University of Denver

Digital Commons @ DU

All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council)

Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications

1963

0077 Sales Ratio Study, Part II

Colorado Legislative Council

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc_all

Recommended Citation

Colorado Legislative Council, "0077 Sales Ratio Study, Part II" (1963). *All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council*). 85.

https://digitalcommons.du.edu/colc_all/85

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Colorado Legislative Council Research Publications at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in All Publications (Colorado Legislative Council) by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

0077 Sales Ratio Study, Part II	

Report to the Colorado General Assembly:

SALES RATIO STUDY

Part Two



COLORADO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

RESEARCH PUBLICATION NO. 77

March 1963

COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

OFFICERS
JAMES E. DONNELLY
CHAIRMAN
GUY POE
VICE CHAIRMAN

STAFF
LYLE C. KYLE
DIRECTOR
HARRY O. LAWSON
SCHIOR ANALYST
PHILLIP E. JONES
SENIOR ANALYST
OAVIO F. MORRISSEY
RESEARCH ASSISTANY
MYRAN H. SCHLECHTE
RESEARCH ASSISTANY



LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

ROOM 341, STATE CAPITOL DENVER 2, COLORADO ACOMA 2-9911 - EXTENSION 2285

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

MEMBERS
LT. GOV. ROBERT L. KNOUS
BEN. CHARLES E. BENNELT
SEN, JAMES E. DONNELLY
SEN, FLOYD OLIVER
SEN. RANGER ROGERS
SEN, L. T, SKIFFINGTON

SPEAKER ALBERT J. TOMSIC REP. RUTH B. CLARK REP. M. R. DOUGLASS REP. ELMER A. JOHNSON REP. JOHN L. KANE REP. G. P. LAMB REP. GUY POE

January 31, 1963

MEMBERS OF THE COLORADO GENERAL ASSEMBLY

Dear Colleagues:

Transmitted herewith is Part II of the report on the sales ratio study conducted by the Legislative Council. This report presents detailed figures for each county by class of property for the calendar year 1961 and for the three calendar years 1959-1961 combined.

This report has been prepared for the General Assembly pursuant to S.B. 30, passed in 1962 during the Second Regular Session of the Forty-third General Assembly.

Cordially,

James E. Donnelly

Chairman

FOREWORD

Senate Bill 30 passed at the Second Regular Session of the 43rd General Assembly directed the Legislative Council to report to the State Board of Education the urban sales ratio for the three calendar years 1959-1961 combined for each county in the state and for the state as a whole.

This is the second part of a two-part report on the results of the sales ratio study for the calendar year 1961 and the three calendar years 1959-1961 combined. Part I, issued in September, 1962, describes the method used in arriving at the sales ratio figures and gives the county ratio figures, the rural and urban ratio figures for each county, and the state-wide ratio by class of property.

Part II of the report presents detailed data on the sales ratio study for each of the periods described above. Included, for each county, are the number of conveyances in each property class, a frequency distribution showing the range of individual sales ratios, and the sales ratios by class of property, except in cases of inadequate data.

The Legislative Council wishes to thank the county assessors, the clerks and recorders, and other public officials, as well as many private citizens and organizations, who cooperated with the staff in gathering the information reported herein.

December 31, 1962

Lyle C. Kyle Director

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL	i
FOREWORD	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
INTRODUCTION	1
SUMMARY OF SALES RATIO DATA BY COUNTY	25
Adams County	25 27 29 31 33
Bent County Boulder County Chaffee County Cheyenne County Clear Creek County.	35 37 39 41 43
Conejos County Costilla County Crowley County Custer County Delta County	45 47 49 51 53
Denver County	55 57 59 61 63
El Paso County	65 67 69 71 73
Gunnison County	75 77 79 81 83
Kiowa County	85 87 89 91

	Page
Las Animas County	95 97 99 101 103
Moffat County	105 107 109 111 113
Ouray County Park County Phillips County Pitkin County Prowers County.	115 117 119 121 123
Pueblo County	125 127 129 131 133
San Juan County San Miguel County Sedgwick County Summit County Teller County	135 137 139 141 143
Washington County	145 147

THE COLORADO SALES RATIO STUDY

January, 1961 Through December, 1961 and January, 1959 Through December, 1961

Part Two

Introduction

Part One of the Colorado Sales Ratio Report for the three years 1959 through 1961 sets forth (1) a brief statement concerning the methodology of the sales ratio study and (2) the results of the study for the year 1961 and for the three years 1959, 1960, and 1961 combined.

The purpose of Part Two of the report is to present the sales ratio data for each of the two periods for each county in sufficient detail to provide so far as possible a basis for effective comparison of (1) one class or parcel of property with another in each county, (2) one county with another for each class of property, and (3) the situation within each county with that in the state as a whole. For the latter purpose a brief statement concerning the state-wide picture is needed.

Contrary to the plan followed during the first two years of the study, transfers of vacant urban land have been excluded from the computation of the ratios presented in this report. Because significant differences were found to exist among the ratios for the several property classes distinguished, property transfers under conditions wherein changes of use and hence changes in classification were contemplated have been excluded from the study since its inception. The exclusion of vacant urban land is based upon the reasoning that many, perhaps the majority, of the transfers of such land, result in definite use changes. Because vacant urban land constitutes only 1.5 per cent of the total locally assessed real property on the tax rolls state-wide, this exclusion has small effect (only 0.1 of a percentage point) upon the state-wide average ratio for the three years 1959-1961 combined.

The county-wide average ratios for 1959-1961 range from a low of 14.6 per cent for Gilpin County to a high of 31.9 per cent for Rio Grande County. The middle one-third of the counties (in terms of size of the ratio) have ratios which range from 21.3 per cent to 24.8 per cent; and forty-nine of the counties have ratios that are below the state-wide average of 26.3 per cent. Among the counties having ratios above the general average are Adams, Boulder, Prowers, Routt, Mesa, Denver, and Otero.

A tolerance of five per cent of the state-wide ratio is regarded in some localities as a reasonable margin above and below the ratio within which no adjustments should be made in an equalization program. A range of this magnitude in Colorado for the three-year data extends from 25.0 per cent to 27.6 per cent (1.3 percentage points above and below 26.3 per cent). Because such a tolerance is sometimes considered reasonable, it is of interest that 53 of the counties in Colorado have ratios for the three-year period which fall outside this range and that the total assessed value of properties on the tax rolls in these counties in 1957 constituted 73.1 per cent of

total assessed value state-wide in that year (Table I). If this tolerance were extended to 10 per cent of the state-wide ratio, there would still be 39 counties with ratios falling outside the indicated range and with a combined assessed value equivalent to 50.6 per cent of the state's total.

TABLE I

Assessed Value of Locally Assessed Real Property in Colorado by Counties Grouped According to Size of the 1959-1961 Sales Ratio and Expressed as Per Cent of the 1957 State-wide Assessed Value

Sales Ratios Class (%)	Number of Counties	Proportion of Total Assessed Value
Under 17.2	4	0.7%
17.2 and under 18.5	5	1.4
18.5 and under 19.8	9	3.8
19.8 and under 21.1	3	1.5
21.1 and under 22.4	7	3.7
22.4 and under 23.7	5	1.7
23.7 and under 25.0	10	18.5
25.0 and under 26.3	6	18.0
26.3 and under 27.6	4	8.9
27.6 and under 28.9	4	4.0
28.9 and under 30.2	2	0.7
30.2 and under 31.5	2	35.3
31.5 and over	<u>2</u> 63	1.8
Total	$\overline{63}$	100.0

There are sixteen counties which have ratios for the three years 1959-1961 combined that are 25 per cent (6.575 percentage points) or more below the state-wide average; there is no county whose sales ratio is an equal amount above this average (Table II). The combined 1957 assessed value of locally assessed real property in the sixteen counties with sales ratios differing from the state-wide average by 25 per cent or more constituted only 5.2 per cent of the state-wide total assessed value for that year.

In the state as a whole in 1957, one-family dwellings accounted for 45 per cent of the total assessed value of locally assessed real property; and one-family dwellings eight years old or less accounted for more than one-fifth of the state-wide total for all property classes combined. Other proportions of the state-wide total were: commercial buildings, 16.4 per cent; all urban properties combined (including vacant urban land) 73.7 per cent; agricultural properties (with and without improvements), 18.5 per cent; and total rural, 26.3 per cent (Table III).

As shown by an examination of the measures of variation or ranges within which the middle halves of the sales ratios fall, there is greater uniformity among the ratios for one-family dwellings one to eight years old than among those for any other class of property distinguished in the study (Table III). While sales ratios for

commercial buildings are less uniform than those for other classes, urban properties as a group show somewhat greater uniformity in the assessment-sales relationship than do rural properties as a group.

As noted in the Part One report, there has been a significant decline, since this series of studies was started in 1957-1958, in the state-wide sales ratio and in the ratios for most of the counties. In the state as a whole, the ratio for rural areas has declined more than the ratio for urban areas.

For summary data by counties see Table II; and for summary data state-wide by class of property, see Table III. Detailed tables for 1961 and for 1959-1961, for each county, follow Table III.

TABLE II

Sales Ratios and Measures of Variation by Counties of Colorado:
Total, Urban, and Rural for Each of Three Periods and for Combined Periods
With Counties Arranged in the Order of Size of the Sales Ratio in the Three-Year Period, 1959-1961a

		Total Co			Tota	al Urban		Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank of Sales <u>Ratio</u>	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Fotalb Spread (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.
Gilpin July 59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	104 116	16.2 15.0		11.1	25 10	17.3 15.5	21.0 32.9	79 106	16.0 14.8	8,8 5.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	200 241	16.7 14.6	1	11.0 9.2	44 37	18.2 16.1	18.5 22.2	156 204	16.4 14.3	9.5 6.8
Jackson July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	19 9	C C			18 7	36.3 19.1	24.5 5.3	1 2	c	
July '57- Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	57 3 6	18.6 16.3	7 2	14.9 17.1	41 28	32.9 33.6	17.8 16.6	16 8	16.8 14.4	14.6 17.2
Mineral July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	12 7	19.7 32.5		83.0 34.5	8 6	41.4 32.5	34.5 34.5	4 1	16.6 c	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	3 5 26	17.2 16.9	2 3	56.8 58.0	28 19	39.3 34.4	40.2 34.4	7	14.3 14.3	61.6
Kiowa July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	37 16	18.1 16.7	400 440 440 440	9.5 4.7	25 6	26.8 26.7	10.3 12.0	12 10	16.7 15.2	9.3 4.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	143 91	24.9 16.9	3 9	12.2 7.6	57 43	27.1 27.6	9.0 9.4	86 48	24.4 15.4	13.0

Table II (continued)

		T + 1 C				1 11 1		Total Rural			
	- :	Total Co	<u>unty</u> Rank	Totalb	101	al Urban	Total	Total			
	No. of	Sales	of	Spread	No. of	Sales	Spreadb	No. of	Sales	Spread ^b	
County and Year	Certi-	Ratio	Sales	(pct,	Certi-	Ratio	(pct,	Certi-	Ratio	(pct.	
(or Period)	<u>ficates</u>	(%)_	Ratio	pts.)	<u>ficates</u>	(%)	pts.)	<u>ficates</u>	<u>(%)</u>	pts.	
Teller											
July '59-Dec. '60	137	20.4		27.5	92	22.3	46.0	45	19.4	18.1	
Year 1961 ,	73	20.5		18.8	63	23.5	19.8	10	19.1	16.3	
July '57-Dec. '60	350	17.9	3	11.8	248	22.5	19.2	102	15.9	8.8	
Three Years '59-'61	243	17.3	5	12.4	177	22.3	23.2	66	15.3	8.1	
Clear Creek											
July '59-Dec. '60	208	19.3		17.0	72	18.3	24.9	136	20,3	8.8	
Year 1961	148	19.4		9.9	40	20.7	11.6	108	18.3	9.5	
Julv '57-Dec. '60	383	19.3	8	12.4	158	18.7	14.0	225	19.8	10.9	
Three Years '59-'61	395	17.9	6	12.4	131	19.6	15.5	264	16.6	9.9	
ura da la d											
Hinsdale July '59-Dec. '60	17	19.9		12.8	16	20.1	12.8	1	С		
Year 1961	. 3	C			3	28.7	9.0	0	С		
July '57-Dec. '60	29	20.8	14	12.0	26	21.1	12.0	3	С		
Three Years '59-'61	22	18.0	7	16.4	20	20.8	11.0	2	17.1	18.0	
Pio Blanco July '59-Dec. '60	52	26.0		14:4	48	28.8	14.4	4	24.6		
Year 1961	44	19.9			38	25.1	6.9	6	17.7	8.2	
11 157 Dec 140	140	04 5	31	04 5	124	32.5	20.8	24	21.3	25.9	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	148 106	24.5 18.3	31	24.5 22.3	92	27.1	10.2	24 14	15.4	25.1	
iniee iedis 5, 02	200	1010	J		/-						
Kit Carson											
July '59-Dec. '60	123	16.9		9.9	105	30.3	21.7	18	14.6	7.9	
Year 1961	6 5	18.0		4.7	55	31.8	10.8	10	15.5	3.7	

5 }

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			_ 1	otal Urban	T-1-3-	Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total b Spread (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio	Total _b Spread (pct. pts.
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	324 254	21.3 18.3	18 9	11.4 7.6	211 198	33.7 30.3	21.5 18.2	113 56	18.7 16.0	9.3 5.7
Pitkin July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	97 30	18.7 c		6.8	66 27	20.0 25.4	8.9 15.9	31	17.7 c	3.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	225 174	18.6 18.6	5 10	9.2 8.3	152 115	19.9 20.8	8.9 11.8	73 59	17.6 17.0	9.5 6.0
Elbert ^e July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	60 30	20.0 17.7		12.1	35 14	30.5 22.4	18.2 13.4	25 16	19.2 17.5	11.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	161 121	19.7 19.0	9 11	12.7 10.1	77 58	31.9 26.4	31.6 19.2	84 63	18.9 18.4	11.5 9.4
Yuma July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	119 72	18.4 21.0		5.9 8.5	92 54	28.7 29.1	8.6 9.8	27 18	16.7 19.4	5.5 8.3
July '57-Dec. 60 Three Years '59-'61	322 247	18.6 19.2	6 12	9.5 8.4	207 177	26.7 27.5	15.8 11.7	115 70	7.1 17.6	8.2 7.8
Baca ^e July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	100 60	18.1 16.7	 	15.4 11.0	85 44	32.2 29.7	26.6 12.7	15 16	16.3 15.5	14.0 10.8
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59- '61	259 200	20.2 19.2	11 13	10.7 12.6	169 151	29.7 30.7	20.6 24.5	90 49	18.8 17.6	9.2 10.8

Table II (continued)

		Total Co	unty		Tot	al Urban		Total Rural			
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio <u>(%)</u>	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	
Gunnison July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	122 93	18.3 17.5		9.6 8.1	101 77	27.6 22.1	9.9 11.2	21 16	15.3 15.6	9.5 4.3	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	280 256	19.7 19.3	10 14	14.9 12.1	226 207	25.3 24.6	14.0 12.8	54 49	17.5 17.2	15.3 11.9	
Washington July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	86 47	19.2 17.5		12.2	·64 31	27.5 21.4	15.3 8.8	22 16	18.5 17.2	12.0 8.5	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	234 180	21.1 19.6	15 15	9.5 9.9	126 109	28.1 27.1	15.9 18.0	108 71	20.5 18.9	8.9 9.2	
Archuleta July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	42 72	22.0 21.0		5.8	22 14	23.9	14.8 19.7	. 20 58	21.6 19.7	4.7	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	86 123	20.3 19.6	12 16	12.9 13.5	49 39	26.1 27.0	14.9 16.5	37 84	19.3 18.4	13.1	
Lincoln July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	72 61	20.8 17.1	 	9.5 6.7	61 47	22.7 30.9	11.3	11 14	20.3 15.2	9.1 4.8	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	198 177	22.5 19.7	22 17	9.2 10.2	108 125	24.9 26.8	10.3 26.3	90 52	21.9 18.4	9.1 7.2	
Ouray ^d July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	35 16	19.3 26.3		12.2 20.2	24 13	27.6 33.4	18.2 50.6	11	17.0 24.0	10.5	

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			Total Urban			Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	99 66	21.2 19.7	16 18	12.8 19.0	55 43	27.5 29.5	15.8 25.8	44 23	19.2 17.2	17.3
Moffat ^f July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	100 69	23.3 16.6		14.1 9.2	90 62	23.7 21.4	11.0	10	23.0 14.9	18.4 9.8
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	258 216	24.7 20.4	36 19	14.8 11.0	197 186	26.4 24.0	9.8 11.3	61 30	23.1 17.6	19.4 10.8
Phillips ^g July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	70 52	21.5 22.3	,	10.9	59 49	24.4 30.0	14.9 16.1	11 3	20.9	10.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59 '61	210 159	20.6 20.8	13 20	7.5 9.6	152 133	27.8 28.3	13.2 20.3	58 26	19.3 19.5	6.6 7.7
Montezuma July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	165 110	21.6 20.5		14.1	127 90	27.9 23.9	13.6 15.5	38 20	18.3 18.4	14.5
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	425 336	21.6 21.0	19 21	13.2 13.4	298 250	26.2 24.9	15.6 16.7	127 86	19.0 18.7	11.9 11.5
Las Animas ^f July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	135 104	21.6 16.3		40.3 7.9	106 74	30.4 25.9	25.2 13.0	29 30	17.7 13.9	47.7 6.5
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	436 320	23.8	3 0 2 2	26.7 22.0	339 240	32.4 32.5	27.9 22.2	97 80	19.8 16.9	26.2 21.9

Table II (continued)

		Total Co		7	Total Urban			Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spread (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Totalb Spread (pct. pts.
Lake ^d July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	97 75	22.4 20.1		12.5	8 3 58	23.2 19.9	12.9 14.7	14 17	14.5 25.2	12.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	213 194	21.8 21.8	21 23	13.3 13.8	192 161	22.9 22.4	13.1 13.6	21 33	12.1 15.1	
Fremont July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	432 268	22.5 21.9		13.1 10.0	379 205	22.1	10.1 10.7	53 63	23.1	17.7 9.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	1,022	22.7 22.0	23 24	10.4 10.4	878 740	22.7 22.4	9.8 9.1	144 160	22.6 21.5	11.4 12.2
Douglas July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	142 116	25.7 20.0		7.2 8.8	31 39	26.0 25.3	5.3 3.3	111 77	25.6 18.8	9.7 9.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	297 300	18.4 22.1	4 25	9.8 5.3	90 84	26.3 26.7	10.5 5.4	207 216	16.9 21.0	9.6 5.2
La Plata July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	359 231	21.0		13.3 10.0	259 169	21.9 24.5	11.9	100 62	20.1 18.4	14.7 10.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	846 694	22.7 22.3	24 26	11.7 11.3	591 494	23.8 24.4	8.6 8.8	255 200	21.6	14.6 13.4

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			Total Urban			Total Rural		
County and Year(or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Totalb Spreadb (pct. pts.
Grand July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	142 100	27.2 19.9		12.4	70 58	26.7 25.0	13.6 11.1	72 42	27.6 16.9	11.5 9.3
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	308 283	23.3 22.3	28 27	12.6 13.1	154 147	26.3 26.2	16.1 13.5	154 136	21.2 19.8	10.2 12.8
Eagle July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	44 28	27.7 20.6		19.6 12.4	33 19	29.3 25.9	16.7 10.6	11	27.2 19.1	20.5 12.7
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	112 86	24.5 22.3	32 28	16.3 12.9	76 60	34.2 31.1	28.0 14.1	36 26	22.1 20.1	13.8 12.7
Sedgwick ^h July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	79 44	21.9		14.3 7.5	69 41	29.8 25.2	27.1 13.7	10 3	19.5 19.1	10.3
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years 59-'61	171 151	21.8 22.8	20 29	10.8	135 131	29.9 29.0	23.3 8.0	36 20	19.3	7.0 7.4
Custer ⁱ July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	38 18	24.7 26.5		20.5	16 14	27.4 25.5	23.8 15.3	22 4	24.4 27.3	20.1
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years'59-'61	114 70	22.9 22.8	25 30	18.0 14.9	52 37	23.8 27.1	20.5 22.6	62 33	22.8 22.3	17.6 14.2
Cheysine July '59-Dec. '60	40	20.7	es va	12.6	32	44.3	28.2	8	19.1	11.0

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			Tot	tal Urban	·····	Total Rural			
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Eatio (%)	<pre>!otal. Spread (pctpts.</pre>	
Year 1961	22	18.1		1.7	14	24.5	12.0	8	17.4	1.3	
July '57'Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	100 80	24.6 23.0	34 31	14.1 13.2	51 50	41.8 39.2	23.4 28.0	49 30	23.1 21.6	13.2 12.0	
Delta July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	273 177	22.9 21.2		12.5 10.7	159 101	25.7 24.5	14.0 10.4	114 76	21.0 18.9	11.3	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	783 596	25.0 23.4	40 32	13.7 12.5	442 341	27.5 25.5	13.9 12.7	341 255	23.1 21.8	13.5 12.3	
Dolores July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	26 17	22.1 c	 		21 16	29.6 26.0	12.8	5 1	20.5 c		
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	94 68	24.7 23.6	35 33	14.3 14.8	62 53	31.8 28.0	11.5 10.8	32 15	23.1 22.5	14.9 15.4	
El Paso July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	3,883 2,562	23.7 24.5	 	9.1 9.2	3,741 2,486	24.5 25.1	8.4 8.6	142 76	20.1	12.0 11.6	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	8,247 7,478	23.0 23.7	26 34	8.5 9.0	7,905 7,187	23.7 24.5	8.0 8.4	342 291	20.0 20.1	11.1 11.5	
Pueblo July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	2,262 1,590	23.6 24.5		10.9	1,976 1,279	25.4 25.8	10.2	286 311	20.8	12.1	

Table II (continued)

•		Total Co			Tot	tal Urban		Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	5,206 4,645	23.8 23.9	29 35	10.4	4,727 3,972	25.4 25.4	9.5 9.5	479 673	21.0 21.2	11.5 13.4
Garfield July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	213 131	26.7 21.6		18.1 13.6	158 98	24.2 23.6	17.9 14.1	55 33	29.0 20.3	18.3 13.3
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	498 430	25.2 24.1	41 36	17.0 15.6	348 308	24.7 23.9	18.4 15.9	150 122	25.6 24.2	15.7 15.4
Weld July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,609 1,215	25.4 22.6		12.7 10.8	1,369 1,059	28.5 25.3	12.9 9.9	240 156	23.8 21.2	12.6 11.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	3,360 3,362	25.8 24.2	43 37	12.8 12.4	2,786 2,834	28.7 27.1	12.8 11.5	574 528	24.4 22.8	12.8 12.9
Saguache July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	43 49	31.6 21.7		15.5 37.6	31 38	33.6 31.9	17.9 39.3	12 11	31.1 20.1	15.0 37.3
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years' '59-'61	106 105	36.1 24.3	63 38	20.2	75 79	34.1 33.7	23.1 27.9	31 26	36.6 22.7	19.5
Logan ^h July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	398 269	24.2 23.5	 	11.6	353 223	29.1 25.1	18.0 8.9	45 46	21.2 22.5	7.7 11.5
July '57-Dec. '60	1,003	24.8	38	11.7	863	28.9	11.6	140	22.1	11.7

Table II (continued)

	<u>.</u>	Total Co		Table	Total Urban			Total Rural Total		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total b Spread b (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Spread (pct. pts.
Three Years '59-'51	856	24.5	39	11.8	730	28.8	12.9	126	21.8	11.1
Huerfano July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	126 70	20.2 29.3		14.8 15.4	98 51	33.2 33.0	22.3 17.2	28 19	14.3 26.2	11.4 13.8
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	317 241	21.2 24.7	17 40	19.5 13.2	218 176	29.8 32.5	23.8 18.8	99 65	16.2 19.6	17.2 9.6
Summit July '59-Dec.'60 Year 1961	39 33	27.7 18.1	 	23.4 18.4	25 22	28.3 21.8	32.1 21.3	14 11	27.6 17.6	22.1 16.7
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	97 89	24.5 24.8	33 41	25.3 22.8	60 55	29.8 25.5	29.6 23.9	37 34	23.7 24.6	24.7 22.7
Park July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	146 119	26.9 24.0		11.4 15.9	50 29	25.6 29.3	15.8 17.8	96 90	27.2 23.0	10.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	287 313	23.1 24.8	27 42	13.6 13.1	99 88	26.8 27.3	21.0 15.6	188 225	22.3 24.3	12.1 12.5
San Miguel July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	53 30	33.6 29.1		13.3 13.4	47 22	32.4 37.5	22.7 20.4	6 8	33.9 27.4	10.5
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	110 95	29.5 24.9	52 43	26.3 18.4	86 76	35.4 34.1	35.6 26.3	24 19	28.2 23.2	24.3 17.0

Table II (continued)

	Total County				Total Urban			Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Totalb Spread (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total _b Spread (pct. pts.
Montrose July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	240 148	24.3 26.3	 	16.1 11.6	160 93	27.7 30.2	25.8 13.4	80 55	22.0 23.8	9.6 10.4
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	597 483	24.7 25.3	37 44	13.2 13.2	398 313	27.5 29.2	15.9 17.9	199 170	22.7 22.8	11.2 10.2
Arapahoe July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	3,460 2,614	27.3 26.0		7.7 7.5	2,421 1,769	26.6 25.6	7.8 7.4	1,039 845	29.1 27.0	7.8 8.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	7,514 7,200	27.2 25.4	48 45	8.3 8.2	5,544 5,074	27.9 26.4	8.4 7.7	1,970 2,126	25.6 23.3	8.3 9.5
Jefferson July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	3,803 2,682	25.4 25.8		8.2 8.4	2,689 2,154	26.5 26.5	7.6 8.3	1,114 528	19.9 22.0	11.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	8,782 7,556	25.8 25.5	42 46	8.8 8.9	6,162 5,605	26.9 26.9	8.2 8.2	2,620 1,951	20.5 19.3	11.7
Larimer ^h July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,757 1,132	26.5 24.0		14.6 8.7	1,426 931	27.2 25.1	12.5 8.8	331 201	25.3 22.3	18.4
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	3,960 3,485	27.4 25.6	49 47	12.8 12.2	3,121 2,816	27.9 26.7	11.5 12.4	839 669	26.5 23.7	15.2 11.8

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			Total Urban			Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total _b Spread (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.
Morgan July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	446 347	24.8 25.9		10.3	375 305	28.9 30.0	12.7 11.1	71 42	22.3 23.4	8.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	1,012 936	26.9 25.7	45 48	12.7 11.7	794 783	29.6 29.1	12.8 13.0	218 153	25.0 23.4	12.6 11.0
Chaffee July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	161 89	26.3 25.3		15.0 10.7	128 73	27.3 25.6	9.6 10.6	33 16	25.0 25.0	22.7 10.8
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	389 310	26.8 26.2	44 49	13.0 11.6	317 251	27.7 27.0	12.8 11.7	72 59	25.5 25.0	13.3 11.4
Adams July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	3,053 2,422	25.6 27.2		10.4	2,278 1,951	30.3 29.6	8.2 8.4	775 471	18.4 22.6	13.7 5.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	6,316 6,297	27.0 26.8	46 50	8.6 7.8	5,195 4,969	29.8 29.9	8.3 8.3	1,121 1,328	21.9 21.3	9.3 7.2
Boulder July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,943 1,522	27.0 25.9		9.3 6. 9	1,554 1,257	29.8 28.0	8.3 7.0	389 265	20.3 20.4	11.9 6.5
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	4,235 3,907	28.4 26.8	50 51	9.2 8.3	3,396 3,126	30.3 29.1	8.6 7.4	839 781	23.3 21.1	11.1

