#### University of Denver

## Digital Commons @ DU

All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council)

Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications

1961

## 0058 Sales Ratio Study, Part II

Colorado Legislative Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc\_all

#### **Recommended Citation**

Colorado Legislative Council, "0058 Sales Ratio Study, Part II" (1961). *All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council*). 66.

https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc\_all/66

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council) by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

0058 Sales Ratio Study, Part II	

Report to the Colorado General Assemblyr

# SALES RATIO STUDY

Part Two



## COLORADO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

RESEARCH PUBLICATION NO. 58

DECEMBER, 1961

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

#### OF THE

#### COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

#### Senators

James E. Donnelly, Chairman Charles E. Bennett L. T. Skiffington Floyd Oliver Ranger Rogers Robert L. Knous, Lt. Governor

#### Representatives

Guy Poe, Vice Chairman Ruth B. Clark John L. Kane C. P. Lamb Albert J. Tomsic M. R. Douglass Elmer A. Johnson

Lyle C. Kyle, Director

The Legislative Council, which is composed of five Senators, six resentatives, and the presiding officers of the two houses, serves as tinuing research agency for the legislature through the maintenance of trained staff. Between sessions, research activities are concentrated study of relatively broad problems formally proposed by legislators, publication and distribution of factual reports to aid in their solution.

During the sessions, the emphasis is on supplying legislators, or vidual request, with personal memoranda, providing them with informanceded to handle their own legislative problems. Reports and memorand personal memoranes, and alterestications are unconsidered to the form of facts, figures, arguments, and alterestications.

OFFICERS JAMES E. DONNELLY CHAIRMAN GUY POE VICE CHAIRMAN

LYLE C. KYLE HARRY D. LAWSON SENIOR ANALYST DAVID F. MORRISSEY RESEARCH ASSISTANT MYRAN H. SCHLECHTE RESEARCH ASSISTANT



ROOM 341, STATE CAPITOL DENVER 2, COLORADO ACOMA 2-9911 - EXTENSION 2285 SPEAKER ALBERT J. TOMSIC REP. RUTH B. CLARK REP. M. R. DOUGLASS REP. ELMER A. JOHNSON REP. JOHN L. KANE

**MEMBERS** LT. GOV. ROBERT L. KNOUS SEN. CHARLES E. BENNETT SEN. JAMES E. DONNELLY

SEN. FLOYD OLIVER SEN. RANGER ROGERS

SEN. L. T. SKIFFINGTON

REP. C. P. LAMB REP. GUY POE

### LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

December 31, 1961

MEMBERS COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Dear Colleagues:

Transmitted herewith is Part II of the report on the sales ratio study conducted by the Legislative Council. This report presents detailed figures for each county by class of property for the periods July, 1959, through December, 1960, and July, 1957, through December, 1960.

This report has been prepared for the General Assembly pursuant to S.B. 35, passed in 1961 during the First Regular Session of the Forty-third General Assembly.

Cordially,

James E. Donnelly Chairman Colorado Legislative Council

#### FOREWORD

Senate Bill 35 passed at the First Regular Session of the 43rd General Assembly directed the Legislative Council to report to the State Board of Education the urban sales ratio for the period beginning July 1, 1957, and ending December 31, 1960, for each county in the state and for the state as a whole.

This is the second part of a two-part report on the results of the sales ratio study for the periods July, 1959, through December, 1960, and July, 1957, through December, 1960. Part I, issued in September, 1961, describes the method used in arriving at the sales ratio figures and gives the county ratio figures, the rural and urban ratio figures for each county, and the state-wide ratio by class of property.

Part II of the report presents detailed data on the sales ratio study for each of the periods of 18 months and 3½ years. Included, for each county, are the number of conveyances in each property class, a frequency distribution showing the range of individual sales ratios, and the sales ratios by class of property, except in cases of inadequate data.

The Legislative Council wishes to thank the county assessors, the clerks and recorders, and other public officials, as well as many private citizens and organizations, who cooperated with the staff in gathering the information reported herein.

Lyle C. Kyle Director

December 31, 1961

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
FOREWORD	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF SALES RATIO DATA BY COUNTY	23
Adams County Alamosa County Arapahoe County Archuleta County Baca County	23 25 27 29 31
Bent County Boulder County Chaffee County Cheyenne County Clear Creek County	33 35 37 39 41
Conejos County Costilla County Crowley County Custer County Delta County	43 45 47 49 51
Denver County Dolores County Douglas County Eagle County Flbert County	53 55 57 59 61
El Paso County Fremont County Garfield County Gilpin County Grand County	63 65 67 69 71

	Page
Gunnison County Hinsdale County Huerfano County Jackson County Jefferson County	73 75 77 79 81
Kiowa County Kit Carson County Lake County La Plata County Larimer County	83 85 87 89 91
Las Animas County Lincoln County Logan County Mesa County Mineral County	93 95 97 99 101
Moffat County Montczuma County Montrose County Morgan County Otero County	103 105 107 109 111
Ouray County Park County Phillips County Pitkin County Prowers County	113 115 117 119 121
Pueblo County Rio Blanco County Rio Grande County Routt County Saguache County	123 125 127 129 131
San Juan County San Miguel County Sedgwick County Summit County Teller County	133 135 137 139 141
Washington County	143 145 147

#### THE COLORADO SALES RATIO STUDY

July, 1959, Through December, 1960 and July, 1957, Through December, 1960

Part Two

#### Introduction

Part One of the Colorado Sales Ratio Report for the periods July, 1959, through December, 1960, and July, 1957, through December, 1960 sets forth (1) a brief statement concerning the methodology of the sales ratio study and (2) the results of the study both for the third period of 18 months and for the combined period of 3½ years.

The purpose of Part Two of the report is to present the sales ratio data for each of the two periods for each county in sufficient detail to provide so far as possible a basis for effective comparison of (1) one class or parcel of property with another in each county, (2) one county with another for each class of property, and (3) the situation within each county with that in the state as a whole. For the latter purpose a brief statement concerning the state-wide picture is needed.

CANCEL TO PERMIT

Contrary to the plan followed during the first two years of the study, transfers of vacant urban land have been excluded from the computation of the ratios for the third period of 18 months, for the period of 3 years, and for the total period of 3½ years. Because significant differences were found to exist among the ratios for the several property classes distinguished, property transfers under conditions wherein changes of use and hence changes in classification were contemplated have been excluded from the study since its inception. The exclusion of vacant urban land is based upon the reasoning that many, perhaps the majority, of the transfers of such land, result in definite use changes. Because vacant urban land constitutes only 1.5 per cent of the total locally assessed real property on the tax rolls state-wide, this exclusion has small effect (only 0.2 of a percentage point) upon the state-wide average ratio for the entire period of the study to date.

The county-wide average ratios for the total period range from a low of 16.7 per cent for Gilpin County to a high of 36.1 per cent for Saguache County. The middle one-third of the counties (in terms of size of the ratio) have ratios which range from 22.5 per cent to 25.8 per cent; and forty-eight of the counties have ratios that are below the state-wide average of 27.3 per cent. Included among the fifteen counties having ratios above the general average are Larimer, Boulder, Prowers, Routt, and Denver.

There are eleven counties which have total period ratios that are 25 per cent (6.825 percentage points) or more below the state-wide average; and there is one county whose sales ratio is in equal amount above this average (Table I and Table II). The

combined 1957 assessed value of locally assessed real property in these twelve counties with sales ratios differing from the state-wide average by 25 per cent or more constituted only 3.6 per cent of the state-wide total assessed value for that year.

#### Table I

Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Real Property in Colorado by Counties Grouped According to Size of the 1957-1960 (Total Period) Sales Ratio and Expressed as Per Cent of the 1957 State-Wide Assessed Value

Sales Ratios Class (%)	Number of Counties	Proportion of Total Assessed Value
Under 18.9 18.9 and under 20.3 20.3 and under 21.7 21.7 and under 23.1 23.1 and under 24.5 24.5 and under 25.9 25.9 and under 27.3 27.3 and under 28.7 28.7 and under 30.1 30.1 and under 31.5 31.5 and under 32.9 32.9 and under 34.3 34.3 and over	7 4 8 7 4 13 5 2 4 1 5 2 1 63	1.8% 1.4 3.1 9.2 6.3 15.7 14.7. 7.2 2.1 0.2 37.2 0.7 0.4 100.0%

A tolerance of five per cent of the state-wide ratio is garded in some localities as a reasonable margin above and below he ratio within which no adjustments should be made in an equaliation program. A range of this magnitude in Colorado for the stal period data extends from 25.9 per cent to 28.7 per cent (1.4) recentage points above and below 27.3 per cent). Because such a lerance is sometimes considered reasonable, it is of interest that 56 of the counties in Colorado have ratios for the total period vich fall outside this range and that the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in these counties in 1957 constituted 78.1 per cent of the total assessed value state-wide in that year. If this tolerance were extended to 10 per cent of the state-wide ratio, there would still be 39 counties with ratios falling outside ratio, there would still be 39 counties with ratios falling outside the indicated range and with a combined assessed value equivalent to 60.3 per cent of the state's total.

a. Exclusive of assessed value of vacant urban land.

In the state as a whole in 1957, one-family dwellings accounted for 45 per cent of the total assessed value of locally assessed real property; and one-family dwellings eight years old or less accounted for more than one-fifth of the state-wide total for all property classes combined. Other proportions of the state-wide total were: commercial buildings, 16.4 per cent; all urban properties combined (including vacant urban land) 73.7 per cent; agricultural properties (with and without improvements), 18.5 per cent; and total rural, 26.3 per cent (Table III).

Market activity among urban properties was relatively greater during each period of the study than it was among rural properties. This is indicated by the fact that the combined assessed value recorded on the usable certificates for urban properties as a proportion of total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls was larger than the corresponding proportion for rural properties. The assessed value reported on the certificates for urban properties in the total period of the study to date was 20.0 per cent as large as the total assessed value of urban properties on the tax rolls in 1957, whereas the corresponding proportion for rural properties was only 7.8 per cent. Total assessed value of properties sold (urban and rural combined) was 16.8 per cent as large as the state-wide total assessed value for 1957.

As shown by an examination of the measures of variation or ranges within which the middle halves of the sales ratios fall, there is greater uniformity among the ratios for one-family dwellings one to eight years old than among those for any other class of property distinguished in the study (Table III). While sales ratios for commercial buildings are less uniform than those for other classes, urban properties as a group show somewhat greater uniformity in the assessment-sales relationship than do rural properties as a group.

<sup>1.</sup> When the data on number of certificates or assessed value reported on them are compared, one year with another, it should be recognized that there is some lack of comparability among them for some of the counties. During the early weeks of the first year's study the county assessors were instructed to report assessed value for 1956 rather than for 1957. When it was decided to base all sales ratios for the first year's study on 1957 assessed values, it was ruled that the effort required to secure the 1957 assessed values and make the changes on the certificates already submitted was not warranted in the case of a few of the large counties because the number of certificates that would be available without them would be adequate for determination of the sales ratios.

TABLE II

Sales Ratios and Measures of Variation by Counties of Colorado:

Total, Urban, and Rural for Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods
With Counties Arranged in the Order of Size of the Sales Fatio in the Total Period, 1957-1960<sup>a</sup>

		Total Co			T	otal Urba		Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Totald Spread (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)
Gilpin First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	41 71 104	14.6 17.0 16.2	2 2 1	9.2 13.3 11.1	20 15 25	20.8 15.1 17.3	10.0 12.1 21.0	21 56 79	13.6 17.5 16.0	9.1 13.5 8.8
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	112	17.1	1	11.7	35	19.3	11.0	77	16.6	11.8
	159	17.0	1	10.7	34	20.4	16.2	125	16.4	9.8
	200	16.7	1	11.0	44	18.2	18.5	156	16.4	9.5
Mineral <sup>e</sup> First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	5 18 12	40.6 35.7 19.7	62 60 11	22.2 50.0 83.0	4 16 8	f f 41.4	34.5	1 2 4	f f 16.6	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	23 31 35	36.5 31.8 17.2	61 55 2	33.7 49.3 56.8	20 24 28	f f 39.3	40.2	3 7 7	f f 14:3	
Teller First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	146	18.4	5	14.4	111	22.8	23.9	35	16.3	10.1
	115	15.6	1	8.1	93	22.1	13.3	22	13.1	6.1
	137	20.4	15	27.5	92	22.3	46.0	45	19.4	18.1
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	261	17.7	2	11.9	204	22.5	18.3	57	15.5	8.9
	304	17.8	2	12.5	207	22.0	20.6	97	16.0	9.1
	350	17.9	3	11.8	248	22.5	19.2	102	15.9	8.8
Douglas First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	81	16.3	3	10.4	42	22.6	16.0	39	14.9	9.4
	95	20.5	14	10.1	38	28.1	9.3	57	18.8	10.3
	142	25.7	38	7.2	31 -	26.0	5.3	111	25.6	9.7
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	176	18.3	3	10.6	30	25.9	12.7	96	16.7	10.1
	259	18.3	3	10.5	31	26.3	11.9	178	16.8	10.1
	297	18.4	. 4	9.8	30	26.3	10.5	207	16.9	9.6

Table II (continued)

	•			•	-					
•	Total County				otal Urb		Total Rural			
County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio	Total spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)
Pitkin First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	57 119 97	20.7 17.4 18.7	11 3 7	6.4 10.2 6.8	48 86 66	19.5 18.2 20.0	7.5 8.0 8.9	9 33 31	21.8 16.7 17.7	5.3 12.0 3.9
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	176 197 225	18.3 18.5 18.6	4 4 5	9.8 9.2 9.2	134 126 152	18.8 19.7 19.9	8.9 8.8 8.9	42 71 73	17.9 17.6 17.6	10.7 9.5 9.5
Yuma First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	104 126 119	18.2 19.3 18.4	4 8 6	10.2 14.6 5.9	61 81 92	25.1 25.3 28.7	22.0 37.8 8.6	43 45 27	16.8 18.0 16.7	7.9 9.7 5.5
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	230 281 322	18.5 18.9 18.6	6 6 6	11.3 10.2 9.5	142 171 207	24.7 26.9 26.7	21.3 17.4 15.8	88 110 115	17.3 17.4 17.1	9.2 8.8 8.2
Jackson9 First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	27 28 19	14.1 18.7 f	7	2.9 12.4	21 19 18	28.0 25.9 36.3	13.7 6.3 24.5	6 9 1	12.5 12.2 f	2.1 15.8
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	55 51 57	18.5 18.6 18.6	5 7	14.0 14.8 14.9	40 35 41	30.4 32.7 32.9	10.9 16.6 17.8	15 16 16	16.8 16.8 16.8	14.4 14.6 14.6
Clear Creek First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	108 105 208	18.9 20.3 19.3	6 9 9	11.0 14.5 17.0	64 60 72	18.9 20.9 18.3	11.5 14.7 24.9	44 45 136	18.9 19.7 20.3	10.5 14.3 8.8
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	213 324 383	19.2 19.5 19.3	7 7 8	13.1 13.1 12.4	124 133 158	19.5 19.3 18.7	14.3 15.9 14.0	89 191 225	19.0 19.7 19.8	11.9 10.5 10.9
Elbert First Year ('57-'58) Second Year (58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	46 67 60	21.2 18.6 20.0	13 6 13	10.4 11.9 12.1	29 25 35	41.1 21.1 30.5	28.1 18.7 18.2	17 42 25	20.1 18.3 19.2	9.7 11.3 11.6
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	113 146 161	19.6 19.8 19.7	8 8 9	12.8 13.5 12.7	54 70 . 77	31.9 32.1 31.9	49.3 43.0 31.6	59 76 84	18.8 19.0 18.9	10.8 11.7 11.5

Table II (continued)

	Total County					<u>T</u>	otal_Urba		Total Rural			
County and Year (or_Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)		No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio <u>(%)</u>	Total Spread (pct,pts	
Gunnison First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	106 113 122	23.8 17.5 18.3	21 4 5	15.1 13.4 9.6		91 95 101	25.5 18.9 27.6	13.1 11.7 9.9	15 18 21	22.9 16.8 15.3	16.1 14.0 9.5	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	219 232 280	20.5 19.9 19.7	13 10 10	15.2 15.5 14.9		186 188 226	23.7 25.7 25.3	11.9 14.0 14.0	33 44 54	19.0 17.7 17.5	16.6 16.1 15.3	
Bacah First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	80 117 100	20.3 20.4 18.1	9 13 4	7.3 10.1 15.4		45 77 85	26.5 27.8 32.2	13.2 21.8 26.6	35 40 15	19.5 19.1 16.3	6.5 8.0 14.0	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	197 229 259	20.4 20.2 20.2	12 11 11	9.7 9.9 10.7		122 145 169	27.7 28.6 29.7	22.1 19.8 20.6	<b>7</b> 5 84 90	19.1 18.8 18.8	7.6 8.3 9.2	
Archuleta First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	30 38 42	25.2 18.0 22.0	28 5 23	9.7 25.4 5.8		24 27 22	30.4 24.2 23.9	24.3 20.2 14.8	6 11 20	24.0 16.9 21.6	8.2 25.9 4.7	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	68 64 86	19.8 19.9 20.3	9 9 12	18.8 14.6 12.9		51 43 49	26.7 25.6 26.1	18.5 20.0 14.9	17 21 37	18.5 18.9 19.3	18.8	
Phillips <sup>i</sup> First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	76 84 70	20.3 20.3 21.5	10 10 19	8.4 7.5 10.9		49 64 59	27.3 30.0 24.4	23.6 21.3 14.9	27 20 11	19.1 18.8 20.9	5.6 5.3 10.0	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	160 189 210	20.3 20.6 20.6	11 12 13	7.0 7.5 7.5		113 132 152	29.2 28.1 27.8	14.1 12.7 13.2	47 57 58	18.8 19.3 19.3	5.9 6.6 6.5	
Hinsdale <sup>e</sup> First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	10 13 17	25.5 22.0 19.9	32 24 12	16.5 13.6 12.8		9 12 16	f f 20.1	12.8	1 1 1	f f f		
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	23 22 29	23.8 22.2 20.8	27 18 14	19.1 12.5 12.0		21 19 26	f f 21.1	12.0	2 3 3	f f f		

Table II (continued)

					:	: т	otal Urbar	n	T	otal Rura	Tota
County and Year (or Periodd)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)		No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio <u>(%)</u>	(pct. pts.)
Washington First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59)	68 106 86	23.3 21.1 19.2	19 18 8	11.8 8.0 12.2		38 50 64	29.8 26.2 27.5	9.6 16.0 15.3	30 56 22	22.6 20.6 18.5	11.9 7.6 12.0
Third Period ('59-'60)  Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60)  Total Period ('57-'60)	174 207 234	21.9 21.3 21.1	17 14 15	9.0 9.4 9.5		88 110 126	30.6 30.1 28.1	15.0 15.8 15.9	86 97 108	21.1 20.6 20.5	8.5 8.9 8.9
Ouraye First Year ('57-'58)	26 46	22.4 28.6 19.3	16 50	17.3 20.7 12.2		19 20 24	f f 27.6	18.2	7 26 11	f f 17.0	
Third Period ('59-'60')  Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60')	35 72 88 99	25.6 23.8 21.2	38 29 16	18.3 15.7 12.8		39 47 55	f f 27.5	15.8	33 41 44	f f 19.2	
Total Period ('57-'60)  Huerfano First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59)	114 98	19.9	8 42	20.4 14.4 14.8		79 62 98	26.7 37.9 33.2	22.2 19.6 22.3	35 36 28	15.7 19.4 14.3	19.3 11.8 11.4
Third Period ('59-'60)  Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60)	126 212 269 317	20.2 21.3 20.9 21.2	14 15 13 17	21.1 19.4 19.5		141 173 218	28.0 29.5 29.8	27.1 24.4 23.8	71 96 99	16.9 16.0 16.2	17.3 16.6 17.2
Total Period ('57-'60)  Kit Carson First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59)	101 145	24.1 20.3	24 11	13.2 8.1		51 100 105	35.8 31.6 30.3	25.7 15.0 21.7	50 45 <b>1</b> 8	21.5 17.9 14.6	10.9 7.0 7.9
Third Period ('59-'60)  Two Yeirs ('57-'59) Three Yeirs ('57-'60)	123 246 276	16.9 22.4 21.3	18 15 18	9.9 10.6 11.0 11.4		151 172 211	35.9 31.3 33.7	20.6 22.1 21.5	95 104 113	19.7 19.1 18.7	8.º 9.2 9.3
Total Period ('57-'60)  Montezuma First Year ('57-'58)	324 . 174 136	21.3 21.2 22.0	12 23	12.7 14.2		134 87	23.5 26.8	16.3 17.3 13.6	40 49 38	19.6 19.2 18.3	10.3 12.4 14.5
Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60) Two Years ('57-'59)	165 310	21.6 21.5 21.8	20 16	14.1 13.3 12.4		127 221 246	27.9 25.2 27.0	16.3 14.9 15.6	89 116 127	19.3 18.9 19.0	11.4 11.0 11.9
Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	362 425	21.6	7	13.2		298	26.2	15.0	_		

ரப்ப்

Table II (continued)

		Total Co		<del></del>	T	otal Urb		Total Rural			
County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Rati <b>o</b> _(%)_	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Fota Sprea (pct pts.	
Sedgwick <sup>j</sup> First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	39	19.7	7	6.4	22	29.3	12.2	17	18.4	5.8	
	61	21.3	19	12.5	52	24.9	8.8	9	20.7	13.2	
	79	21.9	22	14.3	69	29.8	27.1	10	19.5	10.3	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	100	20.2	10	7.5	74	26.9	10.7	26	19.2	7.0	
	141	22.3	19	8.9	110	33.5	15.4	31	19.2	7.1	
	171	21.8	20	10.8	135	29.9	23.3	36	19.3	7.0	
Lake <sup>e</sup> ,k First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	75 58 97	21.6 20.6 22.4	15 16 25	19.0 15.7 12.5	74 52 83	f f 23.2	12.9	1 6 14	f f 14.5		
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	133 178 213	21.0 21.6 21.8	14 16 21	15.2 13.2 13.3	1 <b>2</b> 6 1 <b>63</b> 1.92	f f 22.9	13.1	7 15 21	f f 12.1		
Chincoln First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	54	24.1	25	15.2	25	23.1	13.9	29	24.4	15.4	
	99	21.6	20	13.0	49	26.7	38.0	50	20.6	7.7	
	72	20.8	17	9.5	61	22.7	11.3	11	20.3	9.1	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	153	22.9	22	12.5	74	26.9	28.6	79	22.0	8.8	
	184	22.7	21	11.7	96	25.9	22.5	88	22.0	9.3	
	198	22.5	22	9.2	08	24.9	10.3 '	90	21.9	9.1	
Fremont First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	2 <b>93</b>	23.8	22	13.8	2 <b>7</b> 0	24.8	11.7	23	22.5	17.0	
	427	22.5	27	9.4	359	22.5	8.8	68	22.5	10.1	
	432	22.5	26	13.1	379	22.1	10.1	53	23.1	17.7	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	720	22.9	23	10.2	€29	23.4	9.6	91	22.2	11.0	
	880	22.7	20	11.3	∵59	22.4	9.9	121	23.2	13.1	
	1,022	22.7	23	10.4	€78	22.7	9.8	144	22.6	11.4	
La Plata First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	314 315 359	23.9 23.4 21.0	23 31 18	10.6 13.8 13.3	245 229 259	23.5 25.1 21.9	7.6 13.9 11.9	69 86 100	24.3 21.8 20.1	13.7 13.9 14.7	
Two Years ('57-'59)	629	23.5	25	11.8	474	24.3	9.7	155	22.7	13.9	
Three Years ('57-'60)	727	22.7	22	12.0	502	24.0	8.4	<b>22</b> 5	21.5	15.2	
Total Period ('57-'60)	846	22.7	24	11.7	591	23.8	8.6	<b>25</b> 5	21.6	14.6	

Table II (continued)

		Total Co	untv	(0000	T	otal Urba		T	Total F ral Total			
County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales <u>Ratio<sup>C</sup></u>	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Spreac (pct, pts.		
Custer First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	61	27.1	40	27.0	40	28.9	39.2	21	26.9	25.9		
	47	20.6	17	9.6	28	22.4	13.5	19	20.4	9.2		
	38	24.7	33	20.5	16	27.4	23.8	22	24.4	20.1		
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	108	22.5	21	18.0	68	24.7	19.5	40	22.2	17.9		
	95	23.8	28	19.7	46	23.2	16.5	49	23.9	20.2		
	114	22.9	25	18.0	52	23.8	20.5	62	22.8	17.6		
El Paso First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,967 2,718 3,883	23.0 22.1 23.7	18 25 <b>30</b>	9.2 7.9 9.1	1,904 2,581 3,741	23.1 22.8 24.5	8.0 7.6 8.4	63 137 142	22.1 19.0 20.1	14.9 8.6 12.0		
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	4,685	22.4	19	8.5	4,485	23.0	7.9	200	19.8	10.6		
	6,998	22.9	23	8.6	6,697	23.6	8.1	301	20.0	10.9		
	8,247	23.0	26	8.5	7,905	23.7	8.0	342	20.0	11.1		
Park First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59)	86	25.2	30	17.2	49	27.5	39.4	37	24.4	9.9		
	99	20.3	12	15.4	44	24.8	12.9	55	18.9	15.9		
	· 146	26.9	43	11.4	50	25.6	15.8	96	27.2	10.2		
Third Period ('59-'60)  Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60)  Total Period ('57-'60)	185	23.0	24	17.1	93	25.7	33.0	92	22.0	11.8		
	212	23.6	26	14.6	78	29.8	24.4	134	22.5	12.9		
	287	23.1	27	13.6	99	26.8	21.0	188	22.3	12.1		
Grand	106	22.8	17	11.6	71	25.3	17.1	35	20.9	7.7		
First Year ('57-'58)	113	22.2	26	12.4	66	25.5	17.3	47	19.8	9.1		
Second Year ('58-'59)	142	27.2	45	12.4	70	26.7	13.6	72	27.6	11.5		
Third Period ('59-'60)  Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60)  Total Period ('57-'60)	219	22.4	20	11.4	137	25.3	15.7	82	20.4	8.5		
	258	23.5	25	12.1	124	26.7	15.1	134	21.2	10.1		
	308	23.3	28	12.6	154	26.3	16.1	154	21.2	10.2		
Pueblo	1,627	24.3	26	9.1	1,567	25.0	8.9	60	23.1	9.3		
First Year ('57-'58)	1,786	23.2	29	10.7	1,653	25.4	9.5	133	19.6	12.5		
Second Year ('58- 59)	2,262	23.6	29	10.9	1,976	25.4	10.2	286	20.8	12.1		
Third Period ('59-'60)  Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60)  Total Period ('57-'60)	3,413	23.5	26	10.4	3,220	25.3	9.5	193	20.6	12.1		
	4,458	23.4	24	10.4	4,079	25.5	9.7	379	20.2	11.7		
	5,206	23.8	29	10.4	4,727	25.4	9.5	479	21.0	11.5		

m<sub>t</sub>er'o.

Table II (continued)

		Total Co				Total Urb		Total Rural			
County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread (pct. pts.)	
Las Animas First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	155 166 135	26.0 23.9 21.6	34 33 21	15.7 25.0 40.3	126 127 106	35.9 32.2 30.4	19.7 25.2 25.2	29 39 29	21.3 19.8 17.7	13.7 25.0 47.7	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	321	24.3	31	25.1	253	33.1	25.7	68	20.1	24.9	
	385	23.7	27	26.0	301	32.3	27.4	84	19.7	25.4	
	436	23.8	30	26.7	3 <b>3</b> 9	32.4	27.9	97	19.8	26.2	
Rio Blanco First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	70 57 52	32.9 20.6 26.0	54 15 39	10.6 19.1 14.4	61 46 48	34.5 23.5 28.8	15.7 11.7 14.4	9 11 4	31.9 19.1 24.6	7.4 21.4	
Two Years ('57-'59) , Three Years ('57-'60)     Total Period ('57-'60)	127	24.6	34	22.9	107	31.9	18.5	20	21.5	24.8	
	131	24.3	30	23.6	108	31.3	19.8	23	21.5	25.2	
	148	24.5	31	24.5	124	32.5	20.8	24	21.3	25.9	
First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	43	29.3	50	14.6	32	35.4	25.8	11	27.5	11.7	
	33	21.9	21	8.6	19	42.0	35.4	14	18.5	4.5	
	44	27.7	48	19.6	33	29.3	16.7	11	27.2	20.6	
Two Years ('57-'59)	76	24.4	32	14.2	51	36.8	33.4	25	21.6	10.3	
Three Years ('57-'60)	95	24.8	36	16.8	61	36.3	28.0	34	22.2	14.5	
Total Period ('57-'60)	112	24.5	32	16.3	76	34.2	28.0	36	22.1	13.8	
Summit First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	37	21.6	14	18,5	29	28.8	41.3	8	20.6	15.5	
	44	23.2	30	26.0	29	28.7	23.4	15	22.4	26.2	
	39	27.7	47	23.4	25	28.3	32.1	14	27.6	22.1	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	81	24.2	30	27.4	58	29.5	30.3	23	23.4	27.1	
	83	24.5	31	25.8	51	28.3	35.4	32	23.9	25.0	
	97	24.5	33	25.3	60	29.8	29.6	37	23.7	24.7	
Cheyenne First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	20 · 55 40	26.1 24.1 20.7	35 34 16	11.7 10.5 12.6	10 24 32	45.3 35.1 44.3	18.6 28.9 28.2	10 31 8	24.4 22.9 19.1	11.1 9.3 11.0	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	75	24.6	33	13.6	34	36.6	24.3	41	23.3	12.7	
	81	24.8	34	13.7	34	42.5	20.3	47	23.3	13.2	
	100	24.6	34	14.1	51	41.8	23.4	42	23.1	13.2	

Table II (continued)

		Total Co	untv			otal Urba		Total Rural Total			
County and Year (or Periodb)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. ptc.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Spread' (pct. pts.)	
Dolores First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	30 51 26	23.7 22.8 22.1	2:0 2:8 2:4	14.6 12.2	19 35 21	34.0 23.7 29.6	14.1 11.1 12.8	11 16 5	21.6 22.6 20.5	14.7	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	81 82 94	24.1 24.7 24.7	29 32 35	14.6 15.2 14.3	54 52 62	31.2 31.8 31.8	10.1 11.5 11.5	27 30 32	22.5 23.1 23.1	15.6 16.0 14.9	
Moffat First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	96 143 100	26.6 25.7 23.3	37 41 28	12.4 19.0 14.1	84 104 90	26.6 28.6 23.7	16.0 19.0 11.0	12 39 10	26.5 23.1 23.0	6.9 19.0 18.4	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	239 224 258	25.8 24.9 24.7	41 37 36	14.6 13.9 14.8	188 166 197	27.4 26.7 26.4	13.0 10.5 9.8	51 58 61	24.3 23.1 23.1	16.3 16.8 19.4	
<pre>     Montrose     First Year ('57-'58)     Second Year ('58-'59)     Third Period ('59-'60) </pre>	224 234 240	24.9 25.4 24.3	27 38 32	13.8 14.6 16.1	169 170 160	27.0 28.0 27.7	15.3 17.4 25.8	55 64 80	23.2 23.5 22.0	12.6 12.6 9.6	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	458 520 597	25.2 24.8 24.7	36 35 37	14.2 12.9 13.2	339 346 398	27.5 27.8 27.5	15.9 15.6 15.9	119 174 199	23.5 22.7 22.7	12.7 11.1 11.2	
Logan First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	265 387 398	25.2 24.1 24.2	29 35 31	12.7 9.8 11.6	227 330 353	28.1 29.3 29.1	12.1 9.4 18.0	38 57 45	23.1 20.9 21.2	13.1 9.9 7.7	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	652 867 1,003	24.7 24.7 24.8	35 33 38	11.0 11.6 11.7	557 739 863	28.9 29.4 28.9	10.9 12.0 11.6	95 128 140	22.0 21.8 22.1	10.9 11.4 11.7	
Kiowa First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	50 67 <b>3</b> 7	28.5 23.7 18.1	46 32 3	14.0 11.4 9.5	18 25 25	27.0 31.6 26.8	27.0 14.1 10.3	32 42 12	28.9 22.3 16.7	12.8 11.1 9.3	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	117 129 143	25.5 25.2 24.9	37 38 39	13.7 13.1 12.2	43 49 57	29.1 28.9 27.1	16.3 9.7 9.0	74 80 86	24.7 24.5 24.4	13.3 13.6 13.0	
										٠	

N D N O

Table II (continued)

		Total Co				otal Urba	an	Ţ	otal Rur	
County and Year _(or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales <u>Ratio<sup>c</sup></u>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Totald Spread (pct. pts.)
Delta First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	284 293 273	25.7 26.3 22.9	33 44 27	16.1 13.2 12.5	168 182 159	28.1 28.0 25.7	17.8 12.2 14.0	116 111 114	21.5 24.9 21.0	14.9 14.1 11.3
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	577	26.1	42	14.0	35:0	28.3	14.2	227	24.3	14.0
	691	25.3	39	14.0	38:0	27.6	14.1	311	23.6	13.9
	783	25.0	40	13.7	44:2	27.5	13.9	341	23.1	13.5
Garfield First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	159 204 213	26.9 22.0 26.7	39 22 42	19.7 13.3 18.1	117 151 158	24.2 23.3 24.2	21.7 16.3 17.9	42 53 55	29.4 21.1 29.0	17.7 11.1 18.3
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	363	24.0	28	14.9	268	23.7	15.7	95	24.3	14.1
	424	26.0	42	17.5	293	25.6	20.9	131	26.3	15.1
	498	25.2	41	17.0	348	24.7	18.4	150	25.6	15.7
N Jefferson First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	2,425	25.3	31	8.9	1,796	25.5	8.1	629	24.4	14.1
	3,292	26.3	45	9.2	2,415	27.7	8.5	877	19.8	12.2
	3,803	25.4	35	8.2	2,689	26.5	7.6	1 <b>,11</b> 4	19.9	11.0
Two Years ('57-'59)	5,717	25.7	39	8.9	4,211	26.6	8.3	1,506	21.3	12.2
Three Years ('57-'60)	7,389	25.9	41	8.9	5,220	26.9	8.2	2,169	20.7	12.2
Total Period ('57-'60)	8,782	25.8	42	8.8	6,162	26.9	8.2	2,620	20.5	11.7
Weld First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	877 1,080 1,609	27.7 24.7 25.4	43 37 36	15.2 12.8 12.7	742 881 1,369	30.0 27.8 28.5	14.4 10.5 12.9	135 199 240	26.4 23.1 23.8	15.6 14.0 12.6
Two Years ('57-'59)	1,957	25.8	40	12.5	1,623	28.6	11.5	334	24.3	13.1
Three Years ('57-'60)	2,759	25.8	40	13.0	2,283	29.0	13.3	476	24.2	12.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	3,360	25.8	43	12.8	2,786	28.7	12.8	574	24.4	12.8
Chaffee First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	140 159 161	28.1 25.4 26.3	45 39 40	15.1 14.7 15.0	123 137 128	28.0 27.5 27.3	20.5 17.4 9.6	17 22 33	28.3 22.7 25.0	6.2 11.1 22.7
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	299	26.3	43	14.8	260	27.8	16.7	39	24.1	12.2
	336	26.3	43	13.3	274	27.8	13.3	62	24.3	13.4
	389	26.8	44	13.0	317	27.7	12.8	72	25.5	13.3

Table II (continued)

		Total County Total			T	otal Urba		Total Rural		
County and Year _(or Period <sup>D</sup> )	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>c</sup>	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)
Morgan First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	29 <b>1</b> 363 446	27.6 27.3 24.8	11 18 34	13.2 13.8 10.3	215 292 375	31.3 29.3 28.9	13.0 11.8 12.7	76 71 71	25.3 25.9 22.3	13.3 15.0 8.9
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	654 863 1,012	27.5 27.5 26.9	46 47 45	13.1 13.3 12.7	507 671 794	30.2 31.2 29.6	12.5 13.5 12.8	147 192 218	25.6 25.2 25.0	13.5 13.2 12.6
Adams First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,587 2,028 3,053	27.6 25.5 25.6	42 40 37	8.4 8.7 10.4	1,412 1,857 2,278	29.3 27.7 30.3	8.3 8.8 8.2	175 171 775	24.2 21.0 18.4	8.7 8.5 13.7
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	3,615 5,192 6,316	26.5 26.9 27.0	44 44 46	8.2 8.6 8.6	3,269 4,401 5,195	28.6 29.7 29.8	8.2 8.2 8.3	346 791 1,121	22.4 21.9 21.9	8.3 9.5 9.3
ωMesa First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,025 1,142 1,206	26.2 27.1 27.9	36 46 49	12.6 10.1 9.0	869 884 914	26.0 28.9 29.9	12.9 9.3 7.7	156 258 292	26.5 24.7 25.4	12.2 10.9 10.9
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	2,167 2,720 3,123	27.0 27.0 27.2	45 45 47	10.9 10.1 10.3	1,753 2,066 2,417	27.9 28.0 28.3	10.8 9.3 9.5	414 654 706	25.7 25.6 25.6	11.3 11.0 11.2
Arapahoe First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,820 2,638 3,460	29.0 26.0 27.3	48 43 46	10.7 6.9 7.7	1,496 2,031 2,421	31.1 27.0 26.6	10.4 6.9 7.8	324 607 1,039	25.0 23.9 29.1	11.3 6.9 7.8
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	4,458 6,291 7,514	27.7 27.4 27.2	47 46 48	8.4 8.5 8.3	3,527 4,728 5,544	28.7 28.2 27.9	8.3 8.5 8.4	931 1,563 1,970	25.3 25.6 25.6	8.6 8.3 8.3
Larimer First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,171 1,355 1,757	28.7 27.3 26.5	47 47 41	11.9 12.7 14.6	962 1,056 1,426	28.7 28.0 27.2	9.9 12.2 12.5	209 299 331	28.8 25.9 25.3	16.1 13.5 18.4
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	2,526 3,391 3,960	27.9 27.6 27.4	48 48 49	12.8 12.8 12.8	2,018 2,651 3,121	28.5 28.1 27.9	11.5 11.6 11.5	508 740 839	26.9 26.6 26.5	15.4 15.2 15.2

v.10 10 103

AN AN ANTHON CONTRACTOR

TOTAL CONTINUES OF .

