	38		1	0	1
38 "	"	40		3	1	4
40 "	"	42		5	0	5
42 "	"	44		0	0	0
44 "	"	46		1	0	1
46 "	"	48		1	0	1
48 "	"	50		2	0	2
50 "	"	55		1	0	1
55 "	"	60		4	0	4
60 and Over				7	0	7
Total Cases				163	15	178
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	---	21.6
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				---	---	7.3
Above Average Ratio				---	---	5.9
Total				---	---	13.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				93.1	5.5	98.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	1	
10 and	"	12		0	0	2	1	
12 "	"	14		0	0	1	1	
14 "	"	16		2	0	0	3	
16 "	"	18		0	0	1	4	
18 "	"	20		2	1	0	1	
20 "	"	22		3	2	2	3	
22 "	"	24		12	4	0	2	
24 "	"	26		30	4	2	0	
26 "	"	28		29	3	0	0	
28 "	"	30		13	2	0	0	
30 "	"	32		5	0	1	0	
32 "	"	34		2	1	1	0	
34 "	"	36		2	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38		1	0	0	0	
38 "	"	40		0	1	0	0	
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	
60 and Over				0	0	1	0	
Total Cases				101	18	11	17	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				26.1	25.4	22.8	17.9	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				1.7	2.6	8.8	3.1	
Above Average Ratio				1.7	2.3	6.8	3.3	
Total				3.4	4.9	15.6	6.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

ata County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Portion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

Age (years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
					With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	1	1	0	2	1	0
0	3	0	0	3	1	0
1	3	1	0	4	1	0
1	6	0	0	6	2	0
4	9	0	0	9	1	1
1	5	2	0	7	0	2
1	11	0	0	11	0	1
1	19	0	1	20	1	0
0	36	0	0	36	0	1
0	32	0	0	32	1	1
2	17	1	0	18	0	0
2	8	0	1	9	0	0
0	4	2	0	6	1	0
0	3	0	0	3	1	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	1	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	0
13	160	7	3	170	10	6
19.4	22.6	21.0	---	22.3	17.1	16.3
2.8	2.8	6.6	---	4.5	4.1	---
9.4	4.6	10.6	---	6.9	9.9	---
12.2	7.4	17.2	---	11.4	14.0	---
7.5	29.4	18.2	2.9	50.5	24.7	2.7

ages fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>			
<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
1	5	7	9
1	1	3	6
1	4	6	10
4	4	10	16
2	0	4	13
3	0	5	12
2	2	5	16
2	2	5	25
1	1	3	39
3	0	5	37
1	0	1	19
2	0	2	11
0	1	2	8
1	1	3	6
2	0	2	3
2	0	2	4
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	1
1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	1	2	3
31	23	70	240
22.8	16.3	18.7	20.4
6.0	4.8	4.3	4.5
11.3	6.9	10.0	8.5
17.3	11.7	14.3	13.0
17.5	3.3	48.2	98.7

Council.

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>1-8</u>	<u>Or</u>
	Under 10	0	
10	and " 12	0	
12	" " 14	0	
14	" " 16	4	
16	" " 18	1	
18	" " 20	6	
20	" " 22	9	
22	" " 24	20	
24	" " 26	58	
26	" " 28	71	
28	" " 30	46	
30	" " 32	18	
32	" " 34	3	
34	" " 36	3	
36	" " 38	2	
38	" " 40	2	
40	" " 42	0	
42	" " 44	0	
44	" " 46	0	
46	" " 48	0	
48	" " 50	0	
50	" " 55	0	
55	" " 60	0	
60	and Over	1	
Total Cases		244	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		26.6	2
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio		1.9	
Above Average Ratio		2.0	
Total		3.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		13.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of pro

La Plata County: Number of Conveyances by
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Value
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>						
<u>-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
0	0	1	3	4	1	0
0	4	4	7	15	0	0
0	3	4	9	16	1	0
2	1	12	8	27	0	0
1	3	11	8	24	0	0
5	3	7	9	30	4	0
6	5	4	9	33	1	0
8	3	4	11	46	4	1
6	2	3	2	71	5	0
9	0	0	3	83	4	0
3	2	0	2	53	3	0
1	2	1	5	27	1	1
3	1	0	0	7	4	1
1	1	1	0	6	1	0
1	1	1	0	5	0	0
1	0	1	0	4	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	2	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	2	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
1	2	1	0	5	3	1
50	34	55	77	460	36	6
4.7	21.3	17.5	18.5	22.2	26.4	---
3.2	5.0	2.7	4.4	3.2	3.4	---
3.6	8.2	3.6	4.4	3.6	7.1	---
6.8	13.2	6.3	8.8	6.8	10.5	---
3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	18.2	2.9

h the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

Size
Variation
Property

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
5	4	3	2	6	15	20
15	2	2	2	5	11	26
17	4	1	5	10	20	37
27	4	1	13	14	32	59
24	1	3	7	6	17	41
34	4	2	7	5	18	52
34	1	1	7	4	13	47
51	3	0	8	8	19	70
76	1	1	3	2	7	83
87	2	2	6	2	12	99
56	4	0	3	3	10	66
29	5	2	2	1	10	39
12	3	1	1	2	7	19
7	1	0	2	1	4	11
5	2	1	3	0	6	11
6	1	0	2	1	4	10
1	1	0	1	2	4	5
3	0	1	1	0	2	5
2	0	1	1	0	2	4
1	0	0	3	0	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	0	3	4
0	1	0	0	1	2	2
9	0	0	3	1	4	13
502	45	23	83	74	225	727
24.0	22.6	17.4	21.8	17.5	21.5	22.7
3.3	6.0	3.9	6.0	4.0	6.6	5.1
5.1	8.9	3.8	7.7	5.9	8.6	6.9
8.4	14.9	7.7	13.7	9.9	15.2	12.0
50.5	24.7	2.7	17.5	3.3	48.2	98.7

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>C</u>
Under 10	1	0	0	1	
10 and " 12	0	0	0	4	
12 " " 14	0	0	1	6	
14 " " 16	0	1	3	15	
16 " " 18	2	2	0	24	
18 " " 20	3	3	0	31	
20 " " 22	3	3	0	21	
22 " " 24	13	11	9	21	
24 " " 26	18	12	6	16	
26 " " 28	39	20	6	12	
28 " " 30	60	21	1	7	
30 " " 32	83	16	2	3	
32 " " 34	62	12	0	3	
34 " " 36	38	6	0	2	
36 " " 38	22	6	0	0	
38 " " 40	11	6	0	2	
40 " " 42	3	5	0	2	
42 " " 44	0	0	0	2	
44 " " 46	2	3	0	1	
46 " " 48	1	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	2	0	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	1	0	0	
55 " " 60	0	1	0	0	
60 and Over	0	1	1	0	
Total Cases	363	130	29	174	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.0	29.2	24.7	20.6	
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.6	3.2	2.0	3.1	
Above Average Ratio	2.6	4.2	2.2	4.3	
Total	5.2	7.4	4.2	7.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

<u>(years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Industrial</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Agric</u>
<u>over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Dwellings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>With</u>
						<u>Impts.</u>
2	4	0	1	0	5	1
2	6	0	0	0	6	1
7	14	0	0	0	14	2
14	33	0	1	0	34	5
26	54	0	1	1	56	1
33	70	0	0	0	70	0
27	54	0	2	1	57	1
24	78	0	0	0	78	0
27	79	0	5	0	84	2
16	93	0	0	0	93	3
10	99	1	2	0	102	2
4	108	3	1	0	112	4
4	81	3	1	0	85	2
7	53	2	2	1	58	3
8	36	0	0	0	36	0
3	22	1	0	0	23	3
0	10	0	1	0	11	0
1	3	1	0	0	4	0
1	7	0	2	1	10	1
0	1	0	2	0	3	0
1	4	0	0	1	5	0
1	2	0	0	0	2	0
0	1	0	1	0	2	0
1	3	0	1	2	6	0
219	915	11	23	7	956	31
22.1	25.6	33.6	31.5	32.0	27.5	26.9
3.9	3.1	2.4	7.2	7.5	4.3	11.4
4.2	3.6	1.6	12.1	27.1	8.1	6.3
8.1	6.7	4.0	19.3	34.6	12.4	17.7
8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
 ...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Land</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
2	4	4	11	16
1	3	2	7	13
1	6	1	10	24
0	15	2	23	57
0	12	4	17	73
0	13	2	15	85
1	14	1	17	74
1	19	1	21	99
0	8	2	12	96
0	13	3	19	112
0	10	1	13	115
0	10	5	19	131
0	3	2	7	92
0	0	2	5	63
1	6	1	8	44
1	2	0	6	29
0	1	4	5	16
0	3	0	3	7
1	4	0	6	16
0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	0	5
0	2	3	5	7
0	0	0	0	2
0	1	2	3	9
9	149	43	232	1,188
16.0	21.3	22.7	25.6	26.8
5.5	3.8	6.3	10.6	6.5
21.5	7.7	2.5	8.0	8.1
27.0	11.5	8.8	18.6	14.6
2.1	0.4	0.5	33.3	98.9

Council.

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Cla</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10	1	0	0	1
10 and	"	12	2	0	0	11
12 "	"	14	2	0	4	15
14 "	"	16	2	3	7	33
16 "	"	18	3	8	2	40
18 "	"	20	13	6	6	78
20 "	"	22	12	15	8	75
22 "	"	24	33	23	23	64
24 "	"	26	52	40	17	50
26 "	"	28	109	48	15	32
28 "	"	30	139	56	7	30
30 "	"	32	187	46	3	10
32 "	"	34	149	44	5	13
34 "	"	36	115	29	1	6
36 "	"	38	76	18	3	8
38 "	"	40	40	11	1	4
40 "	"	42	18	10	0	3
42 "	"	44	8	5	3	3
44 "	"	46	5	5	0	2
46 "	"	48	3	2	0	2
48 "	"	50	4	1	0	1
50 "	"	55	0	1	1	1
55 "	"	60	1	1	1	1
60 and Over			0	4	2	0
Total Cases			974	376	109	483
Average Sales Ratio (%)			31.3	29.7	24.8	21.9
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio			3.1	3.7	2.8	3.4
Above Average Ratio			3.2	4.0	3.2	3.9
Total			6.3	7.7	6.0	7.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Years (years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>Industrial</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Dwellings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Urban</u>
2	4	0	2	0	6
5	18	0	0	0	18
16	37	0	3	1	41
31	76	0	2	0	78
56	109	0	3	2	114
73	176	0	0	0	176
77	187	0	9	1	197
57	200	3	4	1	208
72	231	1	8	1	241
51	255	2	3	0	260
40	272	1	3	0	276
29	275	3	6	0	284
12	223	5	3	1	232
19	170	5	5	1	181
17	122	2	2	0	126
7	63	3	3	1	70
6	37	1	3	0	41
6	25	1	0	0	26
3	15	2	3	1	21
1	8	0	2	2	12
2	8	0	0	1	9
3	6	0	4	0	10
3	7	0	1	0	8
3	9	1	4	2	16
591	2,533	30	73	15	2,651
23.5	26.5	34.0	31.2	32.0	28.1
4.5	3.5	3.7	9.4	10.5	5.5
4.7	3.8	4.3	8.0	15.2	6.1
9.2	7.3	8.0	17.4	25.7	11.6
8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
 ...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the l

<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
4	5	4	14	27	33
4	3	12	10	29	47
5	2	17	9	33	74
7	1	28	6	42	120
6	1	38	15	60	174
4	1	25	5	35	211
14	2	38	13	67	264
12	1	38	2	53	261
9	1	25	14	49	290
12	1	39	8	60	320
11	0	24	3	38	314
9	2	24	11	46	330
5	0	20	7	32	264
9	1	15	3	28	209
5	1	11	2	19	145
9	3	11	2	25	95
4	0	6	9	19	60
1	0	9	2	12	38
2	1	7	3	13	34
5	0	3	1	9	21
2	0	3	2	7	16
2	1	5	7	15	25
1	0	1	0	2	10
0	1	6	13	20	36
142	28	409	161	740	3,391
27.4	19.3	23.8	22.4	26.6	27.6
6.6	8.0	5.5	6.2	6.7	5.9
7.6	16.7	7.8	14.4	8.5	6.9
14.2	24.7	13.3	20.6	15.2	12.8
30.3	2.1	0.4	0.5	33.3	98.9

egislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	1	0
10 and " 12	1	0	1	0	1
12 " " 14	4	1	5	0	1
14 " " 16	0	0	0	1	0
16 " " 18	6	0	6	1	1
18 " " 20	2	0	2	1	0
20 " " 22	4	0	4	0	0
22 " " 24	6	0	6	0	1
24 " " 26	6	1	7	1	0
26 " " 28	7	0	7	0	0
28 " " 30	1	0	1	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1	0	0
32 " " 34	1	0	1	1	1
34 " " 36	2	0	2	0	0
36 " " 38	3	0	3	0	1
38 " " 40	2	0	2	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	1	0
42 " " 44	3	0	3	0	0
44 " " 46	3	0	3	0	0
46 " " 48	2	0	2	0	0
48 " " 50	0	1	1	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	1	0	0
55 " " 60	2	0	2	1	0
60 and Over	4	3	7	0	2
Total Cases	62	6	68	8	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.6	---	30.8	17.5	---
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	5.8	---	9.6	1.5	---
Above Average Ratio	13.4	---	19.7	19.5	---
Total	19.2	---	29.3	21.0	---
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.6	16.0	42.6	8.1	47.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
--------------------	---------------------

1	1
1	2
1	6
1	1
2	8

1	3
0	4
1	7
1	8
0	7

0	1
0	1
2	3
0	2
1	4

0	2
1	2
0	3
0	3
0	2

0	1
0	1
1	3
2	9

16	84
----	----

13.0	17.3
------	------

0.6	2.8
-----	-----

57.9	48.7
------	------

58.5	51.5
------	------

55.9	98.5
------	------

ops fall when arranged

essed value in the

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by Age Class			
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48
Under	10	0	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	1
14 "	" 16	0	1	1	4
16 "	" 18	0	3	1	6
18 "	" 20	0	2	1	3
20 "	" 22	2	2	1	7
22 "	" 24	0	2	1	8
24 "	" 26	3	1	1	13
26 "	" 28	2	0	2	7
28 "	" 30	2	3	1	3
30 "	" 32	1	3	0	7
32 "	" 34	3	0	0	4
34 "	" 36	1	1	0	3
36 "	" 38	0	1	1	1
38 "	" 40	1	0	1	6
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0
42 "	" 44	0	1	0	2
44 "	" 46	0	2	0	1
46 "	" 48	0	0	3	1
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	3
55 "	" 60	0	0	1	0
60 and Over		1	1	1	11
Total Cases		16	23	16	91
Average Sales Ratio (%)		27.4	26.5	24.8	27.7
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio		2.1	6.7	2.8	5.3
Above Average Ratio		5.9	7.7	21.9	10.7
Total		8.0	14.4	24.7	16.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		3.8	1.5	1.1	8.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asse

as County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 io, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 tion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 or the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
					With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	0	4
2	2	0	0	2	1	2
6	7	1	0	8	3	3
2	8	0	0	8	3	5
6	16	0	0	16	0	2
4	10	0	0	10	1	2
8	20	0	0	20	1	1
1	22	0	1	23	0	2
9	27	2	0	29	1	2
9	20	0	0	20	2	1
4	23	0	0	23	1	0
7	18	0	0	18	0	3
6	13	0	0	13	0	4
6	11	0	0	11	1	1
7	10	0	1	11	0	1
4	12	1	0	13	0	0
4	4	0	0	4	0	3
6	9	0	0	9	2	0
4	7	1	0	8	0	1
0	4	1	0	5	0	0
3	3	1	0	4	0	1
2	5	0	0	5	0	0
5	6	0	0	6	1	1
3	27	7	1	35	3	0
8	284	14	3	301	20	39
6	27.8	46.3	---	32.3	20.2	16.0
3	4.8	7.3	---	5.5	5.5	1.7
6	11.2	56.2	---	21.9	22.8	17.1
9	16.0	63.5	---	27.4	28.3	18.8
1	26.6	14.0	2.0	42.6	36.6	8.0

1 when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislativ

<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	1	6	6
2	0	5	7
1	0	7	15
1	1	10	18
1	0	3	19
2	0	5	15
0	0	2	22
1	1	4	27
0	0	3	32
0	0	3	23
1	0	2	25
1	0	4	22
1	1	6	19
0	0	2	13
1	0	2	13
0	0	0	13
0	0	3	7
0	0	2	11
1	0	2	10
1	0	1	6
0	0	1	5
1	0	1	6
0	0	2	8
5	0	8	43
21	4	84	385
28.5	---	19.7	23.7
12.0	---	5.5	5.6
26.5	---	19.9	20.4
38.5	---	25.4	26.0
3.4	7.9	55.9	98.5

Council.