Table II (continued)

		Total Cou	unty		Total Urban			Total Rural		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.
Crowley July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	55 47	33.6 24.8	w m	17.0 11.0	36 32	30.2 24.7	22.3 11.1	19 15	34.8 24.8	15.1 10.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	143 124	30.2 27.4	55 52	22.8 17.2	94 81	33.1 28.9	22.1 16.3	49 43	29.4 27.0	22.9 17.5
Alamosa July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	151 101	28.1 25.2		19.2 11.8	126 84	29.8 25.7	23.7 14.3	25 17	26.4 24.5	15.0 9.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	325 286	29.9 27.4	54 53	16.9 16.0	269 240	29.1 29.3	18.8 19.7	56 46	30.8 25.6	14.8 12.6
Prowers July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	246 138	28.8 28.5		10.3 13.3	226 110	30.7 31.0	11.1 12.7	20 28	27.6 27.1	9.8 13.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	54 5 484	29.1 28.0	51 54	14.9 11.3	441 395	30.5 30.5	13.3	104 89	28.1 26.6	16.0 11.3
Mesa July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,206 866	27.9 28.7		9.0 10.1	914 433	29.9 29.1	7.7 7.9	292 433	25.4 28.1	10.9 13.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	3,123 2,619	27.2 28.1	47 55	10.3	2,417 1,751	28.3 29.4	9.5 8.7	706 868	25.6 26.2	11.2

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			Total Urban			Total Rural Total.		
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- <u>ficates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Spreadb (pct. pts.
Routt July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	162 84	29.4 25.7		18.8 16.4	121 70	34.6 31.4	18.7 54.4	41 14	27.7 24.0	18.9 5.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	398 303	29.6 28.4	5 3 56	18.8 20.4	295 234	36.8 33.8	20.6 27.9	103 69	27.5 26.7	18.2 17.9
Costilla ^e July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	46 20	30.7 29.5		23.1 46.4	18 4	29.3 47.9	52.9 57.0	28 16	31.0 27.9	16.1 46.3
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	111 77	31.6 28.5	56 57	35.0 38.1	3 5 24	32.1 29.5	51.5 47.8	76 53	31.5 28.3	31.1 36.3
Conejos ^e July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	68 40	34.8 25.3		26.8 6.2	47 23	32.9 30.3	28.5 23.9	21 17	35.4 24.5	26.5 3.8
July '57 -Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	188 137	34.1 29.1	62 58	26.7 19.2	105 81	36.7 33.7	31.0 18.6	8 3 56	33.5 28.0	25.6 19.4
Bent July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	96 69	29.8 27.7		13.6 21.8	68 51	28.2 30.4	15.2 17.0	28 18	30.4 26.9	13.1 23.1
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	254 201	33.9 29.6	61 59	16.6 17.7	163 139	32.4 30.4	15.9 16.0	91 62	34.5 29.4	16.8 18.3

Table II (continued)

		Total Co			To	tal Urban		Tota	l Rural	
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio _(%)_	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total b Spread b (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total _b Spread (pct. pts.
San Juan ^d July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	30 12	28.1 38.2		16.1	30 12	28.1 38.2	16.1 20.0	0	c c	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	54 49	32.1 30.7	58 60	22.0 19.2	5 3 49	31.6 30.7	22.0 19.2	10	c c	
Denver July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	11,322 7,878	31.9 29.6		10.3 9.8	11,322 7,878	31.9 29,6	10.3 9.8		****	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	24,026 22,345	32.1 30.9	59 61	10.3	24,026 22,345	32.1 30.9	10.3			
Otero July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	573 351	31.5 31.5		13.7 14.0	499 301	31.8 32.4	13.0 13.8	74 50	31.0	14.8 14.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	1,253 1,140	31.9 31.6	57 62	16.2 16.1	1,070 985	33.3 32.4	16.2 13.0	183 155	30.2 30.5	16.2 20.1
Rio Grande July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	139 82	31.4 31.1		14.5 11.7	111 66	29.5 28.5	14.1 13.6	28 16	32.5 32.5	14.8 10.7
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	375 276	32.4 31.9	60 63	18.9 12.2	286 220	31.5 30.3	13.5 12.3	89 5 6	32.9 32.8	21.5 12.1

Table II (continued)

		Total Co	unty		Total Urban			Total Rural			
County and Year (or Period)	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Rank Of Sales Ratio	Total Spreadb (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Total b Spread b (pct. pts.	No. of Certi- ficates	Sales Ratio (%)	Totalb Spread (pct. pts.	
Total State July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	41,313 29,106	26.8 25.7		11.1 9.7	34,890 24,462	29.1 27.9	10.4 9.5	6,423 4,644	22.0 21.1	12.9 9.9	
July '57-Dec. '60 Three Years '59-'61	91,753 83,240	27.3 26.3		11.0 10.6	77,163 69,862	29.4 28.7	10.2 10.1	14,590 13,378	22.8 21.4	12.6 11.8	

- a. All property classes except vacant urban land.
- b. Average range within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
- c. Insufficient data for determination of the sales ratio.
- d. See text, page, for a statement concerning methodology. e. Exculsive of commercial buildings in 1961.

- Exclusive of commercial and industrial buildings in 1961. Exclusive of industrial buildings in all study periods.
- h. Exclusive of agricultural land with improvements in 1961. i. Exclusive of industrial buildings in 1961.

TABLE III

Average Sales Ratio and Measure of Variation in the Ratios, by
Class of Property, for Each of Two Periods and for Combined Periods, a
and Proportion of Total Assessed Value on the Tax Rolls

•	Number	Average	Measur Range in Below	e of Varia Percentage Above	ition: Points ^b	Value
Class of Property and Year (or Period)	of <u>Certificates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Average <u>Ratio</u>	Average <u>Ratio</u>	<u>Total</u>	on Tax Rolls (%) ^c
One-family Dwellings 1 to 8 years old July '59-Dec.'60 Year 1961	15,509 10,292	31.0 29.9	2.9 2.6	2.9 2.7	5.8 5.3	21.1
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	35,635 30,732	31.4 30.7	2.8 3.0	3.0 3.0	5.8 6.0	
9 to 18 years old July '59-Dec.'60 Year 1961	5,832 4,740	28.2 27.2	3.1 3.0	3.4 3.5	6.5 6.5	7.6
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61.	11,934 12,159	28.6 27.9	3.3 3.2	3.4 3.4	6.7 6.6	
19 to 28 years old July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,630 1,288	26.5 25.0	3.7 3.7	4.7 4.3	8.4 8.0	2.9
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	3,579 3,369	26.7 25.9	3.8 3.8	4.7 4.4	8.5 8.2	
29 to 48 years old July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	4,409 2,858	23.6 22.9	3.7 3.6	4.3 4.2	8.0 7.8	8.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	10,198 8,663	24.0 23.4	3.8 3.7	4.4	8.2 8.0	

Table III (continued)

Class of Property and Year (or Period)	Number of <u>Certificates</u>	Average Sales Ratio (%)	Range in Below Average	Above Average	ation: ge Points Total	Proportion of Total b Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%)
Over 48 years old July '59-Dec.'60 Year 1961	5,135 3,582	21.8	4.3 4.2	5.2 5.1	9.5 9.3	5.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	10,679 10,136	21.8 21.5	4.4	5.2 5.2	9.6 9.5	
All ages combined July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	32,515 22,760	27.3 26.4	3.3 3.2	3.8 3.7	7.1 6.9	45.0
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	72,025 65,059	27.7 27.0	3.4 3.3	3.8 3.8	7.2 7.1	
Multi-family Dwellings July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,405 1,093	30.6 28.4	5.7 5.5	5.3 5.0	11.0	4.4
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	2,841 2,882	30.7 29.6	5.8 5.6	5.1 5.1	10.9 10.7	
Commercial buiddings . July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	758 490	33.3 30.4	8.2 5.9	10.0	18.2 15.5	16.4
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	1,853 1,528	33.0 31.9	7.8 7.0	10.2	18.0 17.0	

Table III (continued)

Class of Property and Year (or Period)	Number of Certificates	Average Sales	Range in Below Average	Above Average	ation:	Proportion of Total Assessed Value on Tax Rolls (%)
Industrial buildings July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	212 119	34.1 36.0	7.2 8.1	11.5 9.1	18.5 17.2	6.4
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	444 393	34.6 34.6	7.3 7.9	8.7 8.8	16.0 16.7	
Total Urban July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	34,890 24,462	29.1 27.9	4.7 4.2	5,7 5.3	10.4 9.5	72.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	77,163 69,862	29.4 28.4	4.7 4.6	5.5 5.5	10.2	
Agric. land with impts. July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	709 469	23.0 21.2	5.6 3.6	8.5 6.0	14.1 9.6	14.2
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	2,513 1,739	23.7 21.9	5.5 4.5	7.8 7.8	13.3 12.3	
Agric. land without impts. July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	347 252	16.9 17.9	3.2 3.4	7.6 6.2	10.8 9.6	4.3
July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	1,568 1,008	18.5 17.2	4.1 3.4	6.8 6.7	10.9 10.1	

Table III (continued)

					e of Varia	tion:	Proportion of Total
	,		<u></u> F	Range in	Percentage	<u>Points</u> b	Assessed
- 1		Number	Average	Below	Above		Value
	s of Property <u>ear (or Period)</u>	of <u>Certificates</u>	Sales Ratio (%)	Average <u>Ratio</u>	Average <u>Ratio</u>	Total	on Tax Rolls (%) ^c
Misc.	rural land with impts. July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	3,714 2,830	25.6 24.0	5.3 4.5	6.3 8.2	11.6 12.7	6.9
	July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	6,859 7,396	25.4 25.0	5.3 5.2	6.3 6.1	11.6 11.3	
Misc.	rural land without impts. July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	1,653 1,093	16.5 17.7	4.8 4.1	8.3 6.1	13.1	0.9
	July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	3,650 3,245	17.1 16.6	4.7 4.4	8.0 8.3	12.7 12.7	
Total	Rural July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	6,423 4,644	22.0 21.1	5.0 3.8	7.9 6.1	12.9 9.9	26.3
	July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	14,590 13,378	22.8 21.4	5.2 4.4	7.4 7.4	12.6 11.8	
All C	lasses Combined July '59-Dec. '60 Year 1961	41,313 29,106	26.8 25.7	4.7 4.0	6.4 5.7	11.1	98 . 5
	July '57-Dec. '60 Three years '59-'61	91,753 83,240	27.3 26.3	4.9 4.5	6.1 6.1	11.0 10.6	

<sup>a. Exclusive of vacant urban land.
b. Average range (above and below the average ratio) within which the middle half of the sales ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
c. As reported by the county assessors for 1957.</sup>

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age (Class (yea						Near	ural Land Denver	A11 .		
Sales Patio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>			Industrial Buildings			Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 0 6	0 2 2 4 3	0 1 4 3 6	0 7 4 6 14	0 2 1 0 1	0 13 12 13 30	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 13 12 15 30	1 0 3 5 4	0 2 4 1 2	0 2 1 1	1 4 3 7 7	1 17 20 22 37
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	12 25 50 83 123	13 23 51 85 71	4 3 1 3 2	17 7 12 6 2	0 0 0 3 1	46 58 114 180 199	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 0	46 60 116 181 201	5 12 12 18 39	0 1 0 1	2 2 3 1 0	7 15 15 20 40	53 75 131 201 241
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	177 253 237 179 120	42 19 14 12 3	2 0 1 3 0	2 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	223 273 252 195 124	2 2 1 3 1	5 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	230 275 253 199 128	76 69 81 61 41	2 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	78 71 81 61 42	308 346 334 260 170
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	65 54 27 8 6	2 5 2 0 2	1 0 2 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	69 59 32 8	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	69 60 34 8	10 C 1 O	0 0 0 0	C 0 0 0	10 0 1 0	79 60 35 8 8
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 0	1 2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 3 2 1	1 C O	0 0 2 0	0 2 0 1	2 5 4 2	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	3 6 4 3
Total Cases	1,429	359	36	82	9	1,915	17	11	8	1,951	441	17	13	471	2,422
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.8	26.0	20.8	19.6	19.9	29.5	31.7	29.3	37.3	29.6	31.4	20.2		22.6	27.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 3.4 6.6	3.4 2.8 6.2	4.5 7.2 11.7	3.1 3.5 6.6	7.4 5.9 13.3	3.2 3.5 6.7	3.5 6.3 9.8	1.0 7.2 8.2	15.3 15.2 30.5	3.0 4.0 7.0	3.1 2.8 5.9	7.1 8.6 15.7		2.7 2.5 5.2	2.9 3.5 6.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	48.1	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.7	11.6	0.6	15.7	27.9	97.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Adams County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

															Rural L	a nd		
	One-Fa	mily Dwa	ellings	by Age	Class (y	ears)	Multi-				Agri	c. Land	From	mote Denver	Near	Denver	_	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28		Over 48	All	Family	Commercial Buildings						Withou Impts.	t With Impts.	Withou Imots.	t Tota. Rura.	l Total <u>L'County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 13	1 4 4 2 16	0 3 4 6 10	0 3 7 5 9	2 15 11 15 26	0 4 5 3 2	3 29 31 31 63	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 0	0 0 0 1	3 29 33 34 63	1 2 1 5	3 1 3 4 1	0 3 1 0 2	8 2 1 2 0	3 2 14 11 11	6 9 8 7 4	21 19 28 29 19	24 49 61 63 82
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	34 80 126 190 353	26 42 105 202 195	7 11 2 10 3	29 21 15 19 5	3 10 3 5 2	99 164 251 426 558	0 1 1 1 2	0 2 6 3 2	0 1 2 0	99 168 260 430 562	6 2 3 2 0	1 0 0 0 1	1 3 1 1 0	0 0 1	13 29 42 45 76	2 5 5 3 2	24 39 51 52 80	123 207 311 482 642
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	472 633 561 465 300	111 54 32 19 17	3 3 3 1	6 5 1 3 0	1 2 0 2 1	593 697 597 492 319	2 3 9 6 4	8 2 1 1 3	0 0 0 0 2	603 702 607 499 328	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	5 2 1 3 3	0 0 0	151 141 197 215 176	2 2 0 0	158 145 198 219 180	761 847 805 718 508
32 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	183 162 78 25 10	6 12 5 2 3	3 2 0	1 1 3 0 1	0 1 0 0	193 179 88 27 14	0 2 2 1 0	0 0 2 3 1	0 0 0 0	193 181 92 31 16	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	38 6 3 2	0 0 0	39 6 5 4 2	231 187 97 35 18
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 2 1 6	3 2 0 4	0 0 0	1 0 1 1	1 0 1 1	7 4 3 12	1 0 0	1 1 2 2	0 2 0 1	9 7 5 15	. 0000	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0000	2 4 0 2	1 0 0	3 4 1 3	12 11 6 18
Total Cases	3,710	863	78	182	47	4,880	35	44	10	4,969	24	15	23	18	1,184	59	1,328	6,297
Average Sales Ratio (%)	31.8	26.3	22.2	19.8	1.15	29.7	32.6	30.4	41.2	29.9	17.9	13.2	28.0	9.8	32.4	16.6	21.3	26.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.3 3.5 6.8	2.1 2.7 4.8	5.2 6.8 12.0	3.6 4.5 8.1	5.3 5.1 10.4	3.3 3.6 6.9	1.4 4.0 5.4	6.7 12.6 19.3	18.7 10.0 28.7	3.7 4.6 8.3	3.1 4.1 7.2	1.7 3.3 5.0	3.0 6.7 14.7	2.0 9.2 11.2	3.7 3.0 6.7	4.7 6.7 11.4	3.3 3.9 7.2	3.5 4.3 7.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	48.1	6.7	1.5	3.2	0.7	60.2	1.7	7.4	0.4	69.7	8.4	4.6	2.6	0.1	11.6	0.6	27.9	97.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Famil	ly Dwelling	s by Age C	lass (years)	···	All		Misc. Rural Land	All	7.4.1	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1 -8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 5 3 3	0 0 0 1 3	0 0 6 6 7	0 0 0 0	0 0 6 6 7	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 2 2	0 6 8 9
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 1 5	0 2 0 1	2 2 0 0	3 5 2 0 2	0 3 2 2 0	5 12 5 4 8	0 0 0 1	5 12 5 5 8	1 0 1 0	O 1 1 1 1	1 2 1 2	6 14 6 7 9
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	2 2 0 0	6 4 3 2 2	0 0 0 0	6 4 3 2 2	0 0 1 1 0	O C 1 1	0 2 2 1	6 · 4 · 5 · 4 · 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 5 1 0 2	0 0 0	0000	0 0 0	0 5 1 0 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 4	0 0 0	1 0 0 4	0 1 0 0	0000	C 1 0 0	1 1 0 4
Total Cases	15	10	10	30	16	81	3	84	8	9	17	101
Avarage Sales Ratio (%)	28.7	27.1	20.4	21.0	23.8	23.2		25.7	22.5		24.5	25.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.0 3.5 5.5	5.6 13.9 19.5	3.4 16.6 20.0	5.3 6.5 11.8	4.8 5.2 10.0	4.6 9.0 13.6		5.2 9.1 14.3	2.5 11.5 14.0		1.0 8.6 9.6	3.1 8.7 11.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0	4.5	28.7	24.2	52.9	5.0	41.4	46.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Alamosa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

													Misc. Rural			
	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total	Aoric With	. Land Without	Land With	Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 3 2 4	0 3 6 7 6	0 2 0 1 4	0 5 9 11 16	0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 6 10 11 17	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 2 1 1	1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 4 4	1 6 12 15 21
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 2 2 10	4 6 2 5 3	7 3 3 0 4	7 13 6 4 5	3 5 3 2 4	21 27 16 13 26	1 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	22 28 16 16 27	0 1 1 1 3	0 1 0 1	3 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	3 3 2 4 4	25 31 18 20 31
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	9 4 3 3	1 2 0 0 3	0 0 1 1	5 1 1 1 0	2 2 0 2 1	17 9 5 7 6	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	17 10 6 8 6	0 0 2 2 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 3 3	17 12 10 11 9
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 3 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	3 1 2 0 0	1 0 0 1	4 5 4 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 6 4 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0	4 7 5 2 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 2 4	2 0 3 3	1 1 0 1	3 1 5 10	0 1 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	3 2 5 12	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 2 0 3	3 4 5 15
Total Cases	35	36	36	79	37	223	9	8	0	240	15	8	20	3	46	286
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	25.5	21.3	22.2	25.6	23.9	28.0	48.7		29.3	28.2	16.1	25.9		25.6	27.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.2 2.4 4.6	4.8 11.2 16.0	3.3 12.7 16.0	4.9 6.7 11.6	6.1 6.6 12.7	4.4 8.1 12.5	4.4 8.5 12.9	33.7 13.1 46.8		10.5 9.2 19.7	4.7 7.0 11.7	2.1 11.9 14.0	7.2 8.1 15.3		4.5 8.1 12.6	7.4 8.6 16.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	4.1	5.3	4.8	10.0 .	4.5	28.7	2.6	16.7	4.9	52.9	35.5	5.8	5.0	0.1	46.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	0	Familia D		bir ass	C1 /	>	M. 144	•		Ī	lemote F	rom	al Land	A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Class (ye Over 48	All Aqes	Multi- Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Commercial Buildings	Industria Buildings	l Total <u>Urban</u>	Denver With Impts.	With	T Denver Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 2	2 1 0 3 5	2 1 1 4 8	6 6 13 14 30	6 2 8 9	16 10 22 31 54	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	16 10 24 32 55	1 1 2 0 0	6 8 12 18	0 2 3 2 0	1 0 1 0	8 9 14 14 19	24 19 38 46 74
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 14 52 132 138	14 55 107 105 84	21 28 14 12 3	22 11 17 1 7	9 1 3 3 5 3	69 121 193 255 235	0 0 0 1 1	1 1 1 1 3	0 1 0 0	70 123 194 257 239	0 0 1 0 2	18 14 30 50 65	0 3 3 0	0 0 0 0	18 17 34 50 67	88 140 2 28 307 306
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	212 185 102 34 20	48 33 15 4 4	2 2 0 0	2 0 1 0	1 1 2 0 0	265 222 119 39 24	2 4 7 6 12	0 3 2 1 1	1 0 1 1 0	269 229 129 47 37	0 0 0 0	98 150 172 97 42	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	99 151 173 97 42	368 380 302 144 79
38	6 4 2 1 0	1 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	. 0	0 0 0 1	7 6 3 3 1	5 1 0 1	1 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	13 9 4 5 2	0 0 0 0	18 6 2 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	18 7 2 0 1	31 16 6 5 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 4	0 0 0	2 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 0 2	2 1 1 6
Total Cases	909	486	9 8	131	73	1,697	41	23	8	1,769	7	818	17	3	845	2,614
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	25.1	21.0	17.6	18.1	24.6	35.0	33.2	24.2	25.6	14.1	30.8	18.1		27.0	26.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.5 5.1	2.3 2.6 4.9	2.2 2.2 4.4	2.5 3.7 6.2	3.6 3.7 7.3	2.6 2.8 5.4	2.6 2.5 5.1	6.7 8.0 14.7	6.2 9.8 16.0	3.3 4.1 7.4	2.6 11.5 14.1	3.5 2.8 6.3	4.6 6.9 11.5		3.5 4.5 8.0	3.4 4.1 7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.2	1.9	20.3	1.6	4.8	28.6	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Arapahoe County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	-											Misc. Ru ote	ral Lan				
	One-	Family Dw	ellings	by Age C	lass (yea	rs) All	Multi-	Commercial	Tada+mial	Tatal	From	Denver Without		Denver Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages		s Buildings						Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	2 3 3 1 3	2 1 2 8 5	2 2 1 7 12	9 13 26 44 86	8 3 14 20 18	23 22 46 80 124	0 0 1 3 0	0 1 3 0 1	2 0 1 1 0	25 23 51 84 125	2 2 2 1 1	1 0 1 1 0	12 14 16 35 35	26 24 30 20 15	2 2 1 0 1	43 42 50 57 52	68 65 101 141 177
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	9 34 132 368 500	24 107 212 260 186	43 46 42 28 11	73 44 43 25 18	26 22 13 15 4	175 253 442 696 719	0 1 1 1 4	1 4 6 1 5	0 2 1 0 2	176 260 450 698 730	0 2 4 0 5	0 2 1 0	38 51 73 117 145	10 19 9 2 0	0 1 0 1	48 75 87 120 152	224 335 537 818 882
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	556 552 452 236 123	100 55 28 11 11	10 9 3 0 1	12 3 3 2 3	4 2 3 2 1	682 621 489 251 139	7 7 12 14 20	1 9 5 2 1	4 0 3 1 0	694 637 509 268 160	2 2 4 3 0	0 0 0	230 336 346 251 102	2 2 3 0	0 0 0 0	234 341 351 254 102	928 978 860 522 262
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	52 18 6 2 2	6 7 4 3 1	0 1 2 0	0 1 2 2 1	0 1 0 2 .0	58 28 14 9 5	15 5 10 3 2	3 3 4 1 1	0 1 0 0	76 37 28 13 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	62 17 6 2 4	0 3 0 1	0 1 0 0	62 21 6 3	138 58 34 16 12
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 2	0 3 2 5	1 4 1 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0 3	1 7 4 10	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	7 3 1 5	0 0 1 4	0 0 0	7 3 2 10	8 10 6 20
Total Cases	3,057	1,035	222	411	161	4,886	112	5 5 .	- 21	5,074	30	8	1,908	171	9	2,126	7,200
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.7	25.2	21.8	18.9	19.2	25.5	36.2	32.0	25.3	26.4	22.0	15.7	30.7	15.3		23.3	25.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 2.9 5.8	2.2 2.5 4.7	2.3 3.0 5.3	2.7 3.7 6.4	3.7 4.3 8.0	2.7 3.1 5.8	3.7 3.5 7.2	8.7 6.8 15.5	4.1 8.5 12.6	3.6 4.1 7.7	5.0 9.5 14.5	1.7 12.3 24.0	3.6 3.2 6.8	3.9 5.0 8.9		3.4 6.1 9.5	3.5 4.7 8.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.6	6.7	2.3	10.6	1.3	53.5	0.9	10.7	6.1	71.2	1.9	0.2	20.3	1.6	4.6	28.6	99.8

<sup>a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.</sup>

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total Urban	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 15	0 0 1 1 16
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2	0 38 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 38 0 1 0	1 38 0 2 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 2	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 2 1 2 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2
Total Cases	14	0	14	55	3	58	72
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.8		29.2	19.7		19.7	21.0
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.9 12.8 19.7		7.3 12.4 19.7	1.3 1.7 3.0		1.5 1.5 3.0	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	10.9	8.4	19.3	0.1	78.6	78.7	98.0

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council. b.