سلع حدا

٠.١٨.٠<u>/۵</u>.٠٠٠

Table II (continued)

	Total County Rank Total		1	otal Urba		Total Rural				
County and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales <sub>c</sub> Ratio	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio <u>(%)</u>	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)
Boulder First Year ('57~'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,325 1,552 1,943	29.3 28.8 27.0	49 52 44	11.6 8.6 9.3	1,262 1,265 1,554	30.1 30.7 29.8	11.5 7.6 8.3	163 287 389	26.8 23.4 20.3	12.1 11.1 11.9
Two Years ('57-'59)	2,877	29.0	51	9.8	2,427	30.4	8.9	450	24.9	12.4
Three Years ('57-'60)	3,567	28.4	49	9.5	2,352	30.2	8.6	715	23.4	11.8
Total Period ('57-'60)	4,235	28.4	50	9.2	3,396	30.3	8.6	839	23.3	11.1
Prowers First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	131 217 246	30.6 27.9 28.8	52 49 52	14.9 18.5 10.3	l11 l53 226	31.1 28.6 30.7	15.4 15.9 11.1	20 64 20	30.4 27.4 27.6	14.7 20.1 9.8
Two Years ('57-'59)	348	28.6	50	17.1	264	29.5	15.2	84	28.0	18.3
Three Years ('57-'60)	464	29.5	51	14.6	367	31.0	13.4	97	28.6	15.4
Total Period ('57-'60)	545	29.1	51	14.9	441	30.5	13.3	104	28.1	16.0
San Miguel First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	31	40.0	61	36.5	24	46.5	42.2	7	38.5	35.1
	30	24.6	36	31.7	19	42.1	27.2	11	22.0	32.3
	53	33.6	60	13.3	47	32.4	22.7	6	33.9	10.5
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	61	30.2	53	32.0	43	41.5	35.0	18	28.0	31.5
	87	30.0	52	26.5	63	38.9	37.6	24	28.2	24.1
	110	29.5	52	26.3	86	25.4	35.6	24	28.2	24.3
Routt First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	135 131 162	27.8 30.6 29.4	44 55 53	16.0 21.7 18.8	110 94 121	40.2 35.8 34.6	29.1 58.4 18.7	25 37 41	24.6 28.9 27.7	12.5 9.4 18.9
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	266	29.8	52	14.8	204	38.1	24.9	62	27.3	11.8
	350	29.3	50	18.1	259	37.2	22.6	91	27.0	16.8
	398	29.6	53	18.8	295	36.8	20.6	103	27.5	18.2
Alamosa <sup>e</sup> First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	113	29.9	51	16.2	96	28.7	20.6	17	31.5	11.3
	103	30.0	53	20.3	89	25.0	19.4	14	34.9	21.2
	151	28.1	51	19.2	126	29.8	23.7	25	26.4	15.0
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	216	30.3	54	18.0	185	28.0	18.2	31	33.4	17.7
	284	30.0	53	16.9	230	28.7	19.1	54	31.5	14.5
	325	29.9	54	16.9	269	29.1	18.8	56	30.8	14.8

54 56 31.5 30.8 18.8

10.9

29.i

269

14.5 14.8

_			b						
(	C	0	n	t	i	nu	e	ď,	)

	•			(continued)						1
	39 26.6 38 54 28.8 51 55 33.6 61 93 28.6 49 132 30.4 54 143 30.2 55 31 39.5 60 44 35.8 61 46 30.7 55 75 36.2 60				T	otal Urba		T	otal Rura	1
County and Year _(or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Certi-	Ratio	of	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>c</sup> (pct. pts.)
Crowley First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	54	28.8	51	16.7 20.2 17.0	26 37 36	31.8 33.2 30.2	19.1 17.6 22.3	13 17 19	25.3 27.5 34.8	16.2 20.9 15.1
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	132	30.4	54	22.8 23.3 22.8	63 85 94	34.6 33.8 33.1	18.4 21.6 22.1	30 47 49	27.0 29.5 29.4	23.8 23.8 22.9
Costilla First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	44	<b>35.</b> 8	61	27.2 46.7 23.1	15 12 18	48.1 60.3 2 <b>9.</b> 3	20.4 37.4 52.9	16 32 28	37.7 32.4 31.0	28.6 47.1 16.1
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	75 86 111	36.2 37.2 31.6	60 62. 56	32.7 36.9 35.0	27 28 <b>3</b> 5	53.1 47.3 32.1	31.3 35.2 51.5	48 58 76	33.4 35.4 31.5	32.9 37.1 31.1
□Otero • First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	311 441 573	33.8 32.7 31.5	55 57 57	17.1 18.3 13.7	259 384 499	35.7 35.7 31.8	21.3 16.9 13.0	52 57 74	31.5 29.1 31.0	11.9 19.8 14.8
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	752 1,077 1,253	33.0 32.2 31.9	57 56 57	17.5 17.3 16.2	643 910 1,070	35.4 33.7 33.3	17.8 18.0 16.2	109 167 183	30.0 30.2 30.2	17.0 16.4 16.2
San Juan <sup>e</sup> First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	15 10 30-	38.7 37.7 28.1	59 62 50	30.9 16.0 16.1	14 10 30	f f 28.1	16.1	1 0 0	f f f	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	25 48 54	38.1 36.5 32.1	62 61 58	26.6 25.7 22.0	24 47 53	f f 31.6	22.0	1 1 1	f f f	
Denver First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	5,413 7,945 11,322	32.2 32.3 31.9	53 55 59	11.0 9.6 10.3	5,413 7,945 11,322	32.2 32.3 31.9	11.0 9.6 10.3			
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	13,358 20,100 24,026	32.3 32.3 32.1	55 57 59	10.0 10.1 10.3	13,358 20,100 24,026	32.3 32.3 32.1	10.0 10.1 10.3			

NX LEFT L

Table II (continued)

						Total Urb		Total Rural			
County and Year (or Periodb)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales Ratio <sup>C</sup>	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certificate;	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadd (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread <sup>d</sup> (pct. pts.)	
Rio Grande First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	120 146 139	33.8 32.7 31.4	56 58 56	21.9 17.7 14.5	95 110 111	32.1 33.5 29.5	15.9 8.8 14.1	25 <b>3</b> 6 28	34.8 32.4 32.5	25.1 21.7 14.8	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	266 320 375	33.1 33.0 32.4	58 58 60	20.5 19.1 18.9	205 239 286	32.6 32.1 31.5	13.7 12.9 13.5	61 81 89	33.3 33.5 32.9	23.7 22.1 21.5	
Bent First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	104 68 96	36.2 34.4 29.8	57 59 54	19.0 15.9 13.6	70 <b>3</b> 9 68	34.4 33.7 28.2	27.1 14.9 15.2	34 29 28	36.8 34.7 30.4	16.4 16.2 13.1	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	172 220 254	35.2 34.7 33.9	59 60 61	17.7 17.2 16.6	109 140 163	34.7 33.1 32.4	16.6 16.1 15.9	63 80 91	35.3 35.2 34.5	18.1 17.6 16.8	
Conejos First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	77 69 68	37.1 30.1 34.8	58 54 62	39.5 20.9 26.8	46 38 47	34.9 31.5 32.9	35.8 33.1 28.5	31 31 21	37.7 29.8 35.4	40.5 19.2 26.5	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	146 161 188	32.6 33.5 34.1	56 59 62	25.4 28.5 26.7	84 86 105	34.3 33.0 36.7	29.3 27.3 31.0	62 75 83	32.2 33.6 33.5	24.5 28.8 25.6	
Saguache First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	34 38 43	40.9 42.9 31.6	63 63 58	20.0 21.1 15.5	24 29 31	31.9 36.0 33.6	34.4 33.6 17.9	10 9 12	44.1 45.1 31.1	15.1 17.4 15.0	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	72 89 106	40.5 38.0 36.1	63 63 63	20.2 22.7 20.2	53 63 75	33.7 34.1 34.1	29.7 29.5 23.1	19 26 31	42.7 39.1 36.6	17.0 20.6 19.5	
Total State First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	24,670 32,002 41,313	27.9 27.0 26.8	÷.	11.5 10.7 11.1	21,346 27,159 34,890	29.5 29.3 29.1	11.0 9.9 10.4	3,324 4,843 6,423	24.3 22.1 22.0	12.5 12.2 12.9	
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	56,672 77,459 91,753	27.4 27.3 27.3		11.1 10.9 11.0	48,505 65,153 77,163	29.4 29.5 29.4	10.4 10.2 10.2	8,167 12,306 14,590	22.9 22.8 22.8	12.5 12.6 12.6	

## TABLE II (continued)

#### Footnotes:

- a. Vacant urban land is included in the tabluations for the first and second years of the study and the first two years combined it is excluded from the tabluations for the third period of 18 months, for the period of three years, and for the total period of 3½ years. This means, for example, that the total number of certificates shown for the total period is not in agreement with the sum of the numbers shown for individual periods.
- b. The periods designated as first year, second year, two years, and three years are periods of indicated lengths ending on June 30 of the designated years; the "third period" is one of a year and one-half ending on December 31, 1960; and the "total period" covered by the study to date is one of three and one-half years ending on December 31, 1960.
- c. Ranked according to size of the sales ratio for the given period.
- d. Average range within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- e. See text, page one, for a statement concerning methodology.
- . Insufficient data for determination of the sales ratio.
- g. Exclusive of agricultural properties with improvements in 1958-1959, for which there was only one conveyance in that year, ar of all rural properties for the period of 18 months ending December 31, 1960, for which there was only one conveyance in that period.
- h. Exclusive of commercial properties in 1957-1958, for which there were no conveyances in that year.
- i. Exclusive of industrial properties, for which there was only one conveyance in the entire period of the study to date.
- j. Exclusive of commerical and industrial properties in 1957-1958 and in 1958-1959, but including them in the third period of 18 months.
- k. Exclusive of industrial properties in 1957-1958 and in 1958-1959, for which there were no conveyances in either of those two years.

TABLE III

Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation in the Ratios, Proportion of Total Assessed Value on the Tax Rolls, and Assessed Value on Certificates as

Per Cent of Total Assessed Value by Class of Property

For Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods

Class of Property and Year (or Periodb)	Number of <u>Certificates</u>	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Range Below Average Ratio	sure of Variati in Percentage P Above Average Ratio	on: oints <sup>c</sup> <u>Total</u>	Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value
One-family Dwellings 1 to 8 years old First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Year ('59-'60)	8,579 11,548 15,509	31.8 31.6 31.0	2.6 2.7 2.9	3.1 3.0 2.9	5.7 5.7 5.8	21.1	8.4 11.5 16.2
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	20,127 30,501 35,635	31.7 31.5 31.4	2.7 2.7 2.8	3.1 3.1 3.0	5.8 5.8 5.8		19.9 30.7 36.2
9 to 18 years old First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Feriod ('59-'60)	2,455 3,646 5,832	29.1 28.8 28.2	3.6 3.0 3.1	4.1 3.4 3.4	7.7 6.4 6.5	7.6	5.0 7.6 12.6
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	6,101 9,773 11,934	23.9 28.7 28.6	3.2 3.2 3.3	3.6 3.6 3.4	6.8 6.8 6.7		12.6 20.4 .25.1
19 to 28 years old First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	917 1,032 1,630	27.0 26.7 26.5	4.2 4.0 3.7	5.6 4.6 4.7	9.8 8.6 8.4	2.9	4.2 5.3 8.9
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	1,949 2,962 3,579	26.8 26.8 26.7	4.1 3.9 3.8	4.9 4.8 4.7	9.0 8.7 8.5		9.5 15.1 18.4
29 to 48 years old First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	2,603 3,186 4,409	24.6 24.0 23.6	4.0 3.8 3.7	4.8 4.5 4.3	8.8 8.3 8.0	8.2	3.4 4.1 6.5

Class of Property and Year (or Periodb)	Number of <u>Certificates</u>	Average Sales Ratio (%)		sure of Variati in Percentage F Above Average Ratio		Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Value
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	5,789 8,742 10,198	24.3 24.1 24.0	3.9 3.9 3.8	4.5 4.5 4.4	8.4 8.4 8.2		7.9 12.1 14.4
Over 48 years old First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	2,470 3,074 5,135	22.0 21.6 21.8	4.7 4.3 4.3	5.4 5.1 5.2	10.1 9.4 9.5	5.2	3.8 5.0 9.0
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	5,544 8,822 10,679	21.8 21.8 21.8	4.5 4.4 4.4	5.4 5.4 5.2	9.9 9.8 9.6		8.8 14.6 17.8
All ages combined First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	17,024 22,486 32,515	28.1 27.7 27.3	3.5 3.3 3.3	4.2 3.9 3.8	7.7 7.2 7.1	45.0	6.1 8.4 12.5
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	39,510 60,800 72,025	27.9 27.8 27.7	3.4 3.4 3.4	4.0 3.9 3.8	7.4 7.3 7.2		14.5 22.7 27.1
Multi-family Dwellings First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	628 808 1,405	31.3 30.8 30.6	7.0 5.6 5.7	4.1 5.3 5.3	11.1 10.9 11.0	4.4	4.2 5.5 9.3
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	1,436 2,360 2,841	30.7 30.9 30.7	5.9 6.0 5.8	5.1 5.2 5.1	11.0 11.2 10.9		9.6 15.8 18.9
Commercial buildings First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	521 574 785	32.0 33.4 33.3	7.5 7.5 8.2	12.8 9.9 10.0	20.3 17.4 18.2	16.4	1.6 2.2 2.9

Table III (continued)

A**s**sessed

	Measure of Variation: Froportion Range in Percentage Points Total Asses									
Class of Property and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Number of <u>Certificates</u>	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Below Average Ratio	Above Average <u>Ratio</u>	<u>Total</u>	Value on Tax Rolls in 1957 (%)	of Total Assessed <u>Value</u> d			
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	1,095 1,616 1,853	32.8 33.0 33.0	7.6 7.7 7.8	10.2 10.5 10.2	17.8 18.2 18.0		3.9 5.8 6.7			
Industrial buildings First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	93 139 212	37.1 34.4 34.1	8.2 5.9 7.2	5.7 7.0 11.5	13.9 12.9 18.7	6.4 	0.9 1.2 2.5			
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	232 374 , 444	35.8 34.9 34.6	6.9 7.0 7.3	6.4 7.8 8.7	13.3 14.8 16.0		2.1 3.6 4.7			
Total urban First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	21,346 27,159 34,890	29.5 29.3 29.1	4.9 4.5 4.7	6.1 5.4 5.7	11.0 9.9 10.4	72.2	4.6 6.2 9.3			
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	48,505 65,150 77,163	29.4 29.5 29.4	4.7 4.6 4.7	5.5 5.6 5.5	10.2 10.2 10.2		10.8 16.8 20.0			
Agric. land with impts. First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	799 1,005 709	25.7 23.1 23.0	5.6 5.6 5.6	7.1 7.3 8.5	12.7 12.9 14.1	14.2	1.5 1.8 1.3			
Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	1,804 2,303 2,513	24.1 23.9 23.7	5.6 5.6 5.5	7.5 7.9 7.8	13.1 13.5 13.3		3.4 4.3 4.7			
Agric. land without impts. First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	448 773 347	20.2 18.3 16.9	4.4 4.0 3.2	7.7 6.4 7.6	12.1 10.4 10.8	4.3	0.9 1.6 0.5			

ı			(Concina.	c <b>c</b> ,				
	Class of Property and Year (or Period <sup>b</sup> )	Number of Certificates	Measi Range i Average Sales Ratio (%)	ure of Variat n Percentage Below Average Ratio	ion: Points <sup>C</sup> Above Average Ratio	<u>Total</u>	Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls	Assessed Value on Certificates As Per Cent of Total Assessed Valued
	Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	1,221 1,450 1,568	18.8 18.4 18.5	3.9 3.9 4.1	5.9 7.2 6.8	10.8 11.1 10.9		2.5 2.8 3.0
	Misc. rural land with impts. First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	1,184 1,961 3,714	25.6 24.1 25.6	6.2 4.6 5.3	6.0 7.0 6.3	12.2 11.6 11.6	6.9 	2.5 4.4 10.1
	Two Years ('57-'59)  Three Years ('57-'60)  Cotal Period ('57-'60)	3,145 5,435 6,859	24.7 25.0 25.4	5.1 5.1 5.3	7.2 6.7 6.3	12.3 11.8 11.6	:	6.9 12.8 17.1
	Misc. rural land without impts. First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	893 1,104 1,653	16.7 16.5 16.5	4.1 4.5 4.8	6.7 8.1 8.3	10.8 12.6 13.1	0.9	2.9 3.0 3.6
	Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	1,997 - 3,118 3,650	17.4 16.8 17.1	5.2 4.7 4.7	7.2 7.5 8.0	12.4 12.2 12.7		6.0 8.3 9.5
WEST OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	Total rural First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	3,324 4,843 6,423	24.3 22.1 22.0	5.5 5.0 5.0	7.0 7.2 7.9	12.5 12.2 12.9	26.3 	1.7 2.5 3.5
The Alberta State of the State	Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	8,167 12,306 14,590	22.9 22.8 22.8	5.1 5.1 5.2	7.4 7.5 7.4	12.5 12.6 12.6		4.2 6.4 7.8
Presentation address is on the same	Grand total First Year ('57-'58) Second Year ('58-'59) Third Period ('59-'60)	24,670 32,002 41,313	27.9 27.0 26.8	5.1 4.7 4.7	6.4 6.0 6.4	11.5 10.7 11.1	98.5 	3.8 5.2 7.7
ere der der Meren abadilität aber bei	Two Years ('57-'59) Three Years ('57-'60) Total Period ('57-'60)	56,672 77,456 91,753	27.4 27.3 27.3	4.9 4.8 4.9	6.1 6.1 6.1	11.0 10.9 11.0		9.0 14.1 16.8
*								

s as

Table III (continued) Footnotes

a. Vacant urban land is included in the tabulations for the first and second years of the study and the first two years combined; it is excluded from the tabulations for the third period of 18 months, for the period of three years, and for the total period of 3½ years.

b. The periods designated as first year, second year, two years, and three years are periods of indicated lengths ending on June 30 of the designated years; the "third period" is one of a year and one-half ending on December 31, 1960; and the "total period" covered by the study to date is one of three and one-half years ending on December 31, 1960.

c. Average range (above and below the average ratio) within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

d. Total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls as reported by the county assessors for 1957.

Adams County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average and Proportion of Asset for Is

	One	-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (y		<u>rs</u>
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8_	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 4	All <u>Ages</u>	Mul A
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 2 1 2 6	0 1 2 1 3	0 1 1 2 3	2 6 5 7 10	0 1 1 2 1	3 11 10 14 23	@
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	11 37 63 84 164	11 15 43 104 96	3 7 0 6 1	9 14 3 11 2	1 7 3 2 1	35 80 112 207 264	E CONTRIBUTION DE
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	197 293 242 221 133	56 27 12 4 10	1 3 2 0 1	3 1 2 0	0 1 0 2 0	257 327 257 229 144	( V U ) U ) U ) U
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 45	87 87 43 13	3 4 3 1	1 2 0 0	0 1 2 0 1	0 1 0 0	91 95 48 14 4	]
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 5	2 0 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 1 1	4 1 1 9	(
Total Cases	1,695	402	34	83	26	2,240	;
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	26.4	23.7	20.4	23.4	30.0	:
Measure of Variationa Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.5 7.0	1.9 2.4 4.3	4.7 6.6 11.3	4.2 4.7 8.9	3.3 7.6 10.9	3.4 3.6 7.0	•
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	48.2	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	- (

i 0 Pange in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed Legislative Council.

- 23 -

Number of Conveyances by Size ge Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssessed Value by Class of Property the 1½ Year Period

lti-Family <u>)wellings</u>	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With	mote fro	isc. Rural m Denver ithout Impts.		Denver Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rur</u> al	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>	
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 11 10 15 23	1 2 1 1 0	0 1 1 0 1	4 0 0 1 0	1 2 9 6 7	5 4 5 1	3 0 0 0	14 10 15 13	17 21 25 28 32	
0 0 0 0	0 2 3 2 1	0 0 1 0	35 82 116 209 265	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	7 15 27 25 36	2 4 3 2 1	0 0 0 0 1	11 21 31 28 38	46 100 147 237 303	
0 0 7 3 2	2 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	259 328 265 232 148	0 0 0 0	2 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	72 68 112 149 134	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	74 69 113 150 135	333 397 378 382 283	
0 1 0 1	0 0 2 3 1	0 0 0 0	91 96 50 18 5	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	27 5 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	27 5 2 3 1	118 101 52 21 6	
0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	5 1 1 10	0 0 0	0 0 0	) () ()	0 3 0 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 2	6 4 1 12	
14	23	1	2,278	9	10	.7	709	35	5	775	3,053	
34.2	32.2		30.3	15.6	23.9	14.8	33.0	16.4		18.4	25.6	
1.2 2.3 3.5	8.3 11.9 20.2		3.8 4.4 8.2	5.4 5.9 11.3	6.9 7.1 14.0	7.6 7.7 15.3	3.8 2.9 6.7	4.9 5.8 10.7		4.2 9.5 13.7	4.0 6.4 10.4	
1.7	7.4	0.4	69.8	8.4	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	4.6	27.9	97.6	

l when arranged from low to high.
value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

int by

2

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Year Period.

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					yea <u>rs)</u>	Multi-Family	Commercial	Industrial	Total	— <u>∕</u> A Wi	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>.=8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Dwellings	Buildings	Buildings	Urban	Imr
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	3 4 5 13	1 2 4 4 13	1 2 7 3 4	3 12 12 15 20	0 2 7 4 4	8 22 34 31 54	0 0 0	0 1 3 3 1	0 0 0 0	8 23 37 34 55	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	40 108 117 193 401	19 23 71 147 187	8 11 6 12 2	20 16 17 24 7	3 14 4 3 2	90 182 215 379 599	0 0 2 2 1	0 5 9 3 4	0 0 1 1 0	90 187 227 385 604	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	617 676 519 468 329	94 50 27 13 19	2 6 2 0 1	9 7 1 4 0	3 2 0 3 0	725 741 549 488 349	0 3 12 4 3	3 2 3 3 2	1 0 0 0	729 746 564 495 355	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	278 184 66 23 5	6 8 5 2 1	3 3 2 0	0 1 3 0 1	0 1 0 0	287 197 76 25 7	3 2 0 1 0	2 0 3 3 2	1 0 0 0	293 199 79 29 10	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	3 3 1 12	2 1 0 8	0 0 0	1 2 0 1	1 0 1 1	7 6 2 22	0 0 2 0	1 1 0 4	0 1 0 0	8 8 4 26	
Total Cases	4,072	707	<b>7</b> 5	186	55	5,095	35	58		5,195	
Average Gales Ratio (%)	31.8	26.7	<b>22.</b> 2	20.7	21.1	29.8	33.4	28.0	42.5	29.8	1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.4 3.7 7.1	2.2 2.6 4.8	3.8 6.0 9.8	4.3 4.5 8.8	4.7 5.2 9.9	3.3 3.8 7.1	1.3 4.1 5.4	5.7 11.5 17.2	16.5 2.5 19.0	3.7 4.6 8.3	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	48.2	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.8	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the ass

riation perty

	Misc. Rural Land  Agric. Land Remote From Denver Near Denver							
rial Total ngs <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	With	Without Impts.		Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
8 23 37 34 55	4 5 4 6 1	8 2 5 1	0 2 2 0 2	10 2 1 2 2	4 3 13 7 10	8 9 9 9	34 23 34 29 25	42 46 71 63 80
90 187 227 385 604	5 6 6 2 1	2 2 2 1	1 2 1 1	1 0 0 1 2	14 24 41 33 52	4 7 6 5 3	27 41 56 43 60	117 228 283 428 664
729 746 564 495 355	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1	8 2 4 4 3	0 0 0 0	92 106 144 170 141	1 1 0 0	101 109 149 176 144	830 855 713 671 499
293 199 79 29 10	0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 C	1 0 0 1	31 9 4 2 3	0 0 0 2 0	32 9 6 7 4	325 208 85 36 11
8 8 4 26	0 0 0	0000	0 0 1 1	0000	2 4 0 1	1 1 0 1	3 5 1 3	11 13 5 29
5,195	43	31	37	24	910	76	1,121	6,316
29.8	18.3	14.2	28.5	10.8	32.5	16.5	21.9	27.0
3.7 4.6 8.3	5.4 4.1 9.5	4.4 6.0 10.4	5.9 6.4 12.3	2.8 11.2 14.0	3.9 3.1 7.0	4.1 6.2 10.3	4.7 4.6 9.3	4.0 4.6 8.6
69.8	8.4	4.6	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	27.9	97.6

high. rted by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

	Sa	les	Rat	io C
]	10 12 14 6	and "		1
1 2: 2: 2: 2:	0 2	11 11 11	** ** ** **	20
28 30 32 34 36	1	11 14 13 14	H H	30 32 34 36 38
38 40 42 44 46		•	## ## ## ##	40 42 44 46 48
48 50 55 60	# #	i Ov	51 "	50 55 60
Tota	1	Cas	es	

Average Sales Rati

Measure of Variati Below Average Ra Above Average Ra Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Val

24

a. Range in percent b. Assessed value

Number of Conveyances by Size age Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Assessed Value by Class of Property ears Ending December 31, 1960

.,			Agric.	Misc. Rural			-
Commercia Buildings	1 Other	Total <u>Urban</u>	Land With Impts.	Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 1 1 0	00000	0 3 5 8	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1	1 0 2 1 2	1 6 5 6 10
0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	13 13 10 8 15	0 1 0 1 1	2 0 1 1	0000	2 1 2 2	15 14 11 10 17
0 0 0 1	O 1 1 0 0	11 5 2 2 4	0 0 1 1	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 2 1 2	11 7 4 3 6
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	3 2 4 2 0
0 0 0 2	0 0 0	2 0 3 7	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	2 0 3 9
6	5	126	7	12	6	25	151
50.1		29.8	29.8	27.4		26.4	28.1
37.1 32.4 69.5		11.3 12.4 23.7	7.8 4.7 12.5	8.4 9.6 18.0		6.7 8.3 15.0	9.0 10.2 19.2
16.7	7.5	53.4	35.5	5.0	5.9	46.4	99.3
	Buildings  0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Commercial Other Buildings Urban Urb	Commercial Other Buildings Urban Urban Urban Impts.  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Commercial Other Buildings Urban Urban Urban Urban Urban Urban Impts. Impts.  0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	All Commercial Other Buildings Urban Urban Urban Impts. Impts. Impts. Rural Land With Other Impts. Impts. Impts. Rural Impts. Impts. Impts. Rural Impts. Impts. Rural Impts. Impts. Impts. Rural Impts. Impts. Impts. Rural Impts. Impts. Impts. Rural Impts. Im	All   Commercial Other   Total   Land   With   With   Other   Total   Impts.   Impts.   Impts.   Rural   Rur

ios fall when arranged from low to high. ssessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Counci



Alamosa County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Saland Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years End

	On	e-Family	<u>Dwellings</u>	by Age	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u> ·	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Age:	Sales Ratio Class (%)						
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 2	0 3 1 4 3	0 2 0 0	( = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18						
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0 4	4 3 2 3 2	3 1 3 0 3	4 6 4 4 3	1 2 1 0 3	12 12 10 7 15	18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28						
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	6 3 0 0	1 1 0 0 3	0 0 1 0 0	4 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	1] 4 1 1 4	28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38						
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 ! 0	2 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	3 1 3 2 C	40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 43 48 " " 50 50 " " 55						
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 <b>2</b> 1	1 0 1 2	1 0 0 1	2 0 3 5	50 " " 60 60 and Over Total Cases						
Total Cases	14	23	19	43	16	115	Average Sales Ratio (%) 30						
Average Sales Ratio (%) Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup>	29.1	25.4	23.0	22.4	25.7	24.3	Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio 4 Above Average Ratio 3 Total 7						
Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.3 1.2 2.5	4.9 9.6 14.5	4.5 8.7 13.2	4.6 5.7 10.3	5.9 12.3 18.2	4.4 7.4 11.8	Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup> 4						
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	<ul> <li>a. Range in percentage point</li> <li>b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by</li> </ul>						

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios f b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assess



Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

One-Family Dwellings By Age Class (years)								All	_	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-2</b>8</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Tot <u>Urb</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3	0 0 2 1 5	0 '3 2 7 7	0 2 0 0	0 5 4 8 16	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	0: - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 3 6 7	4 7 2 6 3	5 2 4 0 5	11 10 6 7 4	3 4 4 1 4	23 24 19 20 23	1 1 1 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2: 2: 2: 2: 2:
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	8 4 3 3 3	1 1 0 0 4	2 0 2 2 0	6 2 0 3 1	0 3 1 4 1	17 10 6 12 9	0 1 1 0 0	1 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	18 1.
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 2 1	0 1 1 0 0	3 0 1 2	2 0 0 2 0	5 2 3 6 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 4	0 0 2 4	1 0 3 7	1 2 0 2	2 3 6 18	0 1 0 0	2 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	4 • • 2.
Total Cases	42	39	38	86	37	242	12	13	2	269
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.1	26.0	24.3	22.9	27.5	25.2	29.5	39.1		29.]
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.0 3.6 7.6	5.2 11.1 16.3	5.7 10.2 15.9	4.4 8.6 13.0	5.9 9.9 15.8	4.9 8.9 13.8	5.7 2.5 8.2	24.6 9.7 34.3		10.] 8.7 18.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	2.6	16.7	4.9	53.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by

of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ling December 31, 1960

∣ Va ∣ing	lue by Class o December 31,	of Proper 1960	ty			Misc. Rural					
mil	y Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County		
)	0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 7 5 9 18	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 4 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	1 0 5 3 2	10 10 12 20		
l l !	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	24 25 20 22 26	0 1 0 2 2	0 1 0 1 0	3 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	3 2 1 4 4	27 27 21 26 30		
) 1 1 )	1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	18 11 8 14 9	2 1 2 2 2	2 3 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 5 3 2 3	22 16 11 16 12		
000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 3 3 6	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 1 0	5 5 7 1		
0 1 0 0	2 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	4 4 6 21	1 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 2	1 2 0 6	5 6 6 27		
2	13	2	269	21	14	16	5	56	325		
5	39.1		29.1	32.9	23.3	29.1		30.8	29.9		
7 5 2	24.6 9.7 34.3	4.9	10.1 8.7 18.8 53.4	6.7 6.0 12.7 35.5	9.5 8.4 17.9 5.8	9.8 12.9 22.7 5.0	0.1	7.5 7.3 14.8 46.4	8.8 8.1 16.9 99.3		
٥.	10.7	4.7									

en arranged from low to high. we in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sale	s_Ra	tio C	lass	(%)
10 a 12 14 16	U	nder # #	10 12 14 16 18	
18 20 22 24 26	11 11 11 11	H H H H	20 22 24 26 28	
28 30 32 34 36	16 17 18 11	11 17 19 11	30 32 34 36 38	
38 40 42 44 46	11 17 17 18	H 21 14	40 42 44 46 48	
48 50 55 60	11	" " Over	50 55 60	
	tal (			
			es Ra	
Мє	Rel	ow As	Vari verag verag l	е ка

Prop. of Ass'd. Val

a. Range in perceib. Assessed value

Arapahoe Count of Sales Ratio, Aves and Proportion of fc

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)											
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	A11					
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 3 2 0 1	0 0 1 5	0 1 0 3 3	3 5 10 21 42	2 1 5 9 7	€ 6 10 18 38:					
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 16 56 144 244	10 44 90 131 86	19 9 15 11 4	36 25 15 14 9	15 9 9 8 0	85 103 185 308 343					
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	247 256 254 150 85	40 16 12 6 3	6 5 2 0 1	7 1 1 2 1	2 1 0 2 1	302 275 265 160 91					
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	40 12 3 0 1	4 2 2 2 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 2 1	0 1 0 1	44 1 5 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7					
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	( ), , , , , ,					
Total Cases	1,522	454	83	198	75	2,33:					
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	25.2	22.3	19.4	19.9	26.					
Measure of Variationa Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.1 3.0 6.1	2.0 2.2 4.2	2.9 4.3 7.2	2.9 3.5 6.4	3.4 3.9 7.3	23.6					
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.!					