Lincoln County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	1	0	1	0	1
12 " " 14	1	0	1	1	2
14 " " 16	4	0	4	1	5
16 " " 18	2	1	3	0	3
18 " " 20	2	1	3	1	4
20 " " 22	7	0	7	0	7
22 " " 24	4	0	4	1	5
24 " " 26	5	0	5	0	5
26 " " 28	1	0	1	1	2
28 " " 30	4	0	4	0	4
30 " " 32	0	1	1	1	2
32 " " 34	5	1	6	1	7
34 " " 36	1	1	2	0	2
36 " " 38	2	0	2	0	2
38 " " 40	1	0	1	0	1
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	1	1
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	0	1	0	1
55 " " 60	1	0	1	1	2
60 and Over	1	1	2	0	2
Total Cases	43	6	49	9	58
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.5	---	24.4	19.5	20.4
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	15.2	---	5.6	4.9	5.1
Above Average Ratio	6.3	---	20.8	6.2	8.7
Total	21.5	---	26.4	11.1	13.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.2	8.9	21.1	78.2	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Lincoln
of Sales Ratio
and Property

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>
	Under	10	0
10	and	" 12	2
12	"	" 14	2
14	"	" 16	8
16	"	" 18	4
18	"	" 20	7
20	"	" 22	13
22	"	" 24	6
24	"	" 26	7
26	"	" 28	6
28	"	" 30	7
30	"	" 32	1
32	"	" 34	5
34	"	" 36	2
36	"	" 38	3
38	"	" 40	3
40	"	" 42	2
42	"	" 44	0
44	"	" 46	1
46	"	" 48	2
48	"	" 50	0
50	"	" 55	1
55	"	" 60	1
60	and Over		2
Total Cases			85
Average Sales Ratio (%)			24.1
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio			3.9
Above Average Ratio			5.5
Total			9.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			12.2

- a. Range in percentage points with
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class
by the assessor to the Legislature

In County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Portion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
			With Impts.	Without Impts.			
0	0	0	0	4	0	4	4
0	0	2	0	3	0	3	5
0	0	2	1	3	1	5	7
0	0	8	4	3	1	8	16
1	0	5	4	3	0	7	12
1	0	8	2	8	1	11	19
1	0	14	6	4	0	10	24
0	0	6	6	6	1	13	19
0	0	7	0	3	1	4	11
0	0	6	1	2	1	4	10
1	0	8	0	1	0	1	9
2	0	3	3	0	0	3	6
0	1	6	0	2	1	3	9
0	1	3	0	2	0	2	5
0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	3	1	0	1	2	5
0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	1	2	2	0	4	5
0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
2	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
8	3	96	31	47	10	88	184
29.1	---	25.9	22.9	20.8	---	22.0	22.7
9.1	---	5.8	5.5	5.6	---	5.5	5.5
35.4	---	16.7	3.3	4.0	---	3.8	6.2
44.5	---	22.5	8.8	9.6	---	9.3	11.7
8.7	0.2	21.1	42.0	34.3	1.9	78.2	99.3

in which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 s of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported
 tive Council.

Logan County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed
for the Year

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	1
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	2	1
14 "	"	16	0	1	0	9	2
16 "	"	18	0	0	2	9	0
18 "	"	20	2	1	2	7	6
20 "	"	22	1	3	0	11	6
22 "	"	24	3	0	1	8	0
24 "	"	26	6	3	1	5	1
26 "	"	28	17	7	0	4	1
28 "	"	30	35	1	0	4	2
30 "	"	32	18	0	0	5	0
32 "	"	34	8	1	0	2	0
34 "	"	36	2	0	0	1	0
36 "	"	38	0	1	0	1	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	2	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	1	0	1	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	1	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	2	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	3	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	1	0
60 and Over			0	2	0	0	0
Total Cases			95	21	7	74	20
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.1	26.5	21.0	22.5	20.5
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			1.7	2.8	3.2	4.8	2.2
Above Average Ratio			1.7	3.5	3.5	5.7	1.2
Total			3.4	6.3	6.7	10.5	3.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

of Conveyances by Size
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation
 d Value by Class of Property
 ar 1959-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
3	1	0	4	0	1	1	2	6
12	0	0	12	0	0	3	3	15
11	0	0	11	2	2	0	4	15
18	0	0	18	1	2	1	4	22
21	0	0	21	0	0	1	1	22
12	0	0	12	0	3	0	3	15
16	0	0	16	0	2	1	3	19
29	0	0	29	3	0	1	4	33
42	0	1	43	3	0	0	3	46
23	0	0	23	1	0	0	1	24
11	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11
3	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4
2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
2	0	0	2	1	1	0	2	4
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	2	0	5	0	0	0	0	5
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	4	1	7	0	0	0	0	7
217	9	3	229	13	11	9	33	262
24.9	58.2	---	30.4	26.8	21.6	---	20.2	23.9
3.2	10.2	---	4.5	2.3	3.8	---	1.8	2.8
3.5	20.6	---	13.2	5.7	2.7	---	5.1	7.9
6.7	30.8	---	17.7	8.0	6.5	---	6.9	10.7
27.9	10.9	7.0	45.8	33.8	2.1	17.8	53.7	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high.
 sessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>One-Family Dwelli</u>		
				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	
10	and	"	12	0	0	
12	"	"	14	1	1	
14	"	"	16	1	3	
16	"	"	18	0	7	
18	"	"	20	4	4	
20	"	"	22	1	3	
22	"	"	24	10	1	
24	"	"	26	18	4	
26	"	"	28	75	13	
28	"	"	30	114	3	
30	"	"	32	51	2	
32	"	"	34	28	4	
34	"	"	36	6	3	
36	"	"	38	2	2	
38	"	"	40	1	0	
40	"	"	42	6	0	
42	"	"	44	2	0	
44	"	"	46	0	1	
46	"	"	48	0	1	
48	"	"	50	0	0	
50	"	"	55	1	0	
55	"	"	60	1	0	
60	and Over			0	2	
Total Cases			321	58	26	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			28.9	26.3	20.4	
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio			1.7	2.6	3.7	
Above Average Ratio			1.8	4.2	3.8	
Total			3.5	6.8	7.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			12.0	2.0	1.2	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle hal

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cer

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variat
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Propert
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

ings by Age Class (years)

<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Multi-Family Dwellings</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industria Buildings</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	2	2	0	0	0
8	3	13	0	1	0
19	4	28	0	0	0
34	2	44	0	1	0
35	12	58	0	0	0
25	10	45	0	1	0
27	3	44	0	1	0
22	2	58	2	2	0
20	3	112	0	0	0
12	4	133	0	1	1
13	1	67	1	2	0
5	1	38	1	0	0
2	1	12	1	0	0
5	0	9	0	0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	8	1	1	0
2	0	6	0	0	2
1	1	3	0	2	1
2	0	3	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	1	0
4	0	5	0	5	1
2	0	3	0	1	0
3	0	5	0	9	1
243	49	697	6	29	7
22.0	20.7	24.6	29.2	46.0	38.9
4.0	2.5	2.9	3.7	15.8	0.5
5.2	4.1	3.7	5.8	22.8	11.7
9.2	6.6	6.6	9.5	38.6	12.2
10.3	2.4	27.9	0.8	10.9	6.2

f of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
t of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assesso

ion
y

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	1	1	0	0	2	2
2	2	2	0	2	6	8
14	0	3	1	6	10	24
28	3	3	1	1	8	36
45	7	5	3	0	15	60
58	5	5	3	1	14	72
46	4	1	0	4	9	55
45	2	1	5	0	8	53
62	2	3	5	2	12	74
112	6	3	0	0	9	121
135	6	2	3	1	12	147
70	2	1	2	0	5	75
39	2	1	1	0	4	43
13	3	0	0	0	3	16
10	2	0	0	0	2	12
1	1	0	1	0	2	3
10	0	1	1	1	3	13
8	1	0	2	0	3	11
6	0	0	0	0	0	6
4	0	0	0	0	0	4
1	1	0	0	0	1	2
11	0	0	0	0	0	11
4	0	0	0	0	0	4
15	0	0	0	0	0	15
739	50	32	28	18	128	867
29.4	24.0	18.3	24.5	17.4	21.8	24.7
4.6	6.1	3.0	5.2	4.6	4.9	4.7
7.4	5.8	7.7	5.5	4.4	6.5	6.9
12.0	11.9	10.7	10.7	9.0	11.4	11.6
45.8	33.8	17.8	2.1	-- ^c	53.7	99.5

r to the Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Cl:

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1
14 " " 16	0	1	1	2
16 " " 18	0	0	3	4
18 " " 20	1	1	1	13
20 " " 22	1	5	5	7
22 " " 24	4	4	8	10
24 " " 26	9	7	11	5
26 " " 28	27	10	4	4
28 " " 30	50	12	3	0
30 " " 32	65	16	6	2
32 " " 34	47	10	3	0
34 " " 36	26	9	0	0
36 " " 38	18	3	0	1
38 " " 40	15	5	0	1
40 " " 42	5	2	1	0
42 " " 44	9	2	0	1
44 " " 46	5	0	0	0
46 " " 48	3	0	0	0
48 " " 50	3	1	0	0
50 " " 55	3	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	1	1	0	0
Total Cases	292	89	46	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.9	30.4	25.6	21.4
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio	2.7	3.6	3.2	2.5
Above Average Ratio	3.3	3.8	3.4	3.1
Total	6.0	7.4	6.6	5.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ra
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

Age (years)		Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
Over 48	All Ages					With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2
3	7	0	0	0	7	2	1
7	14	0	0	0	14	6	2
8	24	0	2	0	26	4	0
10	28	0	0	0	28	7	1
8	34	1	0	0	35	6	2
6	38	0	1	0	39	2	1
5	50	0	1	0	51	5	2
12	77	1	1	0	79	4	0
4	93	1	0	0	94	2	0
2	62	2	0	0	64	3	0
2	37	1	1	0	39	1	0
1	23	0	0	0	23	0	0
1	22	0	0	0	22	1	0
1	9	0	0	0	9	0	0
0	12	0	1	0	13	1	1
0	5	0	0	0	5	2	0
1	4	0	0	0	4	1	0
0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0
0	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
72	550	6	7	0	563	48	12
24.2	28.6	31.9	24.0	---	29.1	23.6	20.8
4.2	3.1	2.9	3.1	---	3.1	4.1	4.8
5.0	3.6	1.6	9.5	---	3.6	5.9	5.2
9.2	6.7	4.5	12.6	---	6.7	10.0	10.0
4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
0	0	0	0
1	1	2	2
4	5	12	13
5	1	9	16
7	3	18	32
6	1	11	37
4	3	15	43
10	1	19	54
16	2	21	60
12	0	19	70
5	1	10	89
13	0	15	109
20	0	23	87
16	1	18	57
14	0	14	37
9	0	10	32
3	0	3	12
4	0	6	19
1	0	3	8
2	0	3	7
1	0	1	5
4	2	6	9
1	0	1	1
1	0	1	4
159	21	240	803
30.8	18.5	24.8	27.2
6.5	4.8	4.7	3.8
5.2	6.3	5.7	4.5
11.7	11.1	10.4	8.3
11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>
		Under	10	0
10	and	"	12	0
12	"	"	14	0
14	"	"	16	0
16	"	"	18	2
18	"	"	20	5
20	"	"	22	5
22	"	"	24	26
24	"	"	26	44
26	"	"	28	95
28	"	"	30	188
30	"	"	32	242
32	"	"	34	199
34	"	"	36	98
36	"	"	38	75
38	"	"	40	53
40	"	"	42	30
42	"	"	44	25
44	"	"	46	16
46	"	"	48	10
48	"	"	50	7
50	"	"	55	4
55	"	"	60	2
60	and	Over		3
Total Cases				1,129
Average Sales Ratio (%)				31.8
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio				2.7
Above Average Ratio				3.0
Total				5.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				20.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>Industrial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>					
1	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	
1	1	5	4	11	0	0	0	
0	1	6	3	10	2	0	0	
6	5	10	8	29	0	0	0	
5	8	24	34	73	2	1	0	
9	6	35	32	87	4	2	1	
20	25	21	32	103	2	0	0	
21	17	24	30	118	4	0	0	
31	20	22	27	144	2	2	0	
41	12	13	19	180	4	2	0	
44	6	11	18	267	3	3	0	
42	12	8	9	313	5	0	0	
26	4	0	9	238	2	0	0	
21	1	1	5	126	4	3	0	
12	0	3	2	92	2	0	0	
14	3	2	2	74	1	0	0	
3	3	0	2	38	0	0	0	
4	0	1	1	31	1	1	0	
1	0	0	4	21	2	1	0	
0	0	3	3	16	2	0	0	
1	1	1	1	11	1	0	0	
0	1	0	0	5	1	1	0	
0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	
2	1	0	2	8	3	1	0	
305	127	192	248	2,001	47	17	1	
28.6	24.5	21.2	22.8	27.9	28.3	27.1	---	
3.7	3.6	3.1	4.0	3.1	5.4	1.9	---	
4.0	3.6	4.4	4.8	3.7	8.9	10.4	---	
7.7	7.2	7.5	8.8	6.8	14.3	12.3	---	
5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	3.7	

the half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
3	0	1	0	0	1	4
11	1	2	2	7	12	23
12	3	5	6	12	26	38
29	5	7	11	9	32	61
76	15	4	16	11	46	122
94	14	4	16	2	36	130
105	30	7	15	11	63	168
122	22	5	20	1	48	170
148	20	2	27	7	56	204
186	17	6	27	6	56	242
273	19	2	15	2	38	311
318	17	2	27	1	47	365
240	8	2	32	0	42	282
133	6	1	28	1	36	169
94	5	0	23	0	28	122
75	7	0	15	0	22	97
38	6	0	9	1	16	54
33	4	1	8	1	14	47
24	2	0	5	1	8	32
18	1	0	3	0	4	22
12	3	0	1	0	4	16
7	2	1	4	3	10	17
3	2	0	1	0	3	6
12	1	1	3	1	6	18
2,066	210	53	314	77	654	2,720
28.0	25.5	19.6	29.7	18.4	25.6	27.0
3.7	4.5	4.1	6.5	4.3	4.9	4.3
5.6	5.9	7.3	5.8	7.0	6.1	5.8
9.3	10.4	11.4	12.3	11.3	11.0	10.1
60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	1	1
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	0	0	0
20 " " 22	0	0	0
22 " " 24	0	0	0
24 " " 26	1	0	1
26 " " 28	0	0	0
28 " " 30	0	0	0
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0
34 " " 36	0	0	0
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	2	2	4
Total Cases	4	4	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	31.8
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	8.0
Above Average Ratio	---	---	41.3
Total	---	---	49.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.3	72.7	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	1	1
10 and " 12	1	0	1
12 " " 14	0	1	1
14 " " 16	0	1	1
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	0	0	0
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	1	1	2
24 " " 26	1	0	1
26 " " 28	3	1	4
28 " " 30	1	0	1
30 " " 32	1	0	1
32 " " 34	0	0	0
34 " " 36	1	0	1
36 " " 38	0	0	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	4	0	4
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	8	2	10
Total Cases	24	7	31
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	31.8
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	8.0
Above Average Ratio	---	---	41.3
Total	---	---	49.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.3	72.7	99.0

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of C
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the Year 1959

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Cl</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>50 and Over</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		1	0	0	2	
12 "	"	14		2	2	0	2	
14 "	"	16		0	0	1	0	
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	0	
18 "	"	20		0	0	2	1	
20 "	"	22		1	3	1	1	
22 "	"	24		2	4	1	1	
24 "	"	26		4	2	0	1	
26 "	"	28		2	1	0	1	
28 "	"	30		1	1	0	0	
30 "	"	32		0	1	0	1	
32 "	"	34		0	0	0	0	
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	0	
36 "	"	38		0	1	0	0	
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0	
40 "	"	42		0	1	0	0	
42 "	"	44		0	1	0	0	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	1	0	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	
60 and Over				0	0	0	0	
Total Cases				13	18	5	10	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				23.7	25.1	17.9	19.4	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				4.6	3.4	0.3	6.9	
Above Average Ratio				2.2	5.9	3.6	5.6	
Total				6.8	9.3	3.9	12.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of the assessed value as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Coefficient of Variation
 by Class of Property
 -1960