Archuleta County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

		One-Famil	y Dwelling	s by Age	Class (year	s)	A11		Agric. Land	Misc. Rural Land	A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 15	0 1 1 2 17
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 . 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0	5 0 1 0	1 1 2 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 1 0	2 7 2 3 2	0 0 1 0	2 7 3 3 2	1 0 3 0	0 52 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	52 1 4 0	3 59 4 7 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 0 1 0	0 1 2 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	1 3 3 3 2	0 0 0 0	1 3 3 3 2	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 0 3 0	1 2 4 0	2 5 7 3 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0000	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1	0 2 0 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 2	0000	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 3
Total Cases	. 6	9	8	3	12	38	. 1	39	В	69	7	84	123
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.5	29.6	25.6		22.6	27.5		27.0	17.8	19.8		18.4	19.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.0 40.0 42.0	4.5 4.8 9.3	3.6 12.4 16.0		4.6 7.4 12.0	4.8 11.7 16.5	***	4.3 12.2 16.5	1.8 11.5 13.3			1.0 12.1 13.1	1.8 11.7 13.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.1	2.7	1.3	1.4	3.4	10.9	8.4	19.3	66.7	0.1	11.9	78.7	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances By Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

•									Agric.			•
		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ss (years)	A11	Other	Total	Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Urban	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	. 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 2	1 0 2 4 0	1 0 1 0 2	2 0 3 4 2	2 0 3 6 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 3 5	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 4 3 7	0 0 0	1 3 4 3 7	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	1 4 4 3 7
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	3 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 2 1 0 1	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	5 3 1 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " . " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	2 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 2 3 0	0 0 0 1 0	2 1 2 4 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 2 4 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 0 0 4	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 5	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 5
Total Cases	2	16	4	16	3	41	3	44	. 11	5	16	60
Average Sales Ratio (%)		25.6		32.7	± = =	29.7		29.7	15.5		15.5	16.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		2.3 2.0 4.3		11.7 11.3 23.0		6.0 6.7 12.7		6.0 6.7 12.7	1.7 11.1 12.8		3.4 7.4 10.8	3.7 7.3 11.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.4	6.4	19.8	51.0	28.7	79.8	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Baca County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-1961

	. One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	Class (year	rs)	Commercial	All	Total	Agric. Land With	Agric; Land Without	Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings		Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 5 3	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 7 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 7 7	2 1 1 1 2	2 1 6 6	0 0 0 0	4 2 7 7 4	4 3 9 14 11
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 2 1	4 2 6 12 11	0 1 2 1 3	3 3 2 1 5	0 1 0 0	8 12 15 21	0 0 0 0	. 0 0 0 0	8 9 12 15 21	1 2 1 1	3 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	4 5 3 1 3	12 14 15 16 24
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 0 0	2 3 0 6 1	1 0 1 0	5 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	9 6 1 7 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 6 1 7 2	0 1 0 0	3 1 0 0	0 0 0	3 0 0	12 9 1 7 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 1 2 0	3 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 4 3 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	4 5 4 4 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0	4 7 4 4
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 1 6	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 8	0 0 0	2 1 1 14	0 1 0 5	0 0 0 2	2 2 1 21	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0	3 2 1 21
Total Cases	8.	63	16	46	4	137	. 9	5	151	14	32	3	49	200
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.6	26.3	30.0	26.6		26.8	43.8		30.7	18.2	17.3		17.6	19.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 4.2 6.8	2.7 8.5 11.2	6.0 12.0 18.0	7.6 15.9 23.5		5.0 11.3 16.3			4,0 20.5 24.5	5.2 4.8 10.0	3.6 7.7 11.3		4.1 6.7 10.8	4.1 8.5 12.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	1.5	4.4	2.5	4.9	0.1	13.5	6.0	0.3	19.8	27.9	51.0	0.8	79.8	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family D	wellings b	v Ana Clas	s (vears)		All		Agric. Land	All		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1	0 2 0 3 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 0 4 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	1 0 1 1	1 2 1 5 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 1 3 2 1	1 0 0 0 1	3 0 3 3	4 2 6 6 5	2 0 0 0	6 2 6 6 5	0 2 1 0	2 0 1 1	2 2 2 1 1	8 4 8 7 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	1 2 1 2 0	2 4 1 3 1	0 0 0	2 4 1 3	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 4 2 3 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 1 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 1	0 1 2 3
Total Cases	2	6	11	6	20	45	6	51	8	10	18	69
Average Sales Ratio (%)		28.0	26.5	29.3	24.7	27.4		30.4	32.0		26.9	27.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		3.0 11.0 14.0	4.0 3.5 7.5	8.3 11.7 20.0	6.0 5.3 11.3	5.5 6.8 12.3		7.7 9.3 17.0	11.0 12.0 23.0		8.9 14.2 23.1	8.7 13.1 21.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	7.2	23.3	59.0	17.2	76.2	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Bent County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

				٠.	Class (yea	A11	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric.	With	Agric. Land Without	AII Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	Urban	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	<u>Rural</u>	Rural	<u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 2 5 3	0 3 7 3	. O O O 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 3 3 8 4	1 0 0	2 1 1 3 0	0 0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	2 1 3 4 2	2 4 6 12 6
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1	1 2 0 2 2	0 1 3 2 2	2 5 1 2 1	8 3 8 5 6	11 12 12 12 12	0 0 1 0 0	2 0 1 0	13 12 14 12 12	0 2 3 1 0	2 0 0 0	1 3 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	3 5 4 2 2	16 17 18 14 14
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 1 2 1	1 3 0	0 1 1 0 2	2 1 0 0	4 4 3 0	10 8 10 5 3	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	10 9 10 6 3	1 3 3 0	0 2 1 0 0	0 3 3 0	0 0 0	1 8 7 0	11 17 17 6 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 2	0 0 1 0	0000	1 1 3 1 2	2 2 1 2 0	0 0 0	1 O 1 1 O	0 0 1	3 2 2 4 0	4 3 5 5 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 1 3	0 1 0	0 0 0	1 2 1 4	0 0 1 3	0 0 0	1 2 2 7	0 1 2	0 2 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 2 2 3	1 4 4 10
Total Cases	11	16	20	21	58	126	· 8 .	5	139	2 5	15	2 0	2	62	201
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.4	29.2	32.0	28.4	23.4	26.9	47.7		30.4	33.3	20.2	26.5		29.4	29.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 3.8 6.7	6.2 4.5 10.7	8.7 14.0 22.7	7.5 6.6 14.1	4.8 5.4 10.2	5.5 5.9 11.4	18.7 19.0 37.7		7.9 8.1 16.0	9.8 8.5 18.3	6.7 12.3 19.0	5.2 6.8 12.0		8.8 9.5 18.3	8.5 9.2 17.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	2.8	2.5	1.4	3.3	6.1	16.1	6.6	0.6	23.3	59.0	14.5	2.6	~c ~	76.2	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Boulder County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. R	ural Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 2 1	0 1 1 0	0 2 5 8 7	0 12 7 11 21	0 16 14 21 31	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 16 14 23 34	0 0 0 1 1	0 6 8 8 7	1 6 16 10 23	. 0 3 0	1 12 27 19 31	1 28 41 42 65
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 14 10 39	2 4 4 6 14	4 1 5 10 11	11 10 24 14 15	22 16 20 15 17	39 33 67 55 96	2 4 5 4 2	1 1 4 4 3	0 0 0	42 38 76 63 101	0 3 2 0 1	11 8 8 9 11	8 16 9 28 10	0 0 2 0 0	19 27 21 37 22	61 65 97 100 123
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	101 170 159 108 47	19 27 20 11 14	4 5 5 2 1	13 2 3	11 8 6 5 3	144 223 192 129 67	3 2 2 0 1	5 1 2 0 3	0 0 2 0	152 226 198 130 70	. 0	6 1 9 6 1	3 6 1 1	0 0 0 0	9 7 10 7 2	161 233 208 137 72
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	26 5 3 3	9 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 3 3 0	2 0 1 0	39 8 9 6 5	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	40 8 9 7 6	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	2 3 2 2 1	42 11 11 9 7
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	3 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0	3 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 4 0	3 1 4 0
Total Cases	696	139	51	133	178	1,197	28	28	4	1,257	8	107	145	5	265	1,522
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.1	31.4	26.9	24.4	21.7	28.3	25.2	27.5		28.0	21.3	23.6	19.2		20.4	25.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.1 2.3 4.4	3.2 3.6 6.8	3.0 3.2 6.2	4.3 4.4 8.7	4.3 5.4 9.7	3.2 3.4 6.6	3.7 4.1 7.8	4.0 4.5 8.5		3.3 3.7 7.0	2.6 1.7 4.3	6.2 5.7 11.4	2.9 6.2 9.1		3.2 3.3 6.5	3.4 3.5 6.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	2.5	0.7	3.9	22.0	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Boulder County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-1961 Combined

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ears)	Multi-	C	Y d	Takal		. Land Without	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	. <u>Dwellings</u>	Commercial Buildings	Buildings	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Imots.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 2 2 2 4	0 0 2 3 2	0 1 1 0	0 3 7 16 13	6 15 16 30 43	6 21 28 51 63	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 2 1 4	0 0 0 1	6 21 30 53 69	0 1 3 6 2	8 2 5 0 1	4 12 18 19 24	13 23 40 28 58	25 38 66 53 85	31 59 96 106 154
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 10 24 30 72	7 10 11 15 28	6 6 9 15 22	24 26 45 43 38	58 50 56 33 41	96 102 145 136 201	2 5 6 8 5	1 3 6 4 10	0 0 0 0 2	99 110 157 148 218	0 6 7 4 7	4 3 4 0 1	23 27 22 19 19	15 40 24 64 22	42 76 57 87 49	141 186 214 235 267
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	221 365 380 331 161	43 68 44 31 26	9 13 16 4 2	28 30 15 14 7	27 25 16 8 11	328 501 471 388 207	5 6 4 2 3	10 4 6 1 5	0 1 0 2 0 1	343 511 483 391 216	5 4 0 1 2	1 0 0 0	27 19 23 17 6	10 16 11 4	43 39 34 22 12	386 550 517 413 228
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	89 33 12 12 7	19 16 7 4 0	0 0 0	9 3 4 7 0	6 2 3 3	124 54 26 26 11	1 0 1 1 0	3 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	128 55 28 27 13	2 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 5 3 4	1 4 0 2 1	8 9 4 5 5 .	136 64 32 32 18
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	5 0 0 2	1 3 0 0	0	0 1 0 1	1 0 0 2	7 4 0 5	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0	7 5 1 7	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	3 1 0 7	0 2 1 6	3 4 1 14	10 9 2 21
Total Cases	1,765	340	106	334	456	3,001	51	65	9	3,126	52	30	310	389	781	3,907
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.7	31.7	27.7	25.9	22.6	29.2	27.4	28.8	45.9	29.1	23.1	14.8	25.6	19.6	21.1	26.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 2.6 4.9	3.4 3.7 7.1	3.4 3.8 7.2	4.3 4.6 8.9	4.5 5.1 9.6	3.3 3.7 7.0	4.2 4.4 8.6	5.0 4.4 9.4	18.7 0.9 19.6	3.6 3.8 7.4	2.8 6.1 8.9	5.2 6.9 12.1	7.6 6.3 13.9	4.1 6.0 10.1	3.9 6.3 10.2	3.7 4.6 8.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	28.8	6.8	3.0	17.8	3.8	60.2	3.1	12.5	0.2	75.9	14.8	3.9	2.5	0.7	22.0	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

•									Misc. Rural			
			ly Dwelling			All	All Other	Total	Land With	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	Urban	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Rural	<u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 3 3 2	0 1 4 4 3	0 0 0 1	0 1 4 5 3	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	1 1 0 2	1 2 5 5 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 9 2	3 0 1 1 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	3 3 1 2	8 3 8 12 4	0 0 2 0 1	8 3 10 12 5	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 0	1 0 1 2 1	9 3 11 14 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 5 3 1 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 2	3 6 4 3 2	0 0 1 1 0	3 6 5 4 2	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	4 6 6 5 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 2 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0	1 1 0 0
Total Cases	27	8	. 2	4	25	66	7	73	6	10	16	89
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.7	24.4	21.9	17.0	20.7	21.9		25.6	26.3		25.0	25.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.1 3.6 6.7	5.1 5.6 10.7	2.9 1.1 4.0	2.0 7.0 9.0	5.2 4.8 10.0	4.3 4.7 10.0		7.6 3.0 10.6	7.3 8.7 16.0		5.0 5.8 10.8	6.5 4.2 10.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	21.2	59.1	16.6	22.3	38.9	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Chaffee County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>One</u>	-Family 9-18	Dwelling 19-28		Class (y	ears) All Ages	Multi- Family Dwellings	Commercia Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. R With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 3 2	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 2 2 3	1 8 5 10 8	1 8 7 16 15	O . O . O O	0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 8 7 17 15	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	2 2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	2 2 3 0 3	3 10 10 17 18
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 4 13 8	3 2 2 3 1	1 1 1 0	2 4 3 1 3	4 13 11 10 6	11 20 21 28 18	0 7 0	0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 1	11 20 24 29 20	1 0 3 3 2	2 3 4 1 1	1 0 2 1 0	0 0 1	4 3 9 6 3	15 23 33 35 23
26 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 33	15 13 6 3 2	2 3 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 1 0 0	6 3 3 1 2	24 20 11 5 4	0 1 1 1 0	1 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	25 21 13 8 5	0 0 1	1 1 2 2 0	2 1 1 0 0	0 0 0	4 2 3 3 1	29 23 16 11 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 2 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 1 1 2	2 6 1 2 2	0 0 1 0	0 0 4 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 6 6 3 2	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 1 0	2 6 9 4 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 2 0 2	1 0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	2 2 0 4	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 2	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	3 1 1 2	5 3 1 6
Total Cases	73	24	6	22	101	226	6	16	3	251	16	23	19	1	59	310
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.5	26.3	22.8	19.2	22.0	23.3	32.4	38.7		27. 0	23.4	27.2	23.5		25.0	26.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 2.8 6.0	5.3 5.7 11.0	3.8 2.2 6.0	2.2 5.8 8.0	5.7 5.9 11.6	4.7 5.1 9.8	1.4 10.6 12.0	11.7 4.8 16.5		6.1 5.6 11.7	0.7 8.6 9.3	6.0 7.0 13.0	9.5 16.5 26.0		3.2 8.2 11.4	5.0 6.6 11.6
Prop. of Assid. Value ^b	8.5	3.7	1.9	3.1	20.7	37.9	2.0	18.3	0.9	59.1	19.5	16.6	1.2	1.6	38.9	98.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property For the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1 5	0 1 1 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 1 1	1 0 0 0	2 0 2 1 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	1 1 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	13	1	14	8	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.2		24.5	17.4	18.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.3 5.7 12.0		5.6 6.4 12.0	0.8 0.5 1.3	1.4 0.3 1.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.3	6.5	13.8	85.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Cheyenne County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

		One-Fami	ly Dwelling	s by Age C	lass (years)	-	All	_ , ,	Agric. Land	All		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 3	0 0 3 1 5	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 3 3 6	0 0 5 5 9
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 36 " " 28	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 0	1 0 1 0	2 2 2 3 2	0 0 0 1	2 2 2 4 2	1 0 4 4 1	0 0 1 0	1 0 5 4 1	3 2 7 8 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 1 0 0	2 2 3 0 4	1 0 0 1 0	3 2 3 1 4	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 2 0 1	3 4 3 2 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 1 2 2 2	0 1 0 0	2 2 2 2 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 2 0 1	2 4 2 3 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 1 0 2	0 1 0 3	1 2 0 5	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 0 5
Total Cases	3	12	6	11	10	42	. 8	50	23	7	30	80
Average Sales Ratio (%)		34.4	35.0	29.5	21.7	28.5	10 est en	39.2	20.0		21.6	23.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		11.4 11.6 23.0	6.0 8.0 14.0	6.7 7.7 14.4	4.7 19.3 24.0	7.1 12.3 19.4		13.7 14.3 28.0	3.3 5.6 8.9		3.8 8.2 12.0	4.7 8.5 13.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	1.8	1.6	0.4	2.2	1.3	7.3	6.5	13.8	59.1	26.8	85.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council,

Clear Creek County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property For the Year 1961

	Oı	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years))	All		Misc. Ru	ral Land	A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 2 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	4 1 4 1 4	4 2 6 3 5	0 0 0 0	4 2 6 3 6	3 3 5 0	1 3 5 5 0	0 0 0 0	4 6 8 10	8 8 14 13 6
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	2 1 1 0 1	4 2 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	4 2 3 1 2	1 2 2 1 1	3 18 8 31 7	0 0 0 0	4 20 10 32 8	8 22 13 -33 10
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	· 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	1 0 0	1 2 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 0 0 0 1	4 2 1 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 3 2 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	8	2	1	3	21	35	5	40	25	83	0	108	148
Average Sales Ratio (%)	17.5	13.5		15.6	14.8	15.0		20.7	14.9	22.4		18.3	19.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 5.5 8.0	0.5 13.5 14.0		2.1 8.4 10.5	2.7 4.7 7.4	2.5 5.5 8.0		4.0 7.6 11.6	2.7 8.9 11.6	2.0 2.8 4,3		2.4 6.1 8.5	3.1 6.8 9.9
Prop. of Ass'd, Valueb	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.6	19.4	27.3	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Clear Creek County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	-Family D	wellings	by Age C	lass (year	A11	Commercial	All Other	Total	Misc. Ru With	without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	10 15 16 10	10 16 18 13 13	. 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	11 16 19 13 14	5 8 9 8 5	3 8 6 7 7	1 0 0 0	9 16 15 15	20 32 34 28 26
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 0 4 0 2	1 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	7 4 3 4 3	11 6 9 5 6	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0	11 7 10 5 6	4 6 7 2 3	9 58 15 48 15	1 0 0 0	14 64 22 50 18	25 71 32 55 24
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	1 0 0	1 0 1 0	2 2 3 1 0	3 1 0 1 2	5 3 1 0	0 0 0 0	8 4 1 1 3	10 6 4 2 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 .0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 2 0	1 0 2 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 3 0 0	1 4 0 1 0	0 4 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 8 0 2 0	2 9 3 2 0
18 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 2	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 0 4	· 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 4
Total Cases	14	4	3	6	90	117	12	2	131	7 0	192	2	264	395
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.6			17.8	14.5	15.3	26.2		19.6	17.7	21.9		16.6	17.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.6 5.9 9.5			2.8 7.2 10.0	2.8 5.1 7.9	2.6 5.4 8.0	7.2 19.8 27.0		4.4 11.1 15.5	4.7 6.8 11.5	1.6 3.4 5.0		2.8 7.1 9.9	3.5 8.9 12.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.8	0.8	1.5	14.6	19.4	21,8	5.5	46.7	18.3	23.1	10.4	51.8	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	On	e-Family Dv	vellings by	Age Class	(years)		All		Agric. Land	A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 3 0	0 1 3 0 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 2 4	· 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 4	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 1 1 2 1	0 2 2 4 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	2 0 0 0	2 0 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 3 1	0 3 2 0 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 3 2 1 2	2 3 2 4 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 . 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 4
Total Cases	1	4	3	6	9	23	0	23	11	6	17	40
Average Sales Ratio (%)			,	34.0	30.7	30.2		30.3	23.4		24.5	25.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				7.0 31.5 38.5	4.7 4.9 9.6	4.9 19.0 23.9		5.0 18.9 23.9	8.0 8.8 16.8		1.0 2.8 3.8	1.6 4.6 6.2
Frop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.7	5.4	14.2	6.3	20.5	10.4	68.3	78.7	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Conejos County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

							• • •				Misc. Rural			
Calar Batia Class (%)		<u>9-18</u>	wellings b 19-28	y Age Cla 29-48	Over 48	A11	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total Urban	Agrie With Impts.	Without Impts.	Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>					Ages		OTUSII						Contry
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 2 2	1 1 0 0	0 1 2 2 0	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 3 2	1 2 4 5 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 2 0	1 1 2 2 1	2 2 1 0	5 3 3 4 5	0000	5 3 3 4 5	0 1 1 2 2	0 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 6 2 2 3	5 9 5 6 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 0	2 0 2 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	3 4 1 1 3	4 0 0 2 1	10 4 5 5 4	1 0 0 0	11 4 5 5 4	0 1 2 1 0	1 4 7 1 3	0 0 1 1 1	0 0 0 1	1 5 10 4 4	12 9 15 9 8
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 .	1 0 0	2 2 2 1 0	3 3 5 2 0	0 0 0 0	3 5 2 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	3 4 5 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 4	1 1 0 2	1 1 9	0 1 0 1	1 2 1 10	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 4	1 3 1 14
Total Cases	. 6	12	8	27	24	77	· 4	81	18	27	8	. 3	56	137
Average Sales Ratio (%)	41.7	30.9	23.3	30.8	31.7	30.5		33.7	28.1	27.7			28.0	29.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	14.7 1.3 16.0	3.9 7.9 11.8	1.5 16.7 18.2	5.0 7.7 12.7	3.7 11.3 15.0	4.3 10.2 14.5		8.2 10.4 18.6	3.6 16.9 20.5	5.9 6.1 12.0			3.9 15.5 19.4	4.7 14.5 19.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.0	2.4	1.7	3.7	5.4	14.2	6.3	20.5	68.3	10.4	0.0	0.0	78.7	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Rural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 0	2 0 0 1 1	2 0 0 1 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 1 3 0	0 0 2 3 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 1 0	1 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 5
Total Cases	3	1	4	6	10	16	20
Average Sales Ratio (%)				28.6		27.9	29.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				11.6 6.4 18.0		0.6 45.7 46.3	1.9 44.5 46.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	7.0	19.0	0.7	78.4	79.1	98.1

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Costilla County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	000	Evaile De	allinge h	w Ago Cl	ass (year	-1	All		Agni	. Land	Misc. Rural Land	All		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts,	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 2 2 2	0 0 1	2 1 2 3 3	2 1 2 4 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 1 2 1 2	0 0 1 1 1 1	1 0 0 3 0	0 0 0	1 3 5 3	1 2 5 5 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	1 0 1 0	2 1 3 1	0 0 0	2 1 3 1 1	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 0 1 2 0	00000	2 1 2 2 2	4 2 5 3 3
36 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	2 1 1 2 0	3 1 2 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 2	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	2 0 0 7	0 0 0	2 0 0 8	0 0 0 4	0 1 1 4	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	1 1 1	3 1 1 19
Total Cases	. 2	, 1	4	7	7	21	, 3	24	16	. 14	19	4	53	77
Average Sales Ratio (%)				32.3	48.4	41.2		29.5	30.1	22.6	21.3		28.3	28.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				7.3 13.2 20.5	14.4 41.6 56.0	8.3 29.5 37.8		6.2 41.6 47.8	4.3 30.5 34.8		5.5 13.9 19.4		3.1 33.2 36.3	3.6 34.5 38.1
Prop. of Ass'd, Value ^b	1.7	1.7	3.3	3.5	1.8	12.0	7.1	19.0	61.0	14.5	0.7	2.9	79.1	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Crowley County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwallings	by Age Clas	s s (years)		All		Agric. Land	All		Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With <u>Impts.</u>	Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 2 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 4	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 1 1 1	0 1 1 3 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 2 0 1 5	1 3 1 1 0	2 5 1 2 5	0 0 0 0	2 5 1 2 5	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	2 6 3 3 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	1 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 1 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 1 0 1	3 2 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 0	1 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 .1. 2	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 3 1 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0
Total Cases	0	· з	2	15	12	32	0	32	9	6	15	47
Average Sales Ratio (%)				24.8	21.8	24.7		24.7	24.5		24.8	24.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				4.0 5.4 9.4	1.8 14.2 16.0	2.9 8.2 11.1		2.9 8.2 11.1	2.0 10.4 12.4		2.5 8.4 10.9	2.6 8.4 11.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16.4	7.7	24.1	54.6	20.8	75.4	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Crowley County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	· e-Family I	Owellings	by Age C	Class (year	rs)		A11		Agric		A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1 <u>-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other Rural	· Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 4	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 3 6	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 4 6	1 0 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 1 1 1	1 1 3 3	1 1 2 7 9
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 4 2 1 5	1 6 3 3 1	10 6 5 6	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 10 6 5 6	0 2 1 3 2	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0 0	0 2 2 4 3	4 12 8 9
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0	1 1 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 3 2 1 0	1 1 3 0	2 5 5 2 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	2 5 5 3 2	2 2 1 0 1	1 0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0	3 3 1 1 3	5 8 6 4 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 .	0 0 2 1	4 2 1 1 0	4 3 3 3 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 4 3 3 1	1 3 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 0 2	5 7 3 3 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 1 1	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	1 1 1 4	3 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	3 1 0 2	4 2 1 6
Total Cases	. 0	10	3	32	30	75	. 6	0	81	24	13	6	43	124
Average Sales Ratio (%)		32.0		25.6	25.9	26.3	37.7		28.9	27.7	34.6		27.0	27.4
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		3.0 9.0 12.0		5.1 8.4 13.5	4.7 12.3 17.0	4.5 8.9 13.4	2.7 23.5 26.2		4.1 12.2 16.3	3.7 12.3 16.0	11.6 12.2 23.8		4.5 13.0 17.5	4.4 12.8 17.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	3.2	0.6	8.0	3.5	16.4	6.3	1.4	24.1	54.6	14.7	6.1	75.4	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One- Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 2 0	1 0 0 2	2 4 0 2 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0
Total Cases	13	1	14	4	18
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.7		25.5		26.5
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.4 10.9 15.3		4.2 11.1 15.3		2.5 5.4 7.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.6	3.2	11.8	87.9	99.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Custer County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	e-Family D	wellings	by Age C	lass (year	s)	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. Ru With	ural Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	· 0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 2 0 1	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 1 0 2	1 0 1 0	1 0 2 3 5	1 0 4 3 6
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 0 0	0 3 0 2 1	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 2 0 0	2 7 1 3 3	0 0 0 1	2 7 1 4 3	2 0 0 1	0 0 0 4	1 2 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	3 1 2 4	5 10 2 6 7
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 2 1 1 2	0 0 0 1	0 2 1 2 2	0 0 1 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1 0	1 3 1 3 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 0	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 0	2 2 0 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	3 0 1 1	0 . 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0	1 0 1 1	4 0 2 2
Total Cases	. 5	11	4	4	10	34	3	37	8	. 11	9	. 5	33	70
Average Sales Ratio (%)		22.8			30.5	26.8		27.1	23.5	30.2	20.4		22.3	22.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		2.3 10.2 12.5			3.5 11.0 14.5	3.6 11.1 14.7		2.8 19.8 22.6	7.5 6.5 14.0	10.5 0.3 10.8	3.2 9.6 12.8		6.5 7.7 14.2	6.1 8.8 14.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	0.3	0.4	1.6	5.3	8.6	3.2	11.8	71.2	9.5	4.6	2.6	87.9	99.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1961