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratible. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as

Nunty: Number of Conveyances by Size
Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the 1½ Year Period

			<u>Misc. Rural Land</u> Remote								
irs) (11 (ges	Multi-FamilyDwellings	Commercial Bldqs.	Indus- trial <u>Bldqs.</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	From Denver With Impts.	With	Denver Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County	
6 10 18 38 53	0 0 0 3 0	0 0 2 0	2 0 0 0	8 10 20 41 53	0 0 0 1 1	6 7 4 20 14	7 17 17 11	1 0 0 0	14 24 21 32 26	22 34 41 73 79	
85 103 185 308 343	0 1 1 0 2	0 2 4 0 1	0 1 1 0 1	85 107 191 308 347	0 1 2 0	14 28 <b>34</b> 58 64	5 11 5 2 0	0 0 1 0	19 40 42 60 64	104 147 233 368 411	
302 279 269 160 91	5 2 5 7 8	0 3 2 0 0	1 0 2 0 0	308 284 278 167 99	0 1 3 2 0	108 159 156 137 53	1 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	109 160 161 139 53	417 444 439 306 152	
44 17 7 5 3	7 3 9 2 1	1 0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	52 21 18 7 4	0 0 0 0	42 9 4 1 3	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	42 12 4 1 3	94 33 22 8 7	
0 3 1 2	1 2 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	1 5 2 5	0 0 0	4 1 1 3	0 0 0 <b>3</b>	0 0 0 1	4 1 1 7	5 6 3 12	
332	60	18	11 2	,421	11	930	93	5	1,039	3,460	
26.0	36.5	28.8	27.0	26.6	27.3	31.0	16.4		29.1	27 <b>.3</b>	
2.9 3.1 6.0	4.1 5.7 9.8 0.9	6.6 4.7 11.3	5.5 12.1 17.6 6.1	3.7 4.1 7.8 71.2	5.9 6.2 12.1 1.9	3.5 3.4 6.9 20.3	4.5 3.9 8.4 1.6	6.2	4.0 3.8 7.8	3.8 3.9 7.7	
3.5	0.9	10.7	0.1	11.2	1.07		1.0	0.2	20,0	,,•,	

tios fall when arranged from low to high.
assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Varia and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Properfor the 3½ Year Period

	ears) All	Multi-Family	Commercial	Industr	ria.					
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-23	29-48	Over 4		<u>Dwellings</u>	Buildings	Buildir	10s
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	3 4 6 2 5	0 0 2 7 1	2 2 5 6 7	10 11 23 44 79	3 1 9 14 12	18 18 45 73 104	0 0 0 3	0 1 3 1	2 0 2 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	8 29 127 364 598	15 57 138 200 147	31 29 40 25 16	72 59 50 34 24	21 16 13 11 4	147 190 368 634 789	1 1 0 6	1 3 6 1 3	0 1 1 2 1	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	582 602 594 397 251	85 54 29 18 14	16 14 6 3 3	16 8 5 5 3	6 1 1 3 3	705 679 635 426 274	5 6 10 11 11	1 7 5 1 0	3 3 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	105 51 9 4 6	9 7 5 2 1	6 2 2 0 1	3 3 5 2 2	0 1 0 3 0	123 64 21 11 10	15 10 11 2 3	3 3 6 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	4 2 2 0	0 0 3 4	0 0 2 3	1 4 0 1	0 1 0 4	5 7 7 12	1 4 1 1	1 1 3 3	0 0 0 2	
Total Cases	3,755	7 <b>9</b> 8	221	464	127	5,365	103	54	22	5,
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.6	26.1	23.5	20.2	20.8	26.7	36.9	32.0	33.1	2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.3 3.0 6.3	2.4 2.6 5.0	3.3 4.8 8.1	3.5 3.8 7.3	4.0 4.3 8.3	3.3 3.2 6.5	4.3 4.5 8.8	8.5 10.5 19.0		
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported b Legislative Council.

ize ariation operty

				Misc. Rur				
trial Total	With Impts.	<pre>c. Land Without    Impts.</pre>	With Impts.	rom Denver Without Impts.	With Impts.	Denver Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total County
20 19 50 77 104	0 2 2 0 2	3 2 3 1 0	1 2 1 1	1 0 0 1 1	10 15 17 25 27	65 46 62 44 37	80 67 85 72 68	100 87 135 149 172
149 195 376 637 799	2 2 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 3 0 3	0 2 1 0	34 56 62 91 108	32 24 12 10 8	68 87 78 102 119	217 282 454 739 918
714 695 653 438 285	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 4 3 1	0 0 1 0	186 238 234 209 84	4 3 7 0 1	193 244 246 212 86	907 939 899 650 371
141 78 39 14 13	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	56 20 17 7 8	9 4 1 2	65 25 19 9	206 103 58 23 22
7 12 11 18	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	7 3 2 14	1 2 2 4	8 5 4 19	15 17 15 37
5,544	14	11	26	8	1,530	381	1,970	7,514
27.9	22.8	11.9	26.2	17.1	30.8	15.2	25.6	27.2
- 4.4 - 4.0 - 8.4	9.3 2.2 11.5	2.4 2.8 5.2	5.7 6.6 12.3	1.1 10.9 12.0	4.0 3.6 7.6	3.9 4.8 8.7	4.5 3.8 8.3	4.4 3.9 8.3
71.2	2.9	1.6	1.9	0.2	20.3	1.6	28.6	99.9

high. rted by the assessor to the

Sales Ratio Class
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " 24 24 " 26 26 " 28
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over
Total Cases
Average Sales Ratio
Measure of Variation Below Average Ration Above Average Ration Total
Prop of Ass'd. Value
Range in parass

<sup>a. Range in percenta low to high.
b. Assessed value in as reported by the</sup> 

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

	Sales 1	Ratio	Class' (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
:	l0 and l2 " l4 " l6 "	Under	10 12 14 16 18	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1
	18 " 20 " 22 " 24 " 26 "	11 11 11	20 22 24 26 28	1 6 2 0 1	0 0 1 0	1 6 3 0 1	0 14 0 0	1 0 1 2 0	1 14 1 2 0	2 20 4 2
	28 " 30 " 32 " 34 " 36 "	17 11 11	30 32 34 36 38	0 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0 0	1 3 2 1 1
4	38 " 40 " 42 " 44 "	11 11 11	40 42 44 46 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
1	48 " 50 " 55 " 60 and	" " Over	50 55 60	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 1
•	Total (	Cases		21	1	22	14	6	20	42
			es Ratio (%)	24.7		23.9	20.2		21.6	22.0
ì	Belo Abov	w Ave	/ariation <sup>a</sup> rage Ratio rage Ratio	3.1 11.7 14.8		2.3 12.5 14.8			0.6 4.1 4.7	1.0 4.8 5.8
1	Prop.	of Ass	s'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.9	8.4	19.3	0.1	78.6	78.7	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from

low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.





nuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size; Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation oportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property or the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

by Age C	Class (year	rs) All	All Other	Total	With	Without	Misc. Rural Land Without	Other	Total	Total
<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	A <b>c</b> es	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
0000	O O C 1 1	0 0 0 1 2	00000	0 0 0 1 2	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 2 2	0 2 1 3 4
0 1 0 0	3 5 2 0	3 8 5 3 3	0 0 1 0	3 7 6 <b>3</b> 3	1 1 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 14 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 15 1 3 0	4 23 7 6 3
0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 4 4 1 1	1 0 0 0	2 4 4 1 1	1 0 1 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	2 0 4 0 0	4 4 8 1 1
0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1	1 2 0 1 0
0000	1 1 0 0	1 2 1 5	0 0 0	1 2 1 5	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	O O 1 1	1 2 2 6
1	17	47	2	49	11	6	15	5	37	86
	22.8	26.2		26.1	18.9	17.0	19.2		19.3	20.3
	3.3 7.2 10.5	3.4 11.5 14.9		3.3 11.6 14.9		1.5 12.0 13.5				0.5 12.4 12.9
1.4	3.4	10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7	6.7	5.1	0.1	78.7	98.0

half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

H

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variationand Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

	On	e-Family	Dwellings	rs) All	All Other	Total	Ag: Wit		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Urban	Urban	Impt:
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 2	0000	0 0 0 1 2	(
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0 1	0 1 1 1	0 1 1 <b>2</b> 1	0 1 0 0	3 5 2 0	3 8 5 3 3	0 0 1 0	3 7 6 3 3	:
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 <b>2</b> 0 0	0 1 2 0	O 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 4 4 1 1	1 0 0 0	2 4 4 1 1	(
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	(
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 0	1 2 1 5	0 0 0	1 2 1 5	] ( (
Total Cases	5	10	14	1	17	47	2	49	- 11
Average Sales Ratio (%)		29.5	25.6		22.8	26.2		26.1	18.9
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		4.5 9.5 14.0	1.1 26.9 28.0		3.3 7.2 10.5	3.4 11.5 14.9		3.3 11.6 14.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.1	2.7	1.3	1.4	3.4	10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as I

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Var and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Proportion the 1% Years Ending December 31, 1960

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>				
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 4 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 5 4	0 0 0 0				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 1 0	2 1 3 6 5	0 1 2 1 0	1 2 1 1 5	0 0 0 0	4 4 7 9 11	0 0 0 0				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 4 1	0 1 0 1	4 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 4 0 5 1	0 0 0 0				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 1 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 6	0 0 0	1 1 1 9	0 1 0 5				
Total Cases	4	35	9	28	1	77	8				
Average Sales Ratio (%)		26.5	27.0	24.4		25.5					
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		3.3 8.6 11.9	4.8 10.0 14.8	6.4 10.0 16.4		4.7 8.8 13.5					
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.4				

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as rep





Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation roportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1% Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>.nqs</u>	by Age Cla	iss (years)		All		Agric. Land	A11		
<u>.</u>	<u>29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 0 2 4 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 5 4	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 5 4	0 1 3 2 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 2 3 3 0	0 5 3 8 4
	1 2 1 1 5	0 0 0 0 0 1	4 4 7 9 11	0 0 0 0	4 4 7 9 11	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 3	4 4 8 9 14
	4 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 4 0 5 1	0 0 0 0	5 4 0 5 1	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	6 6 0 5 1
	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	1 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 1
	0 0 0 6	0 0 0	1 1 1 9	0 1 0 5	1 2 1 14	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 1 14
	28	1	77	8	85	9	6	15	100
	24.4		25.5		32.2	15.1		16.3	18.1
	6.4 10.0 16.4		4.7 8.8 13.5		5.0 21.6 26.6	2.3 11.7 14.0		3.1 10.9 14.0	3.4 12.0 15.4
	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.4	19.8	51.0	28.7	79.8	99.6

f of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. t of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

eyances by Size , Measure of Variation by Class of Property nber 31, 1960

ommercial uildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 8 6	1 1 2 3 1	3 7 5 10	0 0 0 0	4 9 8 12	4 5 11 16 18
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	10 15 12 17 20	4 3 2 1 3	8 5 6 1 4	0 1 0 0	12 9 8 2 7	22 24 20 19 27
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	15 7 0 12 4	1 1 0 0 0	3 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	4 2 0 1	19 9 0 13 5
0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	2 6 2 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 1 1	2 8 2 2 2
0 1 0 5	0 1 0 1	1 4 1 22	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 2	2 4 1 24
8	4	169	23	62	5	90	259
13.8		29.7	18.5	18.9		18.8	20.2
		2.7 17.9 20.6	3.3 6.1 9.4	3.9 4.9 8.8		3.7 5.5 9.2	3.5 7.2 10.7
6.0	0.3	19.8	27.9	51.0	0.8	79.8	99 <b>.6</b>

<i>√</i>
Sales Ratio Class (%)
10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over
Total Cases Average Sales Ratio (%)
Measure of Variationa Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb

- a. Range in percentage p
- b. Assessed value in 195

in arranged from low to high. He in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variatio and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

	<u>Or</u>	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (yea	ars) All	Commercia	All	Tad
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Buildings		Tot <u>Urb</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 2 6 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 8 5	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 2 2 0	5 5 5 10 8	0 2 2 2 2 3	3 6 3 3 8	0 0 0 0 1	10 15 12 17 20	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 0 1 0	5 3 0 10 4	2 1 0 1	6 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	15 7 0 12 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	1 2 1 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 4 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	1 1 1 6	O 1 O 1	8 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 1 16	0 1 0 5	O 1 O 1	2
Total Cases	14	73	19	50	1	157	8	4	16
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.9	27.5	27.0	23.7		25.7	43.8		29.
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.4 5.1 9.5	3.8 8.5 12.3	4.2 7.0 11.2	4.0 5.8 9.8		4.0 6.8 10.8			2. 17. 20.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.0	0.3	19.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as

: Number of Conveyances by Size rage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation f Assessed Value by Class of Property or the 1½ Year Period.

uss (years) Over 48	All	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. Rural Land With	All Other	Total	Total
OVET 48	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
0 0 2 3 2	0 0 3 4 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 4 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 2 0	0 1 1 2 1	0 1 4 6 3
5 3 3 1 5	7 7 4 5 6	0 0 2 0	7 7 6 5 6	0 0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 2 1 0	8 9 7 5 6
3 2 3 0	5 3 8 1 2	0 1 0 0	5 4 8 1 2	1 1 2 0 0	0 3 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 4 0 0	6 8 12 1 2
0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 2 0	1 2 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 1	2 2 1 3	2 2 3 3 1
0 0 0	1 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 4	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	1 0 1 5
33	63	5	68	10	12	6	28	96
23.1	25.8		28.2	37.9	28.0		30.4	29.8
4.6 5.4 10.0	4.6 5.4 10.0		5.4 9.8 15.2	6.9 3.6 10.5	7.0 8.2 15.2		5.7 7.4 13.1	5.6 8.0 13.6
6.1	16.1	7.2	23.3	59.0	2.6	14.6	76.2	99.5

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

1 assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Year Period.

	All	7-1-1						
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 3 2	0 0 3 4 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 4 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 2 0	5 3 3 1 5	7 7 4 5 6	0 0 2 0	7 7 6 5 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 1	1 3 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 3 0	5 3 8 1 2	0 1 0 0	5 4 8 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 4
Total Cases	7	8	7	8	33	63	5	<b>6</b> 8
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	30.1	45.3	22.0	23.1	25.8		28.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.1 4.9 9.0	7.1 2.6 9.7	11.3 25.1 36.4	2.2 3.0 5.2	4.6 5.4 10.0	4.6 5.4 10.0		5.4 9.8 15.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	7.2	23.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as rep





Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Varia and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Proper for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years) All									
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1	0 0 2 0	0 1 2 3 4	0 2 4 5 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 5 5	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1 2	1 2 0 2 1	0 0 0 1 1	1 5 1 2	8 4 6 5 10	10 12 7 11 14	0 0 1 0	O 0 1 1 0	10 12 9 12 14	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 3 1 2 1	1 2 3 0 1	0 1 2 1 2	3 1 1 0 2	6 4 3 3 0	13 11 10 6 6	0 0 0 2 0	0 1 0 0	13 12 10 8 6	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	2 2 2 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 1 0	4 2 4 1 3	0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0	4 2 6 1 4	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	1 0 1 8	0 1 0 3	0 0 0	1 2 1 14	0 1 0 4	1 0 0	2 3 1 18	
Total Cases	15	18	29	23	63	148	11	4	163	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.5	31.2	37.8	30.1	24.4	28.3	50.4		32.4	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 3.6 6.4	5.7 7.8 13.5	5.7 29.1 34.8	9.0 7.1 16.1	5.0 5.0 10.0	5.6 7.1 12.7	13.2 16.7 29.9		7.0 8.9 15.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	6.6	0.6	23.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as report. Under 0.1 per cent.

The Control of the Co

of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation d Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

ommercial uildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 5 5	0 0 0 1 1	1 1 5 3	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 7 5	1 3 6 12 10
O O 1 O	0 0 1 1 0	10 12 9 12 14	1 0 2 1 0	3 1 3 1 0	0 3 0 1 2	0 1 1 0	4 5 6 3 3	14 17 15 15
0 0 0 2 0	0 1 0 0	13 12 10 8 6	1 3 3 2 1	0 3 1 0 1	0 4 3 0	0 0 0 0	1 10 7 2 2	14 22 17 10 8
0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0	4 2 6 1 4	3 5 0 2 0	2 0 0 1 0	1 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	6 7 1 5	10 9 7 6 4
0 1 0 4	1 0 0 0	2 3 1 18	2 2 2 3	1 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 3 2 5	5 6 3 23
11	4	163	35	30	22	4	91	254
50.4		32.4	39.6	23.3	27.3		34.5	33.9
13.2 16.7 29.9		7.0 8.9 15.9	8.4 8.2 16.6	7.5 9.7 17.2	5.6 11.7 <b>17.</b> 3		8.1 8.7 16.8	7.7 8.9 16.6
6.6	0.6	23.3	59.0	14.5	2.6	0.0°	76.2	<b>99.</b> 5

hen arranged from low to high. lue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sales Ratio Class
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " 24 24 " 26 26 " " 28
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38
38 " 40 40 " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over
Total Cases

Average Sales Ratio (

Measure of Variation<sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total

Prop. of Ass'd. Value<sup>t</sup>

a. Range in percentag b. Assessed value <u>in</u>

Boulder County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio and Proportion of Assessed Va. for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

	One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars)	16 144 5 .
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Fami <u>Dwelling</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 1 2	0 0 2 1 1	0000	0 1 2 7 5	4 3 7 16 19	4 5 12 25 27	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 7 9 16 28	4 5 7 7 14	2 5 4 5 11	6 16 17 22 17	32 28 32 16 21	44 61 69 66 91	0 1 1 4 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	102 169 193 181 99	19 36 19 17 9	5 6 10 1	10 14 9 7 2	15 14 9 3 5	151 240 240 209 116	2 3 2 2 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	49 18 6 6	7 9 4 4 0	0 0 0	6 3 1 3 0	4 1 1 3 2	67 31 12 16 3	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	3 0 0 2	0 3 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	3 3 0 5	0 0 0
Total Cases	894	168	52	149	237	1,500	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.1	31.4	28.2	26.7	23.2	29.8	30.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.4 -2.5 4.9	3.3 3.9 7.2	3.4 3.8 7.2	4.7 4.5 9.2	4.6 4.8 9.4	3.5 3.5 7.0	4.7 4.0 8.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	28.8	6.3	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$   $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

ber of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property ing December 31, 1960

	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 0	4 5 14 25 30	0 0 3 3	3 2 0 0	2 5 7 6 13	3 12 18 16 30	8 19 28 25 44	12 24 4 <b>2</b> 50 74
0 1 1 4 3	0 2 2 0 6	0 0 0 0	44 64 72 70 101	0 0 3 2 5	2 2 1 0 1	12 18 11 7 8	7 22 9 33 12	21 42 24 42 26	65 106 96 112 127
2 3 2 2 2	3 2 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	156 245 244 211 120	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	15 14 12 9 3	7 9 7 3 3	23 24 19 12 7	179 269 262 223 127
1 0 1 0 0	2 1 1 0 0	0 0 0	70 32 14 16 3	0 0 1 0	00000	4 3 1 2 2	0 2 0 0	4 5 2 2 2	74 37 16 18 5
0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	3 4 0 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 0 2	0 1 1 3	2 2 1 5	5 6 1 12
22	30	2	1,554	21	11	159	198	389	1,943
30.5	29.7		29.8	21.5	14.7	26.9	20.4	20.3	27.0
4.7 4.0 8.7	7.2 6.8 14.0		4.2 4.1 8.3	6.0 6.0 12.0	5.2 6.5 11.7	7.8 5.3 13.1	4.4 5.5 9.9	5.9 6.0 11.9	4.7 4.6 9.3
3.1	12.5	0.2	<b>7</b> 5. <b>9</b>	14.8	3.9	2.5	0.7	22.0	97.9

then arranged from low to high.
The in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

r of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation d Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

		Industrial Buildings		Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ruy With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 3	0 1 0 0	8 8 31 54 67	0 2 4 5 1	9 3 3 1 2	6 8 20 17 20	29 33 37 25 43	44 46 64 48 66	52 54 95 102 133
1 3 1 4 4	2 2 6 2 7	1 1 0 0 2	112 140 156 142 196	1 6 7 8 7	4 6 3 0 1	23 27 31 20 11	17 36 28 48 16	45 75 69 76 35	157 215 225 218 231
3 8 5 3 2	6 3 6 6 5	0 0 0 0 1	293 469 491 459 308	7 7 1 1 5	4 2 2 0 0	31 32 22 19 12	9 20 18 3 5	51 61 43 23 22	344 530 534 482 330
1 1 0 0	4 2 2 0 1	0 0 0 0 1	200 107 56 34 23	2 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 6 2 3 5	0 7 4 2 2	8 14 8 5 7	208 121 64 39 30
0 0 0	1 1 0 5	0 1 0 1	10 8 2 22	1 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	4 2 1 7	0 4 1 7	5 7 2 15	15 15 4 37
37	67	9	3,396	69	41	335	394	839	4,235
1.3	30.1	44.4	30.3	25.1	17.7	27.1	18.9	23.3	28.4
·.2 3.2 '.4	5.3 7.6 12.9	23.9 4.0 27.9	4.2 4.4 8.6	3.7 6.0 9.7	6.9 6.8 13.7	8.0 5.4 13.4	4.9 7.1 12.0	4.9 6.2 11.1	4.4 4.8 9.2
3.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	3.9	2.5	0.7	22.0	97.9

nen arranged from low to high. lue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Boulder County: Number of Co of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending De

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)									
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Multi-Family		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 2 3 3	1 0 2 1 6	0 0 0 1 3	1 3 12 15 19	6 3 13 33 33	8 7 29 53 64	0 0 0 0		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 16 21 36 49	7 8 11 15 21	4 10 7 7 17	37 37 52 49 49	56 63 58 29 47	108 134 149 136 183	1 3 1 4		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	168 313 370 374 240	37 57 43 40 23	9 15 17 5 6	47 47 34 23 14	23 26 16 8 17	284 458 480 450 300	3 8 5 3 2		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	154 77 32 18 12	19 17 10 4 3	3 2 1 0 1	14 5 4 7 1	5 3 6 5 4	195 104 53 34 21	1 1 0 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	5 0 0 4	0 3 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 2 2 7	3 1 0 4	9 6 2 16	0 0 0		
Total Cases	1,902	329	109	481	462	3,283	37		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.8	32.2	29.1	27.0	23.3	30.3	30.3		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.8 5.5	3.6 3.7 7.3	4.5 3.9 8.4	5.2 4.7 9.9	4.3 5.2 9.5	3.9 3.8 7.7	4.2 3.2 7.4		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrab. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in

Chaffee County: Number of Convo of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, and Proportion of Assessed Value by for the 1½ Years Ending Decemb

	<u> </u>	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (year		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Cor <u>Bu:</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 2	0 7 2 1 5	0 7 3 4 9	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 2 3 5	0 1 1 2	0 1 0 0	2 3 2 0 2	1 6 7 8 3	3 11 12 13 11	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	10 6 1 1 2	1 2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	4 2 2 0 0	16 10 5 1 2	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 0 2	0 5 1 0 2	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 <b>c</b>	
Total Cases	33	. 11	2	15	54	115	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.3	25.1		20.7	22.6	23.6	;
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.4 1.9 4.3	3.6 5.0 8.6		3.3 5.1 8.4	5.2 5.6 10.8	4.3 4.6 8.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.5	<sup>4</sup> 3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property g December 31, 1960

1 es	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 7 3 4 9	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 7 3 4 9	0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	1 1 2 0 1	1 8 5 4 10
3 11 12 13 11	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 11 12 13 12	1 4 1 0	1 0 2 1 0	) () () 1	3 1 6 3 1	6 12 18 16 13
16 10 5 1 2	1 0 1 2 0	O 1 O O	17 11 6 3 2	1 1 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 1 0	19 13 8 4 2
0 5 1 0 2	0 0 2 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 5 4 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 5 5 2 2
0 0 <b>c</b>	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 2	1 0 0 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 0 1 2	3 0 1 4
.5	10	3	128	15	12	6	33	161
6	38.9		27.3	27.8	25.2		25.0	26.3
3 6 9	5.9 6.1 12.0		4.6 5.0 9.6	5.4 6.7 12.1	7.2 6.8 14.0		5.5 17.2 22.7	4.9 10.1 15.0
9	18.3	2.9	59.0	16.6	1.1	21.1	<b>3</b> 8.9	98.0

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Number of Convof Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, and Proportion of Assessed Value to for the 3½ Years Ending Dec∈

	One-F	amily D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars)	w 1.4 m 41 0
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Family Co Dwellings Bu
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1 1	1 0 3 5 3	1 7 3 8 14	2 7 6 16 23	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 2 4 8	0 2 4 2 2	0 1 0 2 0	6 5 4 5	3 19 10 18 7	10 29 21 30 22	0 0 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	18 15 8 3 4	1 3 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 2 0 0	9 6 4 0	31 26 16 3 4	0 1 1 2 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 4 0 0	1 1 0 2 0	0000	1 1 2 0	0 4 3 1 3	2 10 4 5 3	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 1 3	1 5 2 4	1 0 0 0
Total Cases	72	27	8	49	126	282	9
Average Sales Ratio (%')	29.8	27.2	23.3	21.6	23.4	24.8	38.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 2.4 4.7	4.3 13.3 17.6	4.3 6.7 11.0	3.6 5.9 9.5	5.6 5.6 11.2	4.7 5.7 10.4	4.2 5.7 9.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	2.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrange b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$   $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the

er of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property nding December 31, 1960

-Family llings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u> (	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 7 6 16 23	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	1 1 2 2 2	0 0 1 0	1 2 5 2 4	3 9 11 18 27
00000	0 2 1 1	0 0 0 1	10 31 22 32 23	1 1 3 2 2	2 3 4 2	1 0 2 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	4 9 6 3	14 35 31 38 26
0 1 1 2 1	2 1 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	33 28 18 7 6	1 0 0 1	3 2 3 1 0	2 5 1 0 0	0 0 0	ó 8 4 2 1	39 36 22 9 7
1 0 1 0	0 0 3 1	0 1 0 0	3 11 8 6 4	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 3 1 0	3 11 11 7 4
1 0 0 0	1 1 0 3	0 0 0 3	3 6 2 10	0 1 1	1 0 0 2	1 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 1 3	5 7 3 13
9	20	6	317	18	27	23	4	72	389
.3	34.1	67.0	27.7	24.9	26.3	22.3		25.5	26.8
.2 .7 9	6.3 12.9 19.2	30.0 14.2 44.2	5.2 7.6 12.8	2.6 12.1 14.7	5.1 5.8 10.9	6.5 9.0 15.5		4.0 9.3 13.3	4.7 8.3 13.0
0	18.3	0.9	59.0	19,5	16.6	1.1	1.6	38.9	98.0

arranged from low to high. e in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the 1½ Years Ending

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Class	(years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	· 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	O O O 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 0 Ü	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1
Total Cases	2	6	6	6	6
Average Sales Ratio (%)		40.0	36.0	36.3	20.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		9.0 9.0 18.0	7.0 7.0 14.0	11.3 6.7 18.0	3.3, 32.2 35.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whb. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

Company of the Compan

Number of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property s Ending December 31, 1960

ears)	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land Without <u>Impts.</u>	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0	0 0 3 2 1
1 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 0	1 2 0 2 1	0 0 3 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 1 0	1 2 3 3 1
0 0 0 0	1 2 0 3	0 0 0 1 0	1 1 2 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 1 3
0 0 0	2 0 2 2	0 1 0 0	2 1 2 2	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 2 2 1
0 1 0 1	1 1 0 2	0 1 0 2	1' 2 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 0 4
. 6	26	6	32	8	0	8	40
20.3	32.8		44.3	19.1		19.1	20.7
3.3, 32.2 35.5	6.0 13.3 19.3		15.2 13.0 28.2	5.8 5.2 11.0		5.8 5.2 11.0	6.0 6.6 12.6
1.3	7.3	6.5	13.8.	59.1	26.8	85.9	99.7

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Cor of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, and Proportion of Assessed Value t for the 3½ Years Ending Decem

	0	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (year		_
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Сс <u>В</u> і
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 0	1 C 1 O	2 3 1 3 1	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 3 0 4	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 1	O O 1 1 O	O 1 1 O 1	0 1 0 0	3 2 2 3 2	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	1 1 0 3	
Total Cases	2	9	6	13	11	41	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		41.2	36.0	28.7	23.7	32.0	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		5.7 6.3 12.0	7.0 7.0 14.0	8.2 9.3 17.5	6.2 25.9 32.1	5.6 10.9 16.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3	7.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

r of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ng December 31, 1960

ll ges	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 2 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 1	O O 1 1	0 2 3 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 3 3	0 2 6 5 4
2 3 1 3 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	2 3 1 4 1	1 2 1 0	1 8 6 1	0 0 0 0	2 2 10 7 1	4 5 11 11 2
1 1 3 0 4	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 3 1 4	O 1 O O	3 4 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 5 0 0	5 6 3 1 4
3 2 2 3 2	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 3 2 3 3	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 2 0	5 5 2 5 3
1 0 3	0 0 0 3	0 1 1 0	1 2 1 6	0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 1 6
41	6	4	51	11	38	0	49	100
32.0	77.0		41.8	23.6	22.9		23.1	24.6
5.6 10.9 16.5	36.0 15.5 51.5		12.6 10.8 23.4	4.1 13.4 17.5	5.2 6.1 11.3		4.9 8.3 13.2	5.5 8.6 14.1
7.3	4.0	2.5	13.8	26.8	59.1	0.0	85.9	99.7

s fall when arranged from low to high. essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratic and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending Dece

	Or	ne-Family [	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (yea:	rs)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<del>29-48</del>	<u>Over 48</u>	All C Ages E
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	6 12 11 7 6	6 12 11 8 6
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 0 2	1 0 0 0 0	O O 1 O	0 0 0 0	4 1 2 2 2	6 1 5 2 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	6	1	1	1	55	64
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.3				13.9	14.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 2.2 5.0				2.6 3.9 6.5	2.6 3.8 6.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.7	19.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall v b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v.

umber of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property nding December 31, 1960

rs) All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
6 12 11 8 6	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	7 12 12 8 6	1 4 5 3 2	1 5 1 2 7	0 0 0 0	2 9 6 5 9	9 21 18 13 15
6 1 5 2 4	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 2 6 2 4	2 4 3 1 2	6 39 6 16 8	0 0 0 0	8 43 9 17 10	14 45 15 19 14
0 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	1 1 0 1 0	2 3 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 1 1 0	4 4 2 2 0
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 0	0 4 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 6 0 2 0	2 6 0 2 0
0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 2
64	8	0	72	34	102	0	136	208
14.6	22.5		18.3	18.9	21.5		20.3	19.3
2.6 3.8 6.4	5.5 40.7 46.2		4.0 20.9 24.9	5.5 7.6 13.1	1.3 3.7 5.0		3.3 5.5 8.8	3.7 13.3 17.0
19.4	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

ios fall when arranged from low to high. ssessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending Dec

	Or	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (yea:	rs)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 1 0	0000	0 0 0 0 1	1 0 1 1 2	10 18 19 13 15	11 18 21 15 18
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 0 2 1 2	1 0 2 1 0	0 1 2 0 0	0000	6 5 2 5 3	9 6 8 7 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 2 2 0	2 3 2 2 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	2 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	1 1 0 2
Total Cases	11	4	5	6	108	134
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.4			13.4	14.9	15.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 6.8 8.4			0.4 4.1 4.5	3.0 5.1 8.1	2.5 5.0 7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.7	19.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wb. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

r of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property g December 31, 1960

્ય છ	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>		Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
11 18 15 18 18	1 1 0 1	0000	12 19 22 15 19	3 10 6 4 11	5 6 3 6 12	1 0 0 0	9 16 9 10 23	21 35 31 25 42
9 6 8 7 5	4 1 1 2 1	1 0 0 0	14 7 9 9 6	5 4 6 3 3	7 50 13 20 9	1 0 0 0	13 54 19 23 12	27 61 28 32 18
2 3 2 2 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	4 3 3 3 0	2 3 1 2 1	3 5 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	5 8 3 2 2	9 11 6 5 2
2 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 0 1 0	1 2 0 2 1	0 5 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 7 0 3 1	4 7 1 3 2
1 1 0 2	1 0 0 3	0 0 0	2 1 0 5	0 0 0	0 2 0 2	0 0 0	0 2 0 3	2 3 0 8
4	21	3	158	70	152	3	225	383
5	23.0		18.7	18.7	20.7		19.8	19.3
5 ) 5	4.4 18.0 22.4		3.3 10.7 14.0	5.7 7.6 13.3	1.0 4.5 5.5		3.7 7.2 10.9	3.5 8.9 12.4
1	21.8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

all when arranged from low to high.
ad value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of of Slaes Ratio, Average Sales Ra and Proportion of Assessed Valu for the 1½ Years Ending De

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>A</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 1 2 1 0	2 1 0 0		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 0	2 0 2 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 3 1 0 2	1 0 0 1 1		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	1 1 0 0		
Total Cases	5	8	4	17	10		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	-	30.5	-	28.3	28.4	29	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	- - -	6.7 7.5 14.2	- - -	5.1 9.6 14.7	7.4 16.6 24.0	6 22 28	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.6	5.4	14	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

mber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property ading December 31, 1960.

<u>48</u>	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agricult With Impts.	without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	1 1 1 2 0
	4 2 2 2 1	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 1	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 2	4 4 3 2 3
	5 3 5 1 3	1 0 0 0	6 3 5 1 3	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 4 1 2	6 4 9 2 5
	1 2 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 3 2 1
	1 1 0 4	0 1 0 1	1 2 0 5	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 3	1 3 0 8
	44	3	47	10	10	1	21	68
	29.6	-	<b>3</b> 2.9	36.2	30.7	-	35.4	34.8
	6.2 22.2 28.4	- - -	7.5 21.0 28.5	13.2 16.3 29.5	3.7 5.8 9.5	- - -	11.8 14.7 26.5	10.8 16.0 26.8
	14.2	6.3	20.5	68.3	10.4	0.0	78 <b>.7</b>	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high.

sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of Conv of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, and Proportion of Assessed Value b for the 3½ Years Ending Decem

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Co <u>Bu</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	O O 1 1	O 0 1 1	1 0 2 2 2	,	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 1 3 1 2	3 1 0 0 2	6 2 4 2 6	,	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 0	2 0 2 0	1 1 0 0	5 2 0 2	2 0 0 2 1	11 6 6 2 3		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0 0	0 1 2 0	0 0 0 1	2 2 0 0	0 2 1 2 0	2 5 4 3 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 1 1 10	2 3 0 4	2 5 1 18		
Total Cases	5	12	9	41	28	95		
Average Sales Ratio (%)		33.1	35.4	31.9	31.4	32.8	:	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		8.1 8.7 16.8	7.4 38.4 45.8	4.7 26.9 31.6	7.6 18.5 26.1	7.2 21.0 28.2		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.6	5.4	14.2		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ng December 31, 1960

ll ges	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agri. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 0 2 2 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 3 2	O 1 1 1 3	1 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 4 3	2 1 3 7 5
6 2 4 2 6	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	7 2 4 2 6	0 3 2 0 3	0 4 0 1 2	0 1 0 0	0 8 2 1 5	7 10 6 3
11 6 6 2 3	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	12 6 6 2 3	0 4 3 4 0	2 3 6 5 2	0 0 0 0	2 7 9 9	14 13 15 11 5
2 5 4 3 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 6 4 3 0	0 2 1 1	1 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 2 2 1	4 10 6 5
2 5 1 18	O 1 O 1	0 1 0 2	2 7 1 21	1 2 1 8	2 1 0 <b>3</b>	0 0 0	3 1 11	5 10 2 <b>3</b> 2
95	6	4	105	42	40	1	83	188
2.8	41.9		36.7	33.7	32.2		33.5	34.1
7.2 1.0 3.2	22.9 10.6 33.5		12.0 19.0 31.0	10.2 17.5 27.7	5.2 7.6 12.8		9.5 16.1 25.6	10.0 16.7 26.7
1.2	4.9	1.4	20.5	68.3	10.4	0.0	78 <b>.7</b>	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high. ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sale Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total Urban	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 3 1	0 0 0 0	2 1 3 1 1	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 5	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 6	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 2	1 0 0 1
Total Cases	16	2	18	8	6	13
Average Sales Ratio (%)	38.4		29.3	28.6	51.4	17.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.6 26.2 29.8		5.2 47.7 52.9	5.6 9.4 15.0	12.4 11.1 23.5	2.1 20.2 22.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	11.9	7.0	18.9	61.1	14.5	0.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall high.

Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed verified by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ze riation perty

ral and thout ots.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 2 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 1	0 0 2 3 1
1 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 2 1	1 2 2 2 1 4 2 3 2 2
1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 1 1	4 2 3 2 2
0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0	2 0 1 1 0
1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 1 1 5	2 1 1 11
13	1	28	46
.3		31.0	30.7
.1 .2 .3	2.9	6.3 9.8 16.1 79.1	6.1 17.0 23.1 98.1

fall when arranged from low to ssed value in the county as

Costilla County: Numl of Sales Ratio, Average Sal and Proportion of Assess for the 3

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	1 0 1 0 1	2 1 3 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0	0 0 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	1 0 1 3	1 0 1 4	1 0 0 1	3 0 2 10
Total Cases	2	3	8	15	5	33
Average Sales Ratio (%)			49.4	48.8		44.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			6.1 25.6 31.7	17.3 17.4 34.7		8.3 19.0 27.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	1.7	1.7	3.3	3.5	1.8	11.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios f b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assess the Legislative Council.