Age Class (years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
Over 48						
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	0	0	4	2	6
0	6	1	0	7	1	8
0	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	3	1	0	4	0	4
0	6	0	0	6	1	7
0	8	0	0	8	0	8
0	7	3	0	10	0	10
0	4	0	0	4	1	5
0	2	2	1	5	0	5
0	2	0	1	3	0	3
0	0	0	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	2	1	3
0	1	1	0	2	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	2	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	47	10	2	59	7	66
---	22.0	28.5	---	24.4	22.9	23.6
---	4.3	4.2	---	4.2	8.6	5.7
---	4.7	12.5	---	7.7	10.8	9.1
---	9.0	16.7	---	11.9	19.4	14.8
1.0	22.3	16.8	11.9	51.0	47.3	98.3

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 Total assessed value in the county as reported by the

				<u>One-Family Dwellings</u>		
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>
	Under	10		1	0	0
10 and	"	12		1	0	0
12 "	"	14		2	3	0
14 "	"	16		0	2	5
16 "	"	18		0	0	4
18 "	"	20		1	3	3
20 "	"	22		2	8	3
22 "	"	24		7	10	2
24 "	"	26		7	9	0
26 "	"	28		3	7	0
28 "	"	30		4	5	0
30 "	"	32		2	1	0
32 "	"	34		1	3	0
34 "	"	36		0	2	0
36 "	"	38		1	2	1
38 "	"	40		0	1	0
40 "	"	42		0	2	0
42 "	"	44		0	1	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0
50 "	"	55		1	1	0
55 "	"	60		0	0	0
60 and Over				0	3	0
Total Cases				33	63	18
Average Sales Ratio (%)				24.9	25.7	18.4
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio				2.5	3.8	2.6
Above Average Ratio				3.5	4.8	2.6
Total				6.0	8.6	5.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				5.3	7.9	2.4

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the r
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Moffat County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variatio
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

by Age Class (years)		All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban
29-48	Over 48			
0	1	2	0	0
3	2	6	0	0
3	0	8	1	0
1	0	8	0	0
0	0	4	1	0
4	1	12	1	0
1	0	14	1	0
4	0	23	1	0
2	0	18	3	0
1	0	11	1	0
0	0	9	2	3
2	1	6	0	1
0	0	4	1	0
0	0	2	1	0
0	0	4	1	0
0	0	1	0	0
0	0	2	1	0
0	0	1	1	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	2	2	0
0	0	0	1	0
1	0	4	2	0
22	5	141	21	4
21.3	17.1	22.8	32.0	---
7.6	6.9	4.6	7.8	---
3.2	4.9	3.8	13.1	---
10.8	11.8	8.4	20.9	---
5.7	1.0	22.3	16.8	11.9

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.				
2	2	2	0	0	4	6
6	1	1	1	0	3	9
9	1	4	0	1	6	15
8	1	2	0	0	3	11
5	0	1	1	0	2	7
13	1	2	0	0	3	16
15	2	3	1	0	6	21
24	0	2	0	0	2	26
21	0	3	0	0	3	24
12	2	1	2	0	5	17
14	1	3	0	0	4	18
7	2	2	0	0	4	11
5	3	0	0	0	3	8
3	2	1	0	1	4	7
5	0	1	0	0	1	6
1	0	2	0	0	2	3
3	0	1	1	1	3	6
2	0	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	4
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
6	0	0	0	0	0	6
166	18	31	6	3	58	224
26.7	20.7	21.8	20.9	---	23.1	24.9
4.8	5.7	7.0	3.9	---	6.3	5.7
5.7	11.6	7.7	6.6	---	10.5	8.2
10.5	17.3	14.7	10.5	---	16.8	13.9
51.0	12.8	3.9	10.1	21.5	47.3	98.3

to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the Year

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	1	1
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	1	1
16 "	"	18		0	1	1	0	0
18 "	"	20		0	2	0	1	1
20 "	"	22		2	0	1	1	0
22 "	"	24		4	0	2	0	0
24 "	"	26		8	0	1	0	2
26 "	"	28		6	2	0	0	0
28 "	"	30		14	1	0	1	1
30 "	"	32		1	1	0	0	1
32 "	"	34		3	1	0	1	0
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	1	0
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		1	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	1	0	0	0
55 "	"	60		1	0	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases				40	9	5	7	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)				27.3	27.0	---	22.6	23.7
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				2.3	7.8	---	6.6	7.7
Above Average Ratio				2.1	4.5	---	9.4	4.4
Total				4.4	12.3	---	16.0	12.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				10.0	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as
assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 1959-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	3	3	0	6	6
2	0	2	1	1	3	5	7
2	0	2	0	0	1	1	3
2	0	2	4	1	0	5	7
4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
4	1	5	0	0	1	1	6
6	0	6	1	1	0	2	8
11	2	13	0	0	0	0	13
8	0	8	1	0	0	1	9
17	2	19	0	0	0	0	19
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
5	0	5	0	0	1	1	6
0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2
1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
68	7	75	12	8	7	27	102
25.2	---	30.3	16.8	20.5	---	17.7	21.7
4.8	---	9.2	2.8	9.2	---	4.1	5.7
3.8	---	4.2	4.2	8.5	---	4.9	4.7
8.6	---	13.4	7.0	17.7	---	9.0	10.4
28.5	15.1	43.6	41.7	9.3	0.3	55.4	99.0

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>1-8</u>
	Under 10	0
10 and	" 12	0
12 "	" 14	0
14 "	" 16	2
16 "	" 18	1
18 "	" 20	1
20 "	" 22	5
22 "	" 24	6
24 "	" 26	14
26 "	" 28	14
28 "	" 30	16
30 "	" 32	5
32 "	" 34	3
34 "	" 36	0
36 "	" 38	1
38 "	" 40	1
40 "	" 42	1
42 "	" 44	0
44 "	" 46	2
46 "	" 48	1
48 "	" 50	0
50 "	" 55	0
55 "	" 60	1
60 and Over		1
Total Cases		75
Average Sales Ratio (%)		27.0
Measure of Variation ^a		
	Below Average Ratio	2.5
	Above Average Ratio	2.7
	Total	5.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		10.0

a. Range in percentage points within which
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of

Montezuma County: Number of Conveyances b
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure o
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>				
0	1	1	1	3	2	0	
0	1	0	1	2	1	0	
0	3	2	3	8	1	0	
0	1	6	4	13	2	0	
4	2	5	1	13	1	0	
4	1	6	5	17	0	0	
4	5	1	1	16	1	0	
8	6	3	0	23	1	1	
6	4	2	5	31	2	0	
3	0	1	3	21	0	0	
3	1	1	2	23	0	2	
5	0	1	2	13	0	0	
1	0	1	0	5	0	0	
2	1	0	2	5	0	0	
1	1	2	1	6	1	1	
0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	1	1	3	1	1	
1	0	0	0	1	0	1	
0	0	0	0	2	1	0	
1	0	0	0	2	2	0	
0	0	0	2	2	0	0	
1	0	1	1	3	1	0	
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	
0	2	0	0	3	2	2	
44	29	34	35	217	20	9	
25.8	21.5	19.4	25.1	24.4	33.8	---	
4.3	4.3	3.6	9.2	4.3	18.8	---	
4.6	3.4	6.1	5.1	4.0	13.2	---	
8.9	7.7	9.7	14.3	8.3	32.0	---	
6.8	4.7	3.2	3.8	28.5	15.1	0.0	

which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported k

y Size
f Variation
Property

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
5	0	5	1	1	7	12
3	7	2	3	0	12	15
9	4	6	2	2	14	23
15	5	2	5	2	14	29
14	7	2	4	2	15	29
17	2	1	1	0	4	21
17	4	1	1	4	10	27
25	5	0	2	0	7	32
33	3	3	0	1	7	40
21	2	0	1	0	3	24
25	1	1	0	0	2	27
13	0	0	1	0	1	14
5	1	2	1	1	5	10
5	2	2	1	0	5	10
8	0	0	2	1	3	11
2	1	0	0	0	1	3
5	0	0	1	0	1	6
2	0	0	1	0	1	3
3	0	0	1	0	1	4
4	0	0	0	0	0	4
2	0	1	0	0	1	3
4	1	0	0	0	1	5
2	0	0	0	0	0	2
7	0	0	0	1	1	8
246	45	28	28	15	116	362
27.0	18.8	16.2	21.4	20.4	18.9	21.8
8.3	4.4	4.2	7.0	5.6	4.7	6.0
6.6	5.1	9.1	10.6	3.8	6.3	6.4
14.9	9.5	13.3	17.6	9.4	11.0	12.4
43.6	41.7	4.1	9.3	0.3	55.4	99.0

y the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the Year

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
		Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10	and	"	12	0	0	0	2	0
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	0	2
14	"	"	16	0	0	0	1	2
16	"	"	18	0	4	0	2	3
18	"	"	20	0	1	0	2	4
20	"	"	22	0	2	3	4	2
22	"	"	24	2	2	1	2	3
24	"	"	26	3	3	0	1	2
26	"	"	28	3	3	0	0	2
28	"	"	30	3	1	0	0	0
30	"	"	32	4	0	0	1	2
32	"	"	34	2	1	1	1	2
34	"	"	36	4	0	0	2	0
36	"	"	38	1	1	0	1	2
38	"	"	40	0	0	0	0	1
40	"	"	42	1	0	0	0	0
42	"	"	44	0	1	0	0	0
44	"	"	46	2	1	0	0	0
46	"	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48	"	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50	"	"	55	0	1	0	0	0
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	0	1
60	and Over			0	3	0	0	0
Total Cases				25	24	5	19	28
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.7	27.1	---	21.1	23.1
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				3.9	6.1	---	3.3	5.1
Above Average Ratio				4.2	22.9	---	8.4	7.9
Total				8.1	29.0	---	11.7	13.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as assessed to the Legislative Council.

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 1959-1960

<u>All</u> <u>Ages</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Agric.</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Misc.</u> <u>Rural</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	2	0	2	1	3	5
2	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
3	0	3	5	0	0	5	8
9	0	9	3	0	1	4	13
7	0	7	4	2	0	6	13
11	0	11	3	1	1	5	16
10	2	12	4	3	1	8	20
9	1	10	3	3	2	8	18
8	0	8	1	1	0	2	10
4	0	4	4	2	0	6	10
7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7
7	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
6	0	6	0	0	1	1	7
5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	2	3	0	0	0	0	3
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	2	5	1	1	0	2	7
101	7	108	31	16	8	55	163
24.4	---	27.8	21.3	23.7	---	21.5	24.0
4.1	---	6.7	4.1	3.7	---	4.7	5.5
7.7	---	16.6	5.2	4.3	---	4.9	9.5
11.8	---	23.3	9.3	8.0	---	9.6	15.0
29.1	2.6	44.9	34.7	11.5	7.0	53.2	98.1

... fall when arranged from low to high.
 ... assessed value in the county as reported by the

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (ye

Sales Ratio Class (%)			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	4	
12 "	"	14	0	0	1	1	
14 "	"	16	1	1	0	3	
16 "	"	18	0	4	3	6	
18 "	"	20	1	3	3	5	
20 "	"	22	2	4	7	9	
22 "	"	24	6	5	4	4	
24 "	"	26	7	7	1	7	
26 "	"	28	8	8	3	3	
28 "	"	30	17	2	1	4	
30 "	"	32	14	3	1	3	
32 "	"	34	3	3	2	3	
34 "	"	36	7	3	1	5	
36 "	"	38	1	3	0	3	
38 "	"	40	2	0	1	1	
40 "	"	42	1	2	0	0	
42 "	"	44	1	1	0	0	
44 "	"	46	4	4	1	0	
46 "	"	48	1	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	1	1	
50 "	"	55	2	2	0	0	
55 "	"	60	2	0	0	0	
60 and Over			6	5	2	0	
Total Cases			86	60	32	62	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)			30.8	29.2	24.8	23.6	23.
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			3.7	6.0	4.5	5.0	4.
Above Average Ratio			4.8	8.1	7.2	6.7	4.
Total			8.5	14.1	11.7	11.7	9.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. R. With Impts.
					With Impts.	Without Impts.	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
0	4	2	0	6	2	1	4
5	7	1	0	8	5	1	1
5	10	1	0	11	10	3	3
7	20	0	0	20	5	2	0
9	21	1	1	23	9	1	2
7	29	1	0	30	7	4	3
9	28	2	0	30	13	1	4
9	31	1	1	33	8	1	7
8	30	0	0	30	7	0	6
1	25	0	0	25	7	2	4
3	24	1	0	25	6	1	2
5	16	0	0	16	4	0	0
1	17	0	0	17	2	0	1
2	9	0	0	9	2	0	2
2	6	1	1	8	2	0	1
1	4	2	2	8	2	0	1
0	2	2	1	5	2	0	1
1	10	1	0	11	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
0	2	1	0	3	0	0	0
2	6	2	0	8	1	0	0
1	3	0	0	3	0	2	0
0	13	3	0	16	1	0	2
8	318	22	6	346	96	20	47
0	25.8	32.7	---	27.8	23.3	18.3	24.5
4	4.7	11.7	---	6.6	4.9	3.0	4.8
9	6.2	16.3	---	9.0	6.4	8.5	5.1
3	10.9	28.0	---	15.6	11.3	11.5	9.9
7	29.1	13.2	2.6	44.9	34.7	6.8	11.5

1 when arranged from low to high.
 value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

o:
R:
Va:
10

ars

<u>Rural Land</u> <u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
1	4	4
1	8	14
0	7	15
1	17	28
0	7	27
0	12	35
2	16	46
0	18	48
1	17	50
0	13	43
0	13	38
1	10	35
0	4	20
1	4	21
0	4	13
1	4	12
0	3	11
1	4	9
0	0	11
0	2	3
0	0	3
0	1	9
0	2	5
1	4	20
11	174	520
20.9	22.7	24.8
4.5	4.5	5.4
17.1	6.6	7.5
21.6	11.1	12.9
0.2	53.2	98.1

stios
asse

Morgan County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the Year

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	1	3
14 " " 16	0	0	1	4	3
16 " " 18	2	0	0	5	4
18 " " 20	1	0	0	5	7
20 " " 22	2	1	0	8	5
22 " " 24	1	2	0	7	7
24 " " 26	4	7	3	10	7
26 " " 28	13	5	1	5	2
28 " " 30	15	7	2	2	1
30 " " 32	17	4	1	4	3
32 " " 34	11	4	0	1	2
34 " " 36	11	0	0	0	1
36 " " 38	3	2	1	3	1
38 " " 40	5	1	0	1	0
40 " " 42	3	0	2	0	0
42 " " 44	2	0	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	1	1	0	1	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over	0	1	0	0	0
Total Cases	91	35	11	58	47
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.2	28.7	27.9	23.3	22.0
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.2	3.1	2.7	3.9	3.8
Above Average Ratio	3.2	3.4	7.6	3.7	3.5
Total	6.4	6.5	10.3	7.6	7.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	3.2	1.7	8.1	2.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

r of Conveyances by Size
 es Ratio, Measure of Variation
 d Value by Class of Property
 ar 1959-1960