	One	-Family D	Owellings	by Age	Class (yea	rs)	Commondani	All	Total		Land		ural Land - Without	Ta+21	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Commerical Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Impts.	Total Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0	0 1 1 2 5	0 2 2 5 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 2 2 6 8	1 3 3 3 6	2 2 1 0 2	2 1 1 3 4	0 0 0 0	5 6 5 6 13	5 8 7 12 21
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 1 4 2	4 2 2 3 1	1 2 0 2 1	2 5 1 2	7 3 4 2 1	14 13 8 13 6	1 0 0 0 3	0 0 0	15 13 8 13 9	4 1 4 1	0 0 0 1 0	3 1 1 0 2	0 2 1 0	7 4 6 2 3	22 17 14 15 12
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 0 0 0	2 3 0 1 1	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 0 0	8 6 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	8 6 1 1 2	4 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	6 4 1 0 1	14 10 2 1 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 0 0	4 1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 1	0 0 0	2 0 1 1	0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 1 1	0 0 0	2 0 1 2	4 0 2 3
Total Cases	11	27	9	15	29	91	9	· 1	101	33	11	26	6	76	177
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.0	23.9	24.9	20.8	19.9	22.4	32.7		24.5	19.2	16.3	21.9	23.1	18.9	21.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 2.2 3.8	5.0 6.9 11.9	3.7 3.9 7.6	2.0 3.4 5.4	2.6 3.5 6.1	2.9 4.0 6.9	5.9 18.4 24.3		3.4 7.0 10.4	4.4 4.7 9.1	5.5 13.2 18.7	6.2 7.6 13.8	2.6 7.4 10.0	4.6 6.1 10.7	4.3 6.4 10.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.0	6.4	3.3	0.1	52.8	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Delta County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	On	e-Family	Owellings	by Age	Class (yea	rs) All	Commercial	All	Total	Agric	<u>Land</u> Without	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings		Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 end " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 3 8	0 1 2 1 2	0 2 4 8 7	0 1 3 6 15	0 4 10 18 32	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 4 10 20 32	1 9 9 9	2 4 3 1 4	3 4 6 10	0 3 1 4 4	6 19 17 20 33	6 23 27 40 65
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 5 7 6	6 9 9 8 5	3 5 2 5 4	9 13 5 6 7	18 7 8 7 6	36 35 29 33 28	2 2 1 1 4	0 0 0 1	38 37 30 35 32	12 6 9 14 2	1 2 1 3 2	7 5 4 6	1 2 2 1 0	21 15 17 22 10	59 52 47 57 42
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 4 3 1 0	6 8 1 2 1	3 5 1 0	4 2 1 2 0	5 7 2 2 3	22 26 8 7 4	0 1 1 0	0 0 0	22 27 9 7 5	9 3 4 3 0	0 3 0 0	7 1 3 0	0 4 1 1	16 11 8 4 2	38 38 17 11 7
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	. 0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 0 1 2	5 4 2 1 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 4 2 1 3	3 1 0 1 2	2 0 0 1	3 2 0 1 0	0 2 0 0	8 5 0 3	14 9 2 4 6
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 2 0	0 0 0	0 0 2 0	1 1 4 1	4 2 1 3	0 0 0	5 3 5 4	2 0 0 0	0 0 1 3	1 0 1 6	0 0	3 1 2 9	8 4 7 13
Total Cases	31	, 74	37	70	101	313	25	3	341	114	34	78	29	255	596
Average Sales Ratio $(%)$	27.0	24.4	23.8	20.4	22.7	23,4	33.4		25.5	21.7	21.6	22.9	21.9	21.8	23.4
Measure of Vatiation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 3.1 5.6	4.3 5.7 10.0	3.7 6.0 9.7	3.4 5.1 8.5	4.7 7.2 11.9	3.9 5.5 9.4	8.9 16.5 25.4		4.9 7.8 12.7	5.6 5.8 11.4	7.9 10.1 18.0	6.2 6.7 12.9	6.3 10.0 16.3	6.0 6.3 12.3	5.6 6.9 12.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.7	7.1	2.6	6.9	8.7	32.0	12.3	2.1	46.4	43.0	6,4	3,3	0.1	52.8	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

·	****	One-Famil	y Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)		Multi-	0	T-1 -1 -1 -1	~
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 0	0 2 3 1 7	0 0 3 2 7	2 4 10 25 55	11 28 57 107 134	13 35 74 135 204	0 5 9 21 51	1 0 0 2 3	2 0 1 0	16 40 84 158 258
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 27 140 405	11 45 132 300 325	26 56 78 76 70	86 112 167 179 158	143 161 172 106 90	268 376 576 801 1,048	45 68 82 81 72	2 8 12 5 11	1 0 2 3 1	316 452 672 890 1,132
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	441 438 330 174 107	321 249 187 121 39	74 64 48 29 18	123 83 34 21 21	58 57 37 13 15	1,017 891 636 358 200	70 69 73 40 37	10 8 7 9 7	2 4 6 3 4	1,099 972 722 410 248
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	62 32 6 6 1	26 23 5 7 4	5 2 1 1 0	7 5 4 3 1	13 9 5 2 6	113 71 21 19 12	25 25 14 9 5	11 7 1 4 3	3 1 2 1 0	152 104 38 33 20
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 3 0 1 1	3 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	4 5 3 2	11 7 4 4	1 0 3 3	3 1 6 7	2 1 0 9	17 9 13 23
Total Cases	2,181	1,812	561	1,102	1,238	6,894	808	128	48	7,878
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.5	28.7	27.4	25.1	21.9	27.6	27.8	31.3	38.3	29.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.6 5.3	3.0 3.0 6.0	4.2 3.5 7.7	3.4 3.4 6.8	4.3 4.3 8.6	3.2 3.2 6.4	5.7 5.1 10.8	5.7 8.7 14.4	8.3 8.5 16.8	4.6 5.2 9.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.0	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.4	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Denver County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	***************************************	One-Fami	ly Dwelling	s by Age Cl		Multi-		* 1	T-4-1	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Commercial Buildings	Industrial Buildings	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	3 1 2 0 8	0 2 5 2 10	1 2 3 4 19	4 17 30 51 108	20 64 1 32 234 295	28 86 172 291 440	2 12 20 34 85	2 1 3 2 5	2 0 1 1 3	34 99 196 328 533
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	7 12 49 235 723	30 90 242 598 779	39 83 134 159 176	178 256 419 470 505	332 372 428 341 286	586 813 1,272 1,803 2,469	117 155 183 184 203	9 16 21 13 23	2 2 7 15 5	714 986 1,483 2,015 2,700
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1,046 1,258 1,322 1,071 725	825 783 541 346 168	186 175 140 82 55	391 262 156 104 68	212 164 117 64 55	2,660 2,642 2,276 1,667 1,071	198 209 198 143 112	31 15 21 23 21	15 13 9 10 14	2,904 2,879 2,504 1,843 1,218
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	420 198 84 44 23	94 61 24 16 14	28 17 10 8 0	35 23 20 10 7	32 27 15 13	609 326 153 91 56	94 77 50 29 18	26 20 10 9 8	11 10 7 6 4	740 433 220 135 86
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	7 7 1 17	10 8 7 15	4 3 1 2	8 8 5 8	10 8 8 17	39 34 22 59	. 9 15 8 17	10 8 10 29	5 10 2 18	63 67 42 123
Total Cases	7,263	4,670	1,331	3,143	3,258	19,665	2,172	336	172	22,345
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.4	29.5	28.7	26.2	22.9	28.9	29.2	33.8	36.3	30.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 3.1 6.0	3.0 3.0 6.0	4.1 3.5 7.6	3.5 3.4 6.9	4.5 4.7 9.2	3.3 3.4 6.7	5.9 5.2 11.1	6.8 8.2 15.0	7.6 8.4 16.0	4.9 5.2 10.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	21.1	10.4	4.3	10.4	5.0	51.2	9.5	25.0	12.4	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 4 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 4 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 4 0 2 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	. 0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	16	. 0	16	1	17
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.9	the term also have	26.0		
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.8 7.2 11.0		3.9 7.1 11.0		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	18.8	23.7	75.8	99.5

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Counc

Dolores County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

		One-Fami	ly Dwelling	s by Age C	lass (years)		All	~-4-1	Agric. Land	All	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	. <u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Other <u>Roral</u>	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 1 0	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 2 1	0 3 0 2 2	0 4 1 3 2
18 " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 0 0	3 3 5 1 3	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 3 0	3 8 5 5 4	0 0 0 0	3 8 5 5 4	1 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	3 2 0 0 1	6 10 5 5 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 1	2 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	0 1 2 1	4 3 4 3 2	0 0 1 0	4 3 5 3 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 5 3 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	. 0 1 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 3 0	00000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 3 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 1	0 0	0 0 0 2	1 1 0 3
Total Cases	6	. 19	11	· 6	9	51	2	53	7	8	15	68
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.8	23.6	33,4	30.4	30.3	28.0	***	28.0	18.9		22.5	23.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.3 5.2 13.5	2.4 3.9 6.3	10.0 15.1 25.1	5.4 1.1 6.5	5.5 3.5 9.0	5.5 5.4 10.9		5.5 5.4 10.9	6.0 6.6 12.6		7.9 7.5 15.4	7.9 6.9 14.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.2	4.9	2.6	1.8	2.4	4.9	8.8	23.7	28.2	47.6	75.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

•		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years	A11	All Other	Total	Misc. Ru With	ral Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio by Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 1 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 5 5	0 0	0 0 2 6 6	0 0 2 7 10
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0000	1 2 3 1 3	0 0 1 0	1 2 4 1 4	0 0 1 1 0	1 6 7 9 2	0 1 0 1	1 7 8 11 3	2 9 12 12 7
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 3 11 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0	0 0 0	2 1 3 12 3	0 0 0	2 1 3 12 3	0 1 1 1	8 7 1 1	0 0 0 0	8 9 8 2 2	10 10 11 14 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	00000	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0.00	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 1 0 2
Total Cases	20	6	1	6	4	37	2	39	6	66	5	77	116
Average Sales Ratio (%)	35.0	25.0		23.5		25.9		25.3	32.2	25.4		18.8	20.0
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.0	2.5 2.5 5.0		2.5 6.0 8.5		1.1 2.0 3.1		0.9 2.4 3.3	7.2 2.8 10.0	4.2 5.7 9.9		2.9 7.0 9.9	2.7 6.1 8.8
Prop. of Ass'd, Value	8.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	7.5	22.7	10.0	0.6	64.8	75.4	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Douglas County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	•															
•			•		Class (year	All	Commercial		Total	Agric. Land Without	With	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	nural	MULT	COUNTY	
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 2 4	0 0 0 3 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 3 6	0 1 3 1 5	0 0 0 0	0 4 15 9 11	0 0 0	0 5 18 11 17	0 5 18 14 23	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 2 4	0 1 4 4 5	1 1 0 0	1 2 4 0	1 1 0 3 0	3 5 8 9	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 5 9 9	0 5 3 1 1	0 1 2 7 0	7 19 12 21 6	0 2 1 1 0	7 27 18 30 7	10 32 27 39 17	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 3 4 11 4	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	7 4 4 12 5	3 0 0 0	0 0 0	10 4 4 12 5	0 2 0 0	2 3 5 1 2	15 · 12 · 9 · 5 · 1	0 0 0 0	17 17 14 6 3	27 21 18 18 8	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0000	2 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2 0	0 0 0	2 2 1 0	0 7 0 1 0	0 0 0	2 9 1 1 0	4 9 1 3 0	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 30 and Over	0 0 0 0 .	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 4	0 0	0 1 1 4	0 1 1 4	
Total Cases	33	18	3	12	12	78	6	. 0	84	22	29	160	5	216	300	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.0	25.8		21.5	19.1	26.3	28.4		26.7	18.9	29.2	23.4	~	21.0	22.1	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 2.8 5.6	2.0 2.0 4.0		1.5 4.6 6.1	2.6 5.6 8.2	2.4 3.8 6.2	1.4 1.3 2.7		2.1 3.3 5.4	2.7 4.1 6.8	4.3 6.3 10.6	5.1 6.8 11.9		1.5 3.7 5.2	1.8 3.5 5.3	
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	в.0	1.6	0.6	2.1	2.9	15.2	4.2	3.2	22.7	3.2	10.0	0.6	61.6	75.4	98.1	

a. Range in parcentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

Coassed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Clas	ss (years)		All	T-4-1	T = 1 = 1	T-1-1
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 2	1 0 1 0 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	0000	2 1 0 1	0000	0 0 0 2 1	2 1 0 3 1	0 1 0 0	2 2 0 3 1	0 1 0 0	2 3 0 3 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 1	0 0 0	1 2 0 1 1	1 1 2 0 0	2 3 2 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0	0 1
Total Cases	0	4	5	2	7	18	1	19	9	28
Average Sales Ratio (%)					27,8	25.9		25.9	19.1	20.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			,		3.0 25.1 28.1	1.3 9.3 10.6		1.3 9.3 10.6	4.4 8.3 12.7	4.5 7.9 12.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	3.7	3.6	5.4	3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	72,0	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Eagle County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	e-Family D	wellings b	y Age Cla	ss (years)	All	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. Rural Land With	All Other	. Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u> .	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 1	2 0 0 2 5	2 1 1 4 6
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 1 3 0	0 0 1 0	1 2 0 2 2	3 3 2 5 3	0 1 0 0	3 4 2 5 3	0 0 1 0	0 2 0 3 1	1 0 0 0	1 2 1 3 2	4 6 3 8 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 1 0	3 2 1 1 2	1 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 1 0	5 2 3 4	0 1 0 1	5 6 2 4 5	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 2 3 0 0	5 5 4 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 1 0	1 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0	2 3 1 3	0 0 0 0	2 3 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0000	2 3 1 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 3	0 1 0 0	0 1 2 3	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0000	0 0 0 2	0 1 2 5
Total Cases	. 1	9	25	6	14	55	5	60	8	12	. 6	26	86
Average Sales Ratio (%)		36.3	26.5	24.9	28.3	28,0		31.1	24.8	28.0		20.1	22.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		5.8 5.5 11,3	4.0 11.3 15.3	1.9 12.6 14.5	6.8 10.7 17.5	4.0 10.6 14.6		5.9 8.2 14.1	8.1 4.2 12.3	5.1 5.0 10.1		5.5 7.2 12.7	5.8 7.1 12.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3,3	3.7	3.6	5.4	3.1	19.1	8.5	27.6	43.7	16.8	11.5	72.0	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 1	0 .1 .1 .2 .2	0 1 1 2 0	0 2 2 4 2	0 2 2 7 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 1 0 0 3	0 0 0 0	3 1 0 0 3	0 3 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 5 0 0	3 6 0 0 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0 0	· 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	14	0	14	10	6	16	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.4		22.4	17.8		17.5	17.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	5.7 7.7 13.4		5.7 7.7 13.4	3.3 3.2 6.5		3.1 3.5 6. 6	3.3 3.6 6.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.9	0.0	9.9	85.0	0.5	90.0	99.9

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Elbert County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	,													
•	One	-Family [Dwellings	by Age C	lass (year	·s)	Ci-1	All	T-4-1		c. Land	All	T-4-1	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	· <u>1 -8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 2 0 4 1	0 0 1 1 2	0 2 1 6 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 1 6 5	0 2 5 4 4	2 2 5 4 1	0 0 1 0	2 4 10 9 5	2 6 11 15 10
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0	1 3 0 0 2	4 0 2 0 1	2 1 1 0 1	8 4 3 0 6	1 0 1 0	0 0 0	9 4 4 0 6	9 3 2 2 1	0 3 1 0	0 1 0 0	9 7 3 2 2	18 11 7 2 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 2 0 1	2 3 0 3 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 0 3 2	2 3 1 0	0 0 0 0	00000	2 3 1 0	5 6 1 3 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0000	1 0 1 1 0	2 1 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 3	1 0 1	0 0 0	1 2 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1	1 2 0 5
Total Cases	. 3	2	12	18	15	50	7	1	58	40	20	3	63	121
Average Sales Ratio (%)			23.0	21.2	23.2	23.5	32.8		26.4	18.8	13.7		18.4	19.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			3.0 17.8 20.8	6.0 5.8 11.8	5.4 10.9 16.3	5.5 8.2 13.7	12.8 18.8 31.6		7.8 11.4 19.2	3.3 6.2 9.5	1.3 7.0 8.3		3.1 6.3 9.4	3.5 6.6 10.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.1	0.5	0.9	2.8	1.0	6.3	3.6	0.0	9.9	85.0	5.0	0.0	90.0	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

El Paso County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	-Family	Dwellina	s by Age	Class (y	ears)	Multi-		A11		Agric. Land	Misc. R	ural Land	All		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Family	Commercial Buildings	Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	With	Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 1 14	0 1 1 6	0 0 2 6 4	0 6 14 21 28	0 17 37 50 57	0 25 54 84 114	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0	0 25 55 84 118	0 1 2 2 0	0 4 5 2 5	0 1 3 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 6 10 4 7	0 31 65 88 125
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	17 34 84 153 252	32 34 55 60 65	8 7 6 5	18 14 11 11 5	51 46 32 24 21	126 136 189 254 348	0 1 1 3 6	1 6 3 1	1 0 1 0	128 143 194 258 356	0 3 0 1 1	4 5 6 2 4	0 2 0 1 1	1 0 0 0	5 10 6 4 6	133 153 200 262 362
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	297 225 155 86 44	42 26 13 14 8	0 0 3 0	7 4 4 1 1	13 12 9 9 6	359 267 184 110 59	3 8 5 3 3	2 1 4 0	0 0 0 0	364 276 190 117 62	20000	6 1 1 2 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	7 1 1 2 2	371 277 191 119 64
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	33 13 2 3 0	4 5 1 1 0	1 1 0 0	2 0 1 1 0	3 8 · 1 1	43 27 6 6 1	3 3 3 2 1	3 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	49 31 10 8	1 0 0 1	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2 0	50 32 10 10 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 0	0 0 .0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 2	1 1 1	2 2 1 3	1 2 1 1	0 1 1 1 ·	0 0 0	3 5 3 5	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	3 5 4 5
Total Cases	1,414	379	53	152	402	2,400	50	33	3	2,486	12	51	11	2	76	2,562
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.0	25.4	21.5	19.6	19.8	24.7	34.3	26.1		25.1	17.3	22.5	19.3		21.3	24.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.8 5.4	3.0 3.5 6.5	3.2 4.1 7.3	3.9 4.8 8.7	3.9 5.2 9.1	3.2 3.8 7.0	5.3 6.7 12.0	5.3 10.3 15.6		3.6 5.0 8.6	3.3 8.7 12.0	5.8 5.9 11.7	6.1 7.2 13.3		5.3 6.3 11.6	4.0 5.2 9.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.1	7,7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1	15.5	3.2	82.5	1.6	12.1	1.7	0.4	15,8	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

El Paso County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (y	ears).	Multi-	Commercial	Industri	al Tatal		c. Land Without	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	Ages		Buil dings				Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 12 7 10 27	0 2 7 21 35	0 2 10 17 20	11 20 47 74 87	21 48 103 150 145	33 84 174 272 314	0 2 1 0 2	4 2 7 3 15	0 0 0 0 2	37 88 182 275 333	5 7 8 7 4	4 0 1 2 5	3 14 11 13 13	11 3 8 0 4	23 24 28 22 26	60 112 210 297 359
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	55 112 240 501 798	82 101 146 152 136	24 27 27 17 12	65 53 29 29 17	139 124 93 79 43	365 417 535 778 1,006	1 3 5 6	8 12 11 4 6	1 1 1 3 2	375 433 552 791 1,023	5 1 3 4	1 1 2 0 0	14 17 19 16 17	1 2 1 4 1	21 25 23 23 22	396 458 575 814 1,045
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	807 668 483 277 131	82 50 35 21 11	3 2 5 0	17 11 6 4 3	34 27 21 19 12	943 758 555 32 1 157	7 17 13 12 7	6 3 1 7 2	1 0 0	957 779 569 340 167	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	11 5 3 4 3	1 0 0 1	14 5 3 5 3	971 784 572 345 170
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	74 32 5 6 4	12 8 1 2 0	2 1 1 0 0	2 1 4 1 0	7 15 1 3 1	97 57 12 12 5	13 12 3 5	5 2 1 1	0 0 1 0	115 71 17 18 10	1 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 3 1 0	1 2 0 0	3 5 4 3 0	118 76 21 21 10
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	5 2 1 3	2 3 1 1	0 1 0 1	3 1 0 5	1 2 1 3	11 9 3 13	3 6 1 2	0 1 2 3	1 0 0	15 16 6 18	· 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 1 0 4	0 0 1 0	1 2 4	17 17 8 22
Total Cases	4,266	911	172	490	1,092	6,931	133	107	16	7,187	57	18	175	41	291	7,478
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.9	24.8	20.8	18.7	19.2	24.2	34.2	23.9	29.4	24.5	16.7	13.3	22.4	13.9	20.1	23.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 3.0 5.6	3.2 3.2 6.4	3.4 3.4 6.8	3.5 4.0 7.5	3.9 4.7 8.6	3.2 3.6 6.8	5.0 6.1 11.1	6.5 7.6 14.1	6.4 5.6 12.0	3.9 4.5 8.4	4.1 7.8 11.9	0.3 7.7 8.0	6.0 4.9 10.9	4.4 10.5 14.9	5.3 6.2 11.5	4.2 4.8 9.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	32.1	7.7	2.3	7.4	11.3	60.8	3.1	15.5	3.2	82.5	1.6	0.4	12.1	1.7	15.8	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Fremont County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		•								^!-					
•	One	e-Family D	wellings	by Age (Class (year	rs) All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19 - 28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 3 4	0 2 6 12 11	0 3 7 15 16	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 4 7 16 17	0 0 0 0	0 1 6 5 4	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 5 5 6	0 6 13 21 23
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 6 13	0 0 3 1 2	1 0 1 0	3 4 0 1 2	5 8 10 2 3	10 13 17 10 21	2 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	12 13 18 10 22	1 3 0 0	6 4 4 5 1	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 0	7 5 9 5 3	19 18 27 15 25
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	14 15 10 6 1	2 2 1 0	0 0 2 0	1 0 0 1 0	2 3 2 3 1	19 20 15 10 2	0 0 1 0	0 0 2 0	19 20 18 10 2	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 1	0. 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 2 2 1	20 24 20 12 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 1 0 0 2	6 1 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 2	6 2 2 0 4
43 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 6C and Over	0 0 0	1 1 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 1 0 2	1 2 3 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 3 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 3 2
Total Cases	73	16	5	23	78	195	6	4	205	6	47	9	1	63	268
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.7	28.8		20.5	20.6	23.4	18.8		22.3	22.2	21.2	22.6		21.4	21.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.7 5.3	4.8 7.2 12.0		4.1 5.7 9.8	4.7 7.1 11.8	4.2 6.0 10.2	3.8 8.2 12.0		4.1 6.6 10.7	1.2 1.5 2.7	5.3 5.3 10.6	5.4 9.4 14.8		4.4 4.6 9.0	4.2 5.8 10.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.6	1,9	6.8	19.7	43.6	11.9	3.8	59.3	7.8	27.3	0.3	3.6	39.0	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Fremont County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total		Land Without	Misc. R With	ural Land . Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u>23-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Urban	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 13	0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 3 3	0 0 0 2 3	1 4 6 15 21	0 8 32 33 41	1 12 38 53 71	0 1 0 0	0 2 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 15 41 55 72	0 2 2 3 4	0 0 1 1	1 7 6 8	0 2 1 1 6	1 5 11 11 19	2 20 52 66 91
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 6 17 23 36	7 3 9 12 11	1 0 2 3 1	11 13 6 8 5	38 30 28 22 9	60 52 6 2 68 62	0 0 0 1 1	3 3 0 3 3	0 0 0	63 55 63 72 66	6 3 7 2 1	0 0 1 1 0	8 10 9 6 4	0 2 3 2 3	14 15 20 11 8	77 70 83 93 74
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	46 36 25 17 6	11 3 2 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	3 0 1 2 0	7 6 10 5 3	67 46 40 24 9	1 0 3 0 0	2 1 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	70 47 45 24 10	1 3 2 0 1	0 1 0 1	4 3 2 2 2	1 2 2 1 1	6 9 6 4 4	76 56 51 28 14
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	60000	2 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 4 0 0 3	13 4 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	13 4 1 2 3	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 0 0	2 0 3 1 2	C 2 0 0	2 3 4 1	15 7 5 3 6
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 1 1 0	0 0 0	0. 1 3 0	0 2 2 4	1 4 6 4	0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0	2 4 6 6	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	3 5 6 7
Total Cases	224	71	15	101	291	702	8	29	. 1	740	39	10	82	29	160	900
Avarage Sales Ratio (%)	2 9.9.	25.9	22.7	19.6	19.7	22.2	25.5	22.7		22.4	20.3	18.7	22.3	24.1	21.5	22.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.0 5.7	3.5 3.1 6.6	5.5 4.0 9.5	3.7 4.0 7.7	3.7 5.0 8.7	3.6 4.2 7.8	4.5 7.2 11.7	5.1 8.8 13.9		3.9 5.2 9.1	2.9 6.2 9.1	1.7 22.3 24.0	4.9 6.5 11,4	7.0 6.7 13.7	4.1 8.1 12.2	4.0 6.4 10.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	10.6	5.6	1.9	6.8	.18.7	43.6	0.9	11.9	2.9	59.3	7.8	3.6	27.3	0.3	39.0	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Garfield County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

•	On	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (yea	rs) All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. Ru With	<u>iral Land</u> Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	Ages	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 3	0 5 1 6 2	0 5 1 7 7	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 2 8 7	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 1 1 0	0 2 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 4 3 2 2	0 9 5 10 9
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 2 4 3	2 3 1 3 1	1 1 0 1	1 0 2 0 1	5 0 0 0	9 4 6 7 7	1 1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	10 5 8 8 7	2 1 0 0	0 3 1 2 1	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 1	3 5 1 3	13 10 9 11 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	9 2 2 4 1	1 0 1 0 0	0 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	11 2 4 6 3	00000	0 0 0 0	11 2 4 6 3	1 0 0 1	0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 1 0	14 3 5 7 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 1 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 3 0	0.000	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	3 4 1 3 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	. 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	1 1 0 2
Total Cases	32	15	8	10	23	88	10	0	98	8	14	7	4	. 33	131
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.8	24.9	25.8	20.1	16.6	22.7	25.1		23.6	20.5	23.4	17.9		20.3	21.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.8 4.2 8.0	4.4 3.6 8.0	3.8 9.2 13.0	3.1 6.9 10.0	3.1 2.7 5.8	3.5 4.2 7.7	6.1 19.4 25.5		4.5 9.6 14.1	2.5 11.5 14.0	3.1 5.1 8.2	6.1 12.1 18.2		3.3 10.0 13.3	3.8 9.8 13.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.2	5.0	1.9	2.7	6.7	25,5	15.6	1.3	42.4	39.1	7.2	4.4	5.8	56.5	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Garfield County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One -	Family Dw	vellings b	y Age Cla	ass (years	A11	Commercial	All	Total	Agric.	<u>Land</u> Without	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	· <u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	Ages	Buildings		Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 4 2 2	0 1 1 0	1 0 3 2 6	4 10 10 14 4	5 15 16 18 16	0 0 1 3 1	0 0 0 1	5 15 17 21 18	1 0 1 1 5	0 1 2 1 0	0 3 3 5 4	0 4 4 1 3	1 8 10 8 12	6 23 27 29 30
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 23	1 4 8 6 9	7 7 3 7 8	3 1 1 1	2 2 2 0 1	7 6 3 2 3	20 20 17 16 22	1 1 4 2 0	0 1 0 0	21 22 21 18 22	2 3 2 3 2	1 4 0 2 0	1 5 2 6 3	2 2 0 2 0	6 14 4 13 5	27 36 25 31 27
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	21 16 10 5 2	3 4 8 2 2	1 1 0 2 1	2 0 0 0 2	2 0 2 1 2	29 21 20 10 9	1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	30 23 20 10 10	2 1 2 2 3	0 0	4 2 1 1	1 2 2 0 2	8 5 3 6	38 28 25 13 16
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	5 1 2 0 1	1 2 1 1	1 0 0 0	1 2 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	8 6 3 2 2	2 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	10 6 4 4 2	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	4 2 1 0 1	14 8 5 4 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 2 5	0 0 0	0 1 2 6	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 1	2 3 3 7
Total Cases	93	69	16	27	72	277	28	3	3 08	36	12	47	27	122	430
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	24.9	19.7	19.1	16.7	22.1	28.1	.~~~	23.9	26.5	17.0	24.6	19,5	24.2	24.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 2.9 5.8	5.4 7.3 12.7	1.0 13.1 14.1	2.9 10.1 13.0	3.9 5.0 8.9	3.5 6.2 9.7	6.1 22.8 28.9		4.2 11.7 15.9	7.5 9.5 17.0	3.0 6.1 9.1	8.2 5.0 13.2	6.1 11.7 17.8	6.8 8.6 15.4	5.7 9.9 15.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	9.2	5.0	1.9	2,7	6.7	25.5	15.6	1.3	42.4	39.1	5.8	7.2	4.4	56.5	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 1 0 0	0 1 1 0 0 0	1 2 1 0	0 0 1 4	7 40 10 5 6	1 0 0 0	8 40 11 9 6	9 42 12 9 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	2 5 2 4 0	1 7 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	3 12 2 5 1	3 12 2 7 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 1 0	3 2 1 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 1	0 3 0 2
Total Cases	7	3	10	27	78	1	106	116
Average Sales Ratio (%)	15.0		15.5	22.0	11.5		14.8	15.0
Measure of Variationa Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 31.2 34.1		3.6 29.3 32.9	2.2 8.2 10,4	0.9 3.1 4.0		1.5 4.4 5.9	2.0 8.9 10.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.4	7.7	18.1	30.7	38.8	11.4	80.9	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Lagislative Council.