Inty: Number of Conveyances by Size verage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Year Period

/ear 48	s) All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. <u>Land</u> Without <u>Impts</u> .	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 1 1	0 0 3 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 3 3 2	0 2 3 4 2
	0 2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	0 2 2 1 2	0 1 0 0 1	2 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	23234	2 5 3 4
) ) -	2 1 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	2 1 3 1 2	1 0 0 2	6 1 1 2	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	3 7 1 2 4	5 8 4 3 6
	0 0 5 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 5 1 0	1 0 0 0 1	1 2 1 1	0 3 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	2 5 1 3 3	2 5 6 4 3
) ) L	3 0 2 10	0 0 0 1	3 0 2 11	0 0 2 5	0 3 3 3	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	1 3 5 12	4 3 7 23
Þ	33	2	35	21	31	19	5	76	111
	44.0		32.1	32.2	27.6	18.5		31.5	31.6
  	8.3 19.0 27.3	 	7.5 44.0 51.5	6.1 27.4 33.5		2.6 22.3 24.9	2.9	4.2 26.9 31.1 79.1	4.8 30.2 35.0 98.1
Þ	11.9	7.0	18.9	61.1	14.5	0.7	۷.7	17.1	

ne ratios fall when arranged from low to high otal assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

Crowley County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rationard Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending December 2015

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over_48	# #
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 0 0	0 2 2 0 1	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	1 1 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 16 " " 40	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1	2 1 0 1 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	
Total Cases	0	5	. 1	13	13	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	-	-	-	26.9	28.5	29
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	-	- - -		5.7 15.9 21.6	6.3 10.3 16.6	5 15 20
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh

b. Assessed vlaue in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed val

umber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1961.

/ears)		All		<u>Agricul</u>	ture Land	All		<b>~</b>
er 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	WithoutImpts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 2 2
0 2 2 0 1	1 4 4 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 4 4 0 1	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 3	1 4 4 2 4
1 1 0 0	1 3 2 1 2	0 0 0 1 0	1 3 2 2 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 1 0	2 5 2 3 2
2 1 0 1 0	2 2 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	2 3 2 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2	3 4 2 1 3
) ) )	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	2 1 0 2	2 1 0 5
3	32	4	36	11	6	2	19	55
5	29.0	-	30.2	32.6	46.7	-	34.8	33.6
3	5.0 15.7 20.7	- - -	5.9 16.4 22.3	6.8 7.9 14.7	11.7 5.8 17.5	=	7.5 7.6 15.1	7.1 9.9 17.0
,	16.4	7.7	24.1	54.6	14.7	6.1	75.4	99.5

<sup>;</sup> fall when arranged from low to high.

ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Crowley County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat and Proportion of Assessed Valu for the 3½ Years Ending De

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0 3	0 0 2 1 0	1 0 2 2 5			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	00000	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0 0	5 5 3 3 2	3 5 2 2 2	9 10 6 6 4			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 0 2	1 1 2 0 0	3 3 4 2 4			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 2 1	3 1 1 1 0	3 4 3 1			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 2 3	1 0 0 0	1 1 2 5			
Total Cases	2	9	4	41	28	84			
Average Sales Ratio (%)		31.6		27.3	27.8	29.3			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		7.1 9.2 16.3		6.8 15.2 22.0	7.4 8.1 15.5	7.7 11.9 19.6			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16.4			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fab. Assessed value  $\underline{in}\ \underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assesse

ber of Conveyances by Size lales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

ars) All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 0 2 2 5	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 3 .5	1 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 4 2	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 4 4	2 1 2 7 9
9 10 6 6 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 10 6 6 4	1 1 2 3	0 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 2 3 3 4	10 1 <b>2</b> <b>9</b> 9
3 3 4 2 4	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 4 3 4	; 3 0 0 2	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 0	1 4 0 1 3	4 7 4 4 7
3 4 3 1	0 1 0 0	. 0 0 0 0	3 4 4 3 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 0	1 1 2 0 3	4 5 6 3 4
1 1 2 5	1 0 0 6	0 0 0	2 1 2 11	3 0 1 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 1 2	4 1 2 3	6 2 3 15
84	10	0	94	24	20	5	49	143
29.3	49.2		33.1	30.2	26.7		29.4	30.2
7.7 11.9 19.6	8.2 24.6 32.8		7.9 14.2 22.1	6.4 9.8 16.2	10.6 18.1 28.7		4.5 18.4 22.9	5.3 17.5 22.8
16.4	6.3	1.4	24.1	54.6	14.7	6.1	75.4	99.5

atios fall when arranged from low to high.
assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Counci

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>S</u>

23333

One All Misc. Rural Land Family Other Total With Without Dwellings Urban Urban Impts. Impts.											
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 1 0 2						
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 0 1 2	0 0 0 1	1 2 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0						
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	O 1 1 O 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0						
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1						
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	2 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 0						
Total Cases	14	2	16	7	8						
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.2		27.4	22.9	20.1						
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 7.4 10.9		3.4 20.4 23.8	6.1 7.6 13.7	3.1 14.9 18.0						
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.6	3.2	11.8	9.5	4.6						

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios f to high.

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assess as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

All Other	Total	Total
Rural	Rural	County
1 0 0 1 1	1 0 1 2 5	1 0 2 2 6 2 4 0 4 3
0 1 0 1	1 2 0 2 1	2 4 0 4 3
0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 1
0 0 0 1	1 0 0 2 0	1 0 0 2 0
1 0 0	1 0 1 0	3 0 1 1
7	22	38
	24.4	24.7
73.8	9.2 10.9 20.1 87.9	8.6 11.9 20.5
-		

fall when arranged from low sed value in the county

Custer County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales F and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 3½ Years Ending

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Comm <u>Buil</u>		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 3			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 0 0	0 5 0 1 1	1 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 2	4 9 2 1 4	,		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 1 1 1			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 1 0	1 3 1 1 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1 1	3 0 2 2			
Total Cases	8	12	5	3	16	44			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.0	24.0			28.7	23.0	2		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.0 14.0 18.0	3.2 16.0 19.2			6.5 13.6 20.1	4.2 10.7 14.9	33		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.1	0.3	0.4	1.6	5.3	8.6			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wbb. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

r of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation d Value by Class of Property ing December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 5	0 1 0 3 2	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 4	0 0 1 1 6	2 1 2 6 13	2 2 4 6 18
1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	5 9 2 2 5	2 0 1 2 0	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 1 0 2	1 0 3 1	3 2 3 6 3	8 11 5 8 8
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 1 0 1 2	3 2 1 2 3
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 1 1 0	0 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2 0	. 0 1 0 2 0	2 1 1 6 0	3 4 2 7 0
0 0 0 2	1 0 0	4 0 2 4	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 1 0	1 1 1 2	5 1 3 6
7	1	52	15	10	17	20	62	114
26.5		23.8	23.3	11.4	23.4	26.9	22.8	22.9
8.6 30.9 39.5		5.2 15.3 20.5	7.4 9.3 16.7		6.3 17.4 23.7	9.9 12.1 22.0	6.9 10.7 17.6	6.7 11.3 18.0
2.9	0.3	11.8	71.2	2.6	9.5	4.6	87.9	99.7

ll when arranged from low to high. d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number o of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Endi

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3	0 1 2 1 2	0 1 2 3 3	0 0 1 3 5	0 2 5 8 13	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 3 3 2	2 7 5 3 3	2 2 1 3 2	4 6 .3 2 5	8 4 1 3 2	16 19 13 14	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 3 0 0	2 4 0 0	1 1 0 0	3 1 1 1 0	4 3 0 1 0	12 10 5 2	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 1 2	2 2 1 1 2	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 3 1	
Total Cases	14	32	20	35	44	145	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.2	24.0	20.5	21.1	23.9	23.5	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 3.8 6.7	3.4 4.1 7.5	3.5 6.5 10.0	3.3 5.8 9.1	5.4 7.4 12.8	3.8 5.6 9.4	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall v b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v - 51 -

of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property uding December 31, 1960

	mmercial ildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 5 9 13	0 5 5 6 5	0 1 0 0	1 1 1 2 6	0 2 0 3 3	1 9 6 11 14	1 11 11 20 27
	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 0	17 21 13 16 15	6 3 5 5 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	3 2 3 4 3	1 0 1 1 0	11 6 10 11 5	28 27 23 27 20
• •	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	12 10 5 2 0	3 2 1 3 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 1 1	4 4 3 4 1	16 14 8 6 1
	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 0 1 3	1 0 0 1	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	3 1 3 1	6 3 1 4 4
	1 2 0 2	0 0 0	1 2 3 3	0 0 0	0 7 0	0 0 0 4	0 1 0 0	0 1 1 4	1 3 4 7
	12	2	159	52	10	34	18	114	273
	33.6		25.7	20.2	27.2	22.7	21.0	21.0	22.9
	12.6 18.9 31.5		5.7 8.3 14.0	5.2 5.4 10.6	6.2 11.8 18.0	5.5 5.0 10.5	5.3 14.0 19.3	5.3 6.0 11.3	5.5 7.0 12.5
	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.1	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

when arranged from low to high. alue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra and Proportion of Assessed Val for the 3½ Years Ending D

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Con <u>Bui</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 6	0 2 2 1 2	0 2 4 8 9	0 3 3 4 11	0 7 9 15 28		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 6 5	2 10 12 10 12	4 6 4 7 6	12 19 6 6 13	19 12 7 11	37 47 35 39 47		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	10 5 5 3 3	7 7 4 4 1	3 7 1 1 0	4 7 3 3 2	6 6 4 3 3	30 32 17 14 9		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 3 0	2 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 6 1 1 2	8 9 4 2 3		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 0	0 2 0 3	0 1 2 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 2 0	2 4 4 4		
Total Cases	48	85	52	100	121	406		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.1	26.0	24.5	22.2	23.9	25.1		
Meas <b>ur</b> e of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.7 4.0 7.7	3 8 4.8 8.6	3.8 6.1 9.9	3.9 5.2 9.1	4.9 7.3 12.2	4.2 5.5 9.7		
Prop. of Ass'd Value <sup>b</sup>	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation de Value by Class of Property ling December 31, 1960

-	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	wral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 7 9 16 <b>2</b> 8	1 7 11 11 21	3 3 2 3 3	1 3 4 4 7	2 4 1 4 3	7 17 18 22 34	7 24 27 38 62
	1 4 1 2 2	O 0 1 1 0	38 51 37 42 49	19 11 14 24 8	2 4 3 4 2	8 5 5 6 7	1 0 3 1 1	30 20 25 35 18	68 71 62 77 67
	1 4 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	31 36 17 15 9	8 10 10 5 2	0 3 1 0	6 7 4 1 1	0 2 1 1 3	14 22 16 7 6	45 58 33 22 15
	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	9 9 4 3 4	7 1 0 1 2	2 3 1 0	1 3 1 1 0	0 3 0 1 0	10 10 2 3 2	19 19 6 6
	3 3 1 6	0 0 1 0	5 7 6 10	1 3 0 1	0 0 1 5	0 1 1 9	0 1 0 0	1 5 2 15	6 12 <b>8</b> 25
5	31	5	442	178	45	86	32	34.1	783
Ļ	33.9	~	27.5	22.7	25.7	24.6	23.0	23.1	25.0
2 5 7	9.1 19.9 29.0	~ ~ ~	5.2 8.7 13.9	5.3 6.9 12.2	9.5 13.1 22.6	6.0 8.2 14.2	8.5 12.7 21.2	5.8 7.7 13.5	5.6 8.1 13.7
Э	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.1	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances by Siz of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Va and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Profor the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

	Or	<u>ne-Family D</u>	wellings by	Age Clas	s (years)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 0 0 6	0 0 2 1 3	1 1 0 1 9	2 12 18 17 41	6 22 66 101 122	10 35 86 120 181
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 20 89 297	17 36 99 247 374	10 25 46 66 80	70 119 197 223 267	154 169 215 196 172	256 358 577 821 1,190
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	547 670 707 633 432	396 420 259 179 92	88 87 74 39 31	214 147 92 55 40	128 87 65 35 33	1,373 1,411 1,197 941 628
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	234 116 53 28 17	52 31 11 7	19 13 7 6 0	18 16 12 6 4	16 16 7 7 6	339 192 90 54 34
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 4 0 14	4 6 5 8	2 2 1 2	6 5 3 5	6 3 4 12	20 20 13 41
Total Cases	3,884	2,256	610	1,589	1,648	9,98
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.9	29.8	29.6	26.7	23.7	29.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 2.9 5.8	2.9 3.0 5.9	3.8 3.6 7.4	3.5 3.5 7.0	4.5 4.5 9.0	3.3 3.3 6.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.1	51.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value to the Legislative Council.

s by Size re of Variation s of Property 1, 1960

All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings		Industrial Buildings	
10	2	1	0	13
35	5	1	0	41
86	8	2	0	96
120	10	0	1	131
181	27	2	3	213
256	58	4	0	318
358	67	6	1	432
577	78	7	2	664
821	77	5	12	915
1,190	107	10	3	1,310
1,373	99	13	10	1,495
1,411	109	6	9	1,535
1,197	95	8	3	1,303
941	84	13	4	1,042
628	61	9	8	706
339 192 90 54 34	56 44 32 16	14 11 6 5	7 7 5 4 4	416 254 133 79 49
20	5	6	3	34
20	12	6	8	46
13	4	3	1	21
41	10	16	9	76
9,987	1,073	158	104	11,322
29.5	30.2	36.8	35.7	31.9
3.3	5.9	8.6	6.9	5.2
3.3	5.3	7.4	9.8	5.1
6.6	11.2	16.0	16.7	10.3
51.2	9.5	25.0	12.3	98.1

all when arranged from low to high. and value in the county as reported by the assessor

Denver County: Nur of Sales Ratio, Average and Proportion of Asses for the 3½ Years

		One-Family	Dwellings	by /
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	:
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	3 0 1 2 9	2 1 2 4 4	1 3 2 11 13	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	8 12 35 115 374	27 66 159 405 676	16 40 86 116 169	
26 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	769 1,252 1,761 1,685 1,165	614 885 618 414 259	176 169 161 108 79	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	720 364 180 76 41	137 83 37 30 15	42 24 15 11	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	18 15 2 21	11 13 7 20	5 5 4 6	
Total Cases	8,628	4,689	1,265	3,
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.9	30.5	30.0	2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.9 5.5	3.0 3.0 6.0	3.7 3.8 7.5	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	21.1	10.4	4.3	]

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size arage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Assessed Value by Class of Property Years Ending December 31, 1960

as by A	qe Clas	s (years)	)				
2	9-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Multi-Family Dwellings	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	4	26	36	4	3	0	43
	19	66	89	8	1	0	98
	34	145	184	19	5	2	210
	45	210	272	30	2	1	305
	84	270	380	58	7	4	449
	143	295	489	104	9	1	603
	243	338	699	134	17	2	852
	376	395	1,051	160	14	6	1,231
	508	393	1,537	180	17	13	1,747
	560	301	2,080	200	20	4	2,304
	482	232	2,475	195	36	15	2,721
	336	155	2,797	206	18	12	3,033
	226	134	2,900	190	21	11	3,122
	149	84	2,440	158	24	14	2,636
	86	58	1,647	130	27	15	1,819
	58	39	996	99	24	10	1,129
	39	29	539	80	24	14	657
	24	18	274	56	12	6	348
	16	17	150	40	10	6	206
	8	11	76	19	10	7	112
	13	11	58	20	12	3	93
	10	9	5 <b>2</b>	27	14	14	107
	5	7	25	<b>9</b>	8	3	45
	12	24	83	18	41	14	156
<sub>.</sub> . 3,	480	3,267	21,329	2,144	376	177	24,026
. 2	7.0	23.4	30.0	30.3	36.0	36.4	32.1
	3.4	4.7	3.2	6.1	8.1	6.9	5.0
	3.7	4.6	3.4	5.2	8.2	8.5	5.3
	7.1	9.3	6.6	11.3	16.3	15.4	10.3
1	.0.4	5.1	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.3	98.1

the half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Der cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 3 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 2 1	2 0 0 0	2 3 1 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 2 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	1 2 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	1 2 3 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 1
Total Cases	20	1	21	5	26
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.7		29.6	20.5	22.1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.9 7.9 12.8		4.8 8.0 12.8		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	14.9	8.9	23.8	75.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dolores County: Number of Convof Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, and Proportion of Assessed Value to for the 3½ Years Ending December 1

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	O 1 1 1 2	2 2 9 1 2	0 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	2 5 10 4 5		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 1 2	1 0 1 2 0	i 0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 0	0 0 1 1 1	4 3 4 4 3		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	, i. 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 3 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 1 1 0		
Total Cases	11	22	0	9	5	57		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	24.2	33 4	24.1		27.9		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 5.6 9.1	2.1 3.3 5.4	6.4 16.1 22.5	2.0 10.4 12.4		3.3 6.5 9.8		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.2	4.9	2.6	1.8	2.4	.4.9		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of propert/ as per cent of total assessed v

f Conveyances by Size Ramio, Measure of Variation alue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

					Misc. Rural			
_ <u>s_</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
00201	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 3 0 1	0 1 0 0 3	0 0 0 4 1	0 0 1 1	0 1 0	0 2 0 6 5	0 2 3 6 6
25045	00000	2 5 10 4 5	1 2 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	3 4 1 0 1	5 9 11 4 6
÷3443	0 1 1 0	<:3553	1. 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 1 1	5 4 6 6 4
1 0 3 0	0 0 1 0 1	1 1 3 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	2 2 1 4 1
3 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	3 1 1 2
57	5	62	10	11	7	4	32	94
.9		31.8	21.6	22.2	27.5		23.1	24.7
.3		7.6 3.9 11.5	4.6 5.4 10.0	6.8 8.3 15.1	10.0 12.5 22.5		6.6 8.3 14.9	6.8 7.5 14.3
.9	8.8	23.7	28.2	25.8	20.8	1.0	75.8	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

36

000

9

4

2

f Conveyances by Size Ratic, Measure of Variation alue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 1 1 1 3	0 0 0 1	0 3 10 4 6	0 0 0 0	0 4 11 5 10	0 4 11 7 12
0 0 0 0	1 2 3 5 4	0 3 2 1 0	0 1 1 5 0	5 8 3 10 4	<b>6</b> 1 0 0	5 13 6 16 4	6 15 9 21 8
2 0 0 0	7 2 1 0 1	0 2 0 0	2 2 3 0 1	3 4 2 4 0	0 0 0 0	5 8 5 4 1	12 10 6 4 2
0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 0	0 6 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 8 1 1 0	2 8 1 1 0
0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 1 2
2	31	14	20	76	1	111	142
	26.0	19.5	28.7	22.1		25.6	25.7
	2.4 2.9 5.3	3.2 4.0 7.2	3.9 6.6 10.5	8.4 13.8		3.8 5.9 9.7	2.9 4.3 7.2
7.4	22.7	61.6	10.0	0.6	3.2	75.4	98.1

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 1½ Years Ending.

	Or	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>				
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	O O O 1 1	0 0 0 2 2				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 2 3 2	1 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	1 2 3 5 4				
26 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 2 1 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	5 2 1 0 1				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0				
Total Cases	9	9	2	4	5	29				
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.8	25.5				25.4				
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 3.2 5.8	1.4 2.3 3.7				3.0 3.4 6.4				
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2				

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Douglas County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat and Proportion of Assessed Valu for the 3½ Years Ending D∈

	Or	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	Class (year	rs)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Comme: Build:
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	O O 1 O 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 2	0 1 0 2 4	0 1 2 3 7	(
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 3 1 3	0 2 2 4 3	1 1 0 0	0 1 3 2 0	2 1 1 3 1	3 5 10 10 7	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 4 3 5 1	3 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0	0000	2 2 0 0 0	10 6 3 7 3	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	
Total Cases	28	18	4	12	19	81	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.3	25.0		22.3	21.5	26.3	26
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.3 3.7 7.0	2.5 3.3 5.8		5.3 2.7 8.0	4.6 5.2 9.8	3.7 3.9 7.6	:
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whe b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

e of Conveyances by Size as Ratio, Measure of Variation deviation by Class of Property ing December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 1 0	00000	0 1 2 4 7	0 3 1 3	1 3 3 1 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5 8 17 5 9	6 15 21 9 17	6 16 23 13 24
0 0 1 0 0	00000	3 5 11 10 7	0 3 3 10	2 4 3 1 0	0 1 3 6 3	7 16 6 13 5	9 24 15 21 8	12 29 26 31 15
3 0 0 1	00000	13 6 3 7 4	00000	0 2 0 0	3 2 4 2 2	9 6 4 0	12 10 6 3	25 12 13 13 7
0 0 0 0	00000	2 0 0 2 0	00000	0000	2 5 1 0 0	0 7 0 2 0	2 12 1 2 0	12 1 4 0
0 0 2 0	0000	0 1 2 0	0000	0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 1 2	0 2 1 3	0 3 3 3
ò	0	90	14	26	40	127	207	297
26.6		26.3	15.9	15.3	28.6	21.1	16.9	18.4
		2.8 7.7 10.5	2.9 6.4 9.3	1.6 7.0 8.6	3.9 9.4 13.3	6.4 7.8 14.2	2.9 6.7 9.6	3.1 6.7 9.8
4.2	3.2	22.7	61.6	3.2	10.0	0.6	75.4	98.1

when arranged from low to high.

value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Num of Sales Ratio, Average : and Proportion of Asset for the 1½ Years

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Clas	sy
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>0v</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	00000	0 0 0 2 0	O O O O	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 0	0 0 1 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	3 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	O O O 1	
Total Cases	1	3	17	4	
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.2		28.
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			4.0 10.3 14.3		6. 6. 13.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.3	3.7	3.6	5.5	3.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size e Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation sessed Value by Class of Property ms Ending December 31, 1960

(years)	222	All	Tatal	Misc. Rural Land	All	Total	Takal
Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0000	0 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0 2	1 1 0 2 2
. 2 0 0	0 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 2 2	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 2 1	0 2 3 4 3
O O 1 1 O	3 3 2 1 2	0 0 0 1 1	3 3 2 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	O 1 1 0 0	3 4 3 2 3
0 0 0 0	1 3 0 1 1	0000	1 3 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 1 1
0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0 2	0000	0 0 0 2	0 0 1 4
6	31	2	33	6	5	11	44
. 28.4	26.6		29.3	25.3		27.2	27.7
6.9 6.6 13.5	4.4 18.0 22.4		3.5 13.2 16.7	0.8 50.9 51.7		2.3 18.3 20.6	2.6 17.0 19.6
3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	16.8	55.2	72.0	99.6

os fall when arranged from low to high. sessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

ber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 2	0 0 1 2 2	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 0 0 0	3 1 1 3 4	3 2 5 6
33354	0 0 0 0	00000	3 3 6 4	0 1 2 0 1	1 0 1 0	1 2 0 4 1	1 0 0 0	3 3 4 3	6 6 10 7
4 0 3 2 4	0 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	4 6 3 5	0 2 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 0 2	4 8 5 3 7
1 4 0 6 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 0 6 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 0 6 1
3 1 3 7	0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	3 3 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	3 3 3 11
9	7	0	76	13	7	12	4	36	112
8	47.8		34.2	22.7	17.3	24.9		22.1	24.5
4 7 1	12.3 27.5 39.8		8.5 19.5 28.0	6.5 8.1 14.6	5.3 8.7 14.0	3.9 7.1 11.0		5.8 8.0 13.8	6.5 9.8 16.3
1	8.5	0.0	27.6	43.7	11.3	16.8	0.2	72.0	99.6

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra and Proportion of Assessed Val for the 3½ Years Ending D

		ne-Famil	y Dwelling	s by Age	Class (yea	ars)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Comm∈ <u>Builc</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 2	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 2 5 0	0 0 1 0	1 2 0 1 2	3 3 6 4	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	3 2 2 1 2	0 1 0 0 2	0 0 1 1 0	4 5 3 2 4	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 2 0	1 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 1	1 4 0 6 1	•
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	3 0 2 . 0	0 1 1 2	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 2	3 1 3 7	
Total Cases	1	15	28	13	12	69	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		40.6	29.4	25.0	30.8	29.8	4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		9.8 8.2 18.0	4.4 10.6 15.0	7.8 24.4 32.2	7.6 15.2 22.8	7.4 16.7 24.1	1 2 3
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	3.3	3.7	3.6	5.5	3.1	19.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who be assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Elbert County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sal and Proportion of Assesse for the 1½ Years Er

		One-Family	Dwelling	by Age C	lass (year
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	O O O 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 1	1 0 2 0	0 1 1 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " "" 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1	0 2 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 <b>46 "</b> " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	2	2	8	9	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)			22.3	23.9	26.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			3.5 22.0 22.2	5.4 8.1 13.5	7.0 10.0 17.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.1	0.5	0.9	2.7	1.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed

er of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property nding December 31, 1960

rs) All <u>Ages</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 1 3 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 3 2	0 1 1 2 0	0 0 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 4 3 1	0 1 5 6 3
2 3 3 0 3	0 0 1 0	2 3 4 0 3	6 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0 0	6 1 2 0	8 4 6 0 4
2 3 0 2 1	1 0 0 0	3 3 0 2 1	0 3 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 1 0	3 6 1 2 1
1 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	00000	0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0
0 1 0 2	1 1 0 1	1 2 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 2 0 4
30	5	35	16	7	2	25	60
25.5		30.5	19.4	16.8		19.2	20.0
5.6 9.3 14.9		8.8 9.4 18.2	2.6 9.3 11.9	3.6 4.7 8.3		2.6 9.0 11.6	3.1 9.0 12.1
6.3	3.6	9.9	85.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	99.9

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 3½ Years Ending

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (yea	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 1 2 3	0 0 2 3 1	0 2 3 6 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0	3 2 0 0 1	4 1 2 1	1 2 1 1 0	9 5 3 2 4
29 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0000	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 3 0 2 1	1 2 0 1 1	4 5 0 3 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 3
Total Cases	3	2	13	26	18	62
Average Sales Ratio (%)			22.3	22.4	23.3	24.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			3.5 23.1 26.6	5.4 8.6 14.0	7.6 8.2 15.8	'5.7 9.6 15.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.1	0.5	0.9	2.7	1.0	6.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios falb. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Jalue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

- 5	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
00000	0 0 0 1	00000	02366	0 5 7	2 2 7 3 2	00000	2 3 12 10 9	2 5 15 16 15
90884	1 0 1 0	00000	10 5 4 2 4	11 3 3	1 1 0 1	0 1001	12 8 4 3	22 13 8 5 7
<b>4</b> 60000	1000	00000	55032	1 5 1 0	0 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	1 7 1 0 0	6 12 1 3 2
10 0 O	1 0 1 0	00000	2 2 2 0 1	2 0 0 1 0	C 0 1 0 0	00000	2 0 1 1 0	4 2 3 1
0000	1 2 0 5	0000	1 4 0 8	2 1 1 0	0 0 0	) O O	2 1 1 1	3 5 7 9
2	15	C	77	56	24	4	84	161
2	72.2	<b></b>	31.9	19.2	14.7		18.9	19.7
ი ე	40.7 75.9 116.6		11.4 20.2 31.6	2.9 8.8 11.7	2.1 6.0 8.1	w & #	2.9 8.6 11.5	3.4 9.3 12.7
3	3.6	0.0	9.9	85.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	99.9

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

El laso County: Number of C of Sales Ratio. Average Sales Rat and Proportion of Assessed Valu for the 1½ Year

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 4	All 8 Ages	Multi-Famil <u>Dwellings</u>			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 11 6 8 12	0 0 5 13 19	0 2 6 9 13	8 13 21 38 48	15 26 48 81 74	23 52 86 149 166	0 1 1 0 2			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	33 62 113 266 407	39 59 70 73 60	16 18 18 11 6	35 35 15 17 10	72 63 53 44 18	195 237 269 411 501	1 1 4 2			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	413 361 274 160 73	34 17 19 7 3	3 1 0 0	9 4 2 3 2	18 12 8 9 5	477 395 304 179 83	1 6 5 6 4			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	31 14 3 0 3	7 3 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 3 0	4 7 0 2 0	43 25 6 3	10 6 0 3 1			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	4 2 0 2	2 2 0 1	0 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 0 0 2	7 5 0 7	· 1 0 1			
Total Cases	2,258	434	105	<b>26</b> 8	561	3.626	60			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	24.5	20.6	18.8	19.1	24.1	34.6			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 3.1 5.7	3.4 3.1 6.5	3.2 3.0 6.2	3.5 3.6 7.1	3.8 4.5 8.3	3.1 3.5 6.6	5.0 5.2 10.2			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when are
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in Legislative Council.

Imber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Essed Value by Class of Property 1 1/2 Year Period

:lti-Family ⊡wellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>		Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total Rural (	Total County
0 1 1 0 2	3 2 3 3 5	0 0 0 0 2	26 55 90 152 175	2 3 4 2 2	2 0 0 1 1	2 8 5 10 6	6 2 1 0 3	12 13 10 13 12	38 68 100 165 187
1 1 4 2	5 3 6 0 ?	0 1 0 1	201 242 279 414 506	2 1 1 0	0 1 2 0 0	6 6 11 11	1 0 1 2	9 8 15 13	210 250 294 427 518
1 6 5 6 4	3 0 0 3 1	1 0 0 1	482 402 309 188 89	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 4 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 2 1 0	485 406 311 189 89
10 6 0 3 1	2 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	55 <b>3</b> 1 7 6 6	0 2 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 3 0	1 2 0 0	2 4 4 1 0	57 35 11 7 6
· 2	0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0	10 6 1 9	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 0 1 2	11 6 2 11
60	44	11 3	,741	22	9	92	19	142	3 <b>,8</b> 83
34.6	23.6	31.0	24.5	16.0	22.7	22.3	13.2	20.1	23.7
5.0 5.2 10.2	7.7 5.1 12.8	9.0 10.5 19.5	4.3 4.1 8.4	3.8 7.0 10.8	9.6 6.2 15.8	6.7 4.4 11.1	5.3 10.9 16.2	6.2 5.8 12.0	4.7 4.4 9.1
3.1	15.5	3.2	82.6	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.3

<sup>.</sup>l when arranged from low to high.
! value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

er of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property { Year Period

i-Family ellings	Commercial Buildings			Agric. With Impts.	Land Nithout Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total County
0 2 1 1 3	4 6 11 8 16	0 1 1 4 3	55 115 2 <b>3</b> 7 <b>32</b> 2 3 <b>7</b> 7	8 12 12 7 7	5 2 1 2 4	6 12 14 13 15	16 5 7 5 6	35 31 34 27 32	90 146 271 349 409
2 3 6 6 9	11 10 11 10	0 3 1 3 2	407 483 615 879 1,182	9 4 4 3 6	1 2 2 0 2	16 16 18 16 19	2 1 1 4 1	28 23 25 23 28	435 506 640 902 1,210
8 15 11 20 12	7 4 2 4 2	3 2 0 1 1	1,013 829 619 362 156	3 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	8 6 2 2 1	1 0 0 1 0	12 7 4 3 1	1,025 836 623 365 157
17 12 6 4 2	5 1 0 3 0	0 0 1 0	94 54 17 16 9	1 2 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	4 1 4 0 0	1 2 0 1 0	7 5 5 2 0	101 59 22 18 9
2 5 0 1	0 0 2 5	1 0 0	16 15 6 27	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 0 5	0 0 0	3 1 1 5	19 16 7 32
18	134	29	7,905	83	24	181	54	342	8,247
.1	22.4	24.5	23.7	17.9	16.7	22.1	12.9	20.0	23.0
.1 .3 .4	5.8 6.3 12.1	7.7 6.3 14.0	3.8 4.2 8.0	5.8 5.7 11.5	5.7 6.3 12.0	6.1 4.9 11.0	4.1 6.6 10.7	5.8 5.3 11.1	4.1 4.4 8.5
1	15.5	3.2	82.6	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.3

en arranged from low to high.
ue in the county as reported by the assessor to the

El Paso County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra and Proportion of Assessed Val for the 3½ Year

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 4	All 18 Ages	Multi-Fami <u>Dwelling</u>				
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 12 17 15 24	1 3 8 23 39	1 4 16 22 24	17 36 64 93 112	31 51 119 156 156	51 106 224 309 355	0 2 1 1 3				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 ' " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	60 124 289 612 988	74 108 151 132 99	28 30 28 17 11	92 72 34 27 22	140 133 95 72 40	394 367 597 860 1,160	2 3 6 6 9				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	892 736 552 300 119	57 42 28 18 7	5 2 2 1 1	12 8 4 6 5	29 20 20 12 9	995 808 606 337 141	8 15 11 20 12				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	52 26 4 4 4	12 4 0 2 0	2 0 0 0	0 3 5 0 1	6 8 1 3 0	72 41 10 9 5	17 12 6 4 2				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	6 3 2 4	2 3 2 1	0 1 0 2	4 1 0 6	1 1 0 8	13 9 4 21	2 5 0 1				
Total Cases	4,846	816	197	624	1,111	7,594	148				
Average Sales Ratio(%)	28.6	24.3	20.1	18.1	18.7	23.7	34.1				
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 3.0 5.5	3.3 3.2 6.5	3.6 3.5 7.1	3.3 3.4 6.7	3.7 4.3 8.0	3.1 3.4 6.5	5.1 5.3 10:4				
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1				

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when a: b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in Legislative Council.

and the second s

Fremont County: Num of Sales Ratio, Average: and Proportion of Assert for the 1½ Years

	0	ne-Family	y Dwelling	s_by Age	Class (ye	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3 2	0 0 1 3	1 4 6 11	0 5 20 12 19	1 6 24 22 36
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 9 13 16	6 1 4 8 7	0 0 0 2 0	7 6 3 4 1	19 16 14 15 3	33 25 30 42 27
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	25 18 14 9 4	7 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 0 0 1 0	3 6 2 2	37 23 20 12 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 1	7 2 1 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 1 2 · 1
Total Cases	115	41	7	50	146	359
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.1	24.7	19.0	19.1	19.6	21.8
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 3.1 5.9	4.9 3.2 8.1	2.5 6.2 8.7	3.0 3.9 6.9	3.7 5.0 8.7	3.5 4.3 7.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	18.7	43.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$   $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size : Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation :essed Value by Class of Property :s Ending December 31, 1960

- -	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With <u>Impts.</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 7 26 22 36	0 1 1 2 2	0 0 1 0 3	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 3 3 7	1 8 29 25 43
;	1 1 0 3 1	0 0 0 1 1	34 26 30 46 29	4 1 4 0 0	1 4 1 1 2	0 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	5 7 6 3 2	39 33 36 49 31
	1 1 0 0	1 0 1 0 0	39 24 22 12 6	1 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 4 1 0 1	41 28 23 12 7
	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	7 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 2 0 1	9 3 3 1 2
	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 2 3	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	2 2 2 3
ı	16	4	379	21	18	9	5	53	432
	22.9		22.1	21.3	24.2	25.0		23.1	22.5
	2.9 16.1 19.0		3.4 6.7 10.1	4.1 8.1 12.2	5.2 14.3 19.5	5.4 4.5 9.9		4.8 12.9 17.7	3.9 9.2 13.1
,	11.9	3.9	59.3	7.8	27.3	0.3	3.6	38.9	98.3

all when arranged from low to high.
Led value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

of Conveyances By Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ng December 31, 1960

mily Commercia ngs <u>Buildings</u>	All I Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agri With Impts.	c. Land Without Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 1 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	4 20 46 67 82	0 2 3 4 6	1 0 2 1 1	1 0 1 1 5	0 2 2 1 4	2 4 8 7 16	6 24 54 74 98
2 4 0 3 3	0 0 0 0	92 62 77 95 71	6 4 5 5	1 2 0 1 0	3 6 7 2 3	0 6 3 3 3	10 18 15 11 7	102 80 92 106 78
2 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	87 51 43 20 19	2 3 2 0 2	O 1 1 1 0	4 0 0 1 1	2 3 2 1 1	8 7 5 3 4	95 58 48 23 23
1 0 1 0	0 2 0 0	11 11 3 3 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	2 1 1 1 1	0 2 0 0	3 4 3 3 2	14 15 6 6 3
1 1 0 4	0 0 0	1 3 3 6	O 1 O O	0 0 0 1	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 1 1	2 4 4 7
34	2	878	48	17	43	36	144	1,022
26.4		22.7	19.1	20.9	24.0	26.7	22.6	22.7
6.2 12.6 18.8		4.1 5.7 9.8	2.1 8.7 10.8	4.4 20.5 24.9	4.0 5.6 9.6	7.5 4.0 11.5	3.7 7.7 11.4	3.9 6.5 10.4
11.9	2.9	59.3	7.8	3.6	27.3	0.3	38.9	98.3

arranged from low to high. in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Fremont County: Number of Cc of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending De

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	29-43	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Multi-Family <u>Dwellings</u>			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0 6	0 0 1 6 6	0 1 0 3 4	2 7 9 <b>2</b> 0 20	2 10 32 35 46	4 18 43 64 82	0 1 0 1 0			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 9 23 32 44	9 7 12 16 12	1 0 1 4 0	20 11 11 10 3	55 30 29 28 8	90 57 76 90 67	0 1 1 2			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	55 37 21 12 7	13 6 4 1 2	1 2 0 0	5 0 3 3 2	10 4 13 3 6	84 49 41 19 17	1 0 1 0 1			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	4 1 1 1 0	1 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 5 1 0	10 8 3 2 1	0 0 0 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 2 2	0 2 3 2	0 0 0			
Total Cases	259	100	17	129	327	832	10			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.0	25.3	20.5	19.2	19.7	21.8	25.3			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.0 5.7	4.4 3.6 8.0	4.4 4.9 9.3	3.8 4.2 8.0	3.6 4.7 8.3	3.5 4.2 7.7	4.3 3.7 8.0			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	18.7	43.5	0.9			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrab. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in t

Garfield County: Nur of Sales Ratio, Average Sal and Proportion of Assess for the 1½ Years Enc

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years) All								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 4 2 2 2	0 1 1 0 1	1 0 2 1 1	4 3 7 7 1	5 8 12 10 5			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 4 3 2 5	3 2 0 3 6	1 0 0 1 0	1 2 0 0	2 4 3 1 2	8 12 6 7 13			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	7 9 6 1 1	2 3 5 2 2	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	2 0 1 1	13 12 12 4 6			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 3 2 1 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0			
Total Cases	41	41	6	13	40	141			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.1	25.1	17.1	19.3	16.8	21.9			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 2.8 5.8	7.0 7.6 14.6	4.1 7.9 12.0	4.7 12.1 16.8	3.9 6.5 10.4	4.3 6.5 10.8			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	9.1	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25.5			

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed .

Number of Conveyances by Size seles Ratio, Measure of Variation sessed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

ll ges	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Land With Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
5 8 12 10 5	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0	5 8 12 10 7	1 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 2 2	0 1 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 3 3 7	6 9 15 13 14
8 12 6 7 13	0 0 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	8 13 8 8 13	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 2 1	2 1 0 1 0	0 3 0 0	3 6 0 3 1	11 19 8 11 14
13 12 12 4 6	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	13 14 12 4 7	1 1 1 3	1 0 1 1	1 2 1 0 2	1 0 0 0	4 4 2 2 6	17 18 14 6 13
2 3 2 1 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 3 1 0	2 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 0 1 0	7 3 4 1 1
0000	0 0 2 3	0 0 0	0 0 2 3	0 1 0 0	1 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 1 0	1 1 4 3
1	14	3	158	18	17	16	4	55	213
Э	29.6		24.2	30.7	28.8	20.8		29.0	26.7
3 5 3	4.6 29.2 33.8		4.4 13.5 17.9	12.9 7.8 20.7	10.3 8.7 19.0	6.5 10.2 16.7		11.2 7.1 18.3	7.9 10.2 18.1
١	15.6	1.3	42.4	39.1	7.2	4.4	5.8	56.5	98.9

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conveyances by Size tio, Measure of Variation ue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

Industrial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	10 13 18 . 18 . 22	1 0 1 1 7	0 0 1 2	0 2 4 5 6	0 3 3 2 3	1 5 9 10 17	11 18 27 28 39
1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	20 30 23 18 32	1 4 4 5 3	0 4 0 1 0	1 4 2 7 3	2 2 0 3 2	4 14 6 16 8	24 44 29 34 40
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	30 35 24 7 10	3 2 3 1 5	2 0 1 0	2 3 1 1 2	2 2 2 0 2	9 7 7 2 9	39 42 31 9
0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	10 5 7 2 3	3 1 2 0 1	0 1 0 !	2 1 0 0	0 3 1 0	5 6 3 1	15 11 10 3
0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 3 8	2 1 0 1	1 · 0 0 0	1 1 1 0	0 1 0 . 1	4 3 1 2	4 3 4 10
6	2	348	52	15	49	34	150	498
21.5		24.7	26.9	20.7	23.5	27.0	25.6	25.2
2.5 15.5 18.0		4.5 13.9 18.4	5.9 10.3 16.2	2.9 11.4 14.3	7.1 7.0 14.1	. 10.7 6.5 17.2	6.0 9.7 15.7	5.4 11.6 17.0
1.0	0.3	42.4	39.1	5.8	7.2	4.4	56.5	98.9

ranged from low to high.
the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Garfield County: Number of (of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio) and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending De

	One-Family Dwellings By Age Class (years)									
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial : Buildings I			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 5 2 2 3	0 1 1 1 3	1 0 4 3 5	9 7 11 10 9	10 13 18 16 20	0 0 0 2 1			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 10 6 6 14	6 5 6 7 11	3 0 1 1 0	4 6 0 0 2	3 8 7 3 4	18 29 20 17 31	1 0 3 1			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	18 21 12 4 4	4 5 7 2 2	0 1 0 0	2 2 1 0 1	5 4 2 1	29 33 22 7 9	1 2 2 0 0			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	4 0 2 0 1	1 2 0 2	0 0 1 0	1 3 0 2 0	1 1 0 0 0	7 5 5 2 3	2 0 1 0 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 2 5			
Total Cases	105	74	15	37	86	317	23			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	<b>25.</b> 2	20.5	20.7	17.8	22.9	28.8			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 3.1 6.0	5.0 6.6 11.6	4.0 9.0 13.0	4.2 10.1 14.3	4.8 6.5 11.3	4.2 6.2 10.4	5.6 29.4 35.0			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	9.1	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25.5	15.6			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrab. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in 1

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Varia and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Properfor the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Ţotal <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Rui With Impts.	without Impts.
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 1 4 3 2	0 0 1 0	1 1 5 3 2	0 0 1 3 2	0 18 4 4 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 1 0	5 7 4 2 0	4 1 1 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0 2	0000	0 1 0 0 2	6 0 2 1	1 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	1 1 0 0	0000
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 3 0
Total Cases	22	3	25	38	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)	16.4		17.3	24.9	12.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.1 6.7 9.8		1.6 19.4 21.0	5.5 4.6 10.1	1.4 7.0 8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.4	7.7	18.2	30.7	38.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fato high.

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assesse as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

lze
/ariation
coperty

ut	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
4 	0 0 1 0	0 18 6 7 3	1 19 11 10 5
·	0 0 0 0	9 8 5 4 0	12 9 6 5 0
•	0 0 0 0	7 1 2 1 1	7 2 2 1 3
·	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 2 1 0 1
	0 0 0	0 4 0 0	0 5 0 1
	1	79	104
	*	16.0	16.2
		2.5 6.3 8.8	2.3 8.8 11.1
	11.4	80.8	99.0

s fall when arranged from low essed value in the county

Gilpi of Sales Ra and Propc for

1

<u>Sa 1</u>	es F	latio (	Class	s (%)	F	One amil elli
10 12 14 16	and "	Under " "	10 12 14 16 18			1 3 6 5 3
18 20 22 24 26	11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11	20 22 24 26 28			5 3 3 1 3
28 30 32 34 36	11 11 11 11	11 11 11 11	30 32 34 36 38	·		0 1 0 0 2
38 40 42 44 46	11 11 11	11 11 11 11	40 42 44 46 48			0 0 0 0
48 50 55 60	"	" " Over	50 55 60			1 0 1
То	tal	Cases				40
Αv	eraç	ge Salo	es Ra	atio (%	)	16.7
Me	Belo Abov	ve A <b>v</b> e: otal	rage rage	Ratio Ratio		3.1 6.9 10.0
Pr	op.	of As	s'd.	Value <sup>b</sup>		10.4

a.

Range in percentage points with high.
Assessed value in 1957 by clareported by the assessor to b.

ilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation roportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

One amily vellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 3 6 5 3	0 0 1 0	1 3 7 5 3	3 0 8 4 3	3 29 9 10 5	1 1 0 0	7 30 18 14 8	8 33 25 19
5 3 1 3	0 0 0 1 0	5 3 2 3	8 9 7 4 0	4 3 3 2 1	0 0 0 0	12 12 10 6 1	17 15 13 8 4
0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 2	8 1 4 1 2	2 5 0 0	0 0 0 0	10 6 4 1 3	10 7 4 1 5
0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 0 0	3 2 1 0
1 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 2	0 2 1 1	0 4 1 0	0 0 0	0 6 2 1	1 7 2 3
40	4	44	69	84	3	156	200
16.7		18.2	21.3	16.4		16.4	16.7
3.1 6.9 10.0		2.8 15.7 18.5	3.8 8.1 11.9	5.2 5.6 10.8		4.1 5.4 9.5	3.9 7.1 11.0
10.4	7.7	18.2	30.7	38.8	11.4	80.8	99.0

nts within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as r to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Cor of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>			
Under 10 10 and m" 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 2	1 0 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 1	1 3 1 4			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 6 2	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0	1 2 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 3 5 7 3			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 0 0 0	2 1 0 0 1	1 1 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1	6 5 1 1 3			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 6 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 1 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 2 1 4			
Total Cases	17	7	14	14	7	59			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	23.9	29.6	23.6	29.4	25.9			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.2 2.7 4.9	5.0 6.7 11.7	6.6 13.4 20.0	4.6 7.4 12.0	8.9 19.2 28.1	4.3 7.1 11.4			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.8			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Conveyances by Size atio, Measure of Variation lue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
 0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 3 2 5	0 1 0 0	2 2 6 5 4	0 0 0 0	2 3 6 5 6	3 4 9 7 11
0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 6 8 3	4 3 2 5 1	1 11 2 0 2	0 0 0 1 0	5 14 4 6 3	8 17 10 14 6
1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	7 5 1 2 4	0 1 1 0 3	1 1 2 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 4 3 0 4	8 9 4 2 8
1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 4 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	2 6 1 0 1
0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 2 2 4	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	0 3 3 6
11	0	70	23	43	6	72	142
28.0	0	26.7	23.7	17.6		27.6	27.2
4.5 12.5 17.0		4.4 9.2 13.6	3.8 6.3 10.1	3.3 5.6 8.9		4.4 7.1 11.5	4.4 8.0 12.4
18.4	0.1	45.2	17.6	1.1	34.0	52.7	97.9

l when arranged from low to high. value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years Ending

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)					
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u> .	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 3	1 1 0 2	0 2 0 1 2	0 0 1 0 2	1 3 3 3 9
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 1 3 9 2	0 0 1 2 2	2 2 2 3 2	2 3 2 2 2	0 0 1 0	6 6 9 16 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	5 3 1 1	4 3 3 1 1	1 1 2 0 1	1 1 0 2	0 1 0 1	11 9 7 3 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 2 2 0 0	2 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 4 3 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 2	0 0 0 4	0 1 0 1	1 3 1 8
Total Cases	33	25	28	29	9	124
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	26.7	24.0	26.3	29.4	26.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.1 3.8 6.9	2.6 6.5 9.1	4.0 14.8 18.8	6.2 12.5 18.7	12.2 11.5 23.7	4.3 8.3 12.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ag December 31, 1960

mmercial ildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 1 4	0 0 1 0	1 3 4 4 13	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0 2	1 3 1 0 3	13 2 8 10 7	14 5 9 12 13	15 8 13 16 26
2 1 2 1	0 0 0	8 7 11 17 9	2 1 1 0	1 0 0 1 1	4 6 4 5 4	3 15 5 2 3	10 22 10 9 8	18 29 21 26 17
2 0 1 1 2	0 1 0 0	13 10 8 4 8	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 5 2 2 3	3 1 4 0	5 8 6 2 4	18 18 14 6 12
1 2 2 0 1	1 0 0 0	4 6 5 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	2 1 0 1 0	0 5 0 0	2 6 1 2 0	6 12 6 3 2
0 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 4 2 9	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 2	0 1 1 4	1 5 3 13
26	4	154	10	9	51	84	154	308
.7		26.3	19.3	31.6	23.4	19.4	21.2	23.3
2 8 0		5.2 10.9 16.1	2.3 5.7 8.0	13.0 11.9 24.9	3.2 8.9 12.1	5.9 4.8 10.7	3.2 7.0 10.2	4.0 8.6 12.6
4	0.1	45.2	29.6	4.4	17.6	1.1	52.7	97.9

arranged from low to high. in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number of Conve of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, A and Proportion of Assessed Value by for the 1½ Years Ending Decembe

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Comn Buil	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 2 2	0 4 4 9 1	1 5 4 12 3		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 7 7	0 2 0 1 0	1 1 2 0 0	2 0 0 1 2	4 5 4 4 3	7 8 6 13 12		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 3 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 2 2 0 1	6 5 2 1 2		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 0	3 3 0 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1		
Total Cases	20	7	8	10	50	95		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.9	27.7	27.4	20.9	19.5	22.7	42	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.5 1.8 3.3	6.9 5.8 12.7	12.4 3.8 16.2	4.4 5.6 10.0	4.5 8.2 12.7	4.8 5.9, 10.7	. ∠ . 8	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.6	13	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size latio, Measure of Variation lue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 5 4 12 3	2 0 1 0	0 0 2 1 1	0 0 3 0	2 0 6 1 2	3 5 10 13 5
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	8 6 13 12	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 1	9 8 7 14 13
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 5 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	6 6 2 1 3
1 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	4 4 0 1 i	0 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 <b>2</b> 0 0	5 4 2 1 1
0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 3
6	0	101	7	8	6	21	122
42.3		27.6	12.5	29.5		15.3	18.3
3.3 4.7 8.0		4.3 5.6 9.9	3.6 12.0 15.6	15.3 8.5 23.8		2.5 7.0 9.5	3.0 6.6 9.6
13.5	1.8	36.0	7.5	4.2	50.9	62.7	98.6

ll when arranged from low to high. d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years Endin

	0	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (year	rs)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	O 1 1 1 0	1 2 0 3 1	2 5 2 4 5	2 6 6 16 4	5 14 9 24 10
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 10 7	0 4 1 1	2 4 3 0 1	4 1 2 3 3	11 8 7 6 4	18 18 13 20 16
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	6 4 1 0	2 0 2 2 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 2	5 4 6 3 3	14 9 9 5 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 1 0	5 4 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 1 6	1 2 2 7
Total Cases	32	17	21	34	107	211
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.1	26.3	24.0	18.1	21.4	22.4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.9 2.6 4.5	5.7 6.5 12.2	8.5 0.5 9.0	4.6 6.2 10.8	5.8 11.0 16.8	5.0 7.0 12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed  $\nu$ 

Conveyances by Size tio, Measure of Variation we by Class of Property ecember 31, 1960

mercial Idings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 14 9 24 10	1 0 2 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	3 0 4 1 0	4 2 2 2 2	8 3 9 3 2	13 17 21 24 12
3 0 0 1 1	0 0 0	21 18 13 21 17	1 1 1 0	1 0 0 2 1	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 2 0	3 2 2 6 2	24 20 15 27 19
2 0 1 0	. 0 0 0 0	16 9 10 5 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 3 1 0	16 12 11 5 6
2 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	7 5 1 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 2 2 0	9 6 3 3
1 0 0 1	0 0 0	2 2 2 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	2 2 3 9
15	0	226	9	7	17	21	54	280
31.7		25.3	18.9	14.6	15.1	16.1	17.5	19.7
6.2 12.3 18.5		8.6		12.0			11.0	10.3
13.5	1.8	36.0	42.6	8.3	7.5	4.2	62.7	98.6

then arranged from low to high.
Lue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jq

55.6

T

M

 $\mathbf{P}^{i}$ 

b

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)  Total Urban Rural Cou									
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 2						
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 2 0						
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 1 0						
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0						
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0						
Total Cases	16	1	17						
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.1		19.9						
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.9 10.9 12.8		1.7 11.1 12.8						
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	26.9	69.8	96.7						

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

1

ī 1

1

2( 2: 2:

26

28 30

32 34 36

48° 50° 55° 60°

To:

 $A_{\mathbf{V}}$ 

Μe

PI

a.

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 1 0 2	1 0 0 1	2 0 1 1 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 3 3 0	0 0 0 0	5 3 3 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 3 1 1 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 3 2 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	O O 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 1
Total Cases	26	3	29
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.1		20.8
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.1 9.9 12.0		1.8 10.2 12.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	26.9	69.8	96.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rate fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ \

:	Or	ne-Family	/ Dwellin	qs by Aqe	Class (yea	rs)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All C Ages E
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 2	1 2 1 3 1	1 1 2 2 1	2 3 3 6 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " 28	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1 2	2 1 1 0 6	1 1 0 1 0	3 3 1 2 10
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0		4 4 3 1 2	3 5 2 1	7 12 6 3 4
38 # # 40 40 # # 42 42 # # 44 44 # # 46 46 # # 29	00000	0000	10000	1 0 2 0 0	10001	3 H N O H
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0000	0000	0 0 0 0	1213	1 4 
Total Cases	3	4	13	39	31	90
Average Sales Ratio (%)	~q .s 75	ಎಂದು	21,4	28,5	90,2	28.8
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		tion and time top and "IS NOT IND COST	5,3 8.1 1 <b>3.</b> 4	8.6 6.2 14.8	10.7 14.8 25.5	8.4 10.5 18.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.2	2,1	2.3	15.6	11.0	32.1

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall
 Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed the Legislative Council.

umber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property 1½ Year Period

1 01	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
2 3 3 6 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 6 4	1 1 3 2 1	2 2 1 2 1	2 2 1 1 0	5 5 5 5 2	7 8 8 11 6
3 3 1 2 0	0 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 1 4 10	1 0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	4 5 2 4 10
7 2 5 3 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	7 12 6 3 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	8 12 6 3 4
3 1 2 0 1	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	3 1 3 0 1
1 1 1 3	0 1 1	0 0 0 1	1 5 2 10	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	1 5 3 11
Э	7	1	98	11	9	8	28	126
3	44.7		33,2	14.1	13.1		14.3	20.2
‡ 5 9	19.9 11.5 31.4		11.6 10.7 22.3	1.6 4.4 6.0	3.0 2.8 5.8		2.2 9.2 11.4	5.1 9.7 14.8
Į.	18.6	0.4	51.1	39.9	1.4	6.8	48.1	99.2

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

Huerfano County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years End

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Co <u>Bu</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 2	3 2 1 5 2	2 3 2 6 3	5 6 3 13 7		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 2 3	2 3 7 3 9	3 8 1 2	6 12 8 8 17		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	1 1 2 2 1	O 1 1 1 1	7 5 4 2 5	5 8 3 3 2	14 16 10 8 9		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 1 0 0	2 5 5 2 2	1 0 0 1	7 7 5 2 3		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 3	1 3 2 10	2 2 2 5	3 5 6 19		
Total Cases	9	13	19	92	66	199		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.5	27.0	36.0	31.2	26.7	29.2		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.5 13.1 19.6		9.5 4.7 14.2	7.8 11.6 19.4	8.4 9.0 17.4	7.5 10.0 17.5		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.2	2.1	2.3	15.6	11.0	32.1		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall with assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

r of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ing December 31, 1960

mmercial <u>ildings</u>		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 6 3 13 8	3 3 5 5	6 5 5 9 2	0 2 0 2	4 3 1 0 1	13 13 11 16 4	19 19 14 29 12
0 1 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	6 13 10 10	3 2 3 0 2	5 1 2 2 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	9 3 5 3 3	15 16 15 13 20
0 0 0	0 0 0 0	14 16 10 8 9	0 3 3 0	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 4 4 0 1	16 20 14 8 10
1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	8 8 6 2 3	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	9 7 2 4
0 2 1 4	0 0 0 2	3 7 7 25	1 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 1 2	4 7 8 27
17	2	218	37	41	11	10	99	317
31.0		29.8	15.7	14.2	23.0	12.6	16.2	21.2
7.8 27.4 35.2		7.6 16.2 23.8	2.4 14.8 17.2	2.5 5.3 7.8	8.2 15.0 23.2	4.5 0.4 4.9	3.0 14.2 17.2	4.7 14.8 19.5
18.6	0.4	51.1	39.9	1.4	5.9	0.9	48.1	99.2

when arranged from low to high. Blue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 0 1 1	0 1 0 1 0	2 2 0 2 1	0 0 1 0	2 2 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2
Total Cases	15	3	18	1	19
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.0		36.3		36.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.8 11.5 16.3		8.8 15.7 24.5		8.8 15.7 24.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratics fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total asses value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Cou

.ÇS

sessed Council.

		One-family	Dwe]
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 0 2 0 1	1 0 1 1 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	
Total Cases	10	5	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.1		<i>~</i> ■ •
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.9 5.9 9.8		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.9	3.4	2

Range in percentage points within which the minimal Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as to the Legislative Council.

Number of Conveyances by Size age Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Assessed Value by Class of Property ears Ending December 31, 1960

llings	by Age Cl	lass_(years	A11	All Other	Total	Total	Total
<u>9-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 2	3 1 2 2 1	3 2 2 3 3
0 2 0 0	0 0 1 3 3	0 1 0 1 0	1 4 1 4	1 0 0 0	2 4 1 4 4	1 1 1 0	3 5 2 5 4
0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	5 2 3 1 1	0 1 0 1 0	5 3 3 2 1	0 2 1 0	5 5 4 2 1
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1
1 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	i 0 1 1	0 1 0 1	1 1 1 2	0 0 0	1 1 1 2
.5	12	J	36	5	41	16	57
	21.3		28.2		32.9	16.8	18.6
	2.4 5.5 7.9		5.9 8.4 14.3		7.2 10.6 17.8	5.2 9.4 14.6	5.4 9.5 14.9
.3	2.3	1.4	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

iddle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. s per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Jefferson County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the 1½ Ye

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						M 144 F
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 4	All 8 Ages	Multi-Fan <u>Dwelli</u> n
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 2 2 6 11	5 2 12 16 17	4 3 5 7 10	20 16 18 32 29	2 12 12 11 11	32 35 49 72 78	0 0 0 0 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	17 34 80 197 244	26 40 58 84 93	18 23 24 17 20	28 28 18 17 6	19 16 3 5 7	108 141 183 320 370	2 1 4 15 14
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	325 254 202 98 44	77 40 18 12 8	7 7 1 0 1	4 5 3 3	1 0 1 1 0	414 306 225 114 53	14 9 4 9 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	12 2 8 2 2	5 2 <b>3</b> 4 2	1 2 3 3 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	18 7 14 9	2 2 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 2 0 2	2 3 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 2 1 0	0 0 0 1	4 7 2 5	0 0 0
Total Cases	1,549	531	158	232	102	2,572	84
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.1	25.9	22.6	18.0	17.5	26.5	29.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 2.8 5.6	3.4 3.3 6.7	3.4 4.2 7.6	3.8 4.3 8.1	3.6 3.7 7.3	3.1 3.2 6.3	3.9 <sup>°</sup> 3.9 7.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when a
 Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value : Legislative Council.

s by Size of Variation of Property

	Misc. Rural Land									
1 _	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Remote Fr With Impts.	om Denver Without Impts.	Near With Impts.	Denver Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County	
	0 0 1 0 0	32 38 50 73 82	26 27 30 27 23	56 16 15 12 9	5 4 4 2 6	77 8 12 11 14	2 0 1 1	166 55 62 53 53	198 93 112 126 135	
	0 0 0 0	111 144 189 337 387	23 15 13 18 16	17 12 39 8 5	17 19 29 37 52	5 12 3 9 3	0 0 0 0	62 58 84 72 76	173 202 273 409 463	
	0 0 0	429 318 231 126 61	17 10 7 5 0	9 9 8 1 2	57 65 50 26 13	2 5 2 1 2	0 0 0	85 89 67 33 17	514 407 298 159 78	
	0 0 0 0	21 9 15 10 6	3 1 2 3 4	0 5 1 2 0	5 8 1 1 5	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 14 4 6 9	30 23 19 16 15	
	0 0 0	4 7 2 7	3 0 1 7	0 9 0 6	1 1 1 4	1 4 1 1	0 0 0	5 14 3 18	9 21 5 25	
	1	2,689	281	241	413	174	5	1,114	3,803	
		<b>26.</b> 5	19.0	15.6	29.0	10.9		19.9	25.4	
		3.5 4.1 7.6	5.8 8.1 13.9	5.1 9.6 14.7	4.1 3.5 7.6	4.5 9.7 14.2		5.4 5.6 11.0	3.9 4.3 8.2	
	2.4	84.3	4.3	0.5	5.5	0.9	2.4	13.5	97.9	

low to high.
as reported by the assessor to the

Jefferson County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed \ for the 3½ Ye

	One-	Family 1	Dwellings	by Age C	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi-Fami}
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	Over 4	8 Ages	Dwellings
Under 10 10 and " 12	2 4	7 5	11 14	27 39	5 17	52 79	1
12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	7 10 19	25 28 42	14 17 22	35 56 56	21 32 24	102 143 163	0 0 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22	40 103	59 100	33 49	46 62	30 27	208 341	2 1
22 " " 24 24 " " 26	172 441	141 173	40 32	<b>3</b> 5 <b>3</b> 5	12 15	400 696	7 22
26 " " 28 28 " " 30	627 720	172 139	31 13	18 7	7 6	855 885	22 26
30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36	623 508 268	77 40 21	13 4 1	10 6 3	1 3 1	724 561 294	20 13 15
36 " " 38	224	11	2	2	3	242	9
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44	45 13 10	10 7 11	3 2 4	0 2 1	2 1 0	60 25 26	5 5 1
44 " " 46 46 " " 48	6 7	8 7	3 2	1	0	18 17	$\frac{1}{2}$
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 4 2 3	3 4 3 4	2 1 0 3	0 4 1 2	0 1 0 1	7 14 6 13	1 2 1 0
Total Cases	3,860	1,097	316	449	209	5,931	157
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.5	25.5	21.9	18.4	18.0	26.7	30.8
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 3.0 6.0	3.4 3.5 6.9	3.8 4.4 8.2	4.0 4.5 8.5	3.4 4.1 7.5	3.2 3.4 6.6	4.3 3.6 7.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when are
 Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in Legislative Council.

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Year Period

15	by Age C	lass (ye		Malki Famila	C	r-34-2.1	T-4-1		c. Land Re
	29-48	Over 4	All 8 Ages	Multi-Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Buildings			With Impts.	WithoutImpts]
	27 39 35 56 56	5 17 21 32 24	52 79 102 143 163	1 0 0 0 0	1 4 0 3 3	0 0 2 0	54 83 104 146 167	5 0 4 2 2	5 1 0 0
	46 62 35 35 18	30 27 12 15 7	208 341 400 696 855	2 1 7 22 22	4 4 3 2 4	0 0 0 0	214 346 410 720 882	2 2 0 1 0	0 1 0 0 1
	7 10 6 3 2	6 1 3 1 3	885 724 561 294 242	26 20 13 15 9	5 8 4 6 5	0 2 0 0 1	916 754 578 315 257	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0
	0 2 1 1	2 1 0 0	60 25 26 18 17	5 5 1 2 1	2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	67 31 28 20 19	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
	0 4 1 2	0 1 0 1	7 14 6 13	1 2 1 0	0 2 0 4	0 0 1 0	8 18 8 17	0 1 0 1	0 0 0
	449	209	5,931	157	67	7 6	,162	22	9
,	18.4	18.0	26.7	30.8	27.6	24.4	26.9	17.6	7.9
	4.0 4.5 8.5	3.4 4.1 7.5	3.2 3.4 6.6	4.3 3.6 7.9	6.7 8.2 14.9	7.5 11.2 18.7	3.9 4.3 8.2	5.4 3.9 9.3	2.6 14.6 17.2
1	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.3	2.0	0.4

middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

42U)

ances by Size asure of Variation lass of Property

				_		Misc. Rural				
ial gs	Industrial Buildings		Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Remote f With Impts.	rom Danver Without <u>Impts.</u>	With	Denver Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
	0 0 2 0	54 83 104 146 167	5 0 4 2 2	5 1 0 0	48 64 58 63 60	113 49 37 30 28	9 5 6 8 12	106 23 33 29 42	286 142 138 132 144	340 225 242 278 311
	0 0 0 0	214 346 410 720 882	2 2 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	56 45 28 43 36	31 32 95 22 18	28 42 50 70 101	19 37 15 25 13	136 159 188 161 169	350 505 598 881 1,051
	0 2 0 0 1	916 754 578 315 257	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	39 23 20 10 6	19 22 22 6 7	142 153 118 74 31	7 9 9 2 7	207 207 170 93 51	1,123 961 748 408 308
	0 0 0 0	67 31 28 20 19	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	9 6 8 10	0 14 3 8 2	15 15 .4 4 5	4 2 1 0 2	28 41 14 20 19	95 72 42 40 38
	0 0 1 0	8 18 8 17	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	7 2 2 23	2 25 0 19	3 1 4 7	2 5 2 9	14 34 8 59	22 52 16 76
	7 6	,162	22	9	675	604	907	403	2,620	8,782
	24.4	26.9	17.6	7.9	19.7	16.2	29.6	14.0	20.5	25.8
	7.5 11.2 18.7	3.9 4.3 8.2	5.4 3.9 9.3	2.6 14.6 17.2	5.7 8.6 14.3	4.6 11.6 16.2	3.7 3.3 7.0	4.4 9.8 14.2	4.7 7.0 11.7	4.0 4.8 8.8
	2.4	84.3	2.0	0.4	4.3	0.5	5.5	0.9	13.5	97.9

om low to high.
By as reported by the assessor to the

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variati and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land Without <u>Impts.</u>	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 1	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 2 3 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 4 4 1	0 1 0 0	1 2 4 4 1	1 0 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 3 2 0 0	2 0 0 0	3 3 2 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	22	3	25	9	3	12
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2		26.8	16.7		16.7
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.1 8.7 12.8		4.4 5.9 10.3	2.1 7.2 9.3		2.1 7.2 9.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	7.5	12.6	20.0	32.1	47.4	79.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wh low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Size f Variation Property 50

Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 2 3 2	0 0 2 3 2
1 0 2 1 0	2 2 6 5 1
0 1 0 0	3 4 2 0 0
00232 10210 01000 00000 0000	0 0 2 3 2 2 2 6 5 1 3 4 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0	0 0 0 2
12	37
16.7	18.1
2.1 7.2 9.3	1.8 7.7 9.5
79.5	99.5

s fall when arranged from essed value in the county

Kiowa County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 3½ Years Ending

	-	One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ass (years)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29- 48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u> </u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 0	3 0 2 1 0	0 1 4 4 0	1 0 0 1 1	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 2 0 1	0 1 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	1 2 1 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 4	0 1 0 0	
Total Cases	7	6	10	21	7	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.7	34.4	24.5	29.9	27.6	•
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 5.4 8.1	9.4 14.6 24.0	4.8 8.5 13.3	6.3 11.6 17.9	2.1 6.9 9.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.5	0.9	1.1	2.7	0.3	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed v. c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Number of Conveyances by Size je Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation sessed Value by Class of Property ars Ending December 31, 1960

(years) er 48	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2	1 0 4 6 10	0 0 0 2 1	2 0 4 9 13	2 0 4 9 14
1° O O 1	4 1 7 8 2	0 1 0 1	4 2 7 9 3	0 0 2 3 0	7 6 6 3 4	0 0 0 1 0	7 6 8 7 4	11 8 15 16 7
0 1 1 1 0	1 6 5 2 1	2 1 0 0	3 7 5 2 1	0 2 1 2 0	2 8 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 10 2 2 1	5 17 7 4 2
0 0 0	1 2 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 0 1 0	3 4 2 1 0
0 1 0 0	1 2 0 5	0 0 0	1 2 0 5	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 0 2	2 3 0 7
7	51	6	57	16	64	6	86	143
7.6	29.6		27.1	26.2	22.2		24.4	24.9
2.1 6.9 9.0	5.0 9.2 14.2		3.5 5.5 9.0	6.2 7.6 13.8	5.2 6.8 12.0		5.7 7.3 13.0	5.3 6.9 12.2
0.3	7.5	12.6	20.0	47.4	32.1	c	79.5	99.5

ios fall when arranged from low to high. ssessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kit Carson County: Note of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assess for the 1½ Years Er

	One	-Family D	wellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)	)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 3 0	00586	0 4 1 1 2	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 1 2 1	0 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	7 2 4 1 2	2 0 0 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 4 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 1 2	1 1 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	00000	0 1 1 0 0	00000	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	000	0 1 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0	
Total Cases	9	19	4	45	<u> </u>	9.
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.4	31.2		18.9	16.4	21.
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 3.1 6.3	6.4 11.3 17.7		3.3 7.9 11.2	4.6 12.6 17.2	3.6 7.:
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.:

a. Range in precentage points within which the middle half of the ratios for the services of the services of the ratios for the services of the services of the ratios for the services of the ratios for the ratios for the services of the ratios for the ratios fo

lumber of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

	Commiercial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 4 7 .2 .1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 7 12 11	0 2 0 2 1	1 1 2 3 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 5 1	1 7 9 17 12
95555	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	9 5 5 7	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	10 6 6 5 8
4 1 3 5 3	1 0 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	5 2 4 5 5	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	7 2 4 5 5
0 1 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 0	. 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 0
0 1 1 6	0 1 0 5	0 0 0 1	0 2 1 12	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 1 12
)1	12	2	105	7	9	2	18	123
7	41.4		30.3	14.8	14.4		14.6	16.9
.6 .2 .8	10.4 36.1 46.5		7.6 14.1 21.7	2.6 6.7 9.3	2.3 4.5 6.8		2.4 5.5 7.9	3.1 6.8 9.9
. 2	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6	<b>39.</b> 9	0.3	72.9	99.6

Tall when arranged from low to high. Sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

4g

Kit Carson County: Num of Sales Ratio, Average Sal and Proportion of Assesse for the 3½ Years Fnd

	One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years	)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 5 0	0 2 5 12 11	0 4 2 2 2	0 6 8 19 16
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 2 3 4	2 1 0 4 3	0 0 1 1	11 6 6 6 4	3 2 0 0 1	16 11 9 14 13
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 1 1 0	3 0 0 6 2	0 1 1 2 1	2 3 5 1 2	1 1 0 0	9 6 8 10 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 2 0 0	1 4 3 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2 0	3 5 5 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 3	0 0 0 2	0 0 2 4	0 1 0 0	1 2 2 10
Total Cases	22	37	16	85	22	182
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.8	31.6	25.7	20.7	20.4	25.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.5 4.2 8.7	6.0 9.8 15.8	10.1 10.5 20.6	4.3 8.1 12.4	6.9 8.6 15.5	5.3 7.6 12.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios falb. Assessed value in <u>1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed

ity: Number of Conveyances by Size erage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Assessed Value by Class of Property 'ears Finding December 31, 1960

ars 48	All Ages	Commerical Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 6 8 19 16	0 1 0 0	0 0 -0 0 1	0 7 8 19 17	0 2 2 7 4	2 4 8 15 10	0 0 0 0	2 6 10 22 14	13 18 41 31
	16 11 9 14 13	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 1	16 11 9 14 16	4 9 3 1 4	3 5 6 6 1	1 0 0 0	8 15 9 7 5	24 26 18 21 21
	9 6 8 10 5	1 0 1 1 2	0 1 0 1 0	10 7 9 12 7	0 3 0 1 1	2 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	2 3 1 2 2	12 10 10 14 9
	3 5 5 3 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 5 5 4 1	0 1 1 0 0	1 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	1 2 1 0 1	5 7 6 4 2
	1 2 2 10	0 3 1 9	0 0 1 1	1 5 4 20	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 5 4 2 <b>0</b>
	182	23	6	211	43	67	3	113	324
	25.0	44.8		33.7	20.0	17.8		18.7	21.3
	5.3 7.6 12.9	9.4 33.3 42.7		7.7 13.8 21.5		3.4 5.3 8.7		3.6 5.7 9.3	7.0
	12.2	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6	39.9	0.3	72.9	99.6

atios fall when arranged from low to high.
assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Counci

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 9 9 4 6	1 2 0 0	2 11 9 4 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	6 3 6 11 8	0 3 2 2 0	6 8 13 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 1 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 1	4 2 2 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 1 5	0 0 0	1 1 1 5,
Total Cases	83	14	97
Average Sales Ratio (%)	23.2	14.5	22.4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.3 4.6 12.9		7.3 5.2 12.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	93.1	5.5	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)  Total Urban Rural County										
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	14 17 14 13 12	6 3 0 0	20 20 14 13 13							
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	13 12 12 19 22	0 3 2 2 0	13 15 14 21 22							
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	7 1 6 1	0 1 1 0	7 2 7 1 2							
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	4 5 0 1 2	1 0 0 0	5 5 0 1 2							
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 2 4 8	0 0 0	2 2 4 8							
Total Cases	192	21	213							
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.9	12.1	21.8							
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.4 4.7 13.1		7.8 5.5 13.3							
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	93.1	5.5	98.6							

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ration fall when arranged from low to high.

b

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Endi

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 1	1 1 3 4 7	1 1 3 3 8	2 4 7 11 16		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 6 13 43 45	2 2 6 5 4	2 2 1 2 0	2 3 2 0 1	4 2 1 1 2	12 15 23 51 52		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	20 10 2 2 1	2 2 1 2 0	0 2 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	3 2 0 1 0	25 16 4 6 1		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	00000	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1		
Total Cases	147	27	16	<b>2</b> 5	32	247		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.3	26.0	21.8	17.2	18.5	22.2		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.7 1.6 3.3	3.1 3.2 6.3	5.8 6.2 12.0	2.6 3.3 5.9	2.6 7.3 9.9	2.6 4.0 6.6		
Prop. of Ass'd.Value <sup>b</sup>	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

A

ber of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 9 11 16	1 1 2 3 1	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 4 6	5 1 4 4 3	7 4 8 11 11	10 8 17 22 27
2 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	14 15 24 51 52	0 0 1 1	2 1 0 1 1	7 4 3 2 4	1 2 2 1 0	10 7 6 5 6	24 22 30 56 58
1 0 2 0 1	0 1 0 0	26 17 6 6 2	0 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 0 1 2	0 0 1 1 0	3 5 2 3 2	29 22 8 9 4
0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	3 0 0 1 2	5 0 0 1 2
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 2	0 0 1 3	0 0 1 4
9	3	259	17	6	49	28	100	359
20.4		21.9	19.7	19.3	21.7	16.6	20.1	21.0
7.2 12.4 19.6		4.7 7.2 11.9	5.6 12.2 17.8	0.8 5.7 6.5	4.6 7.5 12.1	4.1 6.4 10.5	4.9 9.8 14.7	4.8 8.5 13.3
18.2	2.8	50.5	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.2	98.7

ll when arranged from low to high. d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the 3½ Years Ending

	0	ne-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Com
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Bui
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 5 1	0 0 0 2 1	0 4 3 2 3	1 4 6 13 14	4 8 11 10 12	5 16 20 32 31	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	6 12 21 71 87	6 6 10 7 10	5 4 2 0	8 4 4 3 1	12 10 11 3 5	37 37 50 86 103	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	53 23 3 3 2	3 3 3 1	2 3 1 1	0 1 0 1 1	3 5 0 1 0	61 35 7 9 5	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	4 0 2 0 1	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 5	
Total Cases	290	59	39	63	96	547	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.6	25.1	21.0	17.2	18.3	22.1	2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.9 1.9 3.8	3.2 4.4 7.6	4.5 8.2 12.7	2.5 3.4 5.9	4.1 4.6 8.7	3.0 3.6 6.6	1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who. Assessed value  $\underline{\text{in }1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

- 90 -

Number of Conveyances by Size Je Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Sessed Value by Class of Property S Ending December 31, 1960

.11 jes	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total. County
5 16 20 32 31	1 0 2 0 0	<b>0 0 0 0 0</b>	6 16 22 32 31	4 2 5 5 1	3 2 1 1 3	2 3 6 13 11	6 5 10 14 9	15 12 22 33 24	21 28 44 65 55
37 37 50 86 03	4 1 4 5 4	0 0 1 0	41 38 55 91 107	4 1 3 2 2	2 1 0 1 2	11 9 9 4 7	6 4 8 2 2	23 15 20 9 13	64 53 75 100 120
61 35 7 9 5	3 1 4 1	0 1 1 0 0	64 37 12 10 6	4 7 3 1 2	0 2 1 0 1	5 3 1 2 3	3 1 2 1 0	12 13 7 4 6	76 50 19 14 12
4 0 2 0 1	1 0 1 2 0	1 0 0 0	6 1 3 2 1	2 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 1 0	2 1 1 1 3	1 2 0 0	5 4 2 2 4	11 5 5 4 5
0 1 0 5	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 9	0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 3	0 0 1 2	0 3 2 5	0 4 2 14
47	38	6	591	52	23	101	79	255	846
.1	26.0		23.8	22.8	18.7	21.4	17.6	21.6	22.7
.0 .6	3.2 7.8 11.0		3.2 5.4 8.6	8.0 8.9 16.9	5.2 12.5 17.7	5.2 6.9 12.1	3.8 5.7 9.5	6.5 8.1 14.6	4.9 6.8 11.7
.4	18.2	2.8	50.5	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.2	98.7

fall when arranged from low to high. ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Co. of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending Dec.