<u>All</u> <u>Ages</u>	<u>Commercial</u> <u>Buildings</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Agric.</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Misc.</u> <u>Rural</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	4
4	1	0	5	2	0	0	2	7
8	0	0	8	0	0	1	1	9
11	0	0	11	1	2	0	3	14
13	0	0	13	0	1	0	1	14
16	0	0	16	4	1	0	5	21
17	1	0	18	1	2	0	3	21
31	0	0	31	2	5	0	7	38
26	0	0	26	0	4	0	4	30
27	0	0	27	1	2	0	3	30
29	0	0	29	1	2	0	3	32
18	0	0	18	2	3	0	5	23
12	0	2	14	0	0	0	0	14
10	0	0	10	0	1	0	1	11
7	1	0	8	0	1	0	1	9
5	0	0	5	0	0	1	1	6
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	2	1	4	0	1	0	1	5
242	6	4	252	14	26	5	45	297
27.2	45.2	---	31.3	23.0	8.9	---	22.5	25.7
3.4	22.2	---	7.0	2.8	0.1	---	2.6	4.2
3.7	17.3	---	6.0	6.0	2.3	---	9.3	8.1
7.1	39.5	---	13.0	8.8	2.4	---	11.9	12.3
30.0	10.0	3.8	43.8	36.2	-- ^c	19.2	55.4	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>0</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	
10	and	"	12	0	0	1	0	
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	0	
14	"	"	16	0	0	0	0	
16	"	"	18	0	0	0	0	
18	"	"	20	2	0	0	2	
20	"	"	22	0	1	0	3	
22	"	"	24	2	0	2	10	
24	"	"	26	2	3	0	6	
26	"	"	28	6	3	1	7	
28	"	"	30	11	3	1	7	
30	"	"	32	10	4	0	11	
32	"	"	34	7	8	2	9	
34	"	"	36	3	4	0	5	
36	"	"	38	4	2	0	4	
38	"	"	40	3	6	1	3	
40	"	"	42	0	5	0	3	
42	"	"	44	0	1	1	3	
44	"	"	46	0	0	0	1	
46	"	"	48	0	0	0	2	
48	"	"	50	1	0	0	0	
50	"	"	55	0	0	0	0	
55	"	"	60	0	1	0	1	
60	and Over			0	4	0	2	
Total Cases				51	45	9	79	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.7	34.2	32.5	30.7	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				2.6	3.6	9.3	5.1	
Above Average Ratio				2.8	5.7	2.4	5.0	
Total				5.4	9.3	11.7	10.1	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass
 c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Otero County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Year 1959-1960

(years)	All	Multi-Family	Commercial	All	Total	Agr
over 48	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Other	Urban	With
				Urban	Urban	Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	3	0	1	0	4	1
5	5	0	0	0	5	0
7	11	0	1	0	12	0
7	11	1	0	0	12	1
16	30	0	0	0	30	0
22	33	0	0	0	33	2
8	25	0	1	0	26	0
15	37	0	0	0	37	1
12	37	0	0	0	37	2
9	35	0	0	0	35	0
8	20	2	0	0	22	2
5	15	0	1	0	16	3
5	18	1	0	0	19	0
6	14	0	0	0	14	0
1	6	1	0	0	7	3
0	1	0	0	0	1	1
1	3	0	1	0	4	0
0	1	0	1	0	2	0
3	3	1	0	0	4	0
1	3	0	1	0	4	0
5	11	1	2	0	14	2
139	323	7	9	0	339	18
28.2	30.3	38.7	39.2	---	32.2	34.0
4.6	4.6	3.9	4.2	---	6.3	5.0
5.9	5.0	11.4	19.6	---	7.9	9.0
10.5	9.6	15.3	23.8	---	14.2	14.0
14.4	41.4	2.2	12.5	1.8	57.9	35.2

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
 ...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislati

<u>Ac. Land</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Without</u>	<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>		
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	
1	1	1	3	3
0	0	3	3	4
2	2	2	6	6
0	1	0	2	6
0	1	0	1	6
1	2	0	3	15
0	3	0	4	16
1	1	0	2	32
0	4	1	7	40
0	2	0	2	28
0	1	0	2	39
0	0	0	2	39
0	3	0	3	38
0	1	0	3	25
0	1	0	4	20
0	0	0	0	19
0	0	0	0	14
0	1	0	4	11
0	0	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	4
1	0	0	1	5
1	1	1	5	19
7	25	8	58	397
21.3	24.9	14.0	31.5	31.9
8.5	5.7	3.3	5.6	6.0
27.6	7.6	5.2	12.2	9.7
36.1	13.3	8.5	17.8	15.7
4.9	1.0	--c	41.1	99.0

ve Council.

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwe		
	1-8	9-18	19
Under 10	0	0	
10 and " 12	0	1	
12 " " 14	1	0	
14 " " 16	0	0	
16 " " 18	0	3	
18 " " 20	2	1	
20 " " 22	2	2	
22 " " 24	4	1	
24 " " 26	6	5	
26 " " 28	8	7	
28 " " 30	23	10	
30 " " 32	20	14	
32 " " 34	17	17	
34 " " 36	16	20	
36 " " 38	16	13	
38 " " 40	8	9	
40 " " 42	5	8	
42 " " 44	3	6	
44 " " 46	2	2	
46 " " 48	1	0	
48 " " 50	3	0	
50 " " 55	0	0	
55 " " 60	0	3	
60 and Over	1	8	
Total Cases	138	130	3
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.5	34.1	32.
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	3.5	3.7	6.
Above Average Ratio	4.1	4.7	6.
Total	7.6	8.4	13.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.3	5.7	1.

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Otero County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Conveyances by Age Class (years)</u>				<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>All</u>
<u>18-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>				
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	5	0	0	0	0
1	1	4	7	0	0	0	0
0	1	4	5	0	0	2	0
0	2	10	15	1	0	0	0
0	6	17	26	0	1	1	0
2	10	20	36	1	1	1	0
3	18	40	66	2	0	0	0
2	19	36	68	1	0	0	0
4	13	24	56	0	1	1	0
5	18	41	97	0	0	0	0
2	28	33	97	0	0	0	1
3	30	18	85	0	0	0	0
0	14	19	69	2	0	0	0
2	11	12	54	0	2	2	0
2	10	13	42	2	0	0	0
1	6	15	35	0	1	1	1
3	9	5	26	1	0	0	0
1	8	5	18	0	0	0	1
1	3	4	9	0	3	3	0
0	5	3	11	0	1	1	0
0	2	7	9	2	1	1	0
1	3	3	10	1	1	1	0
0	7	6	22	1	10	10	1
34	225	341	868	14	24	24	4
1	31.7	28.5	30.9	35.4	47.1	47.1	---
6	5.9	5.1	5.0	11.9	10.1	10.1	---
4	5.7	6.2	5.6	15.8	36.0	36.0	---
0	11.6	11.3	10.6	27.7	46.1	46.1	---
8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2	12.5	12.5	1.8

half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
percent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
0	0	2	1	1	4	4
5	0	0	0	3	3	8
7	0	2	4	2	8	15
7	1	2	2	0	5	12
16	0	0	4	0	4	20
27	3	4	5	0	12	39
38	7	0	4	0	11	49
68	5	2	6	0	13	81
69	2	1	8	1	12	81
57	3	2	5	1	11	68
97	3	1	4	0	8	105
98	8	0	3	0	11	109
85	4	1	5	0	10	95
71	6	0	1	0	7	78
56	6	0	2	0	8	64
44	1	0	3	0	4	48
37	2	0	2	1	5	42
27	4	0	2	0	6	33
19	3	0	0	0	3	22
12	4	1	0	0	5	17
12	2	0	0	0	2	14
12	2	0	0	0	2	14
12	0	1	3	1	5	17
34	4	1	2	1	8	42
910	70	20	66	11	167	1,077
33.7	32.7	19.9	27.0	26.2	30.2	32.2
5.9	7.2	4.9	6.8	15.0	6.7	6.3
12.1	10.1	8.1	6.4	11.3	9.7	11.0
18.0	17.3	13.0	13.2	26.3	16.4	17.3
57.9	35.2	4.9	1.0	--C	41.1	99.0

to the Legislative Council.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under 10	1	0	1
10 and	" 12	0	1	1
12 "	" 14	1	1	2
14 "	" 16	1	1	2
16 "	" 18	1	1	2
18 "	" 20	1	0	1
20 "	" 22	1	1	2
22 "	" 24	2	1	3
24 "	" 26	0	1	1
26 "	" 28	0	0	0
28 "	" 30	1	1	2
30 "	" 32	1	0	1
32 "	" 34	0	0	0
34 "	" 36	0	0	0
36 "	" 38	1	0	1
38 "	" 40	1	0	1
40 "	" 42	0	0	0
42 "	" 44	1	0	1
44 "	" 46	0	0	0
46 "	" 48	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	1	0	1
50 "	" 55	1	0	1
55 "	" 60	0	0	0
60 and Over		1	0	1
Total Cases		16	8	24
Average Sales Ratio (%)		---	---	21.4
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio		---	---	5.4
Above Average Ratio		---	---	12.6
Total		---	---	18.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		30.6	68.3	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douray County:: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	1	1	2
10 and	"	12	0	1	1
12 "	"	14	5	1	6
14 "	"	16	2	2	4
16 "	"	18	1	1	2
18 "	"	20	3	3	6
20 "	"	22	1	5	6
22 "	"	24	5	12	17
24 "	"	26	5	2	7
26 "	"	28	1	0	1
28 "	"	30	2	2	4
30 "	"	32	3	0	3
32 "	"	34	3	1	4
34 "	"	36	2	1	3
36 "	"	38	1	1	2
38 "	"	40	1	0	1
40 "	"	42	1	0	1
42 "	"	44	1	1	2
44 "	"	46	1	3	4
46 "	"	48	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	2	0	2
50 "	"	55	1	0	1
55 "	"	60	0	0	0
60 and Over			5	4	9
Total Cases			47	41	88
Average Sales Ratio (%)			---	---	23.8
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio			---	---	3.5
Above Average Ratio			---	---	12.2
Total			---	---	15.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			30.6	68.3	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land	
				With Impts.	Without Impts.
Under 10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	1	1
12 " " 14	2	0	2	1	2
14 " " 16	2	0	2	3	0
16 " " 18	3	0	3	2	2
18 " " 20	3	0	3	0	2
20 " " 22	1	0	1	0	5
22 " " 24	3	0	3	1	2
24 " " 26	2	0	2	0	5
26 " " 28	0	0	0	1	1
28 " " 30	2	0	2	0	0
30 " " 32	2	1	3	1	0
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	1
34 " " 36	1	0	1	0	0
36 " " 38	0	1	1	1	0
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 " " 42	1	0	1	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0	0	2
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 " " 55	0	0	0	1	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	1	0
60 and Over	4	1	5	0	1
Total Cases	26	3	29	13	26
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.5	---	29.7	23.7	23.2
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	6.2	---	6.7	8.9	3.7
Above Average Ratio	14.6	---	15.5	8.8	3.8
Total	22.8	---	22.2	17.7	7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.1	4.4	17.5	8.8	6.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as
by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ation
rty

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0
0	2	2
0	3	5
0	3	5
1	5	8
0	2	5
0	5	6
0	3	6
0	5	7
0	2	2
2	2	4
0	1	4
0	1	1
0	0	1
0	1	2
0	0	0
0	0	1
0	2	2
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	3	3
0	1	1
0	1	6
3	42	71
---	27.6	28.0
---	8.5	8.3
---	1.8	3.9
---	10.3	12.2
55.9	71.4	88.9

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported

of Sale
and P

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Ac</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	
14 "	"	16		0	1	0	
16 "	"	18		0	2	1	
18 "	"	20		0	3	1	
20 "	"	22		0	1	2	
22 "	"	24		0	1	3	
24 "	"	26		0	1	1	
26 "	"	28		0	1	0	
28 "	"	30		0	1	0	
30 "	"	32		0	1	1	
32 "	"	34		0	0	1	
34 "	"	36		0	0	2	
36 "	"	38		0	0	0	
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	
40 "	"	42		1	0	2	
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	
44 "	"	46		1	1	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	0	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	
60 and Over				0	0	1	
Total Cases				2	13	15	2
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	23.8	26.0	22.
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				---	5.6	4.2	4.
Above Average Ratio				---	3.7	9.2	15.
Total				---	9.3	13.4	19.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				3.4	2.4	3.4	1.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of tot

Park County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Age Class (years)		All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
Under 48	Over 48				With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	1	1	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	3	1
2	0	2	0	2	1	0
2	1	4	0	4	4	1
1	0	4	0	4	3	1
2	0	6	0	6	1	0
1	0	4	0	4	1	1
3	0	7	0	7	1	0
1	1	4	0	4	2	0
2	2	5	0	5	2	0
1	1	3	0	3	2	0
1	1	4	1	5	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
1	2	5	0	5	0	0
0	1	1	1	2	0	0
1	3	4	0	4	0	0
0	1	4	0	4	0	1
2	0	2	0	2	0	0
0	0	2	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3	6	10	2	12	0	0
3	19	72	6	78	21	8
8	40.9	29.6	---	29.8	22.7	15.0
0	11.4	4.7	---	4.9	8.1	5.0
2	39.7	11.4	---	19.5	3.1	16.0
2	51.1	16.1	---	24.4	11.2	21.0
8	2.1	13.1	4.4	17.5	53.2	2.7

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 al assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Leg.

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>			
<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
1	2	5	6
2	3	9	9
2	6	9	11
3	1	9	13
3	3	10	14
0	4	5	11
3	9	14	18
2	3	6	13
4	8	14	18
1	5	8	13
1	5	8	11
2	2	4	9
1	3	4	5
0	0	0	5
1	3	4	6
1	1	2	6
0	2	3	7
0	2	2	4
0	1	1	3
0	1	1	1
0	0	1	1
3	3	6	7
2	1	4	4
0	5	5	17
32	73	134	212
23.5	24.2	22.5	23.6
7.5	4.6	7.6	7.1
8.5	12.3	5.3	7.5
16.0	16.9	12.9	14.6
8.8	6.7	71.4	88.9

ative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class
for the Year 1959-1960

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	0	1
12 "	"	14		1	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	1	0
16 "	"	18		0	1	0	1	0
18 "	"	20		1	0	0	2	1
20 "	"	22		0	1	0	1	1
22 "	"	24		0	0	0	3	0
24 "	"	26		1	1	0	0	2
26 "	"	28		2	0	0	1	1
28 "	"	30		0	1	0	0	0
30 "	"	32		2	0	0	0	1
32 "	"	34		0	0	0	0	0
34 "	"	36		1	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38		2	2	0	0	0
38 "	"	40		0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42		0	0	0	1	0
42 "	"	44		0	1	0	0	0
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	0	1
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55		0	1	0	0	1
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over				0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases				10	8	0	10	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)				29.1	30.1	---	21.3	26.9
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				4.1	7.1	---	2.8	6.4
Above Average Ratio				5.9	10.1	---	2.4	7.6
Total				10.0	17.2	---	5.2	14.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

by Size
of Variation
of Property

(s)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Total Rural	Total County
	0	0	0	1	1
	1	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	2	3
	2	0	2	0	2
	4	0	4	0	4
	3	0	3	1	4
	3	1	4	2	6
	4	0	4	0	4
	4	0	4	0	4
	1	0	1	0	1
	3	0	3	2	5
	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	1	0	1
	4	0	4	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0
	1	0	1	0	1
	1	0	1	1	2
	1	0	1	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	1	1	0	1
	2	0	2	1	3
	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
	37	2	39	10	49
	24.2	---	25.1	20.8	21.6
	3.9	---	3.9	3.2	3.4
	4.4	---	10.2	7.4	7.8
	8.3	---	14.1	10.6	11.2
	12.2	14.3	26.5	73.2	99.7

fall when arranged from low to high.
used value in the county as reported

Sales Ratio Class (%)		One-Family Dwellings by /			
		1-8	9-18	19-28	29
	Under 10	0	0	0	
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	
12 "	" 14	1	1	0	
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	
16 "	" 18	0	1	0	
18 "	" 20	1	0	1	
20 "	" 22	1	1	0	
22 "	" 24	0	0	1	
24 "	" 26	1	1	0	
26 "	" 28	4	1	2	
28 "	" 30	0	1	0	
30 "	" 32	2	0	1	
32 "	" 34	5	0	0	
34 "	" 36	1	1	0	
36 "	" 38	3	2	0	
38 "	" 40	1	1	0	
40 "	" 42	0	0	1	
42 "	" 44	0	1	0	
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	
50 "	" 55	0	1	0	
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	
60 and Over		0	1	0	
Total Cases		20	13	6	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		30.1	29.9	30.4	29.1
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio		3.6	5.9	7.4	4.1
Above Average Ratio		3.9	10.1	0.6	3.0
Total		7.5	16.0	8.0	7.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		1.9	2.2	1.1	6.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Age Class (years)</u>		<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>	
<u>0-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>					<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	3	0	0	3	0	1
0	2	4	0	0	4	0	2
5	0	5	0	0	5	2	12
7	0	8	1	0	9	1	5
8	1	11	0	0	11	4	6
6	2	10	0	0	10	2	5
9	1	11	1	0	12	2	3
6	2	10	0	0	10	1	0
6	3	16	0	0	16	3	0
1	0	2	1	0	3	0	2
3	1	7	0	0	7	2	0
0	0	5	1	0	6	0	0
0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0
2	1	8	1	1	10	0	0
1	1	4	0	0	4	0	0
1	0	2	1	0	3	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0
0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
0	0	1	3	0	4	0	0
57	18	114	17	1	132	18	36
2.3	23.9	25.2	36.8	---	28.1	22.4	17.1
4.0	4.9	4.6	2.6	---	4.0	3.6	2.1
3.9	7.1	4.8	20.1	---	8.7	4.6	3.3
7.9	12.0	9.4	22.7	---	12.7	8.2	5.4
5.2	0.8	12.2	6.0	8.3	26.5	31.5	39.9

These ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 Total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative (

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	1	1
0	1	4
0	2	6
0	14	19
0	6	15
0	10	21
0	7	17
0	5	17
0	1	11
0	3	19
0	2	5
1	3	10
0	0	6
0	0	4
0	0	10
0	0	4
0	0	3
0	1	2
0	0	2
0	0	0
0	0	4
1	1	3
0	0	2
0	0	4
3	57	189
---	19.3	20.6
---	2.7	2.9
---	3.9	4.6
---	6.6	7.5
1.8	73.2	99.7

Council.