Gilpin County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Misc. Ru With Impts.	ral Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	. 2 3 4 3 4	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0	2 4 6 3 4	0 0 3 7 3	7 61 16 10 8	2 1 0 0	9 62 20 17 11	11 66 26 20 15
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 1 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	3 1 1 3 0	7 13 6 6	5 10 2 3 1	0 0 0 0	12 23 8 9 1	15 24 9 12 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2	8 2 3 2 1	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 3 3 2 1	10 4 3 2 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 1 0 0	2 2 1 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 2 0 2	0 3 1 1	0 4 1 0	0 0 0	0 7 2 1	0 9 2 3
Total Cases	31	6	0	37	69	131	4	204	241
Average Sales Ratio (%)	15.8	16.7		16.1	23.1	12.2		14.3	14.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 8.2 11.0	4.2 35.8 40.0		3.3 18.9 22.2	3.9 6.6 10.5	1.4 4.9 6.3		2.2 4.6 6.8	2.4 6.8 9.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	10.4	6.7	1.0	18.1	30.7	38.8	11.4	80.9	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the country Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	e-Family D	wellings	by Age C	lass (year	(s)	Commental	All	Takal		ural Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 2 0 2	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 3 3 0 2	0 0 2 0 1	0 1 2 2 2	1 0 0 0 2	1 1 4 2 5	2 4 7 2 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 2 1 2	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 2	0 1 0 1	1 0 0 0 2	4 1 2 2 7	2 1 1 1	0 0 0 0	6 2 3 8	1 0 2 1 0	0 3 5 7 1	0 1 0 0 0	1 4 7 8 1	7 6 10 11
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 0 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 1	1 1 1 1	0 0 0	6 3 4 3 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	6 4 4 4 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 2 0	0000	02200	6 6 6 4 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 4 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 . 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 1	0 1 1 4
Total Cases	15	4	11	11	6	47	10	1	58	. 8	29	5	42	100
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28,4		27.1	25.0	23.0	26.0	23.6		25.0	19.2	24.5		16.9	19.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.9 4.8 7.7		5.9 8.9 14.8	3.0 11.5 14.5	6.0 4.5 10.5	4.2 6.7 10.9	4.1 7.4 11.5		4.2 6.9 11.1	4.0 4.8 8.8	4.5 1.4 5.9		4.9 4.4 9,3	4.7 5.2 9.9
Prop. of Assid. Valueb	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.7	18.4	0.1	45.2	17.6	1.1	34.0	52.7	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Grand County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	On	e-Family [)wellings	by Age (Class (yea:	rs)	Campanaial	All Other	Total	Agir	c. Land	Misc. R	ural Land	T -+-1	T ()
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Commercial Buildings	<u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Imots.	Without Impts.		Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	. Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 1 0	. 0 0 0 0 3	1 0 2 0 0	0 3 1 1 2	0 1 1 0 2	2 4 5 1 7	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	2 5 6 2 8	1 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 3	2 3 8 9 8	3 4 11 10 14	5 9 17 12 22
13 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 7 4	1 0 1 0	4 0 1 3 2	1 3 2 1 1	1 0 0 0 2	8 4 7 11 10	2 2 2 2 2 1	0 0 0 0	10 6 9 13 11	1 0 0 1 0	0 0	5 6 4 6 2	2 14 8 8 3	9 21 12 15 5	19 27 21 28 16
23 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	8 1 2 1	3 3 1 ,1	2 2 3 0 2	2 2 2 1 2	0 1 0 2 1	15 9 8 5 7	2 1 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	17 10 9 7 8	0 1 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 4 1 1 3	1 2 4 0	1 8 5 1 4	18 19 14 8 12
33 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 0	1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 6 1 0 0	1 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	2 8 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 4 0 0	1 4 0 1 0	3 12 1 1 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 3	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 2 1 7	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 2 2 7	. 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 1 0	0 2 1 1	0 2 2 3	0 4 4 10
Total Cases	35	15	29	29	13	121	25	-1	147.	8	7	41	80	136	283
average Sales Ratio (%)	27.6	25.5	28.1	23.4	26.7	26.4	25.9		26.2	17.2	3 9.6	22.6	19.7	19.8	22.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.1 2.9 6.0	5.5 6.0 11.5	5.9 10.1 16.0	4.9 12.1 17.0	9.5 9.1 18.6	4.9 6.8 11.7	4.7 11.6 16.3		4.8 8.7 13.5	1.2 10.8 12.0	20.1 19.5 38.6	3.3 7.8 11.1	4.1 5.3 9.9	2.7 10.1 12.8	3.5 9.6 13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.6	5.5	4.1	4.3	2.2	26.7	18.4	0.1	45.2	29.6	4.4	17.6	1.1	52.7	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	•	One-Famil	y Dwellings	s by Age C	lass (years)		A11		Misc. Rural Land	A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	0 6 3 3 2	0 6 5 4	0 0 0	0 6 6 5 4	1 2 2 1	0 0 2 2 2	1 2 4 3 3	1 8 10 8 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 5 6	1 0 1 1	0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 5 2 0 0	4 7 6 6 7	2 0 1 0 1	6 7 7 6 8	0 0	0000	0 0 1 0 0	6 7 8 6 8
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 1 2 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 1	9 3 2 2 2	· 0 0 0 1	9 3 2 3 2	0 0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 0	9 3 2 3 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	26	9	4	3 .	2 9	71	6	77	10	6	16	93
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.0	25.5			17.1	20.3		22.1	15.7		15.6	17.5
Measure of Variation ^a Balow Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 2.8 5.1	3.5 4.3 7.8			4.3 5.7 10.0	4.1 5.6 9.7		3.9 7.3 11.2	4.2 7.3 11.5		2.3 2.0 4.3	1.5 6.6 3.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.7	15.3	36.0	4.2	58.4	62.6	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Gunnison County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Or 1-8	ne-Family 9-18	Dwelling:	s by Age 29-48	Class (ye	ars) All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land Without Impts.	Misc. Ro With Impts.	ural Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	1 1 1 3 0	0 3 2 4 4	1 11 7 14 3	2 15 11 23 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 15 11 23 8	0 1 1 2 0	3 0 3 0 3	3 2 4 2 3	0 0 2 0 0	6 3 10 4 6	8 18 21 27 14
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 3 14 13	1 2 1 2	1 3 3 0	2 2 0 1 2	8 12 7 4 3	13 18 14 21 19	2 0 1 0	1 0 0, 0	16 18 15 21 20	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 1 1 0	2 0 3 3	18 18 18 24 21
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	8 4 2 0	3 1 0 1	0 0 1	1 0 0 0	5 3 2 2 2	17 8 4 4	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	17 8 4 5	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 2	17 10 4 5 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 3 0 1 0	5 4 0 1 0	2 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	7 5 0 2 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 1 0 0	0000	1 1 2 1 0	9 6 2 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	. 0 . 0 1 2	0 0 1 3	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 1 6
Total Cases	49	16	16	20	. 94	195	11 .	1	207	6	15	23	5	49	256
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.4	25.5	22.6	18.9	18.7	21,3	32.0		24.6	14.7	15,9	18.5		17.2	19.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.6 2.3 3.9	4.5 4.5 9.0	7.9 0.7 8.6	4.9 3.1 8.0	4.1 9.5 13.6	4.1 5.4 9.5	8.0 12,0 20,0		5.4 7.4 12.8	1.7 12.3 14.0	3.4 8.6 12.0	6.1 16.6 22.7		4.2 7.7 11.9	4.6 7.5 12.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.6	3.4	1.6	4.3	6.8	20.7	13.5	1.8	36.0	8.3	7.5	4.2	42.6	62.6	98.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	3	. 0	3
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.7		
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 5.8 9.0		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	96.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Hinsdale County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 3 2 3 1	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 3 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 1 1	0 0 1 0	0 2 2 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	20	2	22
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.8		18.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	0.8 10.2 11.0		1.8 14.5 16.3
Prop. of Ass!d. Value ^b	26.9	69.8	·96 . 7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the

Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years)	All	Tatal	Agric. Land	Misc. Rural Land	All Other	Total	Total
Siles Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 0 1	1 2 0 0 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0	4 2 0 1	2 2 1 2 2	6 4 2 4 3	0 0 0 0	6 4 2 4 3	0 1 0 0	2 0 1 0 1	0 2 0 1 0	2 3 1 1	8 7 3 5 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0 3	0 1 1 0	2 2 3 0 5	0 0 1 0	2 2 4 0 5	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	3 2 5 0 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	1 1 1 1	0 0 0 1	1 1 1 2 1	. 1 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0	0 1 2 2 0	. 2 3 4 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 - 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0	0 0 0 5	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 6	. 0 2 0 0	0 3 0 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 0 6
Total Cases	1	- 5	3	24	14	47	4	51	7	7	5	19	70
Average Sales Ratio (%)				31.7	25.3	28.9		33.0	15.6	26.3		26.2	29.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				12.2 14.3 16.5	3.8 7.7 11.5	7.8 10.9 18.7		6.7 10.5 17.2	3.1 23.9 27.0	5.9 14.2 20.1		5.7 8.1 13.8	6.2 9.2 15.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.2	2.1	2.3	15.5	11.0	32.1	19.0	51.1	1.4	5.9	40.8	48.1	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Huerfano County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	-Family C	wellings b	oy Age C	lass (year:	<u>s)</u>		All		Agric			ural Land		
Gales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Imots.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 ard " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 2	2 2 1 3 4	1 1 2 2 3	3 3 7 10	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	. 3 3 7 10	1 1 3 2 1	4 3 2 6 3	0 2 0 1 0	3 1 1 0	8 7 6 9 5	11 10 9 16 15
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 2 2	6 4 3 1 8	4 1 4 2	10 9 5 . 8 14	0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	10 10 5 10 14	3 3 1 0	. 0 2 0 0	2 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	5 4 2 1	15 15 9 12 15
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0 0	0 2 1 1	0 1 1 1	7 5 4 1 6	4 7 4 1 2	12 16 10 4 10	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	12 16 11 4	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 0 2 0 0	15 16 13 4 10
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	1 1 3 2 1	1 0 1 0	4 2 4 2 2	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 2 5 3 2	0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 2 0	4 3 7 5 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 2 0 8	2 3 1 4	2 5 1 16	0 3 1 2	0 0 0 2	2 8 2 20	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0	0 0 1 2	2 8 3 22
Total Cases	6 .	10	16	75	55	162	12	2	176	22	23	14	6	65	241
Average Sales Ratio (%)	24.2	26.8	32.6	29.3	27.1	28.3	43.4		32.5	19.5	14.3	22.7	10.2	19.6	24.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 6.8 10.0	0.3 8.2 8.5	7.6 6.4 14.0	8.9 8.5 17.4	6.7 10.1 16.8	7.2 8.9 16.1	14.2 11.6 25.8		9.2 9.6 18.8	5.0 4.2 9.2	3.1 3.2 6.3	5.2 10.3 14.5	2.7 2.8 5.5	4.8 4.8 9.6	6.6 6.6 13.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.2	2.1	2.3	15.5	11.0	32.1	18.6	0.4	51.1	39.9	1.4	5,9	0.9	48.1	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One- Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 1 2	0 0 0	1 0 2 1 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	. 6	1	7	2	9
Average Sales Ratio (%)	19.7		19.1		
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.1 4.2 5.3		0.5 4.8 5.3		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jackson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 3	1 0 1 1 0	1 0 1 1 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 3 3 3	1 0 0 0 1	2 1 3 3 4	0 0 1 1 0	2 1 4 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 0 1 1	0 1 0 1 0	3 2 0 2 1	0 1 1 0 0	3 3 1 2 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2
Total Cases	23	5	28	8	36
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.3		33.6	14.4	16.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.3 6.9 10.2		7.7 8.9 16.6	1.1 16.1 17.2	1.9 15.2 17.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.3	6.8	20.1	79.6	99.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

											Rem	Misc. Ru	ral Land				
	One-	-Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total		Denver Without	Near D With	enver Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>			Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0 2	0 4 7 10 18	1 8 3 8 14	0 9 8 18 13	0 6 · 4 7 8	1 27 23 43 55	0 0 0 2 3	0 0 0 4 2	0 0 0 0	1 27 23 49 60	0 27 24 24 29	0 5 11 5 2	0 1 3 2 3	0 3 7 6 7	0 0 0 1 0	0 36 45 38 41	1 63 68 87 101
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 23	9 33 89 179 253	48 57 67 105 67	22 21 13 7 9	20 11 6 10 6	16 12 6 4 3	115 134 181 305 338	0 1 3 7 9	2 0 2 2 2	0 0 0	117 135 186 314 349	27 22 11 12 5	8 12 3 8 4	6 5 12 11 24	3 5 1 3 1	0 0 1 0	44 44 28 34 34	161 179 214 348 383
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	257 190 133 67 24	47 23 11 4 5	6 3 1 0	4 2 2 2 1	4 0 1 1	318 218 148 74 31	11 11 10 7	1 2 1 2 2	0 0 0	330 232 159 83 34	9 9 10 2 4	3 2 1 3 0	28 24 30 6 9	0 0 1 0	0000	40 35 42 11 14	370 267 201 94 48
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	13 3 4 1 0	3 5 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 1 1 2 0	1 2 0 0	17 11 6 5 1	2 2 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 6 0	19 13 6 6 1	3 4 3 1 1	0 0 0 0 1	7 1 0 0	1 0 0 2 0	. 0	11 5 3 3 2	30 18 9 9 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 3	0 1 0 1	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 4	0 0 0	. 1 . 0 1	1 0 0 1	2 2 0 6	2 1 1 3	1 1 1 2	0 1 0 0	0 4 0	0 0 0	3 7 2 6	5 9 2 12
Total Cases	1,261	485	118	116	76	2,056	69	26	3	2,154	234	73	173	46	2	528	2,682
average Sales Ratio (%)	28,5	24.4	20.1	19.2	19.7	26.0	30.3	26.6		26.5	19.5	19.9	29.4	20.2	,	22.0	25.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 2.8 5.3	3.2 3.0 6.2	2.7 3.7 6.4	3.9 5.2 9.1	3.2 3.6 6.8	2.8 3.1 5.9	4.0 2.7 6.7	8.1 8.9 17.0		3.7 4.6 8.3	4.9 6.4 11.3	5.0 6.5 11.5	3.4 3.3 6.7	5.7 5.5 11.2		3.8 5.4 9.2	3.7 4.7 8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.4	4.2	0.5	5.5	0.9	2.4	13.5	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Jefferson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

													Misc. Ru	ral La	nd				
	One-Far	mily Dwa	llings	by Age	Class (y	ears) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	From I With	ote Denver Without		Denver Without	All	Total	Total	
Salas Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>		Dwellings	Buildings	Urban		Impts.		Impts.				Rural		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	2 3 4 7 14	5 6 19 29 41	7 12 9 18 26	21 31 31 56 50	4 19 20 25 23	39 71 83 135 154	1 0 0 2 4	0 3 0 5 5	0 1 0 0	40 74 84 142 163	3 0 1 2 2	31 60 64 60 61	62 26 30 20 15	5 7 6 11	79 15 21 24 29	2 0 0 0	182 106 123 112 118	222 180 207 254 281	
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	33 85 190 443 585	83 107 148 214 183	43 50 39 27 31	56 47 24 32 16	40 34 11 13 10	255 323 412 729 825	2 2 8 26 25	4 3 4 4 5	0 0 0 0	261 328 424 759 855	0 1 1 0 0	55 43 29 35 27	28 27 62 18 11	24 26 45 57 90	8 25 5 16 6	0 1 0 0	115 123 142 126 135	376 451 566 885 990	
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	660 535 378 184 75	145 77 32 20 15	14 11 2 0 1	9 10 6 5 3	6 0 3 2 2	834 633 421 211 96	31 22 16 17 7	3 6 4 6 5	0 1 0 0 0	868 662 441 234 108	0 0 0 1	28 23 19 7 5	17 16 12 5 2	100 108 91 36 23	4 5 3 1 4	0 0 0 0	149 152 125 50 34	1,017 814 566 284 142	
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	27 10 12 4 3	10 7 5 6 4	1 2 3 3 2	0 2 2 2 1	1 2 0 0	39 23 22 15 10	6 4 1 1 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	46 27 23 17 10	0 0 0 0	9 7 8 5 5	0 8 1 4	15 11 2 1 5	2 0 2 0	0 1 0 0	26 28 11 12 11	72 55 34 29 21	
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 3 0 5	2 4 1 2	2 1 0 1	0 3 1 0	0 1 0 1	6 12 7 4	0 0 1 0	1 1 0 5	1 0 0 1	8 13 8 10	·0 0 0 1	5 1 2 10	2 15 1 9	1 2 3 4	1 9 1 4	0 0 0	9 27 7 28	17 40 15 38	
Total Cases	3,264	1,165	305	408	217	5,359	176	66	.4 5	,605	12	599	392	678	265	5	1,951	7,556	
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.8	25.2	21.4	18.6	18.4	26.3	30.0	27.3		26.9	12.4	19.3	17.2	29.2	14.1		19.3	25.5	
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.8 5.5	3.2 3.3 6.5	3.2 4.5 7.7	3.9 4.6 8.5	3.5 3.5 7.0	3.0 3.1 6.1	4.1 3.1 7.2	7.5 7.9 15.4		4.0 4.2 8.2	1.5 9.6 11.1	5.5 7.5 13.0	4.5 9.9 14.4	3.8 3.3 7.1	5.4 7.7 13.1		3.9 7.7 11.6	4.0 4.9 8.9	
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	44.6	11.8	3.6	4.0	2.3	66.3	3.7	12.0	2.4	84.4	2.0	4.2	0.5	5.5	0.9	0.4	13.5	97.9	

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kicwa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One- Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total V <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land Vithout Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u> (Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2 3	0 0 2 2 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	2 0 1 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0
Total Cases	5	1	6	7	3	10	16
Average Sales Ratio (%)		26.7	17.0		15.2	16.7
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			4.7 7.3 12.0	1.5 6.0 7.5		1.0 3.6 4.6	2.3 2.4 4.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.5	12.5	20.0	32.1	47.4	79.5	99.5

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kiowa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 	0 0 4 5 8	0 0 0 2 1	1 0 5 8 12	1 0 5 8 12
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 1 5 7 2	0 0 1 0	2 2 5 7 3	0 0 0 1	4 4 3 2	0 0 0 0	4 4 3 3 0	6 8 10 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 4 3 1 1	2 1 0 0	4 5 3 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 3 1 0	4 8 4 1 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	2 1 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 0 4	0 0 0	0 2 0 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 5
Total Cases	37	. 6	43	. 8	36	4	48	91
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.3		27.6	13.7	19.0	4	15.4	16.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.7 8.6 13.3		3.6 5.8 9.4		3.0 4.3 7.3		0.7 6.6 7.3	1.1 6.5 7.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7,5	12.6	20.0	47.4	32.1	-c-	79.5	99.5

<sup>a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under O.1 per cent.</sup>

Kit Carson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

<u>Sales Ratio Class (%)</u>	<u> </u>	One-Family	Dwellings 19-28	by Age Cl	ass (years)	All Ages	All Other Urban	Total Urban	Agric. Land Without Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total
Sales Macio Class Mol	******	<u> </u>	13-20	27-40	OVG1 43	vdes	010011	OTDAN	Amb CS.	RULBI	VOLGI	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 2 2 2	0 1 2 1 1	0 2 3 3 4	0 0 0	0 2 3 3 4	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 2 0	0 1 1 3 1	0 3 4 6 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 1 0 0	2 1 2 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 4 2 0	1 0 0 0	5 · 3 7 3 0	0 1 0 0	5 4 7 3 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 1	6 5 7 4 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 2 0 0	3 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	2 1 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	7 4 2 1 2	0 0 0	7 4 2 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	7 4 2 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	2 1 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0000	2 1 1 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 3 0	0 0 3 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 3 0
Total Cases	5	12	3	19	8	47	8	55	6	4	10	65
Average Sales Ratio (%)		24.2	** **	21.6	16.8	23.1		31.8	16.3	***	15.5	18.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		4.2 5.1 9.3		4.8 6.6 11.4	3.8 7.2 11.0	3.9 6.4 10.3		4.7 6.1 10.8	3.3 2.7 6.0		1.8 1.9 3.7	2.2 2.5 4.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2	14.5	26.7	40.0	32.9	72.9	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Kit Carson County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (year		Campanaial	All	T-4-1		<u>Land</u> Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-49	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	. 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 3	0 0 4 0	0 1 6 11 12	0 5 4 3 3	0 6 11 18 19	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 6 11 18 19	0 2 1 5	2 7 9 6	0 0 0 0	2 4 8 14 8	2 10 19 32 27
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 3 3 3 2	2 2 2 4 2	1 0 0 0	9 4 9 4 3	4 2 0 0	16 11 14 11 9	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	16 12 14 11	2 2 2 0 1	1 2 2 2 0	1 2 0 0 1	4 6 4 2 2	20 18 18 13 13
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " "" 36 36 " " 38	3 2 0 0	6 1 1 4 1	0 0 0 1 2	3 2 4 2 2	2 1 2 0 0	14 6 7 7 5	1 0 1 0 2	0 1 0 1	15 7 8 8 7	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	17 7 8 8 7
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 2 0	0 3 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	2 3 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 1	3 4 4 2 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	3 4 4 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 . 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	1 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0	1 1 1 6	0 2 4 5	0 0 0 1	1 3 5 12	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 3 5 12
Total Cases	20	38	10	77	28	173	17	8	198	17	35	4	56	254
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.1	29.0	21.8	19.8	16.8	22.9	45.4		30.3	15.9	16.0		16.0	18.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.4 3.9 7.3	5.5 8.0 13.5	6.6 14.7 21.3	3.6 7.4 11.0	3.8 7.4 11.2	4.1 7.3 11.4	9.8 24.3 34.1		6.2 12.0 18.2	1.4 4.9 6.3	2.6 2.5 5.1		2.1 3.6 5.7	2.7 4.9 7.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.6	2.6	1.0	4.3	0.7	12.2	8.3	6.2	26.7	32.6	40.0	0.3	72.9	99.6

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of preoprty as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	7 4 4 9 6	0 0 0 1 1	7 4 4 10 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	. 2 5 4 0 2	1 1 3 2 0	3 6 7 2 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 2 0 0	0 0 0 7 0	2 2 0 7 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 3 1	1 0 0 0	1 0 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 0 3	0 0 0	0 2 0 3
Total Cases	58	17	75
Average Sales Ratio (%)	19.9	25.2	20.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	6.1 8.6 14.7	3.0 9.9 12.9	5.9 8.7 14.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	93.1	5.5	98.6

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lake County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total	Total	Total
	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Rural</u>	<u>County</u>
Under 10	12	2	14
10 and " 12	13	3	16
12 " " 14	14	0	14
14 " " 16	14	1	15
16 " " 18	12	2	14
18 " " 20	9	1	10
20 " " 22	12	4	16
22 " " 24	11	5	16
24 " " 26	13	4	17
26 " " 28	12	0	12
28 " " 30	8	O	8
30 " " 32	3	1	4
32 " " 34	1	1	2
34 " " 36	0	7	7
36 " " 38	1	1	2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 5 0 3 2	1 0 0 0	4 5 0 3 2
48 " " 50	1	0	1
50 " " 55	3	0	3
55 " " 60	1	0	1
60 and Over	8	0	8
Total Cases	161	33	194
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.4	15.1	21.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	8.2 5.4 13.6		7.3 6.5 13.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	93.1	5.5	98.6

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (yea	rs)	Commercia	All	Total	Agric With	, Land Without	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>l -8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Building	Urban	<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 4	0 2 2 0 0	0 0 1 3 3	0 2 4 3 8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 4 3 8	1 0 1 1 0	0 1 0	0 4 2 4 2	0 3 6 3 2	2 7 10 8 4	2 9 14 11 12
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 9 24 30	2 3 1 5 4	1 2 1 0 0	50300	3 2 1 1 0	11 8 15 30 34	1 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	12 8 15 32 36	0 1 0 2 0	2 1 0 0	4 2 3 2 1	1 1 1 2 0	7 5 4 6 1	19 13 19 33 37
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	20 10 5 1 0	2 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1 0	23 11 6 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	23 11 7 2 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 0 3 0 2	24 11 10 2 2
38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 2 0 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 . 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 2 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	1 0 3 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 3 0
Total Cases	101	22	8	12	17	160	7	2	169	7	7	28	20	62	231
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.1	24.7	18.8	17.5	19.7	22.5	28.6		24.5	18.7	19.3	18.6	15.6	18.4	21.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.3 2.1 3.9	3.7 3.2 6.9	1.8 2.2 4.0	4.5 3.6 8.1	3.5 3.8 7.3	2.8 3.0 5.8	3.8 12.0 15.8		3.2 5.9 9.1	5.2 6.5 11.7	4.9 7.7 12.6	4.1 5.4 9.5	2.9 4.4 7.3	4.6 6.0 10.6	4.1 5.9 10.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	18.2	2.8	50.4	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.3	98.7

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

La Plata County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	<u>On</u>	e-Family [Owellings	by Age	Class (yea	rs) All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric With	. Land Without	Misc. Ro With	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Building	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 13	0 0 0 5 0	0 0 1 0	0 2 1 1 5	1 4 6 4 8	1 5 6 14	2 7 13 16 28	1 0 2 0 0	0 0 0	3 7 15 16 28	3 1 3 5 1	2 2 1 1 2	1 6 5 10 10	5 5 11 9 6	11 14 20 25 19	14 21 35 41 47
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 8 25 76 82	5 7 11 9	3 4 3 2 0	8 4 6 0 1	9 5 2 2 2	27 16 43 91 94	3 0 0 2 3	0 0 1 0	30 26 44 93 97	4 1 1 3 1	4 2 0 1 2	12 9 7 4 5	3 3 5 3 0	23 15 13 11 8	53 41 57 104 105
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	50 22 7 4 2	5 3 1 2 0	0 3 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	4 4 1 1 0	59 32 10 8 2	1 1 3 0	0 1 2 1	60 34 15 9 3	1 3 3 1 0	1 1 0 0	3 1 1 4	0 0 1 1 0	5 7 6 3 4	65 41 21 12 7
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	2 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 0	3 2 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 2 2	1 2 0 0	4 2 1 2 3	7 4 2 3 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 1	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 2	0 0	0 0 0	1 0 2 3	0 1 0	0 0 0	1 0 3 3	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 2	0 0 1 3	1 0 4 6
Total Cases	· 285	53	27	44	59	468	- 19	7	494	33	20	89	58	200 .	694
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.6	25.5	20.6	17.8	19.2	22.5	26.8		24.4	21.6	181	20.1	16.8	20.4	22.3
Measure of Variation ^a Balow Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.8 2.0 3.8	3.1 2.8 5.9	3.5 4.6 8.1	3.8 3.2 7.0	3.0 6.0 9.0	2.7 3.7 6.4	7.6 6.0 13.6		4.5 4.3 8.8	7.1 8.9 16.0	4.1 7.9 12.0	4.1 7.1 11.2	4.0 5.8 9.8	5.5 7.9 13.4	5.1 6.2 11.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	13.3	3.0	2.1	3.5	7.5	29.4	18.2	2.8	50.5	24.7	2.7	17.6	3.3	48.2	98.7