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Aqes</u>	Multi-Family Control Dwellings B
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 1 2	0 1 3 1	2 6 9 20 36	2 4 16 26 41	5 10 28 50 82	0 0 0 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 3 15 28 62	6 6 18 23 28	1 4 10 9 9	50 34 34 29 19	46 42 36 35 29	108 89 113 124 147	0 0 0 0 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	94 110 84 52 35	36 27 17 9 8	1 2 0 0	10 5 5 3	14 5 6 7 10	155 149 112 71 54	3 4 5 4 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	14 5 0 3 1	7 5 0 3 0	2 0 0 0	2 2 3 1 1	3 0 2 1 0	28 12 5 8	1 0 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 0 0 1	0 1 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1	1 1 1	4 2 2 5	0 0 0
Total Cases	519	199	44	274	329	1,365	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.8	28.5	24.5	20.8	21.5	25.3	33.0
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 2.8 5.3	3.0 3.8 6.8	2.3 2.3 4.6	3.0 4.2 7.2	3.8 4.4 8.2	2.9 3.7 6.6	2.8 2.2 5.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrab. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in t

of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ling December 31, 1960

				Agric	. Land	Misc. Ru	iral Land		
mily ngs	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
) · }· !	1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	6 10 28 52 85	1 2 3 5 2	2 1 1 1 0	4 7 9 15 15	4 4 1 3 5	11 14 14 24 22	17 24 42 76 107
	0 2 0 6 0	0 1 1 0 0	108 92 114 130 148	0 2 1 3 4	0 1 1 0 0	23 20 22 17 23	3 2 2 2 4	26 25 26 22 31	134 117 140 <b>152</b> 179
	3 1 2 2 0	0 0 0 1 0	161 154 119 78 55	3 4 2 4 1	0 0 0 0	13 14 12 2 7	1 7 2 3 2	17 25 16 9	178 179 135 87 66
	1 0 2 3	0 0 0 1 0	30 13 6 12 5	3 1 0 1	1 0 0 1 0	6 1 3 4 0	1 4 0 0 1	11 6 3 6 1	41 19 9 18 6
	0 0 1 3	1 0 0 2	5 2 3 10	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 0 2	0 3 0 3	0 6 0 5	5 8 3 15
	31	8	1,426	42	10	222	57	331	1,757
	31.5	30.6	27.2	26.5	16.0	23.6	22.0	<b>2</b> 5.3	26.5
	6.9 13.7 20.6	8.6 25.2 33.8	4.4 8.1 12.5	10.7 7.0 17.7	5.0 21.0 26.0	5.1 6.2 11.3	5.1 13.8 18.9	9.9 8.5 18.4	6.3 8.3 14.6
	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.4	33.3	98.9

n arranged from low to high.
e in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number o of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the 3½ Years Endin

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)									
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Fami <u>Dwelling</u>		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 2 4 2 3	0 0 0 3 8	0 0 4 7 3	2 13 18 38 52	2 7 25 43 71	5 22 51 93 137	0 0 0 1 0		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	15 12 35 62 132	9 18 30 51 56	7 12 24 20 18	97 88 77 63 39	86 92 69 80 64	214 222 235 276 309	0 0 3 1 3		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	173 214 171 129 89	71 57 49 32 20	7 3 5 1 3	33 12 15 7 9	44 30 14 19	328 316 254 188 140	3 4 7 7 3		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	42 21 8 6 3	12 10 5 5 2	3 0 3 0	4 3 4 2 3	7 6 7 3 1	68 40 27 16 9	3 1 1 3 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	4 0 1 1	1 1 1 4	0 1 1 2	1 1 1	2 3 4 3	8 6 8 11	0 0 0 1		
Total Cases	1,130	445	124	583	701	2,983	41		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.2	29.3	24.7	21.8	22.9	26.2	33.6		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 3.1 6.1	3.6 4.0 7.6	3.0 3.1 6.1	3.3 3.9 7.2	4.3 4.7 9.0	3.4 3.8 7.2	4.1 3.6 7.7		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8		

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when the assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

umber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property s Ending December 31, 1960

ti-Family wellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 1	2 0 3 2 4	0 0 1 0 2	7 22 55 96 143	4 5 6 7 7	5 3 2 2 1	4 16 20 28 41	14 12 9 6 16	27 36 37 43 65	34 58 92 139 208
0 0 3 1 3	0 9 4 9 3	0 1 2 1 0	214 232 244 287 315	4 15 13 10 13	1 2 1 1	35 44 41 34 49	6 14 3 14 9	46 75 58 59 72	260 307 302 346 387
3 4 7 7 3	4 6 4 5 2	0 0 1 1 0	335 326 266 201 145	12 9 5 10 6	0 2 0 1 1	27 28 29 17 12	3 13 7 4 3	42 52 41 32 22	377 378 307 233 167
. 1 . 3 0	4 3 0 3 3	1 0 0 1 2	76 44 28 23 14	9 5 1 2 5	3 0 0 1 0	15 6 9 7 3	3 9 2 3 2	30 20 12 13 10	106 64 40 36 24
0 0 0 1	0 4 1 6	1 0 0 2	9 10 9 20	2 2 1 0	0 1 0 1	3 6 1 7	2 7 0 14	7 16 2 22	16 26 11 42
41	81	16	3,121	153	29	482	175	839	3,960
33.6	31.3	31.4	27.9	27.3	19.2	24.8	22.1	26.5	27.4
4.1 3.6 7.7	9.2 8.6 17.8	9.6 15.6 25.2	5.3 6.2 11.5	6.6 7.7 14.3	7.7 16.3 24.0	6.1 6.8 12.9	5.8 14.6 20.4	6.7 8.5 15.2	5.3 7.0 12.3
0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.4	33.3	98.

when arranged from low to high. value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0000	O O 1 O 4	0 1 3 1 4	0 1 4 1 8		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 3 0	0 0 0 1 1	O 1 1 1	1 2 2 4 4	1 5 5 3 3	2 8 8 12 9		
28 " " 30 30 " 32 32 " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 2	O 1 O 1 O	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 5 1 1 3	4 7 1 4 3		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 43	0 0 0 0	O O O 1 C	O 0 1 0	1 1 2 0	2 1 3 2 0	3 2 6 3 2		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 3 5	1 1 3 6		
Total Cases	5	5	8	25	56	99		
Average Sales Ratio (%)			29.3	25.4	27.4	27.0		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			5.3 15.7 21.0	5.3 6.1 11.4	5.8 14.3 20.1	5.1 10.4 15.5		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.8	1.6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation alue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

<u>-</u>	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. M Land Without Impts.	isc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
) 1 1 1 1	O O 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 5 2 8	1 0 0 1 1	0 2 1 0	O 1 1 0 0	1 3 2 1 2	1 4 7 3 10
3 3 2 9	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 8 8 13 9	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 2 0	3 8 9 15 9
1 7 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 7 1 4 3	2 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	2 0 2 1 1	6 7 3 5 4
3 2 3 3 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 6 3 2	0 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 3 1 0 0	3 5 7 3 2
1 1 3 5	1 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	2 1 3 9	O 1 1 1	1 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 1 3	3 2 4 12
7	6	1	106	14	10	5	29	135
) 	39.9		30.4	20.6	17.8		17.7	21.6
) †	24.9 27.6 52.5		10.3 14.9 25.2	1.6 22.4 24.0	4.8 19.2 24.0		4.6 43.1 47.7	6.2 34.1 40.3
5 .	14.1	1.9	42.6	8.1	3.4	44.5	55.9	98.5

all when arranged from low to high.
ad value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Numb of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years Endi

	0	ne-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (yea	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All C Ages E
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 4 7	0 2 6 3 7	0 2 7 9 18
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 0 6 2	2 2 2 1 1	1 1 2 3	3 8 9 14 7	4 11 12 10 9	10 24 24 33 22
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 3 2 0	3 3 0 1 1	1 1 0 0 1	4 7 4 3 1	16 12 6 7 7	26 24 13 13 10
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 0	1 0 1 0 3	6 1 2 1	5 4 8 4 0	13 5 12 7 4
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 1 1	0 3 0 11	3 2 6 15	4 5 7 29
Total Cases	20	24	21	97	159	321
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	26.7	26.2	27.4	28.7	27.9
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	5.7 5.6 11.3	6.7 6.6 13.3	2.0 20.3 22.3	5.1 10.8 15.9	5.6 12.4 18.0	5.3 11.0 16.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.8	1.6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed  $\nu$ 

Number of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

- -	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 8 10 18	0 1 3 3	4 2 3 5 2	1 4 2 1	1 0 0 1 0	6 7 8 10 3	6 9 16 20 21
	0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0	10 24 <b>25</b> 35 22	1 0 1 2	2 1 2 2 1	2 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	5 2 4 4 3	15 26 29 39 25
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	26 24 13 13	1 0 0 2 0	2 3 4 1	1 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	4 4 6 3 2	30 28 19 16 13
	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	14 5 12 8 5	0 0 2 0 0	0 4 1 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 5 3 2 1	14 10 15 10 6
	1 0 0 7	0 0 0 1	5 5 7 37	0 0 1 3	1 1 1	1 1 0 5	0 0 0	2 2 2 9	7 7 9 46
	15	3	339	21	45	26	5	97	436
	46.9		32.4	20.6	16.3	24.8		19.8	23.8
	18.0 47.3 65.3		8.3 19.6 27.9	5.8 22.2 28.0	1.4 19.2 20.6	11.3 24.2 35.5		5.3 20.9 26.2	6.3 20.4 26.7
	14.1	1.9	42.6	36.6	8.1	3.4	7.9	55.9	98.5

ll when arranged from low to high.
d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Vafor the 1½ Years Ending

		One-Family D	wellings by	Age Class	(yea:
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>0v</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 5 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 2 2 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	2 6 1 3 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 4 0 1	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1	
Total Cases	13	4	1	26	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.0			20.3	21
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	5.8 4.4 10.2			4.5 5.4 9.9	6 6 13

2.2

3.9

0.5

2.8

Prop. of Ass'd. Value<sup>b</sup>

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios for a sees sed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed.

Conveyances by Size atio, Measure of Variation lue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

rs)	All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Total	Total
<u>er 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Buildings</u>	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Rural	County
0 1 0 2 1	0 2 1 7 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 7 4	0 0 1 1	0 2 2 8 5
0 1 0 0	3 8 4 6 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 9 4 6 2	2 0 1 0	6 9 5 6 3
0 0 0	4 0 5 1 2	0 2 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	4 2 6 2 2	0 1 1 0 0	4 3 7 2 2
0 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0	1 0 1 1 0
0 0 0	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	0 0 1 0	0 1 2 2
8	52	6	3	61	11	/2
1.1	23.6	21.6		22.7	20.3	20.8
6.1 6.9 3.0	5.0 5.3 10.3	2.6 9.9 12.5		3.9 7.4 11.3	4.6 4.5 9.1	4.5 5.0 9.5
2.7	12.2	8.7	0.2	21.1	78.2	99.2

all when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed \for the 3½ Years Ending

•	<u>On</u>	<u>ie-Family</u>	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (yea:	rs)	Come
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Comm <u>Bui</u> j
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 7 2	0 2 0 3 1	0 3 2 11 4	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 3 2 1	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 2 0 0	5 11 1 3 2	2 2 0 2 2	8 14 6 8 7	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 4 0 2	2 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 2 0	1 0 0 0 0	7 1 5 2 3	
38	3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 i	3 2 0 2 2	
48 " " 50 50 " ' 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	
Total Cases	22	7	3	44	18	94	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	26.8		20.3	22.3	23.7	27
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	5.8 6.2 12.0	1.4 2.4 3.8		3.3 6.7 10.0	6.6 5.2 11.8	4.2 5.3 9.5	6 4 11
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2.7	12.2	8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whe b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

f Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property g December 31, 1960

nercial <u>ldings</u>		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 2 11 6	0 0 1 4 4	4 3 3 3 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	4 3 5 8 8	4 6 7 19 14
1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 16 6 8 7	2 6 6 0 1	9 4 6 3 2	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 1	12 10 13 4 4	21 26 19 12 11
1 3 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	8 4 6 3 3	0 3 0 0	1 0 2 2 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 3 2 0	9 7 9 5 3
0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	3 2 1 2 2	1 0 0 2 0	0 1 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 3 2 0	5 3 4 4 2
0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 1 1 4	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 2 2 4
10	4	108	31	49	6	4	90	198
' <b>.</b> 0		24.9	22.9	20.7	24.2		21.9	22.5
5  7  2		5.1 5.2 10.3	5.5 3.3 8.8	5.2 3.8 9.0	1.2 14.8 16.0		5.3 3.8 9.1	5.2 4.0 9.2
3.7	0.2	21.1	42.0	34.3	1.9	0.0	78.2	99.2

en arranged from low to high.

we in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Logan County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Endi

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)											
Sales Ratio Class (%) 1-8 9-18 19-28 29-48 Over 48 Ages E											
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 3 12 12	0 1 3 2 3	0 1 6 16 17					
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 1 6 9 23	1 3 2 6 10	3 1 1 3 0	10 12 11 7 4	9 11 1 3 1	25 28 21 28 38					
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	53 30 11 4 1	6 0 1 0	0000	5 6 3 3	2 2 0 1 0	66 38 15 8 5					
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 3 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 2	00000	1 4 2 1 3					
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0000	0 3 1 2	0 0 0	0 3 1 5					
Total Cases	144	35	12	101	40	332					
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	26.3	21.0	22.5	20.9	25.0					
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 1.7 3.3	1.7 2.8 4.5	3.1 3.7 6.8	4.8 7.4 12.2	2.7 2.7 5.4	3.1 4.3 7.4					
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9					

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

c. Under O.1 per cent.

ber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

्राप्त करणा हा अवस्थित के किया है <mark>जिस्से के लिए किया के लिए के लिए के लिए के किया है किया</mark> है किया है जिस कर के किया है कि

iding Decembe	er 31,	1960			Misc.			
Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 7 16 17	0 0 0 3	0 1 2 2 1	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 3 3 6	0 2 10 19 23
0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	25 28 21 29 38	1 0 0 5	1 0 0 0	3 0 3 2 0	1 2 0 1 0	6 3 3 6	31 31 24 32 44
0 0 2 0 0	3 1 0 1 0	69 39 17 9 5	3 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 2	72 40 18 11 6
0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 5 2 2 3	1 0 1 0	00000	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 0	2 5 4 2 3
1 2 1 4	0 0 0 2	1 5 2 11	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 5 2 11
14	7	353	20	8	12	5	45	<b>3</b> 98
43.7		29.1	25.9	15.8	21.2		21.2	24.2
10.2 23.8 34.0		4.4 13.6 18.0	2.3 7.9 10.2	2.8 2.2 5.0	3.1		2.5 5.2 7.7	3.2 8.4 11.6
10.8	7.0	45.8	33.9	17.8	2.1	С	53.7	99.5

ll when arranged from low to high.

d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

977

Logan County: Number of Co of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat and Proportion of Assessed Valu for the 3½ Years Ending D

	One	-Family_	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (yea		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Family Dwellings
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 4 7	0 0 9 22 37	0 2 5 4 5	0 2 16 32 50	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 1 13 21 81	3 6 5 15 16	5 4 1 6 1	38 26 30 24 20	15 15 4 4 3	65 52 53 70 121	0 0 0 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	132 63 31 8 3	8 2 4 3 2	0 0 0 0	13 14 6 4 7	4 3 1 2 0	157 82 42 17 12	2 1 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 7 2 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 2 0	1 3 2 1 2	0 0 0 1	2 10 6 3 4	0 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 4 2 5	0 0 0 1	0 5 3 8	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	370	72	31	270	69	812	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	26.2	20.4	22.1	20.9	24.7	30.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 1.9 3.5	2.1 3.3 5.4	3.6 4.0 7.6	4.1 5.5 9.6	2.7 4.0 6.7	2.9 3.8 6.7	2.8 5.9 8.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	0.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when are b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in c. Under 0.1 per cent.

of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation d Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

Family <u>lings</u>	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	With	without Impts.	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 17 32 51	1 2 0 3 8	1 2 4 3 6	0 0 1 1 3	0 2 6 1 0	2 6 11 8 17	2 8 28 40 68
0 0 0 2 0	0 1 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	65 53 54 75 121	5 5 2 2 8	6 1 1 3 3	40550	1 5 0 2 0	16 11 8 12 11	81 64 62 87 132
2 1 1 1 0	1 2 2 0 0	1 1 0 0	161 86 45 18 13	6 2 3 5 2	2 1 1 0 0	3 2 1 0	1 0 0 0	12 5 5 5 2	173 91 50 23 15
0 1 0 0	0 2 0 2 1	0 0 2	2 13 8 5	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 0	0 1 0 0	2 3 3 0 0	4 16 11 6 5
0 0 0 1	1 5 2 9	0 1 0 1	1 11 5 19	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 11 5 19
9	34	8	863	57	35	29	19	140	1,003
:0.6	42.9	36.5	28.9	24.0	19.0	24.3	17.5	22.1	24.8
2.8 5.9 8.7	12.4 19.6 32.0	2.5 12.3 14.8	4.3 7.3 11.6	5.9 6.8 12.7	3.8 6.5 10.3	5.2 5.6 10.8	4.6 4.2 8.8	5.1 6.6 11.7	4.8 6.9 11.7
0.8,	10.8	6.2	45.8	33.9	17.8	2.1	-c-	53.7	99.5

when arranged from low to high. Blue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mesa County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Ending

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye		Ca
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Commer <u>Buildí</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 3	0 0 1 4 9	0 0 1 6 12	0 0 2 13 25	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 4 11 36	1 9 10 12 21	1 8 11 13 11	13 13 14 7 6	15 11 14 13 8	31 42 53 56 82	O <sup>2</sup> O <sup>2</sup> 1 O O
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	69 106 74 51 38	16 24 18 18 5	6 8 5 0	4 2 1 1 3	15 7 6 5 1	110 147 104 75 47	2 1 2 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 45 " " 46	26 14 16 8 4	7 4 2 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 1 0 0	3 1 0 0	37 22 19 9 5	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	3 3 0 1	2 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	5 5 0 6	0 0 0
Total Cases	467	153	71	81	122	894	10
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.5	30.1	25.9	22.0	23.9	28.9	34.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.4 6.1	3.6 4.2 7.8	3.2 3.5 6.7	3.0 3.9 6.9	4.4 5.6 10.0	3.2 4.0 7.2	5.0 2.5 7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$   $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

111

r of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property ng December 31, 1960

ercial dings	Industrial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 13 25	0 0 1 4 7	0 0 2 1 2	0 1 4 5 8	0 1 8 3 3	0 2 15 13 20	0 2 17 26 45
0 0 1 0	2 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	33 42 55 57 83	7 11 6 3 6	0 2 2 1 4	6 7 11 19 14	2 3 1 2 1	15 23 20 25 25	48 65 75 82 108
2 1 2 1 1	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	113 148 107 77 49	7 4 3 3 2	0 0 0 0	5 14 20 17 14	1 0 1 1 0	13 18 24 21 16	126 166 131 98 65
1 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	38 22 21 8 5	1 1 1 2 1	0 0 2 1 0	9 5 4 1 2	0 0 1 0	10 6 8 4 3	48 28 29 12 8
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	5 5 0 6	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 4 1 2	0 2 0 0	1 7 1 2	6 12 1 8
.0	9	1	914	70	18	174	30	292	1,206
5	24.3		29.9	23.8	24.7	30.6	16.8	25.4	27.9
0 5 5	2.2 9.2 11.4		3.6 4.1 7.7	4.2 6.4 10.6	7.2 3.1 10.3	6.4 5.3 11.7	3.2 8.7 11.9	5.1 5.8 10.9	4.2 4.8 9.0
4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

en arranged from low to high.

The same of the sa

e in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mesa County: Number of Con of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending De

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)												
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial I <u>Buildings</u> <u>E</u>					
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 3	1 0 6 5	0 1 1 6 8	1 5 6 12 29	1 4 4 11 39	3 11 11 35 84	0 0 2 0 2					
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 26 46 104	9 24 27 36 52	6 28 20 22 19	35 27 28 24 15	39 33 36 34 22	94 117 137 162 212	4 2 4 2 4					
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	207 283 226 123 95	48 50 34 30 14	9 14 6 1 0	15 8 1 2 5	21 12 13 8 2	300 367 280 164 116	4 5 2 4 3					
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	64 39 32 19 11	16 5 4 1 0	3 4 0 0	2 1 1 0 3	4 2 1 4 3	89 51 38 24 17	2 0 2 2					
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	7 4 2 3	2 1 0 3	1 2 0 1	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 4	12 7 3 11	1 1 0 3					
Total Cases	1,304	369	152	222	298	2,345	51					
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	28.8	24.8	21.4	22.9	28.1	29.1					
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.2 5.9	3.7 4.2 7.9	3.7 3.9 7.6	3.3 4.6 7.9	4.1 5.1 9.2	3.2 3.9 7.1	5.7 9.2 14.9					
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4					

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrab. Assessed value  $\underline{\text{in }1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in t

veyances by Size o, Measure of Variation by Class of Property cember 31, 1960

ndustrial uildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agrie With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	wral Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 11 13 35 87	0 1 3 7 16	1 2 5 7 4	0 2 6 11 17	0 7 15 11	1 12 29 36 48	4 23 42 71 135
2 0 1 2 2	1 0 0 0	101 119 142 166 218	17 34 22 21 18	4 8 5 2 8	16 18 21 30 29	3 11 1 7 7	40 71 49 60 62	141 190 191 226 280
3 0 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	307 372 283 171 120	22 19 8 8 7	2 2 2 1 0	15 28 32 29 23	2 1 1 1 0	41 50 43 39 30	348 422 326 210 150
0 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	91 51 41 27 19	7 7 4 2 ì	0 0 2 1 0	15 11 8 5 3	0 1 2 1 0	22 10 16 9 4	113 70 57 36 23
O 1 O 1	0 0 0	13 9 3 15	3 2 2 1	0 2 0 1	1 4 1 4	0 3 0 1	4 11 3 7	17 20 6 22
19	2	2,417	232	5 <b>9</b>	329	86	706	3,123
27.0		28.3	25.3	20.5	29.7	17.9	25.6	27.2
2.2 8.5 10.7		3.8 5.7 9.5		4.5 7.1 11.6	6.5 5.8 12.3	4.0 7.7 11.7	5.0 6.2 11.2	4.4 5.9 10.3
4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

ranged from low to high. the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Proj

â.

b.

100

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 4
Total Cases	8	4	12
Average Sales Ratio (%)	41.4	16.6	19.7
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	13.4 21.1 34.5		6.4 76.6 83.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	26.3	72.7	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

יתו
;i(
1 <b>e</b>
le(
т,

<u>B</u>1

anc

the

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 0	1 O 1 1 O	1 1 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	O 3 1 1 3	O O 1 O	0 3 2 1 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 4 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 4 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 8	0 0 0 2	0 1 1 10
Total Cases	28	7	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)	39.3	14.3	17.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	12.6 27.6 40.2		2.2 54.6 56.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	26.3	72.7	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rafall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 1½ Years Ending

	O <sub>1</sub>	ne-Family Dwe	ellings by	Age Class (	(years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 2 3	0 2 3 2	0 2 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 3 5 6	0 4 6 4 3	2 2 1 0	1 1 1 1 2	0 0 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0	2 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	22	28	10	15	2
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.0	25.1	17.7	19.1	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.2 4.9	2.8 4.8 7.6	1.4 2.8 4.2	5.9 5.4 11.3	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	0.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

ber of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

rs)	All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Total	Total
<u>ver 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Rural	County
0 2 0 0	0 5 7 4 4	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 8 5 4	1 2 1 0 0	1 7 9 5 4
0 0 0 0	3 9 11 10 11	1 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	4 9 11 13 11	0 1 0 1	4 10 11 14 12
0 0 0 0	3 5 1 0 1	<b>2</b> 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	6 6 1 0 1	1 0 1 0	7 6 2 0 1
0 0 0	O 1 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 3 2 0 0
0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 <b>2</b> 0 0
2	77	11	2	90	10	100
	21.3	28.0		23.7	23.0	23.3
	3.4 3.8 7.2	7.7 10.1 17.8		4.9 6.1 11.0	8.5 9.9 18.4	6.3 7.8 14.1
0.1	22.3	16.8	11.8	50.9	47.3	98.3

all when arranged from low to high.
ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Moffat County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending I

	C	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Comm Buil	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 1 2 0 0	0 0 3 2 0	0 0 0 6 7	0 3 4 3 1	1 3 0 0	2 7 9 11 8		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 8 8 7	3 9 12 11 9	3 4 2 0	4 1 4 2 2	1 0 0 0	12 17 26 21 18		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 3 2 0 1	6 3 3 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	10 9 5 2 4		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 2 0 4		
Total Cases	42	73	23	27	6	171		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.3	25.6	18.1	20.7	14.1	22.4	31	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.9 5.6	3.4 4.3 7.7	2.2 2.5 4.7	6.7 3.6 10.4	3.8 4.9 8.7	4.1 3.6 7.7	8 11 20	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	1.0	22.3	16	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whe b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

ber of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property nding December 31, 1960

Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land Without <u>Impts.</u>	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	2 7 10 12 9	2 1 1 0	2 1 4 2 1	0 1 0 0 1	1 0 1 0	5 3 6 3 2	7 10 16 15
1 1 1 3 1	0 0 0 0	13 18 27 24 19	1 2 0 0 2	2 3 2 4 1	0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0	3 6 2 4 5	16 24 29 28 24
2 0 1 1	3 1 0 0	15 10 6 3 5	1 2 3 2 0	4 2 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	5 4 3 4 1	20 14 9 7 6
0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	2 3 0 0	3 6 2 0
0 2 1 2	0 0 0	0 4 1 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 4 1 6
22	4	197	18	33	6	4	61	258
31.7		26.4	20.7	21.9	20.9		23.1	24.7
8.7 11.3 20.0		4.8 5.0 9.8	5.7 11.6 17.3	6.7 7.5 14.2	3.9 6.6 10.5		9.4 10.0 19.4	7.2 7.6 14.8
16.8	11.8	50.9	12.7	3.9	10.1	20.6	47.3	98.3

l when arranged from low to high.