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by /</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	
12 "	"	14		1	1	0	
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	
16 "	"	18		0	1	0	
18 "	"	20		1	0	1	
20 "	"	22		1	1	0	
22 "	"	24		0	0	1	
24 "	"	26		1	1	0	
26 "	"	28		4	1	2	
28 "	"	30		0	1	0	
30 "	"	32		2	0	1	
32 "	"	34		5	0	0	
34 "	"	36		1	1	0	
36 "	"	38		3	2	0	
38 "	"	40		1	1	0	
40 "	"	42		0	0	1	
42 "	"	44		0	1	0	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	1	0	
55 "	"	60		0	0	0	
60 and Over				0	1	0	
Total Cases				20	13	6	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.1	29.9	30.4	29
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				3.6	5.9	7.4	4
Above Average Ratio				3.9	10.1	0.6	3
Total				7.5	16.0	8.0	7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				1.9	2.2	1.1	6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Age Class (years)</u>		<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>	
<u>0-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>					<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	2	3	0	0	3	0	1
0	2	4	0	0	4	0	2
5	0	5	0	0	5	2	12
7	0	8	1	0	9	1	5
8	1	11	0	0	11	4	6
6	2	10	0	0	10	2	5
9	1	11	1	0	12	2	3
6	2	10	0	0	10	1	0
6	3	16	0	0	16	3	0
1	0	2	1	0	3	0	2
3	1	7	0	0	7	2	0
0	0	5	1	0	6	0	0
0	0	2	2	0	4	0	0
2	1	8	1	1	10	0	0
1	1	4	0	0	4	0	0
1	0	2	1	0	3	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0
1	1	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	4	0	4	0	0
0	1	2	0	0	2	0	0
0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
0	0	1	3	0	4	0	0
57	18	114	17	1	132	18	36
2.3	23.9	25.2	36.8	---	28.1	22.4	17.1
4.0	4.9	4.6	2.6	---	4.0	3.6	2.1
3.9	7.1	4.8	20.1	---	8.7	4.6	3.3
7.9	12.0	9.4	22.7	---	12.7	8.2	5.4
5.2	0.8	12.2	6.0	8.3	26.5	31.5	39.9

These ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 Total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative (

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	1	1
0	1	4
0	2	6
0	14	19
0	6	15
0	10	21
0	7	17
0	5	17
0	1	11
0	3	19
0	2	5
1	3	10
0	0	6
0	0	4
0	0	10
0	0	4
0	0	3
0	1	2
0	0	2
0	0	0
0	0	4
1	1	3
0	0	2
0	0	4
3	57	189
---	19.3	20.6
---	2.7	2.9
---	3.9	4.6
---	6.6	7.5
1.8	73.2	99.7

Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Conveyances by S
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of P
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural With Impts.</u>	<u>Rural With Impt</u>
Under 10	0	0	0	0	1
10 and " 12	6	0	6	0	
12 " " 14	4	0	4	0	
14 " " 16	3	1	4	0	
16 " " 18	6	2	8	1	
18 " " 20	2	0	2	0	
20 " " 22	4	0	4	2	
22 " " 24	4	0	4	2	
24 " " 26	2	0	2	0	
26 " " 28	1	1	2	0	
28 " " 30	1	0	1	0	
30 " " 32	1	0	1	0	
32 " " 34	0	0	0	0	
34 " " 36	0	0	0	0	
36 " " 38	0	0	0	0	
38 " " 40	0	0	0	0	
40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	
42 " " 44	1	0	1	0	
44 " " 46	0	0	0	0	
46 " " 48	0	0	0	0	
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	
50 " " 55	0	0	0	0	
55 " " 60	1	0	1	0	
60 and Over	0	0	0	0	
Total Cases	36	4	40	6	2
Average Sales Ratio (%)	17.3	---	19.7	22.4	6.
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	3.4	---	5.2	1.9	0.
Above Average Ratio	3.0	---	2.1	1.1	3.
Total	6.4	---	7.3	3.0	3.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	25.5	19.7	45.2	24.2	3.

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rat
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total a
by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ize
 variation
 property

<u>ind</u> <u>out</u> <u>is.</u>	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	0	17	17
	0	1	7
)	0	0	4
)	1	1	5
	0	2	10
	0	1	3
	0	3	7
)	0	2	6
)	0	0	2
)	0	0	2
)	0	0	1
)	0	0	1
	0	1	1
)	0	0	0
)	0	0	0
)	0	0	0
)	0	0	1
)	0	0	0
)	0	0	0
)	0	1	1
)	0	0	0
)	0	0	1
)	0	0	0
2	1	29	69
3	---	17.1	18.2
4	---	1.4	3.2
)	---	1.9	2.4
)	---	3.3	5.6
4	25.0	52.7	97.9

os fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported

tic
ty

C
E

Sales Ratio Class (%)				On	
				1-8	9Y
	Under	10		0	8
10	and	"	12	0	5
12	"	"	14	0	3
14	"	"	16	3	1
16	"	"	18	4	1
18	"	"	20	5	2
20	"	"	22	6	2
22	"	"	24	8	9
24	"	"	26	4	7
26	"	"	28	4	9
28	"	"	30	3	7
30	"	"	32	2	4
32	"	"	34	2	5
34	"	"	36	0	2
36	"	"	38	0	1
38	"	"	40	0	0
40	"	"	42	0	5
42	"	"	44	1	1
44	"	"	46	0	0
46	"	"	48	0	0
48	"	"	50	0	2
50	"	"	55	0	2
55	"	"	60	0	0
60	and Over			0	1
Total Cases				42	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)				22.8	15
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio				3.4	7
Above Average Ratio				4.0	5
Total				7.4	2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				10.8	9

a. Range in percentage points within which
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of prc

2

all
ed

Pitkin County: Number of Conveyances by Si
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of V
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Pr
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>				
0	0	0	5	5	0	0	
0	1	0	10	11	1	0	
0	0	1	9	10	0	0	
3	0	0	10	16	2	0	
0	0	0	8	12	1	1	
2	0	0	3	10	1	0	
1	0	0	5	12	1	0	
1	0	0	6	15	1	0	
0	0	0	1	5	0	0	
0	0	0	0	4	3	0	
0	0	0	1	4	1	0	
1	0	0	0	3	0	1	
0	0	0	0	2	0	1	
0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
8	1	1	59	111	12	3	
4	---	---	14.9	17.7	22.9	---	
3	---	---	2.9	3.0	6.9	---	
6	---	---	4.6	4.4	4.4	---	
9	---	---	7.5	7.4	11.3	---	
7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	18.2	1.5	

the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
erty as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

ze
 ariation
 operty

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
5	0	1	0	22	23	28
12	0	0	0	3	3	15
10	2	0	1	0	3	13
18	2	1	0	0	3	21
14	0	0	3	4	7	21
11	0	0	0	1	1	12
13	1	0	4	4	9	22
16	1	0	2	0	3	19
5	0	1	0	1	2	7
7	0	1	0	1	2	9
5	0	0	2	0	2	7
4	0	0	0	0	0	4
3	0	1	0	1	2	5
1	0	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	5	5	5
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	2	2
1	0	0	0	1	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	1
126	6	7	14	44	71	197
19.7	15.3	28.4	21.6	12.8	17.6	18.5
4.5	1.8	10.9	3.9	6.5	3.1	3.7
4.3	5.7	7.6	6.9	8.7	6.4	5.5
8.8	7.5	18.5	10.8	15.2	9.5	9.2
45.2	24.2	0.9	24.2	3.4	52.7	97.9

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: Number of C
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat
and Proportion of Assessed Valu
for the Year 195

Sales Ratio Class (%)			One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					A
			1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	A
Under	10		0	0	0	0	0	
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0	
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	1	0	
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	1	0	
16 "	"	18	0	1	1	0	3	
18 "	"	20	1	2	1	1	3	
20 "	"	22	2	5	0	1	7	
22 "	"	24	3	3	2	4	6	
24 "	"	26	3	4	1	9	5	
26 "	"	28	4	8	0	7	5	
28 "	"	30	1	3	0	0	5	
30 "	"	32	0	0	0	4	0	
32 "	"	34	1	1	0	3	3	
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	1	
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	1	2	
38 "	"	40	0	1	0	1	2	
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	2	2	
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0	
44 "	"	46	0	0	1	0	0	
46 "	"	48	0	1	0	0	0	
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	1	
50 "	"	55	1	1	1	0	0	
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0	
60 and Over			0	1	0	1	1	
Total Cases			16	31	7	36	46	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			25.0	25.2	23.9	26.2	25.8	
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio			2.3	3.3	4.0	2.0	4.2	
Above Average Ratio			2.5	3.0	16.1	5.3	6.5	
Total			4.8	6.3	20.1	7.3	10.7	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asse
assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 1959-1960

<u>Size</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	0	1	0	1	1	2
5	0	0	5	0	0	0	5
8	0	0	8	0	3	3	11
15	0	0	15	0	0	0	15
18	0	1	19	0	0	0	19
22	0	0	22	0	0	0	22
24	0	0	24	2	1	3	27
9	0	1	10	0	0	0	10
4	1	0	5	0	0	0	5
8	0	0	8	2	0	2	10
1	0	1	2	0	0	0	2
3	0	1	4	1	0	1	5
4	1	0	5	1	0	1	6
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	1	0	1	3
1	1	1	3	0	0	0	3
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
3	1	0	4	0	0	0	4
0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
3	4	0	7	1	0	1	8
136	11	5	152	8	5	13	165
5.5	55.6	---	31.7	37.9	---	29.5	30.4
3.0	10.1	---	4.6	7.9	---	4.5	4.6
5.1	7.5	---	5.5	4.1	---	4.3	4.7
8.1	17.6	---	10.1	12.0	---	8.8	9.3
3.1	12.2	4.4	39.7	45.7	13.7	59.4	99.1

fall when arranged from low to high.
 used value in the county as reported by the

Prowers County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of
for the T

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (year

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 4</u>
Under 10	0	1	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0	2	1
12 " " 14	0	0	0	2	0
14 " " 16	2	0	1	3	0
16 " " 18	0	1	1	2	3
18 " " 20	1	3	2	2	8
20 " " 22	3	11	1	6	9
22 " " 24	6	6	3	10	10
24 " " 26	3	7	4	14	12
26 " " 28	14	16	1	13	12
28 " " 30	7	12	3	3	9
30 " " 32	8	4	2	4	3
32 " " 34	4	3	0	6	6
34 " " 36	2	1	0	3	4
36 " " 38	2	1	1	3	4
38 " " 40	0	1	0	2	4
40 " " 42	0	0	0	3	4
42 " " 44	0	1	1	0	3
44 " " 46	0	0	1	1	0
46 " " 48	0	1	0	1	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0	2	1
50 " " 55	1	2	1	1	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0	0	1
60 and Over	0	4	0	9	1
Total Cases	53	75	22	92	98
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.1	27.1	25.6	26.7	26.9
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.3	4.2	3.3	3.5	4.2
Above Average Ratio	3.8	2.8	4.9	8.6	7.3
Total	6.1	7.0	8.2	12.1	11.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 -Year Period 1957-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agri With Impts.</u>
1	0	0	1	0
3	0	0	3	0
2	0	0	2	3
6	0	0	6	1
7	0	0	7	3
16	0	0	16	1
30	0	1	31	0
35	1	1	37	1
40	0	0	40	1
56	0	0	56	2
34	1	1	36	0
21	2	0	23	2
19	0	0	19	5
10	0	1	11	3
11	1	1	13	2
7	2	0	9	1
7	1	0	8	2
5	0	0	5	1
2	3	0	5	3
3	1	1	5	0
3	0	0	3	1
7	1	0	8	3
1	2	0	3	0
14	5	1	20	2
340	20	7	367	37
26.8	42.6	---	31.0	34.0
3.5	4.8	---	4.2	9.5
5.8	17.4	---	9.2	9.5
9.3	22.2	---	13.4	19.0
23.1	12.2	4.4	39.7	45.7

...n arranged from low to high.
 ...e in the county as reported by the assessor to the L...

<u>c. Land</u> <u>Without</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
3	0	3	4
2	0	2	5
4	0	7	9
7	0	8	14
9	0	12	19
9	0	10	26
7	0	7	38
3	0	4	41
5	0	6	46
2	0	4	60
0	0	0	36
3	0	5	28
1	0	6	25
0	0	3	14
0	0	2	15
0	0	1	10
1	0	3	11
0	0	1	6
0	0	3	8
1	0	1	6
1	0	2	5
0	0	3	11
1	0	1	4
1	0	3	23
60	0	97	464
18.7	---	28.6	29.5
3.0	---	7.0	5.9
5.7	---	8.4	8.7
8.7	---	15.4	14.6
13.7	0.0	59.4	99.1

Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>C</u>
Under 10	1	0	1	1	
10 and " 12	3	1	0	6	
12 " " 14	1	1	4	17	
14 " " 16	5	3	2	24	
16 " " 18	4	3	2	28	
18 " " 20	9	12	1	17	
20 " " 22	34	19	5	21	
22 " " 24	53	29	6	21	
24 " " 26	111	33	6	15	
26 " " 28	126	38	4	8	
28 " " 30	111	22	3	6	
30 " " 32	71	8	1	3	
32 " " 34	28	9	0	2	
34 " " 36	11	9	1	0	
36 " " 38	4	2	3	1	
38 " " 40	6	2	0	2	
40 " " 42	6	8	0	2	
42 " " 44	4	4	0	0	
44 " " 46	1	0	1	1	
46 " " 48	2	3	0	1	
48 " " 50	4	4	0	0	
50 " " 55	3	1	0	1	
55 " " 60	1	2	0	0	
60 and Over	9	2	0	1	
Total Cases	608	215	40	178	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	26.3	23.4	19.6	
Measure of Variation ^a					
Below Average Ratio	2.6	3.3	3.4	3.9	
Above Average Ratio	2.6	3.8	4.1	4.3	
Total	5.2	7.1	7.5	8.2	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total ass

Pueblo County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>(years)</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Multi-Family</u>	<u>Commercial</u>	<u>All</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Agric.</u>
<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Dwellings</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Land</u>
				<u>Urban</u>		<u>With</u>
						<u>Impts.</u>
9	12	0	0	2	14	3
26	36	0	0	0	36	1
24	47	0	1	0	48	3
41	75	0	1	2	78	1
23	60	2	0	0	62	3
17	56	1	4	0	61	1
19	98	3	2	0	103	0
21	130	0	2	0	132	0
10	175	0	1	0	176	2
13	189	1	1	0	191	2
4	146	0	2	0	148	0
9	92	2	1	0	95	1
3	42	1	2	0	45	0
5	26	1	3	0	30	0
1	11	1	1	0	13	0
3	13	2	2	0	17	0
2	18	0	1	0	19	0
1	9	0	2	0	11	0
0	3	0	1	0	4	0
0	6	0	2	0	8	0
1	9	0	0	1	10	0
0	5	1	1	0	7	0
0	3	0	1	0	4	0
1	13	0	3	0	16	0
233	1,274	15	34	5	1,328	17
18.2	23.4	29.5	33.1	---	25.6	15.8
4.3	3.4	9.0	10.6	---	5.3	3.6
5.3	3.8	7.0	10.4	---	4.7	9.0
9.6	7.2	16.0	21.0	---	10.0	12.6
7.9	47.0	1.5	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.2

...s fall when arranged from low to high.
...essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislati

<u>Misc. Rural Land</u> <u>With</u>	<u>Rural Land</u> <u>Without</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
4	18	1	26	40
1	7	0	9	45
4	15	0	22	70
3	11	1	16	94
9	7	0	19	81
9	4	0	14	75
6	9	2	17	120
4	4	0	8	140
4	3	0	9	185
3	2	0	7	198
3	5	0	8	156
2	7	0	10	105
0	1	0	1	46
2	4	0	6	36
1	2	0	3	16
1	2	1	4	21
1	1	0	2	21
0	0	0	0	11
2	2	0	4	8
0	0	0	0	8
0	0	0	0	10
0	0	0	0	7
0	0	0	0	4
1	0	0	1	17
60	104	5	186	1,514
21.3	17.3	---	19.7	23.3
4.6	5.2	---	4.4	5.0
5.4	8.7	---	6.5	5.4
10.0	13.9	---	10.9	10.4
24.7	0.3	0.5	32.7	98.4

ve Council.