^{1.} Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (y	ears) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total	Aqri With	c. Land Without	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Şales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages		Buildings	Urban	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 3 4	0 1 1 3 1	0 1 4 11 17	0 4 11 16 23	0 7 17 34 45	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 7 18 35 49	2 0 2 0 1	1 2 0 1 1	2 3 11 12 14	0 0 1 2 2	5 14 15 13	5 12 32 50 67
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 7 9 33 68	4 14 26 34 15	2 5 4 5 4	22 18 16 17 6	21 32 33 20 10	. 51 76 88 109 103	0 1 2 1 0	3 1 1 2 3	0 0 0 0	54 78 91 112 106	1 8 5 1 2	0 1 0 0	14 12 15 7 8	2 8 4 4 0	17 29 24 12 10	71 107 115 124 116
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	57 77 45 24 15	22 15 12 7 3	1 2 2 1 0	8 3 1 1	7 12 7 4	95 109 69 37 23	1 2 1 3 3	1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	97 112 71 41 27	2 2 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	4 6 3 3 5	2 1 6 1 1	9 11 5 8	105 121 32 46 35
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	5 3 1 0 0	4 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 1 0 1	13 5 2 1 2	2 0 1 0	1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	16 6 4 1 3	0 0 0 0	. 0	2 2 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 3 1 1 0	19 5 2 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	. 0	1 1 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	2 0 1 1	3 1 1 2
Total Cases	347	167	32	130	212	888	19	23	. 1	931	31	6	128	36	201	1,132
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.7	26.6	23.7	21.4	22.0	25.1	30.3	25.1		25.1	23.3	13.7	21.6	24.6	22.3	24.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.6 5.3	3.5 3.6 7.1	3.7 3.3 7.0	3.5 3.6 7.1	4.1 3.9 8.0	3.4 3.3 6.7	6.5 6.5 13.0	5.9 9.4 15.3		4.0 4.8 8.8	2.9 5.9 8.8	3.2 3.3 6.5	5.0 5.9 10.9	4.4 7.4 11.8	3.0 5.7 8.7	3.6 5.1 3.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.5	33.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Larimer County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	e-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (y		Multi-		Taduateia	al Tatal	Agri With	c. Land Without	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Buildings	Urban		Impts,	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 3 1 2	· 0 · 1 · 1 · 4 · 6	. 0 1 2 8 3	2 8 16 35 57	2 10 30 51 72	5 20 52 99 140	0 0 0 1 2	1 0 2 2 5	0 0 1 0	6 20 55 102 148	6 5 6 5 4	5 3 1 3 1	6 13 22 30 34	6 9 4 6	23 30 33 44 49	29 50 88 146 197
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	8 12 31 73 147	12 24 46 63 48	7 14 23 16 13	86 61 59 58 30	80 87 78 71 4 7	193 198 237 281 285	0 1 3 1 2	3 6 2 10 4	0 1 2 0	196 206 244 292 291	3 16 10 7 11	1 2 1 1 0	41 39 40 29 34	7 12 7 11 4	52 69 58 48 49	248 275 302 340 340
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	174 217 150 94 64	72 53 39 23 13	2 4 3 1 0	22 10 11 5 6	31 24 17 12 16	301 308 220 135 99	4 6 7 8 4	4 3 4 4 2	0 0 1 1	309 317 231 148 106	8 5 6 4	0 1 0 0	22 23 17 8 14	3 11 11 4 4	33 43 33 18 23	342 360 264 166 129
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	22 11 1 4 1	11 6 2 3 0	3 0 2 0 0	3 3 1 2	6 5 2 1	45 25 13 10 4	3 0 2 1 0	3 2 1 2 4	1 0 0 1 1	52 27 16 14 9	4 2 1 2 1	1 0 0 1	93650	1 5 0 1	15 10 7 9 2	67 37 23 23 11
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 0 0 1	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 1	1 0 .0 1	2 2 3 1	5 3 4 5	0 0 0	0 1 1 5	1 0 0 2	6 4 5 12	0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 4 0 4	0 3 1 5	2 9 1 9	3 13 6 21
Total Cases	1,019	430	103	480	655	2,687	45	71	13	2,816	115	23	405	126	669	3,485
Avarage Sales Ratio (%)	30.5	28.0	23.7	21.3	22.0	25,4	31.5	27 .2	32.4	26.7	24.7	15.3	23.3	21.9	23.7	25.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	7.8 2.8 10.6	3.6 3.7 7.3	3.0 2.8 5.8	3.3 3.9 7.2	4.0 4.4 8.4	4.8 3.6 8.4	2.9 4.2 7.1	5.6 11.6 17.2	12.2 15.1 27.3	5.9 6.5 12.4	4.9 6.6 11.5	4.8 9.2 14.0	5.5 6.1 11.6	4.6 10.9 15.5	4.9 6.9 11.8	5.5 6.7 12.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	15.6	6.9	2.5	9.0	8.2	42.2	0.8	12.7	9.9	65.6	30.3	2.1	0.4	0.5	33.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	On	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	lass (year	5)	All		_Agric.		Misc. Rural Land	041	Takal	Takal
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	00000	0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 4 4	1 0 2 4 5	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 4 5	1 1 2 1 1	2 0 1 3 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	4 2 3 4 2	5 2 5 8 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0	0 2 2 3 2	5 1 2 5 3	5 4 4 10 6	0000	5 4 4 10 6	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 1 3 0	7 5 5 13 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	0000	0 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 1 0	4 4 0 2 1	6 4 0 4 2	0 0 0 0	6 4 0 4 3	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 0	7 6 1 4 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 3 1 0	2 3 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 4 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	10000	3 4 2 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 5	0. 1 0 7	0 0	0 1 0 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	1 2 0 8
Total Cases	2	1	5	15	49	72	2	74	7	12	10	1	30	104
Average Sales Ratio (%)				25.7	25.4	25.9		25.9	12.5	19.7	26.1		13.9	16.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				2.9 7.8 10.7	6.9 10.4 17.3	4.8 8.2 13.0		4.8 8.2 13.0	1.0 4.0 5.0	5.7 7.3 13.0	5.1 12.9 18.0		1.8 4.7 6.5	2.2 5.7 7.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.8	1.5	1.1	8.1	12.1	26.6	16.0	42.6	36.5	8.1	3.4	7.9	55.9	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Las Animas County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	On	ne-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (yea	ars) All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric With	. Land Without	Misc. Rural Land With	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	Ages	Buildings	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1 5	1 7 5 9	1 8 7 15	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 9 8 15	1 2 3 1 1	5 1 2 6 2	1 3 1 1 2	0 1 0 0	7 7 6 8 5	8 15 16 20
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 0 5 1	0 1 0 1 2	0 2 1 3 2	1 7 6 10 6	6 8 9 11 7	7 20 16 30 18	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	7 20 16 31 18	1 0 0 0	2 0 1 2	0 1 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 2 5 2	10 21 18 36 20
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 0 0 2 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 1	2 1 1 2 0	15 9 3 4 5	20 12 4 10 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	20 12 4 10 7	0 0 0 2 0	3 2 2 0 0	0 2 2 0 1	0 0 1 0	3 4 5 2 1	23 16 9 12 8
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 1 0	3 1 3 0 1	5 4 5 3 0	9 5 9 4 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	9 6 9 4 3	0 0 1 0	0 3 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 4 2 0	10 10 11 4 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 4	0 2 4 12	1 3, 4 17	1 0 0 3	0 0 0 1	2 3 4 21	0 0 1 1	1 2 1 1	2 0 0 3	0 0	3 2 2 5	5 5 6 2 6
Total Cases	13 .	9	16	56	135	229	9	2	240	15	38	24	3	80	3 20
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.5	28.1	28.3	25.9	27.2	26.8	54.3		32.5	17.2	14.6	20.0		16.9	21.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.0 4.3 6.3	4.1 3.9 8.0	4.3 9.7 14.0	4.2 9.1 13.3	6.0 11.3 17.3	4.7 9.1 13.8	31.8 22.6 54.4		10.3 11.9 22.2	4.7 18.0 22.7	0.1 18.9 19.0	4.0 18.0 22.0		3.8 18.1 21.9	5.4 16.6 22.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	3.8	1,6	1.2	8.1	12.1	26.6	14.1	1.9	42.6	3 6. 6	8.1	3.4	7.9	55.9	98.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	0	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years) All	All Other	Total	Agric	Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-13</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	<u>Ages</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 3	0 1 0 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 3	0 1 2 0 2	0 0 1 2 1	0 0 0	0 1 5 2 3	0 2 5 3
13 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 2 1	0 2 0 1 1	0 0 2 0	0 2 0 1 2	1 0 1 1	1 4 3 5 5	0 0 0 0	1 4 3 5 5	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	0000	1 0 0 1 0	2 4 3 6 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 1 0 1	0 2 0 0 3	1 6 1 0 4	0 1 0 1 0	1 7 1 1 4	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 7 1 1 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	2 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 2 0 0 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60.and Over	0 0 0	C 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 2 2
Total Cases	3	6	3	14	16	42	5	47	6	6	. 2	14	61
Average Sales Ratio (%)		25.6	16.8	28.0	27.5	26.3		30.9	14.4	16.4		15.2	17.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		4.1 13.4 17.5		3.0 3.8 6.8	6.5 10.8 17.3	3.5 6.5 10.0		4.9 15.3 20.2	1.9 3.1 5.0	1.9 2.6 4.5		1.9 2.9 4.8	2.3 4.4 6.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2.7	12.1	8.9	21.0	42.0	34.3	1.9	79.2	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Lincoln County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	0	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Aga	Class (yea	Ali	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric With	. Land Without	Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages	Buildings	Urban	Urban.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 1 8 1	0 1 0 2 4	0 3 1 10 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 3 1 10 8	0 1 2 1 4	1 0 3 4 4	0 0 3 0	1 8 5 8	1 4 9 15 16
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 1 2 4 1	0 2 0 2 2	0 4 0	3 10 1 4 3	2 1 1 3 2	6 14 8 13 8	1 0 0	0000	7 15 8 13 8	2 2 3 1 0	5 1 1 2 0	1 0 0	8 3 4 4 1	15 18 12 17 9
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 4 0 1	2 0 0 0 1	0 0 0	2 4 2 2 1	1 2 0 0 3	6 6 2 6	0 4 0 1	0 0 1 1 0	6 10 7 4 6	0 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 2 1 0 0	7 12 8 4 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 2 0 1	4 2 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 2 0 1 2	0000	0 1 0 1	1 0 1 0	1 1 1 0.	5 3 1 2 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 4	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	0 1 1 8	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0	0 1 2 8
Total Cases	18	11	6	47	27	109	. 12	4	125	18	24	10	52	177
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29,6	28.2	18.4	22.2	24.2	24.9	29.9		26.8	17.3	19.6		18.4	19.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	5.4 4.2 9.6	3.4 6.9 10.3	1.4 4.8 6.2	3.7 8.4 12.1	6.3 12.6 18.9	4.5 8.1 12.6	4.3 45.1 49.4		4.4 21.9 26.3	1.1 5.7 6.8	4.6 2.4 7.0		2.6 24.6 7.2	2.9 7.3 10.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	2.8	2.2	0.5	3.9	2.7	12.1	8.7	0.2	21.0	42.0	34.3	1.9	78 .2	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	e-Family [) Wellings	by Age Cl	lass (year	·s)	All			Land	Misc. Rural Land	All	T -1-1	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 3	0 0 2 0	0 0 2 8 6	0 2 1 3 2	0 3 4 13 11	0 0 0 0	0 3 4 13 11	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 2	1 0 2 3 3	1 3 6 16 14
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 1 1 2 12	0 1 0 3 5	2 3 0 1	12 8 8 8 3	7 4 3 2 1	23 17 12 16 21	0 1 0 0	23 18 12 16 21	4 0 2 6 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	6 1 3 7 3	29 19 15 23 24
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	26 17 5 6 3	3 1 2 0 1	1 0 1 0	3 3 2 2 1	2 4 3 1 0	35 25 13 9 6	0 2 0 2 0	35. 27 13 11 6	. 0 1 1 0	2 0 0 1	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 1 2 0	40 28 14 13 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 1 2 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 1 2 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	1 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 0	4 4 3 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	. 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 . 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0	1 2 0 2
Total Cases	77	22	11	70	3 6	216	7	223	20	8	13	5	46.	269
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	25.8	21,6	21.7	22.7	24.9		25.1	24.5	19.1	28.5		22.5	23.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.5 2.0 3.5	4.8 3.9 8.7	2.8 6.4 9.2	3.5 4.6 8.1	4.4 7.3 11.7	2.9 4.0 6.9		3.2 5.7 8.9	5.0 4.5 9.5	4.1 9.9 14.0	4.0 12.3 16.3		4.6 6.9 11.5	4.0 6.4 10.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	17.8	45.7	33.9	17.8	2.1	-c-	53.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under O.l per cent.

Logan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-F	amily Dw	ellings	by Age C	lass (year	rs) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total	Aqri	c. Land Without	Misc. Ru With	ral Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages		Buildings	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 1 3	0 0 1 4 5	0 0 7 23 26	0 3 5 7 6	0 4 15 35 40	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	. 0 0 0 0	0 4 16 35 40	1 0 0 3 6	1 2 4 5 3	0 0 1 1 3	0 1 3 1 2	2 3 8 10 14	2 7 24 45 54
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	5 2 9 15 52	2 5 5 13 17	6 5 1 5 0	33 24 20 22 12	20 17 6 6 3	66 53 41 61 84	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	66 54 41 63 84	6 4 3 6 6	4 1 0 2 1	4 1 4 3 2	1 2 0 1 1	15 8 7 12 10	81 62 48 75 94
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	102 56 22 12 4	9 1 4 1 2	1 0 1 0	8 9 5 5 4	4 6 4 3 0	124 72 36 21 11	2 2 1 2 0	0 0 2 1 0	1 0 0	127 75 39 24 12	6 1 3 4 1	3 0 1 1 0	2 2 0 0	0 0 0	11 3 4 5	138 78 43 29 13
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 4 1 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	2 1 2 1 2	0 0 1 1 0	3 5 5 3	1 1 0 0	0 2 0 1	0 0 1 0	. 8 6 4 4	1 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 3 1 0	7 12 9 5 4
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 2	0 0 0	0 4 1 3	0 0 0 1	1 4 1 6	0 0 0 1	1 3 1 5	0 0 0 1	. 2 7 2 13	0 0 0	0 0	.0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	2 8 2 14
Total Cases	285.	71	31	214	93	694	12	19	5	730	54	29	30	13	126	856
Avarage Sales Ratio (%)	29.2	25.7	20.7	21.6	21.5	24.6	32.9	43.2		28.8	24.0	18.2	25.6	17.2	21.8	24.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.7 1.8 3.5	2.3 3.2 5.5	3.6 3.8 7.4	3.8 5.3 9.1	3.3 4.3 7.6	2.9 3.7 6.6	2.9 7.1 10.0	9.4 21.8 31.2		4.1 8.8 12.9	4.8 5.8 10.6	4.1 7.6 11.7	6.4 5.9 12.3	3.7 5.2 8.9	4.6 6.5 11.1	4.4 7.4 11.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	12.0	2.0	1.2	10.3	2.4	27.9	0.8	10.8	6.2	45.7	33.9	17.8	2.1	-c-	53.8	99.5

<sup>a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under 0.1 per cent.</sup>

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (ye		Cammanaial	T-d4-1-1	All	T-4-1		c. Land	Misc. R	ural Land	T. + - 1	Takal
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Buil dings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 7	0 1 2 3 7	0 2 5 3 15	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 .5 3 15	. 1 0 2 2	0 1 0 0	0 3 2 2 7	2 1 4 5 0	3 6 6 9 10	3 8 11 12 25
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 4 15	1 1 3 7 10	2 0 5 7 1	6 3 3 2 3	7 7 14 8 8	16 11 26 28 37	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 1 3 0	0 0 0 0	16 11 27 32 39	8 5 1 8 3	1 1 1 0	5 10 19 14 24	3 7 3 4 4	17 23 24 27 31	33 34 51 59 70
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	29 39 30 23 12	13 15 12 7 7	4 0 1 2 0	0 4 2 1	9 6 4 3 2	55 64 49 37 22	1 0 1 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	57 64 50 37 22	2 3 6 8 1	1 0 0 1 1	33 34 41 48 27	1 1 2 0	37 38 49 57 29	94 102 99 94 51
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	7 4 4 1 2	3 2 1 0 1	0 0	0 1 0 2 0	5 4 1 1	16 11 6 4 4	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	30 0 0 0	17 12 6 4 4	0 2 4 0 2	0 0 0 0	17 11 11 4 2	0 0	17 13 16 4 4	34 25 22 8 8
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 1 2 0	2 3 2 2	0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	3 3 2 2	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 4 1 2	1 0 0 1	2 6 1 4	5 9 3 6
Total Cases	174	85	23	41	96	419	7 .	7	0	433	61	10	322	40	433	866
average Sales Ratio (%)	32.1	30.7	26.0	22.6	26.3	29.4	28.7	28.8		29.1	27,5	24.1	32.2	20.9	28.1	28.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2,5 3.0 5.5	3.1 3.5 6.6	2.5 3.1 5.6	4.8 8.8 13.6	5.2 5.7 10.9	3.3 4.2 7.5	1.9 5.8 7.7	4.3 7.7 12.0		2.9 5.0 7.9	7.0 7.4 14.4	5.1 10.9 16.0	4.7 3.8 8.5	5.7 5.6 11.3	6.1 6.9 13.0	4.3 5.8 10.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	3 9.1	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mesa County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family D	Wellings	by Age	Class (ye		Ci-1	Fadrakaisl	All	Takal		c, Land		ural Land	W-4-1	~
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>		Industrial <u>Buildings</u>	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 .14 " " 16 16 " " 13	0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 4 5	0 1 4 7 17	0 3 4 10 24	1 4 8 23 49	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 4 8 23 51	1 1 7 11	1 2 2 4 3	0 5 7 7 17	2 4 13 12 8	4 12 23 30 39	5 16 31 53 90
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 1 12 27 74	4 14 15 28 38	5 12 23 24 12	22 20 19 16 10	26 25 30 27 21	59 72 99 122 155	2 0 1 2 4	2 0 2 4 1	0 0 0 0	63 72 102 128 160	19 22 10 14 13	2 6 4 2 4	14 23 34 38 46	5 12 4 8 9	40 63 52 62 72	103 135 154 190 232
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	131 193 151 101 61	34 49 34 29 14	11 10 6 2 0	7 8 3 2 4	25 13 14 11 3	208 273 208 145 82	4 1 3 4 2	2 0 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	214 .274 212 151 85	9 12 11 12 4	1 0 2 1	43 56 68 71 45	3 2 3 1	56 71 82 86 50	270 345 294 237 135
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 43	42 22 26 11 6	15 6 3 , 0	3 2 0 0	2 2 1 2 0	8 5 1 2 2	70 37 31 15 9	2 1 2 1 2	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	73 38 34 16 11	1 3 6 2 3	0 3 0	30 18 17 8 4	0 1 3 0	31 22 28 11 7	1 04 60 62 27 18
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	6 4 0 2	2 1 0 3	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 1 2 3	8 9 2 9	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	. 9 10 2 10	0 1	0 2 0 1	2 8 2 5	1 3 0 1	3 14 2 8	12 24 4 18
Total Cases	874	294	121	149	260	1,698	33	19	1	1,751	164	41	568	95	868	2,619
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.1	30.0	25.4	22.3	24.5	28.9	31.3	27.0		29.4	25.3	22.0	31.5	19.0	26.2	23.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 3.1 5.7	3.6 4.0 7.6	3.0 3.6 6.6	3.5 4.9 8.4	4.7 5.5 10.2	3.3 3.8 7.1	4.2 7.5 11.7	3.2 8.2 11.4		3.5 5.2 8.7	5.2 7.2 12.4	5.2 7.5 12.7	5.6 4.4 10.0	4.2 7.7 11.9	5.2 6.6 11.8	4.3 5.7 10.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	20.1	5.7	1.9	3.8	4.9	36.4	16.4	4.3	3.7	60.8	23.1	4.1	11.3	0.6	39.1	99.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 - 46 " " 48	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	O O 1 1	0 0 0 0	O O 1 1
Total Cases	6	1	7
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.5		32.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	9.5 25.0 34.5		9.5 25.0 34.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.3	72.7	99.0

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Mineral County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	O 1 O 1 O	2 0 1 1 0	2 1 1 2 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 3 2 2 0	0 0 1 0	0 3 3 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 4	0 0 0 2	0 1 1 6
Total Cases	19	7	26
Average Sales Ratio (%)	34.4	14.3	16.9
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	12.6 21.8 34.4	4.5 57.1 61.6	5.5 52.5 58.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	26.3	72.7	99.0