! value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat and Proportion of Assesed Valu for the 1½ Years Ending I

	One	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	lass (years	(1)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 2 1 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 3 2 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 6 12 13	3 0 3 1 3	0 2 2 1 2	1 0 1 0	1 1 1 3 0	5 6 12 18 18
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	18 5 5 1 1	3 2 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	24 9 7 2 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0
Total Cases	65	19	11	10	11	116
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.8	26.3	24.9	21.3	24.1	25.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.4 2.0 4.4	3.8 3.9 7.7	3.1 3.7 6.8	6.3 7.7 14.0	4.6 4.1 8.7	3.7 3.8 7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.0	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

Imber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation esed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

<u>11</u>	Commercia Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 3 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 2 3	0 4 1 0 4	0 4 1 0 1	0 0 3 1 0	0 8 5 1 5	0 8 8 <b>3</b> 8
5 6 12 18 18	0 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	5 7 12 20 19	1 0 1 0 1	1 1 2 0 0	0 2 0 0	2 3 3 0 1	7 10 15 20 20
24 9 7 2 2	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	26 9 7 2 2	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 0 1	0 2 1 2 1	26 11 8 4 3
0 0 1 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	2 0 1 3 2
0 1 1 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 2 2 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 2 2 2
.16	9	2	127	15	13	10	38	165
·.6	33.7		27.9	17.3	22.1		18.3	21.6
7 8 5	8.5 20.1 28.6		5.1 8.5 13.6	5.4 8.7 14.1	10.5 4.3 14.8		6.4 8.1 14.5	5.9 8.2 14.1
•5	15.1	0.0	43.6	41.7	9.3	4.4	55.4	98.9

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number o of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 3½ Years Ending

	<u>One</u>	-Family [	Owellings	by Age C	lass (year	<u>s)</u>	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Comm <u>Buil</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0 4	1 1 3 1 2	1 0 3 6 6	1 1 3 4 1	3 2 9 13 14	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 5 8 18 21	5 4 11 7 4	1 6 6 4 2	6 1 3 3	5 2 6 3	18 18 29 38 31	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	20 9 5 1 2	5 6 1 3 1	2 1 0 1 1	1 1 0 2	2 2 0 2 1	30 19 7 7 7	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 2 1	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 3 1 2 3	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	2 3 1 3	
Total Cases	100	. 54	<b>3</b> 5	37	39	265	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.4	25.7	22.1	19.5	25.0	24.6	29
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 2.5 5.0	3.6 4.5 8.1	2.8 5.1 7.9	3.7 5.7 9.4	7.7 5.2 12.9	3.6 4.2 7.8	13 19 33
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.0	6,8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5	15

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whe b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

r of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ing December 31, 1960

ommercial uildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
2 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	5 3 10 15 15	0 8 - 4 5 7	5 2 6 2 2	1 4 2 5 4	1 0 2 2 2	7 14 14 14 15	12 17 24 29 30
0 1 1 2 1	0 0 1 0	18 19 31 40 32	2 4 5 3 2	1 2 0 3 0	2 2 3 0 1	0 4 0 1 0	5 12 8 7 3	23 31 39 47 35
0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	32 19 7 7 9	1 1 2 0	1 1 2 2 0	0 1 1 1 2	0 0 1 0	2 3 5 5 3	34 22 12 12 12
1 0 1 2	1 1 0 0	4 5 2 3 5	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 1	1 1 2 1	5 6 4 4 6
0 2 2 2	0 0 0 2	2 5 3 7	0 1 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 2	3 6 3 9
24	9	298	48	30	33	16	127	425
29.7		26.2	18.7	16.5	21.8	21.1	19.0	21.6
13.5 19.7 33.2		6.7 8.9 15.6	4.7 6.0 10.7	4.3 9.2 13.5	7.3 9.7 17.0	6.1 7.9 14.0	5.1 6.8 11.9	5.6 7.6 13.2
15.1	0.0	43.6	41.7	4.0	9.3	0.3	55.4	98.9

hen arranged from low to high. lue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed \for the 1\% Years Ending

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Cor Bu:		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 1 2	0 0 2 4 4	0 3 3 5 10			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 4 9	2 2 4 4 3	0 7 3 1 0	3 5 3 1 1	5 4 6 2 2	11 18 18 12 15			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 6 2 4 1	2 0 2 1 2	0 1 2 0 0	1 1 2 1	3 3 2 0 2	10 11 9 7 6			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 45 " " 43	1 0 2 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 1 3 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 3			
Total Cases	37	33	14	25	41	150			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.4	26.9	22.8	21.4	21.8	24.0	;		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 4.3 7.2	5.7 8.5 14.2	1.8 2.2 4.0	3.3 6.1 9.4	3.7 7.4 11.1	3.5 6.1 9.6			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.6	29.1			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall w b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

mber of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property nding December 31, 1960

	ommercial uildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 3 5 11	0 0 2 5 3	0 0 0 0 2	0 3 0 1 0	1 0 0 1	1 4 2 6 6	1 7 5 11 17
	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 1 0	11 18 20 13 15	6 4 5 3 1	0 0 1 2 0	4 2 4 4 3	0 1 0 1 0	10 7 10 10 4	21 25 30 23 19
,	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	10 11 9 7 6	5 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	7 3 2 2 1	17 14 11 9 7
	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 3 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	2 1 3 3 2
	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	O 1 1 7	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 1 1 10
	8	2	160	40	6	26	8	80	240
	39.5		27.7	22.0	20.5	23.1	19.6	22.0	24.3
	16.5 69.3 85.8		6.5 19.3 25.8	4.1 6.4 10.5	3.0 5.0 8.0	3.9 3.9 7.8	5.6 13.4 19.0	3.9 5.7 9.6	5.0 11.1 16.1
	13.2	2.6	44.9	34.7	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

I when arranged from low to high.

value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rand Proportion of Assessed Vafor the 3½ Years Ending

		•					
	0	ne-Family	/ Dwelling	s by Aqe	Class (yea	ars)	Comn
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8_	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Bui l
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 3	0 5 1 3 6	0 0 5 7 8	0 5 8 12 21	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 6 8 14	4 7 8 8	3 11 6 2 3	6 10 5 7 4	10 9 12 9 8	25 36 36 34 37	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	18 16 3 7 1	3 3 4 4 4	1 2 3 1 0	5 3 5 3	4 4 5 1 2	31 28 18 18 10	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 1 1 4 1	0 2 1 4 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 1 0	7 4 2 10 1	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 2 6	0 2 0 5	1 0 0 2	1 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	2 6 3 13	
Total Cases	98	69	41	68	91	367	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.2	28.7	24.5	23.5	22.4	25.4	3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.4 4.8 8.2	5.8 7.7 13.5	3.9 6.3 10.2	4.8 6.1 10.9	3.8 5.7 9.5	4.3 6.1 10.4	1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.4	6.6	29.1	:

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wib. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property g December 31, 1960

mmercial ildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 7 9 13 22	- 0 2 6 10 5	1 1 3 3	2 5 1 4 0	1 0 1 1	4 9 8 18 9	4 16 17 31 31
1 1 2 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	27 37 38 36 37	11 8 14 8 7	1 4 1 2 0	4 4 5 8 8	0 2 0 1 0	16 18 20 19 15	43 55 58 55 52
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	31 29 18 18	8 7 5 3 2	2 1 0 0	4 3 0 1 2	0 2 0 1 0	14 13 5 5 4	45 42 23 23 14
1 2 2 1 0	1 2 1 0 0	9 8 5 11 1	2 2 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	1 0 1 0	4 3 4 0 3	13 11 9 11 4
1 2 0 5	0 0 0	3 8 3 18	0 1 0 1	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 2	0 1 2 5	3 9 5 23
25	6	398	105	23	57	14	199	597
32.9		27.5	23.4	18.3	24.0	23.8	22.7	24.7
12.4 19.0 31.4		6.4 9.5 15.9	4.8 6.6 11.4	2.5 9.5 12.0	4.9 4.9 9.8	6.8 15.2 22.0	4.4 6.8 11.2	5.3 7.9 13.2
13.2	2.6	44.9	34.7	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

hen arranged from low to high. lue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Morgan County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years End:

	C	ne-Family	<u>/ Dwelling</u>	s by Age	Class (yea	All	Con			
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Bu:			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 5 6	0 1 3 7 6	0 2 4 13 14				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 2 5 16	0 1 2 8 5	0 0 2 3 1	9 15 15 13 8	11 10 8 8 3	22 28 29 37 33				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	20 24 18 20 6	8 8 6 3 4	3 2 0 0 2	2 5 1 0 3	2 3 2 3 2	35 42 27 26 17				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	5 3 2 1 1	4 1 0 1 1	0 2 0 0	2 1 0 0	0000	11 7 2 2 3				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 1 2				
Total Cases	131	54	16	89	69	359				
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.4	30.8	27.5	23.1	21.3	27.3	2			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 3.3 6.3	3.8 4.9 8.7	2.8 6.5 9.3	3.1 3.3 6.4	3.3 4.1 7.4	3.1 3.7 6.8	2			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	14.2	3.2	1.8	8.1	2.8	30.0	1			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall who. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value. Under O.1 per cent.

mber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

	0 0 1 0	0	0		Impts.	Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0	0 0 0	2 5 13 14	0 0 2 1 1	0 0 2 2 0	0 2 1 0 2	1 2 0 0 0	1 4 5 3 3	1 6 10 16 17
•	0 1 3 0 1	0 0 0 0	22 29 32 37 34	1 6 1 3 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 3 6 5	<b>o</b> 0 0 0	4 7 4 9 5	26 36 36 46 39
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	35 42 27 28 18	3 1 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 4 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	6 5 1 3	41 47 32 29 21
• .	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	12 7 2 2	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 1 0	13 9 3 3 4
	0 0 1 2	1 0 0 1	1 2 2 5	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 2 2 6
	11	5	375	24	6	38	3	71	446
	29.6		28 <b>.9</b>	23.6	14.5	26.4		22.3	24.8
	7.1 23.3 30.4		4.5 8.2 12.7	3.3 6.7 10.0	1.0 4.5 5.5	3.4 5.4 8.8		2.9 6.0 8.9	3.5 6.8 10.3
	10.1	3.8	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	0.0 <sup>c</sup>	55.4	99.2

ll when arranged from low to high.
distribution value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Morgan County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 3% Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Family 			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 1 5 12 13	0 1 4 11 9	0 2 10 24 26	0 0 0 0			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 5 5 12 38	0 2 4 11 7	1 2 2 7 1	18 32 34 17 11	17 15 12 15 9	38 56 57 62 66	0 1 0 0			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	40 50 41 49 22	12 12 12 8 4	3 2 0 0 2	8 12 4 3 7	6 8 3 7 3	69 84 60 67 38	. 0 1 2 1			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	19 9 6 4 1	7 3 0 4 3	1 2 0 0	5 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	32 17 7 9 7	0 2 1 0 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 3 0 3	0 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 0 0	2 7 1 6	3 1 0 2			
Total Cases	312	94	28	192	121	747	15			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.2	31.9	25.9	23.2	22.6	27.8	39.8			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.4 6.9	4.3 4.9 9.2	2.9 8.1 11.0	3.3 5.0 8.3	4.0 4.9 8.9	3.5 4.5 8.0	5.9 <b>9.7</b> 15.6			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	14.2	3.2	1.8	8.1	2.8	30.0	1.2			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arb. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value ir c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Conveyances by Size atio, Measure of Variation lue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>		Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 11 24 27	1 2 3 4 7	2 0 3 3	0 3 2 3 2	6 2 0 1 1	9 7 8 11 11	9 10 19 35 38
0 1 5 0 1	0 0 0 1 1	38 58 62 63 68	10 8 3 7 9	4 3 3 1 2	3 5 12 11	1 1 1 0	18 15 12 21 22	56 73 74 84 90
0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 1 0	69 85 62 69 42	8 3 6 1 4	0 1 1 0 0	9 9 6 3 3	0 0 0 0	17 13 13 4 7	86 98 75 73 49
2 1 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	34 20 10 9	5 1 2 1	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	6 4 2 3 2	40 24 12 12 11
1 1 1 4	0 0 1 1	6 9 3 13	0 1 0 0	1 1 2 2	0 0 1 4	0 0 0	1 2 3 7	7 11 6 20
26	6	794	87	31	85	15	218	1,012
31.7	48.9	29.6	24.3	25.0	27.7	12.2	25.0	26.9
8.7 17.3 26.0	21.9 8.6 30.5	5.3 7.5 12.8	5.3 7.7 13.0	9.0 7.5 16.5	3.7 4.9 8.6	4.4 8.3 12.7	5.4 7.2 12.6	5.4 7.3 12.7
10.1	2.6	<b>43.</b> 8	36.2	7.3	11.9	۰.o <sup>c</sup>	55.4	99.2

rranged from low to high.

n the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Otero County: Number o of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Endi

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Fa <u>Dwelli</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	. 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 1 1 2	0 0 0 3 11	0 2 1 4 14	0000	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 0 3 6 12	1 1 0 3 6	0 0 2 0 1	3 12 12 11	9 11 24 28 13	15 15 41 49 43	0 1 0 0 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	15 16 11 3 7	5 9 6 7	2 0 4 0	12 12 12 5. 4	20 16 11 14 8	54 49 47 28 26	1 0 0 2 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	5 0 0 0	9 8 4 0	1 0 2 0 0	3 4 4 1 2	8 8 2 1 1	26 20 12 2 3	1 0 1 C 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 4	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	1 4 2 5	2 5 4 11	O 1 O 1	
Total Cases	81	69	14	109	200	473	8	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.2	34.6	32.3	29.4	28.3	29.8	36.9	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.0 5.7	4.1 5.3 9.4	8.8 1.5 10.3	4.7 4.6 9.3	5.0 6.3 11.3	4.6 5.0 9.6	5.1 10.9 16.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value c. Under 0.1 per cent.

- 111 -

Conveyances by Size
Ratio, Measure of Variation
Value by Class of Property
ng December 31, 1960

A KAN

mily ngs	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	With	ural Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Tota] <u>Count</u> y
	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 5 14	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 2 1 0	1 0 2 1 1	1 4 2 0 0	3 4 6 3 1	; ; ; 15
	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	17 16 41 49 44	0 2 0 2 0	2 0 2 0 0	2 4 1 4	0 0 0 1 0	4 6 3 7 2	2] 22 44 56 46
	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0 1	55 49 48 31 29	2 4 0 2 3	0 0 1 0	2 1 4 2 1	0 0 0 0	4 5 5 4 4	59 54 53 35 35
:	0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	27 21 13 2 5	0 0 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 4 1	27 21 17
	1 0 1 4	0 0 0	3 6 5 16	0 0 0 3	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 6	22 22
	17	1	499	24	11	30	9	74	573
	39.5		31.8	34.1	19.1	26.2	13.6	31.0	31.5
	8.0 19.3 27.3		5.2 7.8 13.0	5.1 9.2 14.3	5.2 11.5 16.7	6.0 6.6 12.6	3.0 2.8 5.8	5.2 9.6 14.8	5.2 8.5 13.7
	12.5	1.8	58.0	35.2	4.9	1.0	-c-	41.1	99.0

arranged from low to high. in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Otero County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the 3½ Years Endin

	One	-Family D	<u>wellings</u>	by Age	<u>Class (ye</u>		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u>29<b>-</b>48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Multi-FamDwellin
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 3	O 1 1 0 1	0 2 2 2 4	0 2 4 4 16	0 6 8 6 24	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 5 10 14	2 2 1 5 10	0 2 3 2 4	7 10 20 25 17	19 24 48 42 29	30 40 77 84 74	0 1 2 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	27 26 21 16 19	12 15 18 22 18	6 2 5 0 2	23 29 33 14 11	46 37 20 25 15	114 109 97 77 65	1 0 0 2 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	10 5 3 2 1	12 11 9 2 0	2 1 4 1	10 7 10 8 3	16 17 6 6 4	50 41 32 19 9	2 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	3 0 0 1	0 3 8	0 0 1 0	5 3 3 7	4 8 4 6	12 11 11 22	0 2 1 1
Total Cases	168	154	3ò	255	402	1,018	15
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.9	34.3	32.0	31.1	28.5	30.7	34.9
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.3 4.3 7.6	4.0 4.8 8.8	6.3 6.2 12.5	5.8 5.5 11.3	5.2 6.3 11.5	5.0 5.5 10.5	11.0 14.3 25.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when Assessed value  $\underline{\text{in }1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value Under 0.1 per cent. a. b.

Conveyances by Size tio, Measure of Variation ue by Class of Property December 31, 1960

y Commercial <a href="Buildings">Buildings</a>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 6 8 8 <b>2</b> 5	0 0 0 1 0	2 0 2 3 0	1 0 4 2 4	1 4 2 0 0	4 4 8 6 4	4 10 16 14 29
2 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	32 42 79 85 75	3 8 5 2 3	5 0 3 1 2	5 6 8 5	0 0 0 1 1	13 13 14 12 11	45 55 93 97 86
0 0 1 1 3	0 1 0 0	115 110 98 80 69	4 10 4 6 6	1 0 2 0 0	5 4 6 2 2	0 0 0	10 14 12 8 8	125 124 110 88 77
0 2 0 0 4	0 1 0 1	52 44 33 20 13	1 2 4 3 5	0 0 0 0	3 2 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	4 5 6 3 6	56 49 39 23 19
1 1 1 12	0 0 0 1	13 14 13 36	2 2 0 5	0 0 1 1	0 0 3 2	0 0 1 1	2 2 5 9	15 16 18 45
32	5	1,070	76	24	71	12	183	1,253
45.1		33.3	32.8	19.5	27.4	25.1	30.2	31.9
9.5 29.3 38.8		5.8 10.4 16.2	6.9 10.2 17.1	4.2 8.7 12.9	6.7 6.0 12.7	14.1 8.9 23.0	6.4 9.8 16.2	6.0 10.2 16.2
12.5	1.8	58.0	35.2	4.9	1.0	-c-	41.1	99.0

rranged from low to high.
In the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18_	1 0 1 2 1	0 3 1 1 2	1 3 2 3 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 3 0	0 1 1 1 0	1 3 4 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 4 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 4 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 2 0 1	0 0 0	1 2 0 1
Total Cases	24	11	35
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.6	17.0	19.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	7.8 10.4 18.2		5.6 6.6 12.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	30.6	68.3	98.9

<sup>a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the</sup> Legislative Council.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 5 3 1	1 3 1 2 2	2 3 6 5 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 2 6 5 1	3 5 12 2 0	6 7 18 7 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 6 3 2 1	2 0 1 1	5 6 4 3 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 1 0	0 0 1 3 0	1 1 2 4 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 2 0 5	0 0 0 4	2 2 0 9
Total Cases	55	44	99
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.5	19.2	21.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.7 9.1 15.8		1.5 11.3 12.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	30.6	68.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rati fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Con of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proporation of Assessed Value for the 1½ Year

		One-Famil	y Dwelling	s by Age C	Class (years	)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	A1 <u>Ag</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 3 1	0 0 1 1 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 0	0 2 1 1 0	1 0 2 1 0	0 1 1 1	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 2	
Total Cases	5	. 6	10	15	10	4
Average Sales Ratio (%)	-	24.2	22.3	23.1	31.1	23.
Measure of Variationa Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	-	5.7 5.3 11.0	1.8 12.7 14.5	7.6 15.9 23.5	10.1 1.9 12.0	5. 8. 14.
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whe

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

er of Conveyances by Size eles Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property 1½ Year Period

<u>ars)</u>	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Rural With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
	0 1 4 3 4	0 0 0 0	0 1 4 3 4	0 2 2 4 2	0 2 6 5 3	1 0 0 0 1	1 4 8 9 6	1 5 12 12 10
	3 3 5 3 2	0 0 0	3 3 5 3 2	1 1 2 0 <b>4</b>	3 9 4 11 5	0 0 0 0	4 10 6 11 9	7 13 11 14 11
	4 3 2 1 0	1 1 0 0	5 4 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	3 1 4 0	2 0 0 0	5 2 <b>4</b> 0 1	10 6 6 1 2
	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 2 0	0 3 2 0 0
	0 0 0 6	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 7	0 1 1 0	0 4 0 7	0 0 0	0 5 1 7	0 5 1 14
	46	4	50	22	70	4	96	146
	23.4	-	25.6	21.2	23.7	-	27.2	26.9
	5.8 8.7 14.5	- - -	5.9 9.9 15.8	6.4 6.0 12.4	4.7 8.5 13.2	-	8.2 2.0 10.2	7.8 3.6 11.4
	13.1	4.4	17.5	8.8	6.7	55.9	71.4	88.9

fall when arranged from low to high.

sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales I and Proportion of Assessed Variation for the 3½ Years Ending

	0	ne-Famil	y Dwelling	s by Age	Class (ye	ars)	C
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Com <u>Bui</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 3 2 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 4 5 5	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	3 1 1 1	1 3 3 1 0	2 1 3 1 2	0 1 1 2 3	6 6 9 5 7	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 1 1 2 0	1 1 1 0	1 2 1 2 1	5 5 3 5	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 2 0 0	1 1 2 0 0	3 1 0 0	4 5 2 2 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 5	0 0 0 6	0 0 0 12	
Total Cases	7	14	17	28	26	92	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	24.2	23.9	24.7	34.3	25.9	3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	9.1 11.9 21.0	5.9 4.3 10.2	3.1 10.9 14.0	5.7 17.1 22.8	8.0 6.7 14.7	6.2 10.2 16.4	3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.1	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall whb. Assessed value  $\underline{\text{in } 1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 4 5 5	0 3 1 4 3	3 1 0 1 1	1 3 3 4 3	2 4 10 6 4	6 11 14 15 11	7 12 18 20 16
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 6 9 5 7	1 1 2 2	0 1 0 0	1 4 3 4 4	5 13 5 14 9	7 19 9 20 15	13 25 18 25 22
1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 6 3 5 2	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 1	8 3 6 0 3	11 5 7 0 4	17 11 10 5 6
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 5 2 2	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 3 2 1 1	2 4 2 1 1	6 9 4 3 1
0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 14	1 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 3 2 0	0 5 1 11	1 8 4 11	1 9 4 25
6	1	99	21	9	41	117	188	287
30.4		26.8	22.7	15.0	22.2	24.1	22.3	23.1
1.4 37.1 38.5		5.1 15.9 21.0	8.1 3.1 11.2	6.2 11.0 17.2	6.6 7.3 13.9	4.8 9.5 14.3	7.5 4.6 12.1	7.1 6.5 13.6
4.1	0.3	17.5	53.2	2.7	8.8	6.7	71.4	88.9

ll when arranged from low to high. d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of P for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 196

	0	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ss (years)	1
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Age
Under 10  10 and " 12  12 " " 14  14 " " 16  16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 3 2	0 1 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 1 4 0 1	1 0 3 1	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 2 0 1 2	1 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 0 0	
Total Cases	12	11	4	16	13	å
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2	30.2		19.5	28.4	23
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	5.2 5.0 10.2	4.7 7.0 11.7		3.4 3.5 6.9	4.9 18.1 23.0	4 5 10
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12:

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed we to the Legislative Council.

nces by Size ure of Variation ss of Property 31, 1960

<u>)</u> 8	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	0 1 3 4 3	0 0 0 0	0 - 1 3 4 3	1 0 0 2 0	1 1 3 6 3
	5 4 4 5 5	0 0 1 0	5 4 5 5 5	1 1 2 0	6 5 7 5 5
	3 3 2 1 4	0 0 0 0	3 3 2 1 4	0 2 0 0	3 5 2 1 4
	2 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 1	2 1 1 1	0 0 1 0	2 1 2 1 1
	0 4 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 4 0 0	0 1 0	1 5 0 0
	56	3	59	11	70
	23.3		24.4	20.9	21.5
	4.8 5.4 10.2		4.5 10.4 14.9	3.0 7.0 10.0	3.2 7.7 10.9
	12.2	14.4	26.6	73.2	99.7

essed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Phillips County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years Ending

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 1 7 8	0 2 2 0 0	0 <b>3</b> 6 8 9	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 0 1 4	0 1 0 1 2	1 0 1 0 2	9 6 10 6 6	1 2 1 3 3	12 11 12 11 17	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 2 5 1 3	1 0 2 1 2	0 1 0 0	1 3 0 0 2	1 1 0 0	4 7 7 2 8	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	6 2 1 2 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 4 0 1	
Total Cases	22	16	10	63	22	133	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	30.1	30.3	21.7	25.1	24.8	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.4 4.2 7.6	4.3 8.1 12.4	11.3 8.2 19.5	4.0 4.1 8.1	4.6 11.9 16.5	4.5 5.6 10.1	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12.2	

a. Range in percentage points winthin which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in <u>1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

of Conveyances by Size Ratio, Measure of Variation de Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 6 8 10	0 0 0 2 1	0 1 2 12 5	1 0 0 0	1 1 2 14 6	1 4 8 22 16
0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	12 11 13 11 17	4 2 2 1 3	7 5 3 0	0 0 0 0	11 7 5 1 3	23 18 18 12 20
1 0 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	5 7 8 4 10	0 2 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 3 0 0	7 10 8 4 10
0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	6 3 1 2 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	6 3 2 2
4 0 2 3	0 0 0 0	4 4 2 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	4 5 2 4
17	2	152	18	37	3	58	210
36.8		27.8	22.4	17.2		19.3	20.6
2.7 20.1 22.8		4.0 9.2 13.2	3.6 4.6 8.2	2.2 3.1 5.3		2.7 3.9 6.6	2.9 4.6 7.5
6.1	8.3	26.6	31.5	39.9	1.8	73.2	99.7

all when arranged from low to high. d value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed \for the 1½ Years Endi

					, ,
		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ass (years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 4	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 9 4 4 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 2 4 3 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0
Total Cases	24	3	1	2	29
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.5				14.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.2 6.7				3.0 3.8 6.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

umber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property s Ending December 31, 1960

years	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
2 9 4 4 3	2 11 5 5 8	0 0 0 1 3	2 11 5 6 11	0 0 0 0 1	17 1 1 1	0 0 0 1 0	17 1 1 2 2	19 12 6 8 13
1 2 0 0 1	6 4 5 3 2	0 0 1 0	6 4 6 3 3	0 2 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 0 0	7 7 8 3 3
1 0 0 0 0	3 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	3 2 1 0
1 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0
) ) 1 )	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0
9	59	7	66	6	24	1	31	97
2	17.2		20.0	22.4	7.0		17.7	18.7
) 3 3	3.4 3.6 7.0		5.3 3.6 8.9	1.9 1.1 3.0	0.9 5.0 5.9		1.6 2.3 3.9	3.4 3.4 6.8
3	25.5	19.8	45.2	24.2	3.4	25.1	52.7	97.9

s fall when arranged from low to high. sssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Cof Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)						Camer
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Comma Buila
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 3 5	0 0 0 3 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	7 14 10 12 8	7 16 11 18 14	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	9 6 8 5 4	2 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 5 6 1 1	14 12 16 6 5	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 2 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 0 0 0	6 4 2 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	
Total Cases	50	10	1	2	71	134	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.3	22.4			14.7	17.7	23
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.9 7.4	6.7 0.1 6.8			3.2 4.8 8.0	3.5 4.2 7.7	6 3 10
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	18

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wheb. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

of Conveyances by Size s Ratio, Measure of Variation Value by Class of Property ing December 31, 1960

ommercial uildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	wral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0 2	7 17 11 20 17	0 0 2 2 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 3	22 3 1 1 4	23 3 4 4 7	30 20 15 24 24
1 1 2 0 3	0 0 0 0	15 13 18 6 8	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 4 2 0 0	1 4 0 1 1	1 9 3 2 2	16 22 21 8 10
1 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	7 5 3 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	2 0 2 1 1	9 5 5 2 1
1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 0 0	05000	2 5 1 0
0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	2 1 0 1	2 1 1 1
14	4	152	6	7	14	46	73	225
23.9		19.9	15.3	28.4	21.6	13.0	17.6	18.6
6.9 3.8 10.7		4.7 4.2 8.9	1.8 5.7 7.5	10.9 7.6 18.5	3.9 6.9 10.8	6.5 8.2 14.7	3.1 6.4 9.5	3.8 5.4 9.2
18.2	1.6	45.2	24.2	0.9	24.2	3.4	52.7	97.9

hen arranged from low to high. lue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: Number of Co of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rational Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending Do

	On	e-Family	<u>Dwellings</u>	by Age (	Class (year	rs)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Con Ages Bu
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 3 4	0 0 2 2 3	0 0 3 5 9
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 5 4 7	2 7 4 6 10	1 1 2 1 0	2 2 5 12 12	6 10 8 6 8	13 22 24 29 37
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 1 1 0 1	5 2 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 5 3 0 1	7 0 3 2 2	16 8 8 3 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	1 2 0 0 2	2 3 0 0	4 5 0 1 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 2	1 0 0 1	1 4 0 5
Total Cases	28	43	9	58	66	204
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.5	25.5	23.8	25.7	24.6	25.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 3.0 5.3	3.1 3.4 6.5	3.3 13.7 17.0	2.7 5.3 8.0	3.9 4.7 8.6	3.0 4.8 7.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.9	5 <b>.2</b>	1.4	6.1	5.5	23.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall wb. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

per of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

ll jes	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 3 5 9	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	- 0 0 4 5 9	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 4 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 4 1	0 0 7 9 10
13 22 24 29 37	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	13 22 25 29 37	0 0 0 2	3 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 3	16 22 25 29 40
16 8 8 3 4	1 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 1	18 9 8 4 6	0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1	18 9 10 4 7
4 5 0 1 3	1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	5 6 0 2 5	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	6 6 0 3 5
1 4 0 5	0 1 3 5	0 0 0	1 5 3 10	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 5 3 11
204	17	5	226	9	11	0	20	246
5.2	48.4		30.7	36.2	15.5		27.6	28.8
3.0 4.8 7.8	9.9 13.5 23.4		4.7 6.4 11.1	9.0 4.3 13.3	1.7 3.3 5.0		5.9 3.9 9.8	5.5 4.8 10.3
3.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8	0.0	59.4	99.1

s fall when arranged from low to high. essed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: of Sales Ratio, Averag and Proportion of As for t

	Or	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	Over 48 A
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 2 5 6	0 1 2 2 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 3 8 4 17	3 13 7 9 18	2 2 3 4 1	3 7 11 17 18	11 12 12 13 15
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	10 9 4 2 3	14 6 3 1 1	3 2 0 1 1	3 5 6 3 3	11 3 6 5 4
38 "	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 1 0	2 3 0 1 3	4 5 3 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 5	0 1 0 0	2 2 0 10	1 2 1 1
Total Cases	65	87	24	114	118
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.9	27.0	25.3	26.3	25.9 2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 3.7 6.0	3.9 2.9 6.8	3.4 5.7 9.1	3.7 8.0 11.7	4.1 7.3 11.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the rat
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total a Legislative Council.

ounty: Number of Conveyances by Size , Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation on of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Year Period

<u>(year</u> er 48	s) All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 1 2 2 3	1 3 4 10 11	0 0 1 0	0 0 - 0 0	1 3 5 10 11	0 0 3 1 4	3 2 7 10 9	0 0 0 0	3 2 10 11 13	4 5 15 21 24
11 12 12 13 15	21 37 41 47 69	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	21 38 43 47 69	1 0 1 1 2	9 7 3 5 2	0 0 0 0	10 7 4 6 4	31 45 47 53 73
11 3 6 5 4	41 25 19 12 12	2 2 0 0 2	1 0 0 1 1	44 27 19 13 15	0 2 5 3 2	0 3 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 6 3 2	44 32 25 16 17
4 5 3 0 1	7 8 5 2 5	2 2 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	9 10 5 5 7	1 2 1 3 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 3 1 3 1	10 13 6 8 8
1 2 1 1	3 8 1 16	0 1 3 6	0 0 0 1	3 9 4 23	1 3 0 2	1 0 1 1	0 0 0	2 3 1 3	5 12 5 26
.18	408	26	7	441	38	66	0	104	54 <b>5</b>
5.9	26.4	41.8		30.5	33.7	18.2		28.1	29.1
1.1 7.3 1.4	3.5 5.7 9.2	5.3 17.4 22.7		4.2 9.1 13.3	10.7 9.3 20.0	3.3 5.5 8.8		8.0 8.0 16.0	6.6 8.3 14.9
5.5	23.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8	0.0	59.4	99.1

the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

Pueblo County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales F and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 1½ Years Ending

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Fami: 				
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 3 1 5 4	1 4 1 3 5	1 0 5 4 2	1 7 10 35 34	9 32 33 52 40	13 46 60 99 85	0 0 0 0 2				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	11 44 75 154 198	16 32 51 48 56	6 10 9 11 4	26 36 23 17 12	27 32 33 18 16	86 154 191 248 286	1 3 2 1 1				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	208 122 59 16	33 13 15 12 3	4 1 0 2 4	6 6 3 0 1	5 9 4 7 1	256 151 81 37 16	0 2 2 2 1				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	8 6 4 1 2	2 11 5 1 3	1 0 0 1 1	3 3 0 1 1	3 3 1 2 0	17 23 10 6 7	20000				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	4 4 1 10	6 1 2 2	0 0 0	0 1 1 1	1 0 0	11 6 4 15	0 1 1 0				
Total Cases	948	326	67	238	329	1,908	21				
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	25.9	23.0	19.5	18.6	23.5	29.3				
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 2.5 5.0	3.1 3.3 6.9	3.4 4.1 7.5	3.7 4.2 7.9	4.3 4.7 9.0	3.3 3.6 6.9	7.8 6.6 14.4				
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5				

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when as b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value is

Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

*									
i-Family ellings	Commercial Buildings	Induatrial Buildings	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	wral Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 2 0	2 0 0 2 0	15 46 61 103 87	3 1 4 1 3	1 0 1 1 0	4 3 5 4 13	18 10 20 11 12	26 14 30 17 28	41 60 91 120 115
1 3 2 1	5 2 3 1 2	0 0 1 0	92 159 197 250 289	2 0 0 2 2	1 2 0 0	14 9 8 6 5	11 21 7 5 4	28 32 15 13 12	120 191 212 263 301
0 2 2 2 1	2 1 2 3 1	0 1 0 0	258 155 85 42 18	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	6 4 2 4 2	7 16 3 5 2	13 21 6 9 5	271 176 91 51 23
2 0 0 0 0	2 1 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	21 24 12 / 9	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 2 0 2 0	2 1 0 2 0	6 3 0 4 0	27 27 12 11 9
0 1 1 0	1 1 2 3	1 0 0	13 8 7 18	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 0 2	14 9 7 20
21	40	7	1,976	20	9	100	157	286	2,262
1.3	32.8	25.6	25.4	15.9	16.3	23.0	18.8	20.8	23.6
.8	10.9 11.4 22.3	15.4 3.4 18.8	5.1 5.1 10.2	3.4 9.1 12.5	1.8 12.2 14.0	5.6 6.3 11.9	5.7 8.6 14.3	4.9 7.2 12.1	5.0 5.9 10.9
.5	15.5	1.7	ó5.7	7.2	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

en arranged from low to high. ue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pueblo County: Number of Co of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rati and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending Do

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	- <u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29*-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Aqes</u>	Multi-Family C <u>Dwellings</u> <u>E</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 5 4 9	3 5 4 11 11	2 1 10 14 8	5 21 44 72 77	31 70 81 119 110	42 102 143 225 215	0 0 0 0 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	25 88 198 409 468	39 75 109 101 109	16 28 22 22 16	80 85 67 47 31	55 76 59 33 31	215 352 455 612 655	2 5 2 4 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	466 305 150 66 26	71 31 32 23 9	7 8 1 9	22 20 14 1 3	18 15 14 13 7	584 379 211 112 53	3 4 3 5 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	22 16 13 4 13	3 18 6 4 5	2 0 0 2 2	5. 4 2 2 1	6 4 3 3 2	38 42 24 15 23	3 1 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	7 9 4 18	7 3 4 7	1 0 0 1	1 3 1 2	3 0 1 1	19 15 10 29	0 1 2 0
Total Cases	2,335	690	180	610	755	4,570	46
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.8	25.7	<b>23.</b> 3	20.3	18.2	23.6	29,1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.7 5.3	3.3 3.7 7.0	4.1 4.2 8.3	4.0 4.0 8.0	4.1 4.6 8.7	3.4 3.6 7.0	5.6 6.5 12.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm a.}$  Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arran b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in th

ber of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation sed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

-Family <u>lings</u>	Commercial Buildings	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 0 0 3	0 0 2 4 3	2 0 0 2 0	44 102 145 231 221	3 2 5 6 8	8 1 2 1 5	5 7 12 7 17	39 13 30 11 16	55 23 49 25 46	99 125 194 256 267
2 5 2 4 . 5	8 4 7 3 6	2 0 1 2 0	227 361 465 621 666	5 3 4 8 8	4 9 2 0 1	21 13 14 9	14 28 13 9 4	44 53 33 26 22	271 414 498 647 688
3 4 3 5	6 3 5 9 6	0 1 1 0 0	593 387 220 126 61	1 2 1 0 2	0 0 1 1 0	11 5 4 5 3	8 16 3 8 2	20 23 9 14 7	613 410 229 140 68
3 1 1 0 0	4 3 3 3 3	1 0 0 0	46 46 28 19 26	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 0 1	6 3 1 2 0	2 1 0 2 0	9 5 2 4 1	55 51 30 22 27
) 1 2 )	1 3 4 6	1 0 0 2	21 19 16 37	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 0 3	0 1 0 1	1 3 0 5	22 22 16 42
5	96	15	4,727	59	39	160	221	479	5,206
	32.3	29.1	25.4	18.8	13.3	22.1	17.2	21.0	23.8
	9.4 9.0 18.4	12.9 8.4 21.3	4.7 4.8 9.5	3.2 7.3 10.5	0.5 8.5 9.0	5.0 7.0 12.0	5.0 7.2 12.2	4.4 7.1 11.5	4.7 5.7 10.4
	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.2	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

arranged from low to high. in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of V and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Pa for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

	<u>Oı</u>	ne-Family D	wellings	by Age Class (years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	All <u>29-48 Over 48 Ages</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 5 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	1 1 3 4 2	0 0 1 0	1 0 2 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 1 0	3 2 2 3 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 2 0 0 3 0 0 3 0 0 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 0 0	1 2 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 2 0 0 3 0 0 1
Total Cases	б	30	y=.	5 2 4
Average Sales Ratio (%)	36.0	28.1	uit bid⊛	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 13.0 16.0	4.4 6.9 11.3	. O sub tus Aud 100 gain 100 dan 400	4.° *** *** 3, **** *** 1.3,
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	6.4	7.8	2.6	<b>3.3</b> 2.9 23.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratics fall wh b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value. to the Legislative Council.

nces by Size ure of Variation ss of Property 31, 1960

All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 3	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 3
2 2 6 5 2	0 0 0 0	2 2 6 5 3	0000	2 2 6 5 3
4 2 3 5 0	0 0 0 0	4 2 3 5 0	0 0 0 0	4 2 3 5 0
1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	1 1 0 1
2 3 1 2	0 0 1 0	2 3 2 2	0 0 1 0	2 3 3 2
46	<u> </u>	48	G.	. 52
28.3	1/25 with time with	28.8	24.6	26.0
4.2 9.3 13.5	use who have use use of the control	4.6 9.8 14.4	20- 30- 40- 40- 20- 40- 40- 40- 20- 40- 40- 40-	1.8 12.6 14.4
23.1	13.2	36.3	61.2	97.5

:all when arranged from low to high.
;ed value in the county as reported by the assessor

Rio Blanco County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Raand Proportion of Assessed Val for the 3½ Years Ending D

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ss (years)	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 3 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 3 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 4 2	2 2 3 4 3	0 1 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 1 3 1	11 7 5 7 2	0 0 0 2 0	1 2 0 0	2 0 0 0	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 1 0	1 4 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	•
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 1 0	1 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2	
Total Cases	22	62	9	9	11	:
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	29.8	20.4	20.6	20.7	2!
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.8 8.7 12.5	3.5 5.8 9.3	4.9 8.5 13.4	4.1 8.8 12.9	4.7 8.5 13.2	: 1:
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	6.4	7.8	2.6	3.3	2.9	2;

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall  $\mathbf{w}$ 

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

of Conveyances by Size latio, Measure of Variation lue by Class of Property December 31, 1960.