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One-Family Dwel		
	1-8	9-18	19-
Under 10	1	2	
10 and " 12	5	2	
12 " " 14	4	4	
14 " " 16	9	11	1
16 " " 18	9	9	
18 " " 20	23	35	1
20 " " 22	78	62	2
22 " " 24	176	87	1
24 " " 26	366	86	1
26 " " 28	396	91	1
28 " " 30	369	60	
30 " " 32	254	26	
32 " " 34	119	26	
34 " " 36	61	20	
36 " " 38	23	8	
38 " " 40	20	3	
40 " " 42	16	15	
42 " " 44	13	5	
44 " " 46	4	3	
46 " " 48	13	5	
48 " " 50	7	5	
50 " " 55	8	3	
55 " " 60	4	4	
60 and Over	17	7	
Total Cases	1,995	579	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	25.8	23.
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	2.6	3.3	4.
Above Average Ratio	2.8	3.7	4.
Total	5.4	7.0	8.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.9	8.4	2.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per

Pueblo County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variati
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

lings by Age Class (years)				Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings
28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages			
2	5	31	41	0	0	2
11	20	64	92	0	0	0
9	41	72	130	0	2	0
2	61	108	201	0	3	2
8	71	93	190	3	3	0
1	71	45	185	2	7	2
3	70	63	296	5	4	0
9	65	47	394	0	6	0
7	45	25	539	3	3	2
6	27	28	558	5	5	0
6	22	17	474	3	6	0
8	17	15	320	4	3	0
1	13	13	172	2	5	1
8	1	11	101	4	9	0
7	3	7	48	2	6	0
4	4	6	34	3	4	1
6	3	3	37	1	3	0
0	2	3	23	1	3	0
2	2	1	12	0	3	0
1	1	2	22	0	3	0
9	1	3	17	0	0	1
8	3	0	14	1	3	0
8	0	1	9	1	3	0
0	2	1	27	0	6	2
3	550	659	3,936	40	90	13
5	20.4	18.0	23.6	29.2	32.4	31.9
4	4.1	4.1	3.5	6.1	9.2	16.7
1	4.0	4.8	3.7	6.3	8.6	9.6
5	8.1	8.9	7.2	12.4	17.8	26.3
6	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	15.5	1.7

half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
percent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor

on

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
43	3	8	5	39	55	98
92	2	1	5	10	18	110
132	4	1	11	25	41	173
206	6	1	6	11	24	230
196	8	5	13	11	37	233
196	4	3	16	7	30	226
305	3	9	10	16	38	343
400	4	2	10	10	26	426
547	8	0	7	7	22	569
568	8	0	7	2	17	585
483	1	0	8	6	15	498
327	2	0	3	7	12	339
180	1	0	2	1	4	184
114	0	0	3	7	10	124
56	1	1	2	2	6	62
42	0	1	4	2	7	49
41	0	1	2	1	4	45
27	0	1	1	0	2	29
15	0	0	2	2	4	19
25	0	1	0	0	1	26
18	0	0	0	0	0	18
18	0	0	1	1	2	20
13	0	0	0	0	0	13
35	1	0	2	1	4	39
4,079	56	35	120	168	379	4,458
25.5	18.9	13.2	20.9	16.0	20.2	23.4
4.9	3.2	1.7	4.4	5.4	4.1	4.5
4.8	9.1	8.4	7.1	7.4	7.6	5.9
9.7	12.3	10.1	11.5	12.8	11.7	10.4
65.7	7.2	0.5	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

to the Legislative Council.

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	1	1
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	1	0	1	0	1
14 "	"	16	1	0	1	0	1
16 "	"	18	2	0	2	0	2
18 "	"	20	2	0	2	0	2
20 "	"	22	2	0	2	0	2
22 "	"	24	2	0	2	0	2
24 "	"	26	3	0	3	0	3
26 "	"	28	1	1	2	0	2
28 "	"	30	3	0	3	0	3
30 "	"	32	1	0	1	0	1
32 "	"	34	3	0	3	0	3
34 "	"	36	4	0	4	0	4
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	1	0	1	0	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	1
46 "	"	48	1	0	1	0	1
48 "	"	50	2	0	2	0	2
50 "	"	55	1	0	1	0	1
55 "	"	60	0	1	1	1	2
60 and Over			0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases			30	2	32	3	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)			27.7	---	28.4	---	25.8
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			3.2	---	3.7	---	1.1
Above Average Ratio			6.0	---	6.9	---	9.5
Total			9.2	---	10.6	---	10.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			23.1	13.2	36.3	61.2	97.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Co

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age C</u>			
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
		Under	10	0	0	0	0
10	and	"	12	0	0	0	0
12	"	"	14	0	1	0	1
14	"	"	16	0	0	3	1
16	"	"	18	0	3	1	0
18	"	"	20	0	2	0	1
20	"	"	22	0	2	1	1
22	"	"	24	0	1	0	1
24	"	"	26	4	3	0	0
26	"	"	28	2	2	1	0
28	"	"	30	2	11	0	1
30	"	"	32	1	6	0	2
32	"	"	34	1	5	0	0
34	"	"	36	3	7	1	0
36	"	"	38	1	2	0	0
38	"	"	40	1	1	0	0
40	"	"	42	1	4	0	0
42	"	"	44	0	0	0	0
44	"	"	46	1	0	0	0
46	"	"	48	0	1	0	0
48	"	"	50	1	1	0	0
50	"	"	55	0	1	0	0
55	"	"	60	1	0	0	0
60	and Over			0	1	0	0
Total Cases				20	54	7	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.3	29.6	18.9	21.0
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				4.2	2.1	3.7	4.0
Above Average Ratio				7.7	5.7	6.6	8.8
Total				11.9	7.8	10.3	12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				6.4	7.8	2.6	3.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ra
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

y: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Age Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Three-Year Period 1957-1960

(years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
				With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	3
0	2	0	2	2	2
3	7	0	7	0	0
1	5	0	5	0	0
0	3	0	3	0	0
1	6	1	7	0	1
0	2	0	2	0	1
0	7	0	7	0	0
0	5	1	6	0	1
2	16	0	16	1	0
0	9	1	10	0	0
0	6	0	6	1	0
0	11	0	11	0	0
0	3	0	3	0	0
0	2	0	2	0	0
0	5	0	5	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	2	3	0	0
0	2	1	3	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0
0	1	3	4	0	0
1	2	2	4	0	1
8	97	11	108	6	11
16.9	24.4	---	31.3	22.0	16.7
1.6	3.0	---	7.9	8.5	5.5
12.1	8.0	---	11.9	18.5	9.3
13.7	11.0	---	19.8	27.0	14.8
3.0	23.1	13.2	36.3	50.5	4.0

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the I

<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	1	1
0	3	3
0	4	6
0	0	7
0	0	5
1	1	4
1	2	9
0	1	3
1	1	8
0	1	7
0	1	17
0	0	10
0	1	7
1	1	12
0	0	3
0	0	2
0	2	7
0	0	0
1	2	3
0	0	3
0	0	3
0	0	1
1	1	5
0	1	5
6	23	131
---	21.5	24.3
---	7.7	7.6
---	17.5	16.0
---	25.2	23.6
6.7	61.2	97.5

islative Council.

Rio Grande County: Number
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed V
for the Year

		<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under 10	0	1	0	0	0
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0	1
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	1	0
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	" 18	0	0	0	0	0
18 "	" 20	0	0	1	0	2
20 "	" 22	0	0	0	1	0
22 "	" 24	0	1	0	0	2
24 "	" 26	0	1	0	1	3
26 "	" 28	0	0	0	2	2
28 "	" 30	2	3	0	3	1
30 "	" 32	3	1	1	1	3
32 "	" 34	1	0	0	0	3
34 "	" 36	0	0	0	1	3
36 "	" 38	2	0	0	1	1
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	0	1
40 "	" 42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	1
44 "	" 46	1	1	0	1	0
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	0	0	1	0	1
55 "	" 60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over		0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases		9	8	3	12	24
Average Sales Ratio (%)		33.0	27.7	---	28.3	28.7
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		2.8	3.7	---	2.3	4.0
Above Average Ratio		3.8	2.6	---	4.7	6.0
Total		6.6	6.3	---	7.0	10.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.6

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessor to the Legislative Council.

of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Value by Class of Property
 1959-1960

<u>All</u> <u>Ages</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Urban</u>	<u>Agric.</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>Misc.</u> <u>Rural</u> <u>Land</u> <u>With</u> <u>Impts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2
3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2
3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
5	1	6	0	0	1	1	7
4	1	5	0	0	0	0	5
9	0	9	0	1	0	1	10
9	0	9	1	2	0	3	12
4	1	5	1	0	0	1	6
4	0	4	0	2	0	2	6
4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
1	1	2	1	1	0	2	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	3	1	0	0	1	4
0	1	1	1	1	0	2	3
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	2	1	0	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
56	8	64	9	7	4	20	84
29.5	---	31.0	34.1	34.2	---	34.0	33.0
3.7	---	3.8	5.1	3.4	---	4.9	4.5
5.7	---	9.7	11.4	3.9	---	10.3	10.0
9.4	---	13.5	16.5	7.3	---	15.2	14.5
20.3	11.6	31.9	54.2	8.9	4.3	67.4	99.3

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the

R:
of Sa
and

One-Family Dwellings by A

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29</u>
	Under	10		0	1	0	
10 and	"	12		0	0	0	
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	
16 "	"	18		0	0	0	
18 "	"	20		0	0	2	
20 "	"	22		0	0	0	
22 "	"	24		1	1	0	
24 "	"	26		0	2	1	
26 "	"	28		1	1	2	
28 "	"	30		3	6	3	
30 "	"	32		11	3	1	
32 "	"	34		6	1	1	
34 "	"	36		2	2	0	
36 "	"	38		4	2	1	
38 "	"	40		3	1	1	
40 "	"	42		3	2	0	
42 "	"	44		1	0	0	
44 "	"	46		1	2	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	1	1	
55 "	"	60		0	1	0	
60 and Over				2	2	2	
Total Cases				38	28	15	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				33.9	33.0	31.0	26
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				3.1	4.3	4.2	3
Above Average Ratio				4.4	8.0	7.5	3
Total				7.5	12.3	11.7	7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				4.8	3.1	2.0	4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of th
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of to

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Five-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. With Impts.</u>
1	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	2	0
2	0	0	2	1
0	0	1	1	5
9	2	0	11	3
8	0	0	8	2
13	0	0	13	1
13	3	0	16	1
22	0	1	23	2
25	1	0	26	0
29	0	0	29	1
13	1	0	14	3
14	0	1	15	3
14	0	0	14	2
8	3	0	11	3
9	3	0	12	0
5	1	0	6	1
5	0	0	5	4
3	2	0	5	1
1	1	1	3	4
4	1	0	5	2
3	0	0	3	2
11	2	0	13	1
214	20	5	239	43
30.7	35.7	---	32.1	34.2
3.9	8.4	---	5.4	13.4
5.8	11.3	---	7.5	11.4
9.7	19.7	---	12.9	24.8
20.3	10.2	1.4	31.9	54.2

arranged from low to high.
 in the county as reported by the assessor to the Leg

<u>Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
2	1	4	6
0	1	6	7
0	1	4	15
1	1	4	12
2	0	3	16
1	2	4	20
3	0	5	28
1	3	4	30
3	0	4	33
2	0	5	19
2	1	6	21
1	0	3	17
2	0	5	16
0	2	2	14
1	0	2	8
0	0	4	9
1	0	2	7
0	0	4	7
1	0	3	8
0	0	2	5
1	2	4	17
24	14	81	320
32.2	---	33.5	33.0
6.2	---	11.4	9.4
5.8	---	10.7	9.7
12.0	---	22.1	19.1
8.9	4.3	67.4	99.3

islative Council.

Routt County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Ass'd. Value
for

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	0	0
10	and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	1	0
14	"	"	16	0	0	0	0	0
16	"	"	18	0	0	1	0	0
18	"	"	20	1	0	0	1	0
20	"	"	22	0	0	0	0	1
22	"	"	24	0	0	0	1	0
24	"	"	26	0	2	0	1	1
26	"	"	28	1	1	0	1	1
28	"	"	30	0	3	1	3	3
30	"	"	32	0	2	0	2	1
32	"	"	34	0	1	1	1	1
34	"	"	36	0	2	0	0	0
36	"	"	38	0	0	0	1	0
38	"	"	40	0	2	0	1	1
40	"	"	42	0	2	1	1	1
42	"	"	44	0	1	0	1	0
44	"	"	46	0	0	0	2	1
46	"	"	48	0	2	0	1	0
48	"	"	50	0	1	2	0	0
50	"	"	55	0	0	0	1	1
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	0	1
60	and Over			0	1	5	11	0
Total Cases				2	20	11	30	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)				---	34.9	42.4	37.3	31.7
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				---	5.6	7.4	7.6	3.5
Above Average Ratio				---	7.1	21.4	27.1	10.3
Total				---	12.7	28.8	34.7	13.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				3.3	4.6	2.5	6.5	2.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 The Year 1959-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	0	1	1	2	1	1
4	0	0	4	2	0	1	0
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
10	1	0	11	0	1	0	2
5	0	0	5	0	2	1	0
4	2	0	6	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
4	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
5	1	0	6	1	1	0	0
2	1	0	3	2	0	0	0
3	0	0	3	2	0	0	0
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
17	2	0	19	0	1	2	0
76	9	0	85	10	8	6	5
32.8	39.8	---	34.8	25.7	30.2	28.9	---
5.5	6.6	---	5.8	2.7	4.0	5.9	---
14.4	19.0	---	15.7	17.8	6.8	32.3	---
19.9	25.6	---	21.5	20.5	10.8	38.2	---
19.0	9.1	0.7	28.8	59.0	4.0	2.5	5.1

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0
0	0
0	1
0	0
1	2
1	3
2	3
5	6
3	7
0	4
3	14
3	8
2	8
0	2
0	2
0	4
2	8
2	5
2	5
0	3
0	3
0	3
0	1
3	22
29	114
25.9	27.9
2.8	3.5
16.8	16.6
19.6	20.1
70.6	99.4

e Council.