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	<u>.</u>	One-Family	y Dwellings	by Age Cla	ss (years)		All	Takal	Takal	T - 4 - 1
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 3 2 1	0 1 1 1 0	0 1 4 5 2	0 1 0 0	0 2 4 5. 2	0 4 1 0	0 6 5 5 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 3 9	3 2 1 3 2	1 0 0	3 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	7 6 3 6 11	0 0 0 0	7 6 3 6 11	0 0 0 0	7 6 3 6 12
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 3 1 0	1 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 7 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	3 7 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	3 8 2 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	. 0 0 . 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0
Total Cases	20	19	5	14	3	61	1	62	7	69
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.3	23.4		17.3		21.4		21.4	14.9	16.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.3 1.7 3.0	2.6 7.8 10.4	•••	2.8 3.7 6.5		2.9 4.2 7.1		2.9 4.2 7.1	3.8 6.0 9.8	3.6 5.6 9.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	1.0	22.3	28.7	51.0	47.3	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Moffat County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	Family D	wellings b	y Age Cla	ass (years	ن	0	All	~		c. Land	All		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Commercia Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 2 0 0	0 0 3 0 1	0 0 0 4 7	0 2 6 4 2	0 3 1 1 0	1 6 12 9	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 7 13 10 10	1 1 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	1 5 1 0	2 7 3 1 0	3 14 16 11 10
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 6 10 16	4 10 9 8 8	3 5 1 0	5 4 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	12 21 17 20 26	1 0 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	13 21 17 23 26	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 3 0 1 2	13 24 17 24 28
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 6 3 0	4 5 1 1 3	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	8 14 4 1 3	2 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	12 15 4 1 4	0 2 2 1 0	4 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 2 2 1 0	16 17 6 2 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 3 2 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 4 0 0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 4 0 0
Total Cases	52	61	21	31	5	170	12	4	186	10	10	10	30	216
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.3	24.1	19.5	18.8		21.5	25.2		24.0	27.2	27.1		17.6	20.4
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.1 4.4 6.5	2.7 5.3 8.0	3.1 1.2 4.3	4.9 3.7 8.6		3.2 3.4 6.6	3.5 13.8 17.3		5.3 6.0 11.3	14.2 5.3 19.5	12.1 2.1 14.2		6.5 4.3 10.8	5.9 5.1 11.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	5.3	7.9	2.4	5.7	1.0	22.3	16.9	11.8	51.0	12.7	3.9	30.7	47.3	98.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	· 	One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)	A11	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9 <u>-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 1	0 1 2 0 3	0 1 3 3 5	0 0 1 0	0 1 4 3 5	0 1 0 2 3	0 1 2 2 0	0 2 2 4 3	0 3 6 7 8
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 4 6 13	0 1 0 1 1	2 0 0 0	1 0 0	1 1 0 1	5 2 5 8 16	1 0 1 0	6 2 6 8 17	0 3 0 2 0	0 1 0 1	0 4 0 3 1	6 6 11 18
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 8 5 2 4	0 1 1 1 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 11 6 3 6	0 0 0 0	3 11 6 3 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 11 6 3 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 1 1	0000	0 1 0 0	. 0	1 3 0 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 0 0	0 0 0	4 0 0 0	0 0 0	4 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	4 0 0
Total Cases	49	10	6	8	12	85	5	90	11	9	20	110
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.8	27.8	27.0	25.1	17.9	25.8		23.9	18.5		18.4	20.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 3.5 6.3	6.8 7.2 14.0	8.5 4.5 13.0	7.1 17.7 24.8	3.0 7.1 10.1	5.1 6.8 11.9		5.7 9.8 15.5	2.7 3.0 5.7		3.2 3.6 6.8	4.2 6.0 10.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.1	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5	15.1	43.6	41.7	13.6	55,3	98. 9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montezuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family Dv	vellings_t	y Age C	lass (year	s)	0	All	~ 1		c. Land		ral Land	7 0 4 - 3	~
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 2	0 1 1 0 2	1 0 2 2 4	0 1 3 1 3	1 2 6 5 11	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 7 5 12	0 6 3 4 8	3 1 3 2 0	0 5 3 1 2	0 0 2 1 0	3 12 11 8 10	5 14 18 13 22
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 2 10 19 27	3 1 4 5 5	2 2 5 1 2	3 1 1 2 1	3 2 2 3 2	13 8 22 30 37	1 1 0 2 1	0 0 1 0 1	14 9 23 32 39	1 4 1 4 2	1 0 2 0	1 3 2 0 1	0 2 0 0	3 10 3 6 3	17 19 26 38 42
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	22 14 10 3 5	4 4 2 2 1	1 3 0 0	1 0 1 0 2	1 1 0 0	29 22 13 5 9	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	31 22 13 5 9	0 1 0 2 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 3 2	31 24 15 8 11
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 56 " " 48	0 1 0 2 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	2 3 0 2 3	1 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	3 4 1 3 3	0 0 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 2 1 1	3 5 3 4
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 1 0	0 0	0 0 0 1	2 0 0	0 0 0	4 1 1 1	0 1 2 1	0 0 0	4 2 3 2	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 1	5 3 3 3
Total Cases	120	38	23	23	26	230	15	5	250	38	17	23	8	86	336
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.2	27.0	23.9	21.4	22.3	25.4	23.9		24.9	18.7	14.8	21.2	22.1	18.7	21.0
Mea≂ure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 2.8 5.4	3.8 4.8 9.6	4.1 6.3 10.4	5.0 7.1 12.1	5.3 5.2 10.5	3.9 4.8 8.7	4.4 26.7 31.1		4.1 12.6 16.7	4.5 6.1 10.6	2.6 12.1 14.7	8.7 4.9 13.6	8.1 16.9 25.0	4.9 6.6 11.5	4.6 8.8 13.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.1	6.8	4.6	3.2	3.8	28.5	15.1	,	43.6	41.7	4.0	9.3	0.3	55.3	98.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One	e-Family I 9-18	Dwellings	by Age C.	lass (year Over 48	All Ages	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric With Impts.	Land Without Impts.	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
													1	1
Under 10 10 and " 12	0	0	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	0 2	0	0	2	3
12 " " 14 14 " " 16	0	0	0 3	0	0	0 3	0	0 3	0 4	1 2	3 0	0	4 6	4 9
16 " " 18	1	ĭ	3	2	2	9	ŏ	9	ó	ō	ì	ŏ	ì	10
18 " " 20	1	2	1	0	2	6	. 1	7	3	0	2	0	5	12
22 " " 24	4	3 1	0	0	2 1	7 7	0	7 7	3	0	3	0	2 7	9 14
24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 4	1	0 3	1	2 3	7 11	1	8 11	4	0	1 3	0	5 7	13 18
28 " " 30	5	0	0	1	2	8	1	9	1	0	2	0	3	12
30 " " 32	7	1	1	1	1	11	Ō	11	į	Ö	2	ì	4	15
32 " " 34 34 " " 36	1	1	0	0	1 2	4 4	0	4 4	1	0	0 2	0	3	5 7
36 " " 38	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	. 2	0	0	0	2	4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	o	0	0	1	1
42 " " 44	· ŏ	0	0	Ö	0	3 0	0	. 3	Ö	0	Ō	Ö	Ō-	ō
44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0 1	. 0	0	0	0	0	0
48 " " 50	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	. 0	1
50 " " 55	0	Ó	0	Õ	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
55 " " 60 60 and Over	2	0	0	1	0	4	0	4	. 0	0	0	Ö	0	4
Total Cases	32	13	15	10	19	89	4	93	26	8	20	· 1	55	148
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.1	24.3	20.3	28,2	25.9	25.8		30.2	24.0	21.3	24.7		23.8	26.3
Measure of Variation ^a						-								
Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio	3.4 3.3	4.1 7.2	4.5 7.2	5.2 12.8	5.1 4.6	4.5 7.1		8.0 5.4	5.0 5.0	9.1 3.7	5.7 4.3		5.8 4.6	6.7 4.9
Total	6.7	11.3	11.7	18.0	9.7	11.6		13.4	10.0	12.8	10.0		10.4	11.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.5	6.6	29.1	15.8	44.9	34.6	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Montrose County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family Dwe	ellings b	y Age Cla	ss (years)	Commencial.	All	Total		c. Land		ural Land	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.		Without Impts.	Total Rural	County
Under 10 - 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 5	0 1 1 3 4	0 5 0 1 5	0 0 4 5 7	0 6 6 9 22	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 6 6 9 23	1 0 2 10 3	1 2 2 3 3	0 4 4 1 1	1 0 0	3 7 8 14 8	3 13 14 23 31
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 1 7 7 13	5 7 6 4	3 11 3 2 4	3 6 5 4 1	8 7 9 5 7	22 30 31 24 29	1 0 2 1 0	1 0 0 1	24 30 33 26 29	9 8 9 10 5	1 1 2 2 1	6 4 7 5 9	0 2 0 1 0	16 15 18 18 15	40 45 51 44 44
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	12 18 3 5 2	2 2 3 2 3	0 2 3 0	3 3 1 2 3	5 4 4 2 2	22 29 14 11 10	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	23 29 14 11 10	6 2 5 4 2	1 0 0 0	6 4 0 2 2	0 3 0 1	13 9 5 7 4	36 38 19 18 14
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 3 1	0 .	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 0	2 5 1 5 1	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 0	3 5 3 5 1	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0 2	5 6 3 5 3
ଏହ୍ " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 2 2	0 2 0 5	0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 1 1 0	1 4 3 8	0 2 0 5	0 0 0	1 6 3 13	0 0 0 1	0 0 2 0	0	0 0 0 1	0 0 2 3	1 6 5 16
Total Cases	83	54	3 9	45	74	295	14	4	313	79	23	57	11	170	48 3
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.3	26.1	21.4	23.6	22.8	24.6	47.3		29.2	23.4	18.1	24.5	18.1	22.8	25.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 2.9 5.9	5.1 8.4 13.5	12.9 5.2 18.1	5.4 6.9 12.3	4.2 6.6 10.8	4.3 6.2 10.5	23.8 25.2 49.0		8.3 9.6 17.9	4.6 5.4 10.0	3.6 8.4 12.0	5.1 4.1 9.2	0.2 13.4 13.6	4.6 5.6 10.2	6.0 7.2 13.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	5.5	3.1	7.5	6.6	29.1	13.2	2.6	44.9	34.6	6.7	11.5	0.2	53.2	98.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Morgan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	O	ne-Family 9-18	<u>Dwelling</u> 19-28	s by Age 29-48	Class (yea	All Ages	Commercial Building	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Land Without Impts,	Misc. Rural Land With Impts.	All Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 1 6 5	0 1 5 6 10	0 2 7 13 15	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 7 13 15	0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	1 3 2 2 1	1 5 9 15 16
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 3 7 9	1 0 1 3 2	0 1 1 2 3	10 10 5 9	5 4 7 3 1	16 17 17 24 19	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 2	17 17 17 24 21	3 0 2 0	2 0 1 1 0	2 2 2 4 3	0 0 0 0	7 2 5 5 3	24 19 22 29 24
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	17 21 23 16 4	3 8 4 1 1	1 2 2 1 1	4 2 2 1 0	3 2 2 0 1	28 35 33 19 7	0 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	28 35 36 20 7	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 3 2 0 0	32 38 38 20 7
36 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	8 6 2 1 0	2 3 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 4 0	1 0 0 0	11 9 7 2 1	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	11 11 7 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 · 0 0 0	11 11 7 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 3 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 2 0	0 0 0	3 4 2 1	0 0 1 3	0 0 0	3 4 3 4	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	3 4 4 5
Total Cases	125	33	16	67	51	292	9	4	305	. 9	8	25	0	42	347
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.3	32.9	27.0	22.6	19.7	27.3	48.0		30.0	24. 2	19.0	24.5		23.4	25.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.1 3.2 6.4	4.1 5.9 10.0	3.0 5.0 8.0	3.8 5.0 8.8	3.5 4.5 8.0	3.5 4.3 7.8	14.5 15.8		5.1 6.0 11.1	5.8 5.3 11.1	7.0 5.0 12.0	5.3 4.3 9.6		5.9 5.1 11.0	5.6 5.5 11.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	14.2	3.2	1.7	8.1	2.8	30.0	10.1	3.7	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	-c-	55,4	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

c. Under O.1 per cent.

Morgan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family D) Wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars)	Multi-		All		Agric	. Land	Misc. Rural Land	All ·		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28		Over 48	All Ages	Family	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total Urban	With	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 0	0 2 2 12 14	0 2 9 14 17	0 4 13 28 33	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 14 28 33	1 1 2 3 3	1 1 4 2 0	0 4 3 3 3	1 2 0 0	3 8 9 8 6	3 12 23 36 39
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 4 5 14 29	1 2 3 12 7	0 1 3 6 4	22 28 24 23 15	18 16 15 12 6	43 51 50 67 61	0 1 0 0	0 1 3 0	1 0 0 0	44 53 53 67 64	8 6 3 5 3	3 1 1 1	4 4 6 10 9	0 0 0 0	15 11 10 16 13	59 64 63 83 77
28 ' " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	44 54 46 43 14	11 18 12 5 5	4 4 2 1 3	7 7 5 1 5	5 6 4 3 4	71 89 69 53 31	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 3 1	0 0 0 1	71 89 73 56 32	5 2 4 0 1	1 0 0 0	7 9 5 2 2	0 0 0 0	13 11 9 2 3	84 100 82 .58 35
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	17 11 5 3 1	7 5 1 2 2	0 2 0 0	2 2 4 0 1	1 0 0 0	27 20 10 5 5	0 2 0 0	1 2 1 0	0 0 0 1	28 24 11 5 6	1 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 2 0	0 0 0	2 3 2 3 0	30 27 13 8 6
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	2 5 0 2	0 2 1 1	0 0 0	1 1 2 1	0 0 0	3 8 3 4	1 0 0	1 0 2 5	0 0 0 1	5 8 5 10	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 3 3	5 8 8 13
Total Cases	303	97	34	181	133	748	.7	2 3	5	783	52	19	79	3	153	936
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.1	32.0	27.1	22.9	20.8	27.5	36.4	31.1		29.1	23.0	21.4	26.6		23.4	25.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.2 3.3 6.4	4.2 4.7 8.9	2.9 4.7 7.6	3.5 4.3 7.8	3.8 4.7 8.5	3.5 3.9 7.4	7.9 4.8 12.7	2.8 27.0 29.8		4.0 9.0 13.0	4.2 6.6 10.8	8.0 5.1 13.1	5.2 4.8 10.0		4.9 6,1 11.0	4.6 7.1 11.7
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	14.2	3.2	1.7	8.1	2.8	30.0	1.2	10.1	2.5	43.8	36.2	7.3	11.9	-c-	55.4	99.2

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under 0.1 per cent.

Otero County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	One	e-Family [) Wellings	by Age (Class (year	rs)	Multi-	Common alla l	All	Takal	Agric. Land	Misc. Rural Land	All	Takal	Takal
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Aqes</u>	Family Dwellings	Commercial Buildings	Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	With Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 4	0 1 0 2 5	0 1 0 3 10	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3 10	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 2	0 1 0 4 12
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 2 5 4	1 0 1 0 4	0 1 1 1 0	6 4 9 8 3	7 11 14 12 18	14 16 27 26 29	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	14 16 27 26 30	2 3 1 1	2 1 3 2 2	0 0 0 1	4 4 4 3	18 20 31 30 33
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 338	15 17 7 3 3	2 7 10 6 2	0 0 0 0	9 5 7 3 1	2 10 6 7 2	28 29 30 19 8	0 1 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	28 30 32 19	1 0 3 1 1	1 2 1 1 2	0 0 0 1 0	2 2 4 3 3	30 32 36 22 12
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 1 0 0	3 1 1 1 0	1 0 0	6 1 1 1 0	5 4 1 0 2	16 8 4 2 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	16 10 4 2 4	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 1 1 1	1 0 0 1 0	1 1 2 3 1	17 11 6 5 5
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 3	0 2 0 3	0 4 1 7	1 0 0 1	1 1 1 3	0 0 0	2 5 2 11	0 0 0	1 0 1 3	1 0 0 0	2 0 1 3	4 5 3 14
Total Cases	51	39	7	74	114	285	6	8	2	301	18	26	6	50	351
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.4	32.7	34.3	27.8	26.8	28.6	39.8	53.8		32.4	29.5	30.6		30.3	31.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 2.8 5.1	2.2 2.7 4.9	10.8 11.2 22.0	5.0 6.1 11.1	4.4 6.4 10.8	4.2 5.5 9.7	6.8 9.2 16.0	13.8 23.7 37.5		5.7 8.1 13.8	8.5 5.5 14.0	6.9 14.4 21.3		8.4 6.2 14.6	6.8 7.2 14.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2	12.5	1.8	57.9	35.2	1.0	4.9	41.1	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Otero County: Number of Conveyances By Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family D	wallings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total	Agric	. Land Witnout	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages		Buildings	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 2 3 6	0 2 2 6 18	0 5 4 9 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 5 4 11 28	0 0 0 1 1	1 0 2 2 0	1 0 3 2 3	1 4 2 1 0	3 4 7 6 4	3 9 11 17 32
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	2 0 5 11 16	2 1 1 3 12	0 2 3 1 1	10 9 23 26 14	21 29 46 44 35	35 41 78 85 78	0 1 1 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	37 43 79 85 80	3 8 2 3 2	3 0 2 2 1	4 5 5 8 4	0 0 0 1	10 13 9 14 7	47 56 88 99 87
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	32 24 23 10 14	10 18 22 20 10	2 1 4 0 1	24 20 24 11 5	37 34 23 23 12	106 97 96 64 42	1 1 2 1	0 0 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	107 98 99 67 46	4 4 4 4	0 0 1 0	4 3 6 3 3	0 0 0 1	8 7 11 8 7	115 105 110 75 53
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 66 " " 48	7 2 2 0 0	13 10 8 1 0	2 2 3 0 1	13 6 7 6 2	17 14 3 2 4	52 34 23 9 7	1 1 0 0	0 2 0 0 4	0 1 0 0	53 38 24 9 11	0 2 4 3 4	0 0 0 1 1	0 1 2 1	1 0 0 0	1 3 6 5 6	54 41 30 14 17
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 1	· 0 2 6	0 0 0 1	2 3 3 6	1 6 2 8	4 10 7 22	1 1 0 2	2 2 2 8	0 0 0	7 13 9 32	0 0 0 4	1 0 1 1	1 0 2 5	0 0 1	2 0 3 11	9 13 12 43
Total Cases	152	142	27	226	389	936	15	. 31	3	985	57	19	67	12	155	1,140
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.9	33.8	33.1	29.6	27.6	29.7	36.9	44.9		32.4	31.6	24.8	27.2	23,7	30.5	31.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.1 5.8	3.4 4.9 8.3	9.3 7.1 16.4	5.4 5.8 11.2	4.8 5.9 10.7	4.7 5.4 10.1	5.4 10.6 16.0	11.1 16.3 27.4		5.7 7.3 13.0	8.4 10.8 19.2	8.6 17.2 25.8	5.7 8.3 14.0	12.7 6.3 19.0	8.4 11.7 20.1	6.9 9.2 16.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Valueb	6.3	5.7	1.8	13.2	14.4	41.4	2.2	12.5	1.8	57.9	35.2	4.9	1.0	-c-	41.1	99.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.
c. Under O.1 per cent.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	. 0 0 2 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 1 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0 4
Total Cases	13	· 3	16
Average Sales Ratio (%)	33.4		26.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	10.2 40.4 50.6		7.0 13.2 20.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.6	68.3	98.9

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Ouray County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Tot a l <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 0 2 3 2	0 4 1 2 2	1 4 3 5 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 5 3 0	1 2 1 1	2 4 6 4 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 5 0 1 1	2 0 1 0	5 5 1 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 2 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 0 3 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 2 0 8	0 0 0 3	1 2 0 11
Total Cases	43	23	66
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.5	17.2	19.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	7.7 18.1 25.8	2.4 14.9 17.3	3.4 15.6 19.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.6	68.3	98.9

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class or property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the b. Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years	All	All Other	Total	Misc. Ru With	ral Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	<u> 29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	A11 Aqes	<u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 2 2 2	1 6 3 4 4	0 0 0 0	1 6 5 6	1 6 5 7 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 1	3 2 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	3 2 1 1 3	2 2 1 0 1	5 5 5 6 10	1 0 0 0	8 7 6 6 11	11 9 7 7 14
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0000	0 1 0 0	0 3 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	1 6 1 0 1	1 0 0 0	2 6 1 0	1 2 1	4 0 5 0	0 0 0 0	5 1 7 1	7 7 8 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 1 1	1 3 0 3 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 2	0 0 1 1	0 3 0 3	0 0 0	0 3 1 4	0 4 1 6
Total Cases	2	3	8	6	8	27	2	29	20	. 69	1	90	119
Average Sales Ratio (%)			31.0	27.4	31.4	28.2		29.3	23.1	22.8		23.0	24.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total			6.4 11.0 17.4	8.4 3.6 12.0	7.4 8.6 16.0	5.6 10.7 16.3		5.4 12.4 17.8	6.1 9.9 16.0	5.2 6.6 11.8		5.7 8.4 14.1	3.7 12.2 15.9
Prop. of Ass'd, Value ^b	3.4	2.4	3.5	1.7	2.1	13.1	4.4	17.5	8.8	6.7	55.9	71.4	88.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Park County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (year	rs)	Commercia	A11	Total	Agric With	. Land Without	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Building		<u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 2 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 3	0 3 3	0 0 1 1	0 1 4 7 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 4 7 5	0 1 1 1	2 0 0 1	0 3 5 6 5	1 8 11 10 8	3 12 17 18 14	3 13 21 25 19
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1	2 0 2 0 1	1 2 1 1 0	3 0 2 2 1	1 2 1 1 3	7 5 7 4 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	7 5 7 4 6	0 0 1 2 0	1 0 0	. 4 3 1 5	9 17 9 18 16	13 22 13 21 21	20 27 2 0 25 27
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	2 2 0 0	0 4 0 2 0	1 1 0 1	1 2 2 1 0	5 9 3 3 1	2 1 0 0	0 0 0	7 10 3 3 2	2 0 0 0	0000	2 3 2 1 2	7 2 10 0 2	11 5 12 1 4	18 15 15 4 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 5 2 1	1 5 2 1	2 7 2 3 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 3	0 0 0 3	0 0 8	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 9	. 0	0 0 1 0	0 3 3 1	0 7 0 13	0 10 4 14	0 12 4 23
Total Cases	8	11	19	23	20	81	6	1	88	9	6	52	158	225	313
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.0	25.0	28.3	23.8	31.3	25.5	35.4		27.3	25.8	13.6	22,2	23.3	24.3	24.8
Reasure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	7.0 6.0 13.0	6.2 4.2 10.4	7.5 9.8 17.3	8.0 8.7 16.7	9.3 2.7 12.0	7.4 6.5 13.9	5.9 17.1 23.0	***	7.1 8.5 15.6	11.3 0.4 11.7	4.8 7.4 12.2	6.5 9.1 15.6	5.0 9.2 14.2	9.6 2.9 12.5	9.2 3.9 13.1
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	2.4	3.4	1.7	2.1	13.1	4.1	0.3	17.5	53.2	2.7	8.8	6.7	71.4	88.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Famil	ly Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)	411	All	Takal	Takal	T-4-1
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 3	0 1 0 0 2	0 1 1 0 5	0 0 1 0	0 1 2 0 5	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	9 7 2 2 1	0 1 0 0 2	10 8 2 3 4	0 0 0 0	10 8 2 3 4	0 3 0 0	10 11 2 3 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 1 1 1 0	1 0 0 1	2 2 2 4 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 4 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 4 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 3	0 0 0	0 0 0 3
Total Cases	3	4	2	29	8	46	3	49	3	52
Average Sales Ratio (%)				21.0	22.6	23.9		30.0		22.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total				2.3 2.8 5.1	5.6 5.4 11.0	2.9 4.2 7.1		8.3 7.8 16.1		1.5 3.0 4.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12.2	14.4	26.6	73.2	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Phillips County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

												•		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u> </u>	<u>9-18</u>	Dwellings	29-48	Class (ye Over 48	All	Commercia Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. With Impts.	Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 1 2 5	0 2 1 0	0 3 5 6	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 6 6 8	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 1 7	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 9	1 3 7 15 8
16 " " 18 18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 0 1 3	1 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	15 10 9 2 2	2 1 2 1 3 3	8 15 10 8 9	0 0 1 0	0000	18 15 11 8	1 0 1 1 2	1 4 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 4 2 1 2	20 19 13
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 2 1 1 3	1 0 2 2 2	0 1 0 1	0 2 1 1	2 1 0 1 0	5 6 4 6	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	5 6 5 6 7	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 2 0 0	5 8 5 6 7
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0	3 2 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 1 2 1	0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	3 2 2 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 3 0 0	0 4 0 1	1 0 1 4	0 0 0	1 4 1 5	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 5 1 5
Total Cases	17.	16	6	60 -	23	122	10	1	133	9	14	3	26	159
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.0	28.7	31.7	20.8	24.8	23.9	44.5		28.3	24.5	16.6		19.5	20.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.9 5.5 9.4	3.7 8.3 12.0	16.7 6.8 13.5	2.5 2.8 5.3	5.3 5.7 11.0	4.0 4.5 8.5	11.5 53.0 64.5		5.6 14.7 20.3	6.4 3.9 10.3	1.9 4.2 6.1		3.4 4.3 7.7	3.8 5.8 9.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.2	1.1	6.2	0.8	12.2	6.1	8.3	26.6	31.5	39.9	1.8	73.2	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pitkin County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Famil	y Dwellings	by Age Cla	ass (years)	A11	All Other	Total	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Urban	Urban	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 1 1 0	0 4 1 1 0	0 0 0	0 4 1 1 0	0 2 1 0	0 6 2 1 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 1 0 1	2 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 1 0 0	6 3 1 2 0	0 0 0	6 3 1 2 0	0 0 0	6 3 1 2 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	1 0 2 1 0	1 0 0 0	2 0 2 1 0	0 0 0	2 0 2 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 0 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0000	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2
Total Cases	7	4	0	0	12	23	4	27	3	30
.Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.2				18.3	20.0		25.4		23.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.0 8.8 11.8				6.8 7.7 14.5	5.1 7.7 12.8		5.5 10.4 15.9		4.9 9.0 13.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	19.7	45.2	52.7	97.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

		ويهاجنا بعالمة رالجائز	. M. man ist	41 15 4		N 1 · 14 ·				V :					
34.44 747.2 3.428 8		25.00	A 28	28 88	No. 15	1	de Halling	is property	1	Wall State	1. 1.	The state of the s	1	Sec. 5. 4.4	
10 and 12 12 14 14 14 16 7	2	2 1	34300	30400	9 15 6 4	17 10 19	0 0 1	0 2	17 0 11 12	0 10	3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	1	220	0 4 4	11 15 16
23	19 3 6 4 2	3 1 1 0	6 6 0 0	6,600	3 1 0 1	16 7 8 5	1 // 1 0 1	6 6 6 6	17 7 9 5 4	6 6 1 1	2 2 2 0 0	; ; ; ; ; ;	900 000	; ; ; ; ; ;	; 3 } ; f,
28	3 1 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 0 1 0	5 3 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	6 3 3 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	00000	1 0 2 0 0	7 3 5 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	2 0 0 1 0	0 0 0	3 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 0 0	00000	0 4 0 0	3 4 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 .	0 0 0	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 1	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 2	0 0	1 0 0 1	0 1 0	0000	2 1 0 1	2 1 1 3
Total Cases	40	10	1	2	50	103	10	2	115	6	11	38	4	59	174
Average Sales Ratio (%)	22.1	23.1			14.7	17.6	27.8		20.8	30.3	24.6	10.9	,	17.0	18.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.5 3.9 7.4				3.8 4.3 8.1	3.8 4.0 7.8	8.8 11.7 20.5		5.4 6.4 11.8	15.3 2.7 18.0	7.4 3.0 10.4	4.3 9.4 13.7		3.3 2.7 6.0	4.2 4.1 8.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	10.8	1.7	0.1	0.1	12.8	25.5	18.2	1.5	45.2	24.2	24.2	3.4	0.9	52.7	97.9

c. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years	A11	All Other	Total	Aqiro	. Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Aqes	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 2 2	0 1 1 3 3	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 3 3	0 0 0 0 2	0 2 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 2 2 0 2	0 3 3 3 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 4 2 4	1 0 1 2 3	1 2 0 2 0	0 2 8 6 2	2 5 3 4 3	4 9 16 16 12	0 0 0 0	4 9 16 16 12	1 0 1 1	0 2 1 0	0 0 0	1 2 2 3 1	5 11 18 19 13
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 1 0 0	1 1 1 0	0 0 0 1	4 2 2 2 0	1 4 0 1	8 4 5 1	0 0 0 0	8 8 4 5 1	1 2 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 2 0 1 1	10 10 4 6 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 1 0 1	1 0 1 1 0	1 2 2 2 0	1 0 0 0	2 2 2 2 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	. 2 0 1	3 3 4 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 3	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 4 0 4	0 0 1 1	1 4 1 5	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	1 5 1 6
Total Cases	14	17	9	34	33	107	3	110	15	7	6	28	138
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.0	30.6	21.4	25.7	25.4	26.3		31.0	30.9	19.2		27.1	28.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.2 2.5 4.7	4.4 18.9 23.3	2.9 6.5 9.4	2.6 5.8 8.4	4.1 6.0 10.1	3.2 7.7 10.9		4.3 8.4 12.7	7.4 7.6 15.0	7.4 3.3 10.7		7.4 6.2 13.6	6.4 6.9 13.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	23.1	16.5	3 9.6	45.7	13.8	***	59.5	99.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Prowers County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

		5	5 11/ ₋			`						Misc. Rural			
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19÷28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Land With Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 ' " 16 16 " " 18	0 · 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 2	0 1 3 4 5	0 0 2 4 5	0 2 5 9 13	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 6 9	0 0 1 0 4	1 3 7 9	0 0 0 0	0 1 0	1 3 9 9	1 5 15 18 25
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 23	2 3 10 6 14	4 8 5 8 16	2 3 2 3 0	2 6 13 19 17	9 17 13 14 13	19 37 43 50 60	0 0 0	0 1 1 0 0	19 38 44 50 60	2 0 1 1 3	8 4 2 1 2	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	10 4 3 4 5	29 42 47 54 65
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	7 2 2 1 1	9 5 2 1 0	0 0 0 2	5 7 5 2 2	10 4 4 4 3	31 18 13 10 6	1 2 0 0	1 0 0 1 1	33 20 13 11 8	1 3 3 2 3	1 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 4 4 2 3	35 24 17 13 11
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	1 1 0 1	0 0 1 1 0	2 4 0 1 2	4 4 3 1	7 9 5 3 4	2 2 0 2 1	0 0 0 1	9 11 5 5 6	2 0 1 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 3 2	11 12 8 7 7
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 0	1 2 0 5	0 1 0 0	0 4 0 3	1 2 0 2	10 0 10	0 1 4 5	0 0 0 1	2 11 4 16	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 2 0 3	2 13 4 19
Total Cases	50	71	18	107	120	366	. 22	7	395	32	48	В	1	89	484
Average Sales Ratio (%)	25.7	27.0	22.7	25.6	25.3	25,6	47.1		30.5	31.6	17.5			26.6	28.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.4 2.7 5.1	3.1 3.9 7.0	3.2 11.8 15.0	2.7 5.9 8.6	4.1 6.2 10.3	3.0 5.3 8,3	8.6 12.3 20.9		4.5 6.7 11.2	7.6 6.4 14.0	3.3 3.0 6.3			6.1 5.2 11.3	5.5 5.9 11.3
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.9	5.2	1.4	6.1	5.5	23.1	12.2	4.3	39.6	45.7	13.8			59.5	99.1

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the County as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pueblo County: Number of Conveyances by Size
of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation
and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property
for the Year 1961