All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agricul With Impts.	ture Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
0 0 2 7 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 7 6	0 0 2 0	1 3 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 4 0 0	1 3 6 7 6
3 6 6 9	0 1 0 0	3 7 6 9 7	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1	1 1 0 1 0	1 2 1 1	4 9 7 10 8
17 10 6 12 3	0 0 0 0	17 11 6 12 3	1 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0	18 11 7 13 3
2 5 0 1	0 0 0 0 2	2 5 0 1 3	0 2 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 3 0 2 0	2 8 0 3 3
2 3 2 4	1 0 3 2	3 3 5 6	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1	3 3 6 7
113	11	124	6	12	6	24	148
25.5		<b>32.</b> 5	22.0	15.3		21.3	24.5
4.0 7.8 11.8		8.9 11.9 20.8	8.5 18.5 27.0	4.0 18.7 22.7		7.5 18.4 25.9	7.9 16.6 24.5
23.1	13.2	36.3	50.5	4.0	6.7	61.2	97.5

when arranged from low to high.

alue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Grande County: Numb of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 1½ Years Endi

	On	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age (	Class (yea	rs)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Cc Ages E
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	00000	10000	00-100	0 0 1 0 0	0 1 0 2	1 1 3 0 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 1	90000	0 2 1 4 3	2 1 4 3 2	3 3 6 8 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 4 1 0 2	4 2 3 3 0	0 1 0 0 0	6 10 11	1 6 4 5 2	54895
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 :0	00100	00010	1 0 1 0	2 1 2 3 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 0 . 0 0	0 0 0	0440	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 2 1 3
Total Cases	13	18	6	22	39	98
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.0	31.3	31.8	27.0	28.1	29.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.9 2.9 4.8	2.5 3.7 6.2	12.8 20.7 33.5	2.2 2.8 5.0	4.7 6.8 11.5	3.9 5.7 9.6
Prop. of Assid. Value <sup>b</sup>	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.7	20.3

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed  $\nu$ 

mber of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

		<b>A11</b>		Agric.	Misc. Rural Land	All		
Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	With <u>Impts.</u>	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0		0 1 1 1	1 1 4 1 4
1 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 4 7 9 7	1 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1		5 8 10 9
0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	15 14 9 9 5	1 1 0 1	3 1 2 0	0 0	2 4 3 2 1	
1 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 2 4 1	1 0 0 1 1	2 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 0 0 1 2	6 2 2 5 3
0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 1 4	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 1 4
7	6	0	111	11	12	5	28	139
29.6	35.8		29.5	32.7	31.5		<b>32.</b> 5	31.4
7.6 · 15.4 23.0	12.8 9.2 22.0		5.2 8.9 14.1	5.2 10.8 16.0	1.9 5.5 7.4		4.8 10.0 14.8	4.9 9.6 14.5
10.2	0.6	0.8	31.9	54.2	8.9	4.3	67.4	99.3

ll when arranged from low to high.
i value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

(2)

1 3 · 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

Rio Grande County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratic and Proportion of Assessed Value for the 3½ Years Ending Dec

	One-	Family D	wellings)	by Age Cl	ass (year	<u>:s)</u>	Commonais I T
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial I Buildings <u>B</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	- 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 1 1 2	1 3 2 2	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 2 1	2 0 0 1 2	4 4 8 8 12	3 6 6 5 7	9 10 16 16 24	3 1 0 3 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	5 12 6 2 4	7 4 4 5 2	3 1 1 0 1	7 4 0 3 1	9 13 6 9 7	31 34 17 19 15	1 0 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 1 1 0	2 3 0 2 0	1 0 1 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	2 4 3 1 3	9 10 6 5 3	3 3 1 0 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 2	0 1 1 2	0 1 1 2	0 0 1 2	1 2 1 6	1 4 4 14	1 1 0 2
Total Cases	42	38	18	59	99	256	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.2	33.7	31.3	26.3	30.5	30.5	34.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 4.6 7.4	4.4 5.8 10.2	4.8 11.7 16.5	3.1 3.5 6.6	4.6 7.0 11.6	3.8 5.8 9.6	9.2 12.3 21.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.7	20.3	10.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when array b. Assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in  $\underline{1957}$ 

mber of Conveyances By Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property eding December 31, 1960

	Industrial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural (	Total <u>County</u>
0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 4 2 3	0 1 0 1 5	0 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 4 6	1 2 5 6 9
3 1 0 3 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	12 11 17 19 25	3 2 1 1 3	0 1 2 1 4	1 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	4 4 3 4 7	16 15 20 23 32
1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	32 34 18 20 15	1 1 3 3 2	1 4 3 2 1	1 0 1 0	2 0 0 1 0	5 7 6 3	37 39 25 26 18
3 3 1 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	12 14 7 6 5	3 0 1 4 1	3 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	6 2 2 4 2	18 16 9 10 7
1 1 2	1 0 0 0	0	3 5 4 16	4 2 2 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	4 3 2 4	7 8 6 20
Š	8	0	286	45	29	10	5	89	375
2	26.5		31.5	33.6	31.2	25.9		32.9	32.4
3	6.5 16.5 23.0		5.5 8.0 13.5	12.4 11.8 24.2	5.1 6.3 11.4	6.9 7.1 14.0		10.5 11.0 21.5	8.8 10.1 18.9
	. 0.6	0.8	31.9	54.2	8.9	0.3	4.0	67.4	99.3

arranged from low to high. in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio of Assess for the 1½ Years El

		)ne-Fami	ly Dwellings	by Age	Class (yea	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 2 2	0 0 2 0 0	2 0 2 1 1	0 3 0 2 1	5 4 4 5 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	3 3 1 2 0	2 0 2 1 0	4 3 3 1 1	4 1 1 0 1	14 7 7 4 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	2 1 2 2 i	1 2 0 1 0	56333
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	2 1 0 6	1 1 0 14	0 1 1 0	4 3 1 21
Total Cases	3	25	18	44	19	109
Average Sales Ratio (%)		33.0	39.3	36.9	30.6	32.3
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		5.8 7.8 13.6	9.8 22.6 32.4	7.4 26.1 33.5	4.8 9.5 14.3	5.8 14.5 20.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.3	4.6	2.5	6.5	2.0	19.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fab. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

mber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

<u>-</u>	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0 4
5 4 4 5 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 4 4 5 5	1 0 1 2 0	2 1 2 0 0	0 0 2 0 0	1 1 1 1	4 2 6 3 1	9 6 10 8 6
4 7 7 4 2	1 0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	15 7 9 5 <b>3</b>	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 0 1	2 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	5 4 2 0 1	20 11 11 5 4
5 5 3 3	0 1 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	5 7 4 5 3	0 1 3 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 3 3	5 9 7 8 3
4 3 1	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	4 4 1 23	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 3	4 4 1 26
9	12	0	121	12	11	10		41	162
3	40.3		34.6	27.9	23.9	29.5	23.4	27.7	29.4
3 5 3	6.1 8.7 14.8		5.9 12.8 18.7	4.1 16.1 20.2	2.5 6.8 9.3	6.0 3.5 9.5	5,4 17.7 24.1	4.2 14.7 18.9	4.6 14.2 18.8
)	9.1	0.7	28.8	59.1	5.1	4.0	2.5	70.6	99.4

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Co of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Rat and Proportion of Assessed Valufor the 3½ Years Ending D

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)								
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 0 0 2	2 2 0 2 4	0 0 3 1 0	3 0 2 6 10	0 3 0 2 3	6 5 11 19	0 2 0 0		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 3 1 1 3	5 3 6 7 4	3 2 4 1 0	8 13 6 6 4	5 2 3 0 2	22 23 20 15 13	1 1 2 1 1		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 1 3 0 0	3 2 1 1 2	0 2 1 3 1	2 5 3 4 2	2 3 0 2 1	9 13 8 10 6	0 3 1 2 0		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 O 1 O	3 0 1 7	2 1 1 15	3 4 0 27	0 2 1 7	9 7 4 56	0 2 1 4		
Total Cases	20	55	41	111	39	266	21		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.6	34.7	41.3	35.3	34.6	35.3	39.9		
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.9 9.0 10.9	5.2 9.8 15.0	9.2 23.9 33.1	6.4 18.8 25.2	6.3 15.6 21.9	5.6 14.9 20.5	6.7 14.5 21.2		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.3	4.6	2.5	6.5	2.0	19.0	9.1		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrangle. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the second second control of total assessed value in the second control of the ratios fall when arrange points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrange points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrange points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrange points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrange points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arrange points within the second control of the ratio of the

Conveyances by Size
Ratio, Measure of Variation
Value by Class of Property
ng December 31, 1960

al 15	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	With	without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4	0 0 1 1	0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 1 0 0 1	0 2 3 1 5	0 2 4 1 9
	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 8 5 11 19	1 3 2 3 3	3 5 3 2 3	0 2 2 0 0	1 1 1 1	5 11 8 6 7	11 19 13 17 26
	Ò O O O	0 0 0 1	23 24 22 17 14	2 4 1 0 1	3 3 2 1 1	5 3 1 0	0 1 0 0	10 11 4 1 2	33 35 26 18 16
	0 0 3 0	0 1 0 0	9 17 12 12 6	1 5 3 0	0 1 0 2 0	0 3 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 7 5 0	10 24 17 17 6
	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	9 10 5 61	0 0 0 1	0 2 0 1	1 0 0 2	0 0 0 2	1 2 0 6	10 12 5 67
	6	2	<b>29</b> 5	34	<b>3</b> 5	22	12	103	398
	42.9		36.8	27.8	23.0	29.7	27.2	27.5	29.6
	0.6 9.6 10.2		5.9 14.7 20.6	4.3 14.4 18.7	1.9 10.2 12.1	/.2 10.6 17.8	7.2 13.8 21.0	4.4 13.8 18.2	4.7 14.1 18.8
	0.6	0.1	28.8	59.1	5.1	4.0	2.5	70.6	99.4

nged from low to high.
e county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: Num of Sales Ratio, Average Sa and Proportion of Assess for the 1½ Years E

		. <b>.</b>	5 11.		,
	-	One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Class	(year
<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 1	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1	
Total Cases	1	9	5	9	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		30.9		33.1	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		5.7 22.7 28.4		4.6 14.4 19.0	 
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value  $\underline{in}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed

Number of Conveyances by Size e Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation sessed Value by Class of Property rs Ending December 31, 1960

<u>/ears)</u> Over 48	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With <u>Impts.</u>	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0
0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2 2	0 0 1 0	1 1 2 2	0 1 0 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 3	1 2 1 2 5
0 0 0 0 2	2 2 1 1 4	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 1 5	0 0 2 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 2 0 0	3 2 3 1 5
1 0 0 0 0	3 1 1 0 1	1 0 0 0	4 1 1 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	5 1 1 0 1
0 0 0	1 1 0 3	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 3	0 1 0 1	1 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	3 2 0 4
3	27	4	31	9	3	12	43
	34.0		33.6	34.0		31.1	31.6
	3.7 13.3 17.0		4.5 13.4 17.9	7.2 8.4 15.6		6.0 9.0 15.0	5.8 9.7 15.5
2.0	13.4	6.6	20.0	69.7	9.8	79.5	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: of Sales Ratio, Averag and Proportion of As for t

	One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48 A
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	0 2 0 2 3	0 0 1 1 2	1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 0 2	0 3 2 0 0	2 0 0 0	2 2 2 3 3	0 0 1 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 4	0 1 1 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0 2
Total Cases	3	19	12	23	8
Average Sales Ratio (%)		28.4	27.3	32.8	39.2
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		2.7 10.8 13.5	1.5 14.7 16.2	4.0 8.9 12.9	3.4 16.0 1 19.4 1
Prop. of Ass'd. Valued	1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.0 1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratio.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total as the Legislative Council.

y: Number of Conveyances by Size rage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Assessed Value by Class of Property r the 3½ Year Period

:s) All Ages	Commerical Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1	0 2 1 0 2
1 3 1 4 7	1 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 3 4 7	0 1 0 0 3	0 0 1 1	0 2 0 1 0	0 3 1 2 4	2 6 4 6 11
4 5 6 4 7	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	4 5 6 4 9	0 0 3 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 4 0 0	5 5 10 4 9
4 1 3 0 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	5 2 3 0 2	2 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 0 0 2 1	8 2 3 2 3
1 1 8	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	2 1 1 10	1 2 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 0 3	4 3 1 13
65	8	2	75	17	10	4	31	106
32.0	39.2		34.1	40.0	22.2		36.6	36.1
3.0 10.3 13.3	16.2 31.6 47.8		6.8 16.3 23.1	8.8 11.9 20.7	5.2 10.8 16.0		8.0 11.5 19.5	7.7 12.5 20.2
13.4	6.6	0.0	20.0	69.7	7.5	2.4	79.5	99.5

tios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 3 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	3 3 0 0 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 2 2 5 1	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 5 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 0 3
Total Cases	30	0	30
average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	20 MM MM MM	28.1
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.4 7.7 16.1		8.4 7.7 16.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	30.8	68.1	98.9

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>	ales
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 3 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3 2	0 and 2 " 4 " 6 "
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 3 3 2 2	0 0 0 0	3 3 3 2 2	8 " 0 " 22 " 24 '
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 4 2 5 1	0 0 0 0	2 4 2 5 1	28 30 32 34 36
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 2 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 1 2 0	38 40 42 44 46
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 2 1 8	1 0 0	2 2 1 8	48 50 55 60
Total Cases	53	1	54	Tc
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.6		32.1	Ä
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.8 13.2 22.0		9.3 12.7 22.0	A. A
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	30.8	68.1	98.9	Track to the control of the control

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratifall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 3 4 4	0 0 1 0	1 0 4 4 4	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 4 5 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 4 2 3 2	0 0 0 0	3 4 2 3 2	0 2 0 1 0	3 6 2 4 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 2 2 1	0 0 1 0	1 1 3 2 1	0 1 0 0	1 2 3 2 1
48 " " 50 , 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	O 1 1 7	0 0 0 3	0 1 1 10	0 1 0 0	0 2 1 10
Total Cases	42	5	47	6	53
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.9		32.4	33.9	33.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.7 13.6 18.3		2.7 20.0 22.7	3.4 7.1 10.5	3.3 10.0 13.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

atic a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Counci

1u S 25 San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 3½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1 2	0 1 0 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 1 3 4 7.	0 0 2 0	3 1 5 4 7	0 1 1 2 1	3 2 6 6 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 4 4 4	0 1 0 0	4 5 4 4	0 3 0 1 0	4 8 4 5 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 2 3 3 1	0 0 1 0	2 2 4 3 1	2 2 0 1 0	4 4 4 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 4 4 18	0 0 1 5	0 4 5 23	0 2 0 4	0 6 5 27
Total Cases	76	10	86	24	110
Average Sales Ratio (%)	34.9		35.4	28.2	29.5
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.9 23.9 30.8		6.7 28.9 35.6	4.4 19.9 24.3	4.8 21.5 26.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ration fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value  $\frac{1}{10}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assevalue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative  $^{CC}$ 

Sedgwick County: of Sales Ratio, Average and Proportion of Ass for the 1½ Year

tal inty

4

065 27 10

.8 .5 .3

.5

.7

itio ass ·e ( One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	<u>c</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 2	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 5	1 0 0 2 0	4 4 5 0 4	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 1 0	2 2 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 2	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	C 0 1 2	
Total Cases	3	15	7	30	
Average Sales Ratio (%)		30.5	26.1	23.7	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		3.8 3.6 7.4	5.7 7.4 13.1	3.9 11.3 15.2	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7	

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios Assessed value  $\underline{in}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed.

Number of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

years) ver 48	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 3	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 2 1 3	0 0 0 1 3	2 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 3	2 0 2 2 6
0 0 2 0 1	5 4 9 3 10	0 1 0 1 1	5 5 9 4 11	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 1 0	5 7 10 4 12
0 0 0 0 1	3 3 1 4 3	0 0 0 0	3 3 1 4 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 1 4 4
0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0
0 0 0 1	0 0 1 4	0 1 3	û 0 2 7	û 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 7
5	60	9	69	6	4	10	79
	26.7		29.8	17.7		19.5	21.9
	4.5 8.1 12.6		7.4 19.7 27.1	1.4 3.3 4.7		6.3 4.0 10.3	6.5 7.8 14.3
0.8	13.4	18.4	31.9	26.6	41.1	67.7	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County of Sales Ratio, Averand Proportion of A for the 3½ Y

		One-I	Family D	wellings h	oy Age Cla	ss (year:
	Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>
	Under 10 10 " " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 3 3 3	0 0 0 0
	18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 3 7	2 0 0 2 1	8 5 9 6 5	2 0 3 3 2
,	28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 3 1 3 0	2 2 1 2 0	1 0 1 2 0	3 2 3 1 2	0 0 0 0
	38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
	48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 1 2 2	0 1 0 2
	Total Cases	11	23	12	61	14
	Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.3	29.6	26.4	23.7	29.9
	Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.0 3.5 5.5	3.4 4.5 7.9	4.4 8.6 13.0	4.1 7.1 11.2	6.9 7.1 14.0
	Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.2	2.3	1.4	5.7	0.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the range. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total Legislative Council.

nty: Number of Conveyances by Size verage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation f Assessed Value by Class of Property ½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

<u>ars</u> 48	AII Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	. Land Without `Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 0 3 3 4	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 4 4	2 0 0 2 3	1 1 1 2 6	1 2 0 0	4 3 1 4 9	4 3 4 8 13
	12 5 15 14 16	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	12 6 15 15 17	1 2 1 1 2	2 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 2 1 2	15 10 17 16 19
	7 7 6 8 3	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	7 7 6 8 4	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	9 7 6 8 4
	4 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	4 3 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	4 4 0 0 1
	1 2 2 6	0 0 0 3	0 1 2 2	1 3 4 11	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 3 4 11
	121	6	8	135	15	17	4	<b>3</b> 6	171
	26.8	26.2		29.9	21.1	17.1		19.3	21.8
	3.8 6.1 9.9	5.2 42.6 47.8		4.4 18.9 23.3	5.3 3.4 8.7	1.9 2.7 4.6		3.8 3.2 7.0	4.0 6.8 10.8
	13.4	6.5	11.9	31.9	40.9	26.6	0.2	67.7	99.5

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

l assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variatic and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the 1½ Years Ending December 31, 1960

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Tot <u>Rur</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 2	1 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 2 2 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 2 3 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	C 11 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	1 0 2 1 0	0 0 0 2 0	1 0 2 3 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	00000
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 1 5	0 1 0 0	0 1 1 5	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1
Total Cases	22	3	25	9	5	14
Average Sales Ratio (%;	26.3		28.3	21.0		27.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.3 33.3 39.6		5.5 26.6 32.1	5.5 12.9 18.4		8.9 13.2 22.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	8.5	7.0	15.5	15.2	68.7	83.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall low to high.

b. Assessed value <u>in 1957</u> by class of property as per cent of total assessed v as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

## iation erty

Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
1 0 0 2	1 2 1 2 4
0 1 0 1 0	1 2 1 2 4 0 2 2 4 1
1 0 2 3 0 0 0 0	1 1 4 4 0
0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0
0 1 0 1	0 2 1 6
14	39
27.6	27.7
8.9 13.2 22.1	8.4 15.0 23.4
83.9	99.4

all when arranged from ed value in the county

Summit County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales and Proportion of Assessed V for the 3½ Years Ending

		One-Family	Dwllings	by Age Cl	ass (years)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 4 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 3 3 4 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 11
Total Cases	7	5	2	2	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)	40.4				24.6
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.9 12.7 17.6				7.1 39.5 46.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	2.1	0.8	0.4	0.7	4.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

mber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation ssed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

<u>ears)</u> 48	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Rur With Impts.	wal Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 2 1 4 4	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 4 4	0 1 0 0	6 2 2 0 1	1 0 0 0	7 3 2 0	7 5 3 4 6
	0 4 5 4 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 4 5 5 2	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 1. 1	0 1 0 0	0 3 0 1 1	0 7 5 6 3
	0 2 1 4 2	0 0 1 0	0 2 2 4 2	0 0 1 2 0	2 0 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 4 4 0	2 2 6 8 2
	3 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	3 1 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	4 2 1 1 2
	0 3 1 11	0 1 0 0	0 4 1 11	0 1 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 2 1 2	0 6 2 13
	56	4	60	10	25	2	37	97
	28.7		29.8	29.8	30.3		23.7	24.5
	6.6 28.3 34.9		5.3 24.3 29.6	9.3 22.7 32.0	20.0 4.5 24.5		9.0 15.7 24.7	8.7 16.6 25.3
	8.5	7.0	15.5	54.6	15.2	14.1	83.9	99.4

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Numbe of Sales Ratio, Average Sal and Proportion of Assesse for the 1½ Years En

	On	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class	(years

Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 1 0 3 2	0 0 0 1 3	0 1 1 1	1 3 2 0 1	0 2 2 6 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 3 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 3 2 1
28 "" " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	1 3 2 2 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0	1 1 0 3 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 2	2 1 2 1
Total Cases	14	7	7	15	42
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.3	19.0	16.8	16.6	23.4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below A <b>ver</b> age Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.3 2.4 8.7	2.5 6.6 9.1	3.3 50.3 53.6	4.7 25.4 30.1	6.9 15.6 22.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios : b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assess

nber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation Sed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

irs) 18	All Ages	Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
	2 7 5 11 9	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 7 6 11 9	1 2 6 0 4	0 1 2 1 1	1 3 8 1 5	3 10 14 12 14
•	2 3 8 2 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 4 8 2 3	0 13 1 1 2	0 1 0 1 0	0 14 1 2 2	2 18 9 4 5
	3 4 3 2 2	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 4 3 2 2	2 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 0	6 4 5 2 2
	1 1 4 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 4 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 4 1
	2 2 2 5	0 0 0 3	0 0 0	2 2 2 8	0 2 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 3 0 0	3 5 2 8
	85	7	0	92	36	9	45	137
	20.2	28.6		22.3	19.8		19.4	20.4
	5.2 17.4 22.6	5.6 109.5 115.1		5.3 40.7 46.0	4.8 4.2 9.0		4.3 13.8 18.1	4.7 22.8 27.5
	23.5	11.3	0.1	34.8	9.5	50.6	60.1	94.9

fall when arranged from low to high. sed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

 $\mathbb{R}_{\ell}$ 

Teller County:
of Sales Ratio, Average
and Proportion of Asfor the 3½ Yea:

	0	ne-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (ye	ars)
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	11A <u>4P6</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	2 2 0 3 3	0 0 0 2 7	0 1 1 3 2	2 5 4 2 1	1 7 8 10 7	1 1 2 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 3 6 2 1	0 1 1 0 2	0 3 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	3 5 8 6	1.
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	2 0 1 0	4 6 11 5 8	1:
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	1 2 4 4 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 1 2	1 1 0 3	5 2 4 11	18
Total Cases	33	16	17	28	128	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.7	19.5	19.7	17.9	25.6	21.
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.9 4.2 9.1	2.9 7.5 10.4	4.2 19.8 24.0	5.9 21.1 27.0	7.9 14.2 22.1	5.4 11.3 17.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3	23.

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios b. Assessed value  $\underline{\text{in }}$  1957 by class of property as per cent of total asses

y: Number of Conveyances by Size rerage Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation of Assessed Value by Class of Property Years Ending December 31, 1960

All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agirc. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>
. 5 15 13 20 20	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	5 16 14 20 22	4 3 5 0 1	5 1 0 0	1 3 1 3 1	10 5 6 3 5	20 12 12 6 8
7 13 18 9	3 1 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	10 14 19 11	1 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 3 0	15 1 1 2	7 17 1 6 2
7 7 13 6 11	2 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	9 7 13 6 13	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 0	2 0 2 1
1 2 6 5 3	1 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 8 5 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
6 3 5 18	0 1 0 5	0 0 0	6 4 5 23	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 3 0 0
222	26	0	248	16	9	19	58	102
21.6	24.8		22.5	16.4	14.5	15.9	15.0	15.9
5.4 11.8 17.2	5.1 18.7 23.8		5.3 13.9 19.2		12.3 7.0 19.3	2.6 8.9 11.5	3.2 6.4 9.6	4.5 4.3 8.8
23.5	11.3	0.1	34.8	26.1	1.3	23.2	9.5	60.1

ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

l assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council

Washington County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratiand Proportion of Assessed Value for the 1½ Years Ending Dec

	0	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	A <u>A</u>			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 8	0 1 1 1				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 1 2 2 1	1 0 2 0 0				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 2 2 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	2 0 5 1 0	1 2 0 0				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 0				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1				
Total Cases	13	6	1	30	11				
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.0	28.9		22.3	20.7	24			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.9 7.4	3.9 10.1 14.0	 	5.2 7.2 12.4	5.2 9.4 14.6	1			
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.5	0.6				

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall w b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed va

mber of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation de Value by Class of Property ling December 31, 1960.

<u>as)</u>	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agricult With Impts.	ure Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	0 2 3 2 9	0 0 0	0 2 3 2 9	0 1 0 1	0 1 3 1 4	0 0 0 0	0 2 3 2 5	0 4 6 4 14
·	6 1 4 5 2	0 0 0 0 2	6 1 4 5 4	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 3 1	7 2 4 8 5
	6 4 7 4 0	0 0 0 0	6 4 7 4 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 1 0 0	8 5 8 4 0
	2 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0
	2 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	3 0 0 1	9 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 0 0 1
	61	3	64	9	13	0	22	86
	24.9		27.5	20.3	17.4	**-	18.5	19.2
	4.6 7.1 11.7		4.8 10.5 15.3	3.8 9.2 13.0	3.9 7.4 11.3		3.9 8.1 12.0	4.0 8.2 12.2
	6.2	4.5	10.7	37.1	51.7	0.0	88.8	99.5

fall when arranged from low to high. ssed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Washington County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proporition of Assessed V for the 3½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)									
<u>Sales Ratio Class(%)</u> <u>1-8</u> <u>9-18</u> <u>19-28</u> <u>29-48</u> <u>Ove</u>									
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 6 2 11	0 1 1 1				
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 0 3 2	0 0 1 2 2	1 0 0 0	5 4 4 6 4	1 0 2 1 0				
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 5 3 2 2	1 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 1	5 0 6 1 2	2 4 0 0				
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 3 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0				
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1				
Total Cases	27	13	3	62	15				
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.0	29.4		21.8	22.1				
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.2 5.2 9.4	4.2 7.0 11.2		5.0 6.8 11.8	4.6 8.5 13.1				
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.5	0.6				

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed v

per of Conveyances by Size es Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960.

<u>s)</u>	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agricult With Impts.	ture Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
	1 4 7 3 12	0 0 0 0	1 4 7 3 12	1 2 0 4 1	1 2 5 8 12	0 0 0 0	2 4 5 12 13	3 8 12 15 25
	8 6 7 12 8	0 0 0 0 2	8 6 7 12 10	6 4 3 3 4	8 5 11 3 5	0 0 0 0	14 9 14 6 9	22 15 21 18 19
	10 9 10 6 4	0 0 0 0	10 9 10 6 4	3 4 1 2 1	1 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	4 6 1 2 2	14 15 11 8 6
	3 3 1 0	0 0 1 0	3 3 2 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	4 4 2 1 0
	3 0 0 3	1 0 0 2	4 0 0 5	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	5 1 0 5
	120	6	126	42	66	0	108	234
	24.8		28.1	22.0	19.6		20.5	21.1
	4.2 7.1 11.3		2.9 13.0 15.9	3.2 8.2 11.4	3.5 3.9 7.4		3.3 5.6 8.9	3.3 6.2 9.5
	6.2	4.5	10.7	37.1	51.7	0.0	88.8	99.5

when arranged from low to high.

144

alue in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales F and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 1½ Years Ending

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye		16.143 F*1
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19<b>-</b>28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Famil <u>Dwellings</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 1	2 3 12 10 14	4 4 12 20 20	6 8 24 33 36	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 5 22 54 100	3 4 12 7 33	6 3 5 10 7	20 18 28 16 14	22 24 20 23 24	52 54 87 110 178	0 0 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	115 141 126 44 18	25 22 23 11 8	8 6 8 1 3	13 9 9 5 1	14 10 13 5 8	175 188 179 66 38	0 1 1 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	12 5 2 1 0	4 5 4 2 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 1 1	2 3 3 0	20 13 11 6 2	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	2 0 1 3	2 2 1 8	0 0 0
Total Cases	650	168	61	181	239	1,299	6
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.3	30.0	27.4	22.8	23.2	26.7	34.4
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.5 5.2	3.2 3.5 6.7	3.4 4.5 7.9	4.4 5.0 9.4	5.2 5.7 10.9	3.8 4.1 7.9	3.4 4.6 8.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

er of Conveyances by Size ales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Value by Class of Property anding December 31, 1960

Family	Commercial	Industrial	Total	Agric.	<u>Land</u> Without	Misc. Ru With	ral Land Without	Total	Total
lings	Buildings	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	6 9 24 35 38	4 2 6 5 10	1 0 2 2 3	0 5 6 2 8	3 1 0 3 4	8 8 14 12 25	14 17 38 47 63
0 0 1 0 0	2 0 4 1 5	0 1 1 0 0	54 55 93 111 183	7 7 8 5 5	3 1 5 1	2 0 6 5 5	4 3 13 1 0	16 11 32 12 11	70 66 125 123 194
0 1 1 1 0	2 2 3 3 3	1 0 0 0	178 191 183 70 41	5 9 1 4 3	0 0 0 0	5 25 11 5 0	1 3 1 0	11 37 13 9 3	189 228 196 79 44
1 0 0 0	2 1 1 2 0	0 0 0 1	23 15 12 9 2	2 1 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 2 1 1	26 17 13 10 3
0 0 0	1 1 3 13	0 2 2 2	3 5 6 23	1 2 1 2	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1	1 3 1 5	4 8 7 28
5	54	10	1,369	92	20	90	38	240	1,609
\$	34.5	41.5	28.5	24.6	18.2	28.1	18.7	23.8	25.4
5	7.9 24.7 32.6	12.5 17.3 29.8	4.7 8.2 12.9	7.4 6.5 13.9	2.3 5.0 7.3	8.6 3.8 12.4	1.5 4.9 6.4	6.6 6.0 12.6	6.0 6.7 12.7
}	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

en arranged from low to high. He in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of of Sales Ratio, Average Sales R and Proportion of Assessed Va for the 3½ Years Ending

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)										
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Multi-Family <u>Dwellings</u>			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 2 0 1 3	0 0 0 4 3	1 0 3 0	5 8 22 26 31	5 8 18 34 40	11 19 40 68 77	0 0 0 0			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 15 35 88 167	8 11 21 16 49	11 6 13 17	43 45 55 44 36	46 38 47 48 42	112 115 171 213 305	0 0 1 0			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	236 259 216 116 49	52 55 41 35 22	13 11 15 1 4	37 22 16 14 3	28 21 30 18 13	366 368 318 184 91	0 1 1 1 0			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	32 15 7 4 5	14 10 8 5 2	1 1 4 0 2	2 2 3 3 2	7 7 5 6 1	56 35 27 18 12	1 0 0 0			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 3	3 2 1 2	1 0 0 0	0 1 1 6	2 2 1 5	6 6 4 16	0 0 0			
Total Cases	1,259	364	116	427	472	2,638	6			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.6	30.8	27.3	23.2	23.7	27.1	34.4			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.6 5.2	3.7 3.9 7.6	4.2 4.7 8.9	4.5 5.1 9.6	5.1 6.3 11.4	3.9 4.3 8.2	3.4 4.6 8.0			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when ar b. Assessed value  $\underline{\text{in }1957}$  by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in

Conveyances by Size
Ratio, Measure of Variation
Calue by Class of Property
g December 31, 1960

у —	Commercial Buildings	Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rus With Impts.	ral <u>Land</u> Without <u>Impts.</u>	Total Rural	Total County
	2 1 0 3 4	0 1 0 1	13 21 40 72 82	6 9 16 14 24	6 5 10 8 10	2 5 8 7 11	5 1 1 3 7	19 20 35 32 52	32 41 75 104 134
	6 2 4 6 8	0 2 3 0	118 119 179 219 313	14 27 27 29 12	9 6 6 2 5	4 2 9 6 7	4 10 15 4 2	31 45 57 41 26	149 164 236 260 339
	2 5 8 7 4	1 0 3 3 0	369 374 330 195 95	24 27 11 15 9	1 1 1 2 0	8 27 12 8 3	2 6 1 0	35 61 25 25 12	404 435 355 220 107
	5 7 3 2 3	0 0 1 2	62 43 31 22 16	6 8 4 3 2	1 3 1 2 0	3 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	10 12 5 6 3	72 55 36 28 19
	2 1 4 25	0 2 4 3	8 9 12 44	3 4 1 2	2 2 0 1	0 2 0 3	0 1 0 1	5 9 1 7	13 18 13 51
	114	28	2,786	297	84	130	63	574	3,360
	33.6	37.8	28.7	25.2	19.5	27.0	19.2	24.4	25.8
	7.5 22.0 29.5	14.5 16.9 31.4	4.8 8.0 12.8	6.4 6.3 12.7	5.5 6.9 12.4	9.1 5.2 14.3	1.6 5.4 7.0	6.5 6.3 12.8	5.9 6.9 12.8
	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

nged from low to high. he county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Yuma County: Number of C of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ra and Proportion of Assessed Val for the 1½ Years Ending D

	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19<b>-</b>28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Comme <u>Build</u>	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 3 2	0 1 1 5 2	0 2 2 8 5	1	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0 3	0 0 1 2 0	1 0 0 0	6 6 4 3 5	2 0 4 0 3	9 7 10 5 11		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 2 1	2 3 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 1	0 0 1 1 0	2 3 6 7 2		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 2		
Total Cases	9	13	2	40	2.2	36		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.5	29.9		22.4	20.3	23.6	47.	
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 6.3 9.3	2.1 4.0 6.1		3.4 5.2 8.6	4.9 6.7 11.6	3.3 5.4 8.7	6. 1. 8.	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value

mber of Conveyances by Size Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation essed Value by Class of Property Ending December 31, 1960

-	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	c. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
)	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 8 5	0 0 0 1 4	0 0 4 1 2	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 5 3 7	1 2 7 11 12
1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	9 7 11 5 11	1 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0	2 1 2 0 3	11 8 13 5 14
*	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 6 7 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 6 7 3
	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 1 2
	2 0 0 1	0 0 0	3 2 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 2 0 3
	6	0	92	8	11	6	2	27	119
	47.9		28.7	18.4	13.3	23.8		16.7	18.4
	6.9 1.6 8.5		4.0 4.6 8.6	1.9 2.6 4.5		8.8 3.9 12.7		1.3 4.2 5.5	1.6 4.3 5.9
	8.0	0.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	0.0	76.9	99.8

<sup>.</sup>ll when arranged from low to high. ed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

zď

Yuma County: Number of Sales Ratio, Average Sale and Proportion of Assessed for the 3½ Years Endi

	C	One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years)							
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages			
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 5 10 6	0 3 3 11 4	1 4 9 22 11			
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 2 0 3	0 1 1 2 1	2 2 0 0	9 11 7 12 6	4 5 5 3 3	16 20 15 17 13			
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 0 3 2 1	4 4 2 3 0	0 0 0 0	3 5 3 5 2	1 2 2 2 2 3	11 11 10 12 6			
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 45 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 1	1 0 1 3 1			
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 1 4	0 1 0 0	3 2 1 4			
Total Cases	17	23	5	94	55	194			
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	31.1		22.1	20.2	23.3			
Measure of Variation <sup>a</sup> Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.9 5.1 10.0	3.8 3.7 7.5		4.0 7.6 11.6	4.8 8.5 13.3	4.0 6.6 10.6			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value <sup>b</sup>	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7			

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value.

r of Conveyances by Size les Ratio, Measure of Variation ed Value by Class of Property ding December 31, 1960

Commercial <u>Buildings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 9 22 12	0 3 6 5 12	3 2 12 8 3	0 0 2 1 0	2 3 1 1 2	5 8 21 15 17	6 12 30 37 29
0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	16 20 17 17 14	4 3 3 3 3	3 3 4 1	1 1 1 1 5	0 0 0 0	8 7 7 8 9	24 27 24 25 23
0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	11 11 10 12 8	1 0 0	2 0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 0 1	14 12 10 13
0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 3 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 2	1 2 1 3 4
2 0 0 3	0 0 0	5 2 1 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	6 2 1 7
13	0	207	45	.47	14	9	115	32 <b>2</b>
36.4		26.7	18.1	14.8	23.0		17.1	18.6
10.3 19.8 30.1		5.7 10.1 15.8	3.2 4.4 7.6	1.7 8.0 9.7	4.0 4.4 8.4		2.7 5.5 8.2	3.2 6.3 9.5
8.0	0.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	0.0	76.9	99.8

when arranged from low to high. value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