Saguache County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	0	0
16 "	"	18	0	0	0	0	0
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	0	0
22 "	"	24	0	1	1	0	1
24 "	"	26	1	0	1	0	1
26 "	"	28	1	0	1	2	3
28 "	"	30	1	0	1	1	2
30 "	"	32	2	0	2	0	2
32 "	"	34	1	0	1	1	2
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	0
36 "	"	38	3	0	3	0	3
38 "	"	40	2	0	2	1	3
40 "	"	42	1	0	1	0	1
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	1	1	2	1	3
50 "	"	55	1	0	1	0	1
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			3	0	3	1	4
Total Cases			17	2	19	7	26
Average Sales Ratio (%)			34.4	---	31.9	33.2	32.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			3.3	---	4.4	6.0	5.6
Above Average Ratio			29.7	---	25.4	12.9	15.4
Total			33.0	---	29.8	18.9	21.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			13.4	6.6	20.0	79.5	99.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by A

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	
10 and	"	12		0	0	1	
12 "	"	14		0	0	0	
14 "	"	16		0	0	0	
16 "	"	18		0	1	0	
18 "	"	20		0	0	0	
20 "	"	22		0	1	0	
22 "	"	24		0	0	1	
24 "	"	26		0	1	1	
26 "	"	28		0	2	2	
28 "	"	30		0	0	2	
30 "	"	32		0	3	0	
32 "	"	34		1	2	0	
34 "	"	36		0	0	0	
36 "	"	38		2	0	0	
38 "	"	40		0	2	1	
40 "	"	42		0	0	1	
42 "	"	44		0	0	0	
44 "	"	46		0	0	0	
46 "	"	48		0	0	0	
48 "	"	50		0	0	0	
50 "	"	55		0	0	1	
55 "	"	60		0	0	1	
60 and Over				0	4	0	
Total Cases				3	16	11	
Average Sales Ratio (%)				36.6	28.9	26.0	32
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				2.7	1.9	0.5	3
Above Average Ratio				0.6	27.1	14.5	8
Total				3.3	29.0	15.0	12
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				1.9	2.7	2.1	4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of to

Saguache County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

Age Class (years)		All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. With Impts.
Under 48	Over 48					
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	0
1	0	2	0	0	2	0
0	0	1	2	0	3	0
1	0	3	0	0	3	0
2	0	6	0	0	6	2
1	0	3	0	0	3	0
2	0	5	0	0	5	0
2	1	6	0	0	6	2
2	1	3	0	0	3	0
3	1	6	0	1	7	0
0	0	3	0	0	3	2
0	0	1	1	0	2	0
1	1	2	0	0	2	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	1	1
1	0	1	1	0	2	1
0	0	1	0	0	1	1
2	0	3	0	0	3	0
0	2	6	2	0	8	3
19	6	55	7	1	63	13
.9	41.0	32.1	39.1	---	34.1	42.6
.4	6.0	2.8	16.3	---	6.6	9.4
.8	26.5	15.1	42.5	---	22.9	13.2
.2	32.5	17.9	58.8	---	29.5	22.6
.7	2.0	13.4	6.6	0.0	20.0	69.7

These ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 Total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legis.

<u>nd</u> <u>thout</u> <u>pts.</u>	<u>All</u> <u>Other</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>Rural</u>	<u>Total</u> <u>County</u>
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	2
1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1
0	0	0	1
0	2	2	4
1	0	1	4
1	1	2	5
1	0	3	9
1	0	1	4
0	0	0	5
1	0	3	9
0	0	0	3
0	0	0	7
1	0	3	6
0	0	0	2
0	0	0	2
1	0	2	2
0	0	1	2
0	1	2	4
0	0	1	2
0	0	0	3
0	0	3	11
9	4	26	89
4.4	---	39.1	38.0
3.9	---	8.2	7.9
0.1	---	12.4	14.8
4.0	---	20.6	22.7
7.5	2.3	79.5	99.5

ative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	3	0	3
16 " " 18	1	0	1
18 " " 20	3	0	3
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	0	0	0
24 " " 26	0	0	0
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	1	0	1
30 " " 32	2	0	2
32 " " 34	1	0	1
34 " " 36	5	0	5
36 " " 38	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	0	0
42 " " 44	0	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	0	1
50 " " 55	0	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	3	0	3
Total Cases	24	0	24
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	34.9
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	15.6
Above Average Ratio	---	---	0.7
Total	---	---	16.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.8	68.1	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	3	0	3
16 " " 18	2	0	2
18 " " 20	3	0	3
20 " " 22	2	0	2
22 " " 24	3	0	3
24 " " 26	2	0	2
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	2	0	2
30 " " 32	4	0	4
32 " " 34	1	0	1
34 " " 36	5	0	5
36 " " 38	1	0	1
38 " " 40	2	0	2
40 " " 42	2	0	2
42 " " 44	1	0	1
44 " " 46	1	0	1
46 " " 48	0	0	0
48 " " 50	1	1	2
50 " " 55	2	0	2
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	8	0	8
Total Cases	47	1	48
Average Sales Ratio (%)	---	---	36.5
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	---	---	13.2
Above Average Ratio	---	---	12.5
Total	---	---	25.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.8	68.1	98.9

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
Under 10	0	0	0
10 and " 12	0	0	0
12 " " 14	0	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0	0
16 " " 18	0	0	0
18 " " 20	1	0	1
20 " " 22	0	0	0
22 " " 24	0	0	0
24 " " 26	3	1	4
26 " " 28	1	0	1
28 " " 30	1	0	1
30 " " 32	1	2	3
32 " " 34	1	0	1
34 " " 36	1	1	2
36 " " 38	1	0	1
38 " " 40	0	0	0
40 " " 42	0	1	1
42 " " 44	2	0	2
44 " " 46	2	0	2
46 " " 48	1	0	1
48 " " 50	0	0	0
50 " " 55	1	1	2
55 " " 60	0	0	0
60 and Over	8	0	8
Total Cases	24	6	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)	38.3	33.9	34.8
Measure of Variation ^a			
Below Average Ratio	4.9	3.4	3.7
Above Average Ratio	28.2	7.1	11.2
Total	33.1	10.5	14.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	21.7	78.0	99.7

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sales Ratio Class

	Under	10
10 and	"	12
12 "	"	14
14 "	"	16
16 "	"	18
18 "	"	20
20 "	"	22
22 "	"	24
24 "	"	26
26 "	"	28
28 "	"	30
30 "	"	32
32 "	"	34
34 "	"	36
36 "	"	38
38 "	"	40
40 "	"	42
42 "	"	44
44 "	"	46
46 "	"	48
48 "	"	50
50 "	"	55
55 "	"	60
60 and Over		

Total Cases

Average Sales Ratio

Measure of Variatic

Below Average Rat

Above Average Rat

Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Val

- Range in percer
from low to high
- Assessed value
county as repor

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

(%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
	1	0	1	1	1	2	3
	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
	0	1	1	1	0	1	3
	3	0	3	0	2	2	5
	4	0	4	0	1	1	5
	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
	1	1	2	1	2	3	6
	3	0	3	0	0	0	4
	2	0	2	0	1	1	3
	3	0	3	0	0	0	4
	1	0	1	1	1	2	3
	1	0	1	1	1	2	8
	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
	3	0	3	1	0	1	5
	1	0	1	0	0	0	2
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	4	0	4	0	2	2	7
	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
	17	4	21	4	0	4	26
	56	7	63	11	13	24	87
(%)	37.2	---	38.9	---	---	28.2	30.0
Ratio ^a	7.2	---	7.3	---	---	4.2	4.7
Ratio	27.8	---	30.3	---	---	19.9	21.8
Ratio	35.0	---	37.6	---	---	24.1	26.5
Value ^b	16.5	5.2	21.7	44.5	33.5	78.0	99.7

Percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged in order of magnitude.
 in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>		<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under 10	0	0	0	2	2
10 and	" 12	0	0	0	0	0
12 "	" 14	0	0	0	0	0
14 "	" 16	0	0	0	1	1
16 "	" 18	2	0	2	0	2
18 "	" 20	3	0	3	0	3
20 "	" 22	4	0	4	1	5
22 "	" 24	7	0	7	0	7
24 "	" 26	2	1	3	0	3
26 "	" 28	5	0	5	1	6
28 "	" 30	3	0	3	0	3
30 "	" 32	1	0	1	0	1
32 "	" 34	0	0	0	0	0
34 "	" 36	3	0	3	0	3
36 "	" 38	2	1	3	0	3
38 "	" 40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	" 42	2	0	2	0	2
42 "	" 44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	" 46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	" 48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	" 50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	" 55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	" 60	1	1	2	0	2
60 and Over		3	3	6	0	6
Total Cases		38	6	44	5	49
Average Sales Ratio (%)		25.6	---	33.7	20.9	23.8
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio		3.4	---	6.9	10.0	8.1
Above Average Ratio		7.2	---	14.3	4.6	8.5
Total		10.6	---	21.2	14.6	16.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b		13.4	18.4	31.8	67.7	99.5

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

One-Family Dwellings by Age C

Sales Ratio Class (%)			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	0	0	0	0
12 "	"	14	0	0	0	1
14 "	"	16	0	0	0	3
16 "	"	18	0	0	1	2
18 "	"	20	0	0	1	7
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	5
22 "	"	24	0	1	0	9
24 "	"	26	0	3	1	6
26 "	"	28	1	5	1	3
28 "	"	30	1	2	1	3
30 "	"	32	2	1	0	2
32 "	"	34	1	1	1	2
34 "	"	36	3	2	2	0
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	1
38 "	"	40	1	1	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	1	1
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	1
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	1
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	2
60 and Over			0	1	1	2
Total Cases			9	17	10	51
Average Sales Ratio (%)			32.0	28.8	27.2	23.7
Measure of Variation ^a						
Below Average Ratio			1.8	2.7	2.2	3.8
Above Average Ratio			3.2	4.7	8.3	5.8
Total			5.0	7.4	10.5	9.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ra
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

Number of Conveyances by Size
 Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Assessed Value by Class of Property
 Three-Year Period 1957-1960

(years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land	
				With Impts.	Without Impts.
0	0	0	0	2	1
0	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	1
0	3	0	3	2	2
0	3	0	3	3	3
2	10	0	10	1	2
0	5	0	5	2	1
3	13	0	13	1	0
3	13	1	14	1	0
1	11	0	11	2	0
0	7	0	7	1	1
0	5	0	5	0	0
0	5	0	5	0	0
0	7	0	7	0	0
1	2	1	3	0	0
0	2	0	2	0	0
0	2	1	3	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	1	0	0
1	2	1	3	0	0
0	2	2	4	0	0
1	5	5	10	0	0
12	99	11	110	15	12
27.5	26.8	---	33.5	21.1	16.9
4.8	3.2	---	2.8	5.3	2.9
4.5	5.2	---	12.6	3.4	2.1
9.3	8.4	---	15.4	8.7	5.0
0.8	13.4	18.4	31.8	40.9	26.6

fall when arranged from low to high.
 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the 1

f

	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	1	4	4
	2	3	3
	0	1	2
	0	4	7
	0	6	9
	0	3	13
	0	3	8
	0	1	14
	0	1	15
	0	2	13
	0	2	9
	0	0	5
	0	0	5
	0	0	7
	0	0	3
	0	0	2
	1	1	4
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	0
	0	0	1
	0	0	3
	0	0	4
	0	0	10
10	4	31	141
.2	---	19.2	22.3
.2	---	4.2	4.0
.3	---	2.9	4.9
.5	---	7.1	8.9
.4	0.2	67.7	99.5

h. legislative Council.

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	1	1
10 and	"	12	1	0	1	0	1
12 "	"	14	1	0	1	0	1
14 "	"	16	1	0	1	0	1
16 "	"	18	1	0	1	1	2
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	0
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	1	1
22 "	"	24	2	0	2	0	2
24 "	"	26	2	0	2	1	3
26 "	"	28	1	0	1	0	1
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	0	0
30 "	"	32	1	0	1	0	1
32 "	"	34	1	0	1	1	2
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	3	3
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	0	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46 "	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	0
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55 "	"	60	1	0	1	0	1
60 and Over			4	0	4	1	5
Total Cases			16	0	16	9	25
Average Sales Ratio (%)			26.0	---	25.1	26.1	25.9
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			5.9	---	5.0	2.8	2.9
Above Average Ratio			38.5	---	39.4	19.2	21.1
Total			43.4	---	44.4	22.0	24.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			8.5	7.0	15.5	83.9	99.4

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Summit County: Nun
of Sales Ratio, Average S
and Proportion of Asses
for the

Summi
of Sales Ra
and Propc

Sales Ratio Class (%)				One Famil Dwelli
	Under	10		(
10	and	"	12	:
12	"	"	14	:
14	"	"	16	:
16	"	"	18	:
18	"	"	20	(
20	"	"	22	(
22	"	"	24	:
24	"	"	26	:
26	"	"	28	:
28	"	"	30	(
30	"	"	32	:
32	"	"	34	:
34	"	"	36	(
36	"	"	38	(
38	"	"	40	(
40	"	"	42	(
42	"	"	44	(
44	"	"	46	(
46	"	"	48	(
48	"	"	50	(
50	"	"	55	(
55	"	"	60	:
60	and Over			:
Total Cases				10
Average Sales Ratio (%)				26.0
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio				5.0
Above Average Ratio				38.0
Total				43.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				8.0

Sales Ratio Class				
	Under	10		
10	and	"	12	
12	"	"	14	
14	"	"	16	
16	"	"	18	
18	"	"	20	
20	"	"	22	
22	"	"	24	
24	"	"	26	
26	"	"	28	
28	"	"	30	
30	"	"	32	
32	"	"	34	
34	"	"	36	
36	"	"	38	
38	"	"	40	
40	"	"	42	
42	"	"	44	
44	"	"	46	
46	"	"	48	
48	"	"	50	
50	"	"	55	
55	"	"	60	
60	and Over			
Total Cases				
Average Sales Ratio (%)				
Measure of Variation ^a				
Below Average Ratio				
Above Average Ratio				
Total				
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				

- a. Range in percentage points fall when arranged from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 by value in the county as reported by the assessor.

- a. Range in percentage points from low to high.
- b. Assessed value in 1957 as reported by the assessor.

County: Number of Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
 Distribution of Assessed Value by Class of Property
 for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One Family Dwellings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	6	1	7	7
2	0	2	1	1	2	4
1	0	1	2	0	2	3
3	0	3	0	0	0	3
3	0	3	1	0	1	4
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	0	3	3	6
5	0	5	0	0	0	5
4	0	4	1	0	1	5
2	0	2	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	1	0	1	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	2	1	3	4
3	0	3	2	2	4	7
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
3	0	3	1	0	1	4
1	0	1	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	1	0	1	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	0	3	0	1	1	4
1	0	1	0	1	1	2
10	0	10	1	1	2	12
50	1	51	21	11	32	83
28.9	---	28.3	30.1	---	23.9	24.5
6.3	---	5.7	20.7	---	9.0	9.0
29.1	---	29.7	4.7	---	16.0	16.8
35.4	---	35.4	25.4	---	25.0	25.8
8.5	7.0	15.5	15.2	68.7	83.9	99.4

Intervals within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county. Report submitted to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Number of Cor
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio
and Proportion of Assessed Value
for the Year 1959-

			<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>			<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10 and	"	12	1	0	1	2	1
12 "	"	14	0	0	1	1	2
14 "	"	16	1	0	1	0	4
16 "	"	18	1	2	1	1	2
18 "	"	20	0	0	0	0	1
20 "	"	22	0	0	0	0	1
22 "	"	24	0	0	0	1	2
24 "	"	26	0	0	0	0	1
26 "	"	28	0	0	0	0	1
28 "	"	30	0	0	0	2	0
30 "	"	32	1	0	0	0	1
32 "	"	34	0	0	0	0	2
34 "	"	36	0	0	0	0	2
36 "	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38 "	"	40	0	0	0	0	1
40 "	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42 "	"	44	1	0	0	0	0
44 "	"	46	0	0	0	1	0
46 "	"	48	1	0	0	0	0
48 "	"	50	0	0	0	0	1
50 "	"	55	0	0	0	1	0
55 "	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60 and Over			0	0	1	2	1
Total Cases			6	2	5	11	23
Average Sales Ratio (%)			27.6	---	---	21.8	20.6
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio			12.6	---	---	7.8	5.2
Above Average Ratio			15.4	---	---	28.8	12.6
Total			28.0	---	---	36.6	17.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b			7.2	3.3	3.2	2.5	7.3

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as
assessor to the Legislative Council.

yances by Size
 Measure of Variation
 y Class of Property
 060

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	Misc. Rural Land <u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	0	5	2	1	3	8
4	1	5	6	1	7	12
6	0	6	0	1	1	7
7	0	7	4	0	4	11
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	1	2	13	1	14	16
3	0	3	1	0	1	4
1	0	1	0	1	1	2
1	0	1	2	0	2	3
2	0	2	2	0	2	4
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	2	0	2	4
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	2	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	1	1	2
1	0	1	1	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
4	1	5	0	0	0	5
47	4	51	33	7	40	91
20.2	---	19.8	19.6	---	20.5	20.2
5.4	---	4.3	4.0	---	5.0	4.7
14.2	---	25.0	2.4	---	14.6	18.6
19.6	---	29.3	6.4	---	19.6	23.3
23.5	11.3	34.8	9.5	50.6	60.1	94.9

fall when arranged from low to high.
 sessed value in the county as reported by the

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>
Under 10	1
10 and " 12	2
12 " " 14	0
14 " " 16	1
16 " " 18	2
18 " " 20	4
20 " " 22	2
22 " " 24	3
24 " " 26	2
26 " " 28	1
28 " " 30	0
30 " " 32	1
32 " " 34	1
34 " " 36	1
36 " " 38	2
38 " " 40	0
40 " " 42	0
42 " " 44	1
44 " " 46	0
46 " " 48	1
48 " " 50	0
50 " " 55	0
55 " " 60	0
60 and Over	0
Total Cases	25
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.3
Measure of Variation ^a	
Below Average Ratio	5.2
Above Average Ratio	8.2
Total	13.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.2

- a. Range in percentage points within which
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of price
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Teller County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Value
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>All Ages</u>	<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>				
0	0	1	1	3	0	0	
0	1	4	6	13	1	0	
0	1	3	8	12	1	0	
1	3	2	8	15	0	0	
6	2	1	7	18	2	0	
0	0	0	2	6	3	0	
1	2	1	5	11	1	0	
0	1	2	7	13	1	0	
0	0	1	5	8	2	0	
0	0	0	6	7	2	0	
0	1	2	3	6	1	0	
0	0	0	4	5	0	0	
0	0	0	11	12	0	0	
0	0	0	5	6	0	0	
0	1	0	6	9	2	0	
0	0	0	1	1	1	0	
0	0	0	1	1	0	0	
1	0	0	4	6	2	0	
0	0	1	1	2	0	0	
0	1	1	0	3	0	0	
0	0	1	4	5	0	0	
0	0	1	1	2	1	0	
0	1	0	2	3	0	0	
2	1	3	11	17	3	0	
11	15	24	109	184	23	0	
19.1	18.3	20.1	25.1	21.9	22.1	---	
2.5	3.1	7.4	7.9	5.4	2.9	---	
18.4	16.7	25.9	12.1	14.3	19.5	---	
20.9	19.8	33.3	20.0	19.7	22.4	---	
3.3	3.2	2.5	7.3	23.5	11.3	--c	

Each of the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
The proportion of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

ze
 Variation
 Property

<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land</u>		<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>	<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Without Impts.</u>		
3	4	5	1	9	19	22
14	3	1	3	5	12	26
13	4	0	1	6	11	24
15	0	0	3	3	6	21
20	1	0	1	5	7	27
9	1	0	2	4	7	16
12	0	0	2	15	17	29
14	0	0	0	1	1	15
10	2	0	3	0	5	15
9	0	0	0	2	2	11
7	0	0	0	2	2	9
5	0	0	0	0	0	5
12	0	0	0	2	2	14
6	0	1	0	0	1	7
11	0	0	1	0	1	12
2	0	0	0	0	0	2
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8	0	0	0	0	0	8
2	0	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	1	0	0	1	4
5	0	0	1	0	1	6
3	0	0	1	1	2	5
3	0	0	0	0	0	3
20	0	0	0	0	0	20
207	15	8	19	55	97	304
22.0	16.5	15.5	15.9	14.9	16.0	17.8
4.6	---	13.5	2.4	3.0	4.6	4.6
16.0	---	7.5	8.9	6.3	4.5	7.9
20.6	---	21.0	11.3	9.3	9.1	12.5
34.8	26.1	1.3	23.2	9.5	60.1	94.9

the assessor to the Legislative Council.

veyances by Size
 Measure of Variation
 Class of Property
 60

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	1	0	1	3
2	0	2	2	0	2	4
1	0	1	0	1	1	2
7	0	7	2	1	3	10
4	0	4	0	0	0	4
1	0	1	0	1	1	2
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
5	0	5	0	0	0	5
1	1	2	1	0	1	3
6	0	6	0	0	0	6
4	0	4	0	1	1	5
5	0	5	0	1	1	6
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
1	0	1	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	2	0	0	0	2
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	1	48	6	5	11	59
25.7	---	25.4	15.9	---	17.4	18.0
4.9	---	4.6	3.4	---	3.4	3.8
7.1	---	7.4	1.6	---	4.5	4.3
12.0	---	12.0	5.0	---	7.9	8.1
6.2	4.5	10.7	51.7	37.1	88.8	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high.
 ssed value in the county as reported by the

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>One-Family</u>	
	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>
Under 10	0	0
10 and " 12	0	1
12 " " 14	0	0
14 " " 16	0	0
16 " " 18	0	0
18 " " 20	0	0
20 " " 22	1	0
22 " " 24	0	1
24 " " 26	3	2
26 " " 28	1	2
28 " " 30	2	1
30 " " 32	5	0
32 " " 34	2	1
34 " " 36	1	2
36 " " 38	2	0
38 " " 40	2	1
40 " " 42	3	0
42 " " 44	0	0
44 " " 46	0	0
46 " " 48	0	0
48 " " 50	0	2
50 " " 55	0	0
55 " " 60	0	0
60 and Over	1	0
Total Cases	23	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.9	29.4
Measure of Variation ^a		
Below Average Ratio	4.1	4.2
Above Average Ratio	5.3	7.0
Total	9.4	11.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the mi.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as

Washington County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. I</u>	
<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>			<u>With Impts.</u>	<u>Wi Im</u>
0	1	0	1	0	1	1	
0	2	1	4	0	4	1	
0	6	0	6	0	6	0	
0	1	1	2	0	2	4	
0	9	1	10	0	10	1	
1	4	1	6	0	6	5	
1	4	0	6	0	6	4	
0	3	1	5	0	5	3	
0	6	1	12	0	12	2	
0	4	0	7	1	8	4	
0	5	2	10	0	10	2	
0	0	4	9	0	9	4	
0	5	0	8	0	8	1	
0	1	0	4	0	4	2	
0	2	0	4	0	4	1	
0	0	0	3	0	3	1	
0	0	0	3	0	3	1	
0	1	0	1	1	2	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	1	0	3	0	3	0	
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
0	1	0	2	2	4	0	
2	56	12	106	4	110	38	
19.4	22.2	22.0	25.2	---	30.1	22.1	19
0.4	5.3	4.0	4.4	---	3.0	3.1	3
1.6	6.6	8.5	6.2	---	12.8	8.7	3
2.0	11.9	12.5	10.6	---	15.8	11.8	7
0.4	2.5	0.6	6.2	4.5	10.7	37.1	51

middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

<u>Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
1	0	2	3
2	0	3	7
4	0	4	10
7	0	11	13
10	0	11	21
8	0	13	19
5	0	9	15
11	0	14	19
1	0	3	15
5	0	9	17
0	0	2	12
2	0	6	15
0	0	1	9
0	0	2	6
1	0	2	6
0	0	1	4
0	0	1	4
0	0	0	2
1	0	1	1
0	0	0	0
1	0	1	4
0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0
0	0	0	4
59	0	97	207
19.6	---	20.6	21.3
3.4	---	3.3	3.3
3.7	---	5.6	6.1
7.1	---	8.9	9.4
51.7	0.0	88.8	99.5

o the Legislative Council.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>
	Under	10		0	0	0	2
10	and	"	12	1	0	0	3
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	5
14	"	"	16	0	1	1	4
16	"	"	18	0	0	0	7
18	"	"	20	0	2	4	11
20	"	"	22	3	2	3	12
22	"	"	24	13	6	4	21
24	"	"	26	31	3	7	12
26	"	"	28	78	16	3	8
28	"	"	30	67	14	5	9
30	"	"	32	84	11	2	6
32	"	"	34	81	18	3	7
34	"	"	36	25	9	1	2
36	"	"	38	14	4	3	1
38	"	"	40	9	2	1	0
40	"	"	42	4	5	0	0
42	"	"	44	0	2	0	1
44	"	"	46	1	2	0	1
46	"	"	48	0	0	1	1
48	"	"	50	0	0	0	0
50	"	"	55	0	1	0	1
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	0
60	and Over			2	1	0	1
Total Cases				413	99	38	115
Average Sales Ratio (%)				30.2	30.9	27.0	23.5
Measure of Variation ^a							
Below Average Ratio				2.8	3.6	4.2	4.1
Above Average Ratio				2.6	3.4	4.5	4.8
Total				5.4	7.0	8.7	8.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rat
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total a

Weld County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1959-1960

Years (years)	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. With Impts.
3	5	0	0	0	5	4
2	6	0	0	0	6	0
8	13	0	0	0	13	4
10	16	2	0	0	18	3
10	17	2	0	0	19	8
16	33	1	0	0	34	2
14	34	0	0	0	34	4
11	55	4	1	0	60	6
17	70	1	0	0	71	4
15	120	4	0	0	124	4
10	105	1	1	0	107	5
6	109	1	0	0	110	6
9	118	2	0	0	120	1
3	40	3	0	1	44	2
7	29	1	0	0	30	1
1	13	2	0	1	16	2
2	11	0	0	1	12	1
2	5	1	0	0	6	1
2	6	0	1	0	7	1
0	2	0	0	0	2	0
0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	2	1	2	0	5	1
0	0	2	1	0	3	1
2	6	12	1	0	19	2
150	815	41	7	3	866	64
23.6	27.0	36.1	39.6	---	29.0	26.2
5.0	3.8	10.0	6.6	---	4.9	9.0
5.7	4.1	25.1	16.6	---	8.2	5.1
10.7	7.9	35.1	13.2	---	13.1	14.1
4.9	27.2	8.4	1.1	0.3	37.0	46.0

... fall when arranged from low to high.

... assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative

<u>Land</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land</u>		<u>Total</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>Without</u>	<u>With</u>	<u>Without</u>		
<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>	<u>Impts.</u>		
1	0	3	8	13
0	4	0	4	10
1	5	0	10	23
0	2	3	8	26
3	6	2	19	38
2	2	2	8	42
0	0	1	5	39
3	5	13	27	87
1	3	0	8	79
0	2	0	6	130
0	2	1	8	115
0	3	0	9	119
0	1	1	3	123
0	0	0	2	46
0	0	0	1	31
0	0	0	2	18
1	0	0	2	14
0	0	0	1	7
0	0	0	1	8
0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	1	2
0	1	0	2	7
0	0	0	1	4
0	2	1	5	24
12	39	27	142	1,008
18.6	20.9	18.2	24.1	25.7
1.9	6.1	1.4	7.3	6.5
4.7	7.3	5.2	5.3	6.3
6.6	13.4	6.6	12.6	12.8
8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

e Council.

Yuma County: Number of
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales
and Proportion of Assessed V
for the Year

				<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>				
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>				<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
		Under	10	0	0	0	0	0
10	and	"	12	0	0	0	1	1
12	"	"	14	0	0	0	1	1
14	"	"	16	0	0	0	3	3
16	"	"	18	1	0	0	1	1
18	"	"	20	0	0	1	4	2
20	"	"	22	0	0	1	3	0
22	"	"	24	1	0	0	4	3
24	"	"	26	0	1	0	1	0
26	"	"	28	2	0	0	2	2
28	"	"	30	0	1	0	0	0
30	"	"	32	0	3	0	0	0
32	"	"	34	1	0	0	1	0
34	"	"	36	2	1	0	1	0
36	"	"	38	0	0	0	0	0
38	"	"	40	0	0	0	0	0
40	"	"	42	0	0	0	0	0
42	"	"	44	0	1	0	0	0
44	"	"	46	0	0	0	0	0
46	"	"	48	0	0	0	0	0
48	"	"	50	0	0	0	1	0
50	"	"	55	0	0	0	0	0
55	"	"	60	0	0	0	0	0
60	and	Over		0	0	0	0	0
Total Cases				7	7	2	23	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)				28.2	30.3	---	20.0	18.5
Measure of Variation ^a								
Below Average Ratio				4.3	1.0	---	2.5	3.7
Above Average Ratio				6.0	3.9	---	4.5	4.7
Total				10.3	4.9	---	7.0	8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b				3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8

- a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as
assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size
 Ratio, Measure of Variation
 by Class of Property
 1959-1960

<u>All Ages</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>	<u>Total Urban</u>	<u>Agric. Land Without Impts.</u>	<u>Misc. Rural Land With Impts.</u>	<u>All Other Rural</u>	<u>Total Rural</u>	<u>Total County</u>
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
2	0	2	4	1	0	5	7
6	0	6	0	1	1	2	8
3	0	3	2	0	3	5	8
7	0	7	1	0	0	1	8
4	0	4	1	0	0	1	5
8	0	8	1	0	0	1	9
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
6	0	6	0	3	0	3	9
1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3
2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4
0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2
1	1	2	0	1	0	1	3
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
52	4	56	10	6	6	22	78
21.9	---	27.5	13.2	23.8	---	18.9	20.4
2.8	---	3.7	0.0	8.8	---	4.0	4.0
4.6	---	6.0	7.8	3.9	---	8.8	8.2
7.4	---	9.7	7.8	12.7	---	12.8	12.2
14.7	8.2	22.9	21.5	0.9	54.5	76.9	99.8

... fall when arranged from low to high.
 ...essed value in the county as reported by the

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>
Under 10	0
10 and " 12	0
12 " " 14	0
14 " " 16	0
16 " " 18	1
18 " " 20	1
20 " " 22	1
22 " " 24	2
24 " " 26	0
26 " " 28	2
28 " " 30	3
30 " " 32	0
32 " " 34	3
34 " " 36	2
36 " " 38	0
38 " " 40	0
40 " " 42	0
42 " " 44	0
44 " " 46	0
46 " " 48	0
48 " " 50	0
50 " " 55	0
55 " " 60	0
60 and Over	0
Total Cases	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.9
Measure of Variation ^a	
Below Average Ratio	5.1
Above Average Ratio	4.9
Total	10.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4

a. Range in percentage points within which
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of p:

Yuma County: Number of Conveyances by Si
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of F
for the Three-Year Period 1957-1960

<u>One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)</u>					<u>Commercial Buildings</u>	<u>All Other Urban</u>
<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>All Ages</u>		
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	3	4	0	0
1	0	5	3	9	0	0
0	1	10	9	20	0	0
0	0	5	3	9	1	0
0	2	7	4	14	0	0
1	2	8	5	17	0	0
0	0	7	4	13	1	0
1	0	10	3	14	0	0
1	0	3	2	8	1	0
3	0	3	1	10	0	0
4	0	5	2	11	0	0
0	0	2	1	6	0	0
3	0	3	1	9	0	0
0	0	1	3	4	2	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	0
1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	2	0	0
0	0	0	1	1	1	0
1	0	2	0	3	1	0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	0	2	0	2	3	0
17	5	77	46	160	11	0
31.4	18.2	21.3	19.8	22.9	39.7	---
3.2	0.6	4.4	4.6	4.3	10.3	---
3.8	2.6	7.2	6.7	6.0	29.7	---
7.0	3.2	11.6	11.3	10.3	40.0	---
1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.0	0.2

Each the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

ze
Variation
roperty

Total Urban	Agric. Land		Misc. Rural Land		Total Rural	Total County
	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.		
1	0	3	0	2	5	6
4	3	2	0	3	8	12
9	6	12	2	1	21	30
20	5	7	1	1	14	34
10	10	3	0	2	15	25
14	3	3	1	0	7	21
17	3	3	1	0	7	24
14	2	3	1	0	6	20
14	3	4	1	0	8	22
9	3	1	5	0	9	18
10	1	2	0	0	3	13
11	1	0	0	0	1	12
6	0	0	0	0	0	6
9	0	1	0	0	1	10
6	0	1	0	0	1	7
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
1	0	1	0	0	1	2
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
2	0	0	0	0	0	2
2	1	0	1	0	2	4
4	0	0	1	0	1	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	1
5	0	0	0	0	0	5
171	41	46	14	9	110	281
26.9	18.6	14.8	23.0	---	17.4	18.9
5.7	4.1	1.7	4.0	---	3.3	3.7
11.7	4.2	8.2	4.4	---	5.5	6.5
17.4	8.3	9.9	8.4	---	8.8	10.2
22.9	54.5	21.5	0.9	0.0	76.9	99.8

the assessor to the Legislative Council.