	One-	Family D	wellings	by_Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	All Other	Total		c. Land Without	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Urban	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 4 7	0 0 1 0 2	0 3 9 18 21	1 22 28 29 27	1 25 40 51 58	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 1	1 26 40 53 58	1 0 2 0	0 2 2 0 1	1 5 7 12 6	7 12 26 10 9	9 20 35 24 16	10 46 75 77 74
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	6 19 24 65 130	13 31 53 57 34	7 10 10 7 7	23 34 23 11 8	24 16 16 7 6	73 110 126 147 185	1 1 0 1	0 2 1 1 0	0 0 0	74 113 128 148 186	1 0 1 0	0 1 0 2 0	8 9 11 11 8	5 20 7 20 3	14 30 19 33 11	88 143 147 161 197
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	150 97 46 12 7	24 15 14 1 3	1 2 0 0	6 8 5 1 2	5 1 1 3 1	186 78 65 51 18	0 1 1 4 1	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	187 79 67 56 20	0 0 0	0000	2 5 9 4 3	7 33 3 4 3	9 38 12 8 6	196 117 79 64 26
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 43	4 2 2 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 2 0 0	0 2 0 0 2	2 0 0 0	10 8 3 3	0 0 0	1 1 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	12 9 6 3	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	5 2 2 1 0	2 0 0 1	7 3 2 2 0	19 12 8 5 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 0 1	0 1 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 3	0 3 0 6	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 3 0 7	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 2 9	0 0	1 1 2 9	1 4 2 16
Total Cases	568	263	50	176	193	1,250	12	14	3	1,279	7	8	124	172	311	1,590
Average Sales Ratio (%)	28.5	25.0	23.0	20.9	17.6	23.6	31.0	31.9		25.8	18.0	16.7	. 24.0	21.2	22.2	24.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.1 2.1 4.2	2.7 2.8 5.5	2.5 3.1 5.6	3.6 3.3 6.9	3.8 4.1 7.9	2.9 3.0 5.9	6.0 4.5 10.5	10.4 9.1 19.5		4.9 4.4 9.2	6.1 4.0 10.1	4.7 6.1 10.8	6.0 9.8 15.8	7.3 9.0 16.3	6.0 8.2 14.2	5.3 5.7 11.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.1	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Pueblo County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

•	One	-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (y	ears) All	Multi-	Commercial	Industria	l Total		c. Land Without	Misc. R	wral Land Without	Total	Takal
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	· <u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u>29-48</u>	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Buildings	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	1 4 2 6 6	2 4 3 9 12	1 . 6 5 5	1 14 37 65 66	13 63 77 97 90	18 86 125 182 179	0 0 0 0 3	0 1 2 3 0	2 0 0 3 0	20 87 127 188 182	4 2 4 5 4	4 2 3 1 3	5 9 15 19 23	32 22 51 21 23	45 35 73 46 53	65 122 200 234 23 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	22 78 146 290 401	32 76 126 119 98	15 23 22 20 15	61 84 57 40 26	58 59 55 27 25	188 320 406 496 565	2 4 3 2 2	5 4 4 2 4	1 0 1 0	196 328 414 500 571	3 1 2 4 4	2 5 1 2 1	27 20 23 17 15	16 44 15 28 7	48 70 41 51 27	244 398 455 551 598
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	423 258 123 35 19	66 32 39 16 7	6 5 0 2 5	19 15 10 1 3	13 12 10 12 2	527 322 182 66 36	1 4 3 8 2	4 1 3 5 3	0 1 1 1 0	532 328 189 80 41	1 0 0 2	0 1 1 0	11 9 11 8 6	15 49 6 11 5	27 59 18 20 13	559 387 207 100 54
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	17 10 8 2 4	3 13 6 3 5	2 2 0 2 1	3 6 1 1 3	6 4 1 2 1	31 35 16 10 14	3 1 1 0 0	3 2 5 1 2	· 0 0 0 0	37 38 22 11 16	0 1 0 0	1 1 0 0	9 4 2 3 0	4 1 0 3 0	14 7 3 6 0	51 45 25 17 16
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	5 7 2 11	6 3 2 5	0 0 0 1	0 2 1 2	2 1 0 4	13 13 5 23	0 1 1 0	1 2 3	1 0 0 1	15 15 8 27	0 0 0	0 0	2 2 2 11	0 0 0	2 2 2 11	17 17 10 38
Total Cases	1,880	687	139	518	634	3,858	41	61	. 12	3,972	38	29	253	353	673	4,645
Average Sales Ratio (%)	27.9	25.5	23.3	20.3	18.2	23.6	30.5	31.3	36.4	25.4	17.5	17.5	22.7	19.7	21.2	23.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.5 2.3 4.8	3.0 3.5 6.5	3.1 3.5 6.6	3.9 3.9 7.8	4.1 4.5 8.6	3.3 3.4 6.7	7.7 5.2 12.9	9.2 10.5 19.7	***	4.8 4.7 9.5	3.7 8.3 12.0	4.7 7.3 12.0	5.4 8.6 14.0	6.4 9.1 15.5	4.9 8.5 13.4	4.9 6.1 11.0
Prop. of Assid. Valueb	19.9	8.4	2.5	8.3	7.9	47.0	1.5	15.5	1.7	65.7	7.1	0.4	24.7	0.3	32.7	98.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	<u></u>	One-Family	Dwellings	by Age Cla	ss (years)		All	Takal	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 4	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1 6
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 2 1	0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 · 0 0 0	1 2 2 3 5	0 0 0 1	1 2 2 3 6	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 3 7
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	4 3 3 0 0	7 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	11 3 3 0 0	0000	11 3 3 0 0	0 1 0 1	11 4 3 1 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	. 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	0000	0 0 0 1
Total Cases	12	14	4	3	2	35	3	38	. 6	44
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.2	26.7				24.8		25.1	17.7	19.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	1.2 2.5 3.7	3.7 2.3 6.0				2.8 3.6 6.4		3.0 3.9 6.9	1.7 6.5 8.2	
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	7.9	2.6	3.3	2.9	23.1	13.2	36.3	61.2	97.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Blanco County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

One-Family Dwellings by Age Class (years) All All Other Total Total Total												
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	Ages	<u>Urban</u>	<u>Urban</u>	Rural	County		
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	. 0. 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 1	0 0 1 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 7	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 7	1 0 2 1 2	1 0 3 3 9		
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	3 1 4 6 3	0 0 2 1 1	1 1 1 0 1	0 0 1 1	4 5 8 8 7	0 0 0 0 2	4 5 8 8 9	0 0 0 0 2	4 5 8 8 11		
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	5 3 4 1 0	10 2 3 4 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	15 5 7 6 0	. 0 0 0 0	15 5 7 6 0	0 1 0 1 0	15 6 7 7 0		
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 1 0 1	0 2 0 1 0	1 3 0 2 1		
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 0 0	1 2 1 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	2 3 1 3	. 0 0 1 1	2 3 2 4	O O 1 O	2 3 3 4		
Total Cases	18	49	7	8	5	87	5	92	14	106		
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.2	27.5	24.1	19.1		26.2	** **	27.1	15.4	18.3		
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 2.8 5.6	4.4 5.7 10.1	15.7 2.4 18.1	2.4 · 2.9 5.3		3.7 4.9 8.6		3.9 6.3 10.2	2.2 22.9 25.1	3.2 19.1 22.3		
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	6.4	7.9	2.6	3.3	2.9	23.1	13.2	36.3	61.2	97.5		

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Grande County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Famil	y Dwelling	s by Aqe	Class (year	·s)	All	T. 1-1	Agric. Land	Misc. Rural Land With	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u> 19-28</u>	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	All <u>Ages</u>	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 . 1 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0 0 3	0 1 1 1 4
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 2 1	1 1 5 0 3	2 4 1 0 3	3 5 6 3 9	0 0 0 0	3 5 6 3 10	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 1	3 5 7 3 11
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 1 2 1	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 1 0	5 3 3 1 0	8 4 7 5 1	0 0 0 0	8 4 7 5 1	1 1 0 0	1 1 2 0 1	0 0 0 0	2 2 2 0 2	10 6 9 5 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 1 0	5 1 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	5 2 0 1 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0- 1 1	6 2 0 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2
Total Cases	6	8	6	18	26	64	2	66	7	7	2	16	82
Average Sales Ratio (%)	35.3	30.7	26.7	24.7	28.7	29.0		28.5	33.0	29.6		32.5	31.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 1.7 4.0	3.7 6.1 9.8	2.2 55.8 58.0	3.7 4.3 8.0	5.7 4.3 10.0	3.9 9.5 13.4		2.7 10.9 13.6	5.5 5.5 11.0	5.1 3.6 8.7	***	5.5 5.2 10.7	4.5 7.2 11.7
Prop. of Ass'd, Value ^b	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.6	20,3	11.6	31.9	54.2	8.9	4.3	67.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Rio Grande County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One -	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Commercial	Todustainl	All Other	Total	Agric. Land With	Misc. Ru	ural Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages	Buildings		Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 1 0	0 1 2 1 0	0 1 1 1 2	1 2 4 2 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 4 2 4	0 1 0 1 1	0 1 1	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 1	0 1 1 3 4	1 3 5 5 9
13 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 2	1 0 0 2 2	2 4 9 5 9	4 6 7 4 7	7 10 17 13 21	2 1 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	9 11 18 14 23	1 0 1 0 2	0 0 3 1 2	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0	1 1 4 2 4	10 12 22 16 27
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	5 3 2 4	5 2 4 5 0	0 1 1 0 0	7 3 2 3 1	9 11 8 7 3	26 22 18 17 8	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	26 22 19 17 8	2 2 1 0 3	2 4 5 2 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 6 7 2 4	30 28 26 19 12
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1	3 1 0 2 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	4 2 2 1 0	8 3 3 5 0	2 3 1 0 1	0 1 0 1	0000	10 7 4 6	3 0 1 2 1	2 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 0 1 2 3	15 7 5 8 4
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 2	0 0 1 1	0 1 0 2	0 2 2 5	1 0 0 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	2 2 2 6	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 1 0 0	2 3 2 6
Total Cases	22	28	14	52	83	199	15	6	0,	220	23	26	6	1	56	27ó
Average Sales Ratio (%)	32.5	31.8	31.1	25.7	28.5	29.3	32.0	40.9		30.3	33.2	30.7	25.2		32.8	31.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 3.7 6.4	3.4 3.8 7.2	6.6 21.4 23.0	3.0 4.0 7.0	4.9 5.9 10.8	3.9 6.1 10.0	6.5 10.5 17.0	17.9 4.1 22.0		4.9 13.8 18.7	6.3 5.7 12.0	5.7 3.1 8.8	8.2 7.8 16.0		6.3 5.8 12.1	5.8 6.4 12.2
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	4.8	3.1	2.0	4.8	5.6	20.3	10.2	0.6	0.8	31.9	54.2	8.9	0.3	4.0	67.4	99.3

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	4 00-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0	One-Famil	y Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)		All	.	Misc. Rural Land	All		+ 1
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 6	1 0 1 0	2 1 0 1 3	0 2 3 2 1	4 3 6 9 5	0 0 1 0	4 3 7 9 5	0 0 2 0	0 2 0 2 1	0 2 2 2 1	4 5 9 11 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0	1 0 1 1 0	2 3 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 1 0	3 3 2 3	0 0 0 1	3 3 3 2 4	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 1 2	3 4 3 6
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 1 2 0 2	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 O- O O 1	2 1 2 0 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 2 3 7	1 0 0 2	2 2 4 9	0 0 0 3	2 2 4 12	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 4 12
Total Cases	1	12	12	25	15	65	5	70	8	6	14	84
Average Sales Ratio (%)		25.6	31.2	27.3	26.3	30.6		31.4	37.1		24.0	25.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		1.3 5.4 6.7	3.2 6.8 10.0	10.5 28.9 39.4	3.1 19.2 22.3	5.0 15.7 20.7		3.0 51.4 54.4	8.9 5.9 14.8		2.5 2.5 5.0	2.6 13.8 16.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.3	4.6	2.5	6.6	2.0	19.0	9.8	28.8	4.0	66.6	70.6	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Routt County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family Dv	vellings	by Age C	lass (year	s) All	Commercial	All Other	Tatal	Agric With	. Land Without	Misc. A	ural Land	Tatal	Takal
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u> '	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	Ages	Buildings	<u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Without Impts.	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 - 2 0 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 0 1 0 2	3 1 1 8 2	1 0 3 0 1	5 1 2 3 5	0 5 3 4 2	10 7 10 15 12	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	10 7 11 15 12	1 3 1 4 2	2 3 3 0 0	0 0 4 0	1 1 1 1	4 7 9 5 3	14 14 20 20 15
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0	5 3 4 3 1	5 3 2 1 1	5 8 5 3 3	4 1 2 1 1	20 16 14 8 6	1 1 2 1 2	0 0 0	21 17 16 9 8	1 2 0 0	3 1 1 1	4 2 2 0 2	0 1 0 0	8 6 3 1 3	29 23 19 10 11
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	2 2 1 0 2	1 2 0 1 1	2 2 4 3 1	1 3 0 1 1	6 9 5 5 5	0 3 1 2 0	0 1 2 0 0	6 13 8 7 5	1 1 3 3 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	2 3 3 1	9 16 11 10 6
43 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	1 0 1 3	3 1 1 7	2 3 3 25	1 1 1 5	7 5 6 40	0 1 0 6	0 0 0	7 6 6 46	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 4	7 7 6 50
Total Cases	7	43	35	88	37	210	21	3	234	23	16	21	9	69	303
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.2	31.4	35.5	35.9	29.4	31.9	37.7		33.8	26.7	22.6	31.2	30.0	26.7	28.4
Measure of V ₃ riation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.3 4.3 6.6	6.0 7.8 13.8	6.4 15.7 22.1	6.7 27.1 33.8	4.8 16.1 20.9	5.3 14.9 20.2	3.2 44.8 48.0		4.7 23.2 27.9	3.2 15.5 18.7	1.3 9.4 10.7	7.6 6.6 14.2	7.5 16.1 23.6	3.4 14.5 17.9	3.7 16.7 20.4
Prop. of Assid. Value ^b	3.3	4.6	2.5	6,6	2.0	19.0	9.1	0.7	28.8	59.0	5.1	4.0	2.5	70.6	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	y Dwellings	by Age Cla	ass (years)	Commercial	All Other	Total	Total	Total	
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Buildings	Urban	Urban	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 2 1	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 4 2	0000	0 0 0	1 0 1 4 3	0 3 0 0	1 3 1 4 4
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 1 0	0 2 0 0	1 0 0 2 0	1 2 2 3 0	0 0 0 0	0000	1 2 2 3 0	0 0 0	1 2 2 3 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 2 1	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 2 1	1 0 0 0	3 0 0 2 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 4	0 1 0 9	0 0 3 0	0 0 1	0 1 3 10	0 2 0 1	0 3 3 11
Total Cases	1	6	5	9	9	30	6	2	38	11	49
Average Sales Ratio (%)		31.4		34.8	58.8	38.1	24.7		31.9	20.1	21.7
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		4.4 41.1 45.5		8.3 12.1 20.4	25.7 31.5 57.2	9.8 26.8 36.6	9.7 32.8 42.5		9.8 29.5 39.3	1.1 36.2 37.3	2.3 35.3 37.6
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.0	13,4	6.6	0.0	20.0	19.5	77.0

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Saguache County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959–1961 Combined

	One	-Family 1	Dwellings	by Age C.	lass (year	rs)	G -4-1	All	 .	Agric		All		-
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	00000	00000	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 2	1 0 1 1 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0	1 0 2 3	0 0 0 1	1 0 0 2 3	0 0 0 1	2 1 1 6 6	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 2 6 7	0 2 0 0 3	0 2 1 0 1	0 1 0 0	0 5 1 0 4	3 6 3 6 11
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 0	0 1 4 0 0	1 0 0 1	2 3 0 2 1	1 0 0 2 2	4 4 5 5 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	4 4 5 5 6	0 0 2 0 1	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 3 0 1	5 4 8 5 7
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1 0	1 0 0 1 1	1 0 0 0	4 1 1 2 2	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	6 1 1 2 2	2 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	8 1 2 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 4	0 1 0 2	1 1 0 2	0 0 0 5	0 13	1 0 3 1	0 0 0 1	. 2 2 3 15	0 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	1 0 0	1 3 0 2	3 5 3 17
Total Cases	3	17	10	21	13	64	11	4	79	14	9	3	26	105
Average Sales Ratio (%)		30.8	41.3	32.9	52.6	36.0	30.2		33.7	22.9	21.0		22.7	24.3
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		4.6 16.6 21.2	6.3 11.2 17.5	5.4 12.6 18.0	17.4 24.3 41.7	6.8 14.9 21.7	10.2 26.9 37.1		8.2 19.7 27.9		1.2 9.0 10.2			
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.9	2.7	2.1	4.7	2.0	13.4	6.6	0.0	20.0	69.7	7.5	2.3	79.5	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 1	. 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 3 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 1 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0
Total Cases	12	0	12
Average Sales Ratio (%)	38.2		38.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	12.2 7.8 20.0		12.2 7.8 20.0
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	30.8	68.1	98.9

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Juan County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 0 3 3	. 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 3 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 4 1 1 3	0 0 0 0	3 4 1 1 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	2 6 2 6 1	0 0 0 0	. 2 6 2 6 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 3 1	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 1 1 5	0 0 0	1 1 1 5
Total Cases	49	0	49
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.7	der um sin der	30.7
Measure of Variation Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	9.6 9.6 19.2		9.6 9.6 19.2
Prop. of Assid. Value ^b	30.8	68.1	98.9

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 2
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 2 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 1 2 1
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 1 0 0 1	0 1 0 1 0	3 2 0 1	1 2 0 0 1	4 4 0 1 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 0 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 3 0 4	0 0 0	1 3 0 4	0 0 0	1 3 0 4
Total Cases	20	2	22	8	30
Average Sales Ratio (%)	38.9		37.5	27.4	29.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	12.0 14.4 26.4		9.5 10.9 20.4	5.2 6.8 12.0	5.9 7.5 13.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

San Miguel County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family Dwellings	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 3	0 1 0 1 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 4 6 5	0 0 1 0	1 2 5 6 5	0 0 0 1 1	1 2 5 7 6
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	6 5 3 3 4	0 1 0 1 0	6 6 3 4 4	1. 5 0 1	7 11 3 5 5
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	3 2 3 2 1	0 0 1 0	3 2 4 2 1	1 1 0 1 0	4 3 4 3 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 5 2 11	0 0 0 3	1 5 2 14	0 1 0 0	1 6 2 14
Total Cases	69	7	76	19	95
Average Sales Ratio (%)	34.1		34.1	23.2	24.9
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.1 34.0 36.1		5.4 20.9 26.3	3.7 13.3 17.0	3.9 14.5 18.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	16.5	5.2	21.7	78.0	99.7

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings b	y Age Clas	s (years)		All	Takal	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Rural</u>	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 2 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 2	2 1 0 0 2	2 3 1 3 3	0 0 2 0 1	4 4 3 3 10	0 0 0 0	4 4 3 3 11	0 1 0 0	4 5 3 3 11
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 3b 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 1 0 0	0 0 1 0	4 1 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 2 1 0	0 1 0 0	1 1 2 1 0	0 0 0	1 2 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 1	O 1 O 1	0 0 0	0 1 0 1
Total Cases	3	6	6	17	5	37	4	41	. 3	44
Average Sales Ratio (%)		28.2	24.7	23.5		25.3		25.2		20.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		1.7 1.3 3.0	5.2 2.8 8.0	3.3 3.7 7.0		2.6 2.9 5.5		3.0 10.7 13.7		1.3 6.2 7.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.2	2:3	1.4	5.7	0,8	13.4	18.4	31.8	67.7	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Sedgwick County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	On	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (year	·s)		A11		Agric.	Land	A11		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Oyer 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	Other <u>Rural</u>	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 4 6	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 4	1 0 0	2 1 1 1 5	2 1 3 5 11
16 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0 2	0 0 1 1 7	3 1 0 2 2	10 7 9 5 7	0 0 4 0 3	13 8 15 8 21	0 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1 1	13 9 15 9 23	0 2 0 0 2	0 3 1 0	0000	0 5 1 0 2	13 14 16 9 25
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 2 0	4 2 0 2 0	1 0 0 1 0	0 1 1 1 2	1 0 0 0 1	7 4 1 6 3	0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	7 4 1 6 4	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	00000	1 0 1 0	8 4 2 6 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 0 0 0	3 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	0 1 0	5 2 2 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 2 1 1	0000	0 0 0 0	00000	0 0 0	5 3 2 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	1 2 1 2	0 0 0 2	1 2 1 5	0 0 0 3	0 1 2 1	1 3 3 9	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0.	0 0 0	1 3 3 9
Total Cases	8	23	13	60	. 12	116.	9	6	131	7	11	, 2	20	151
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.6	30.2	25.3	23.0	29.1	26.0	24.4		29.0	23.0	17.9		20.7	22.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.6 5.4 8.0	3.4 5.0 8.4	5.8 5.2 11.0	3.6 4.3 7.9	5.6 10.9 16.5	3.7 5.1 8.8	4.B 39.4 44.2		4.3 3.7 8.0	5.1 4.2 9.3	1.5 3.6 5.1		3.5 3.9 7.4	3.7 3.9 7.6
Prop. of Assid. Valueb	3,2	2.3	1.4	5.7	0.8	13.4	6.5	11.9	31.9	40.9	26.6	0.2	67.7	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	ror en	c icai	1701	Misc. Rural			
Sales Ratio Class (%)	One Family <u>Dwellings</u>	All Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Land Without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total <u>Rural</u>	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 1 2 3 3	0 1 0 1	0 2 2 4 3	3 1 0 0	0 1 0 0	3 2 0 0	3. 4 2 4 3
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 3 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 3 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 1 0	1 1 1 0	1 4 1 1 0
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 2 1	0 0 1 1 0	. 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	1 1 3 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	1 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 2 0 0
Total Cases	18	4	22	9	2	11	33
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.2		21.8	17.6		17.6	18.1
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.4 5.1 9.5		7.1 14.2 21.3	8.8 7.9 16.7		8.8 7.9 16.7	7.0 11.4 18.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	8.5		15.5	15.2	68.7	83.9	99.4

Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Summit County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	Or	ne-Family	Dwellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Commercial	All Other	Total	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	Ages	Buildings	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 -10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 2 5 5	0 2 3 5 5	0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 3 4 6 5	0 0 0 0	9 3 1 0 1	01000	9 4 1 0 2	9 7 4 6 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 5 2 2 2	0 5 3 2 2	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 5 3 3 2	0 1 0 1 0	1 1 1 0	0000	1 2 1 2 0	1 7 4 5 2
23 " " 30 30 " " 32 52 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 0 1 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 2 0	1 2 1 4 1	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 2 4 1	0 0 1 2	1 0 3 2 0	0 0 0 0	1 0 4 4 0	2 2 6 8 1
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 .ić " " 48	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0000	0 0 1 1 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 2 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 6	1 2 1 6	0 3 0 0	0 0 0	1 5 1 6	O O 1 1	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1	1 6 2 7
Total Cases	7	2	0	1	37	47	. 8	0	55	8	25	1	34	89
Avarage Sales Ratio (%)	32.4				20.8	23.4	29,2		25.5	31.0	14.2		24.6	24.8
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total					4.7 14.0 28.7	3,4 15.5 18.9	9.2 22.5 31.7		5.5 18.4 23.9	8.0 15.5 23.5	5.7 15.6 21.3		7.1 15.6 22.7	6.9 15.9 22.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	2.1	0.9	0.4	0.7	4.5	8.5	0.5	6.5	15.5	54.6	15.2	14.1	83.9	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years)		All		Misc. Rural Land	All		-
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-19	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All <u>Ages</u>	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	Without Impts.	Other Rural	Total Bural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 1 2	0 0 2 1 2	0 1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	1 1 3	1 2 4 5 11	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 4 5 11	0 1 0 0	2 0 1 0	2 1 1 0 1	3 5 5 12
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	3 2 1 0 2	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	0 0 1 0	4 5 2 0 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	4 5 2 0 5	1 2 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 0	5 7 2 0 5
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	1 1 0 0	3 2 2 1 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	3 2 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 2 2 2 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0	1 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 3 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 1 1 2	1 1 ·1 3	1 0 1 2	0 0 0	2 1 2 5	0 1 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	2 2 2 5
Total Cases	15	9	3	7	23	57	6	0	63	7	3	10	73
Average Sales Ratio (%)	21.1	18.0		21.8	20.4	19.1	45.1		23.5	23.5		19.1	20.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.3 6.1 9.4	3.5 12.0 15.5		2.3 6.7 9.0	4.6 26.1 30.7	3.2 12.4 15.6	4.1 36.1 40.2		3.4 16.4 19.8	6.0 12.6 18.6		5.9 10.4 16.3	2.3 16.5 18.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3	23.4	11.3	0.1	34.8	9.5	50.6	60.1	94.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Teller County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

Sales Ratio Class (%)	Or	ne-Family	Dwelling:	29-48	Class (yes	All Ages	Commercial Buildings	All Other Urban	Total <u>Urban</u>	Agric. Land With Impts.	Misc. Ru With Impts.	without Impts.	All Other Rural	Total Rural	Total <u>County</u>
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	2 1 1 4 5	0 0 2 2 6	0 2 1 1 4	1 3 2 0 2	1 4 4 9 6	10 10 16 23	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	4 10 11 16 23	2 0 3 0	0 2 1 1 0	2 3 6 0 6	2 1 1 0 1	6 6 11 1 7	10 16 22 17 30
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	4 4 5 1 3	0 1 1 0 3	0 1 0 0	1 3 1 1	2 3 4 2 3	7 12 11 4	0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	7 13 12 4 10	0 0 0 1	1 2 0 1 0	1 15 1 1 2	0 0 0 0	2 17 1 3 2	9 30 13 7 12
28 " " 30 00 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 0 1 1 0	2 4 4 2 2	6 6 3 2	1 0 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	7 6 6 4 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 2 0	0 0 0	2 0 2 0	9 6 8 4 3
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 3 0 3 1	2 3 1 4 2	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 4 2 4 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	3 5 2 4 2
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 1 0 3	3 2 3 4	3 3 3 10	1 0 1 5	0 0 0	3 4 15	0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 3 0	0 0 0	1 4 0 0	5 7 4 15
Total Cases	36	17	11	25	72	161	16	0	177	6	10	45	5	66	243
Average Sales Ratio (%)	20.8	18.5	16.1	20.1	22.0	19.9	29,5		22.3	13.9	16.4	20.2		15.3	17.3
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.4 5.2 9.6	2.4 8.0 10.4	2.6 4.1 6.7	3.6 13.4 17.0	6.0 18.7 24.7	4.1 10.1 14.2			2.6 20.6 23.2		3.4 8.6 12.0	4.6 3.3 7.9		4.4 3.7 8.1	3.9 8.5 12.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	7.2	3.3	3.2	2.4	7.3	23.4	11.3	0.1	34.8	26.1	23.2	9.5	1.3	60.1	94.9

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Washington County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	/ Dwellings	by Age Cl	ass (years)	A11	All Other	Total	Agric. Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	<u>1-8</u>	<u>9-18</u>	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	Ages	Urban	Urban	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 5	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 1 5	0 1 1 2 0	0 0 2 1 2	0 1 3 3 2	0 1 4 4 7
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 1 2 0 2	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1	2 1 1 1 0	0 0 2 0	4 2 5 2 2	0 0 0 0	4 2 5 2 2	0 1 1 1 0	0 0 1 1 0	0 1 2 2 0	4 3 7 4 2
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	4 1 0 0
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 43	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	. 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	2 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0000	3 1 1 0 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0
Total Cases	8	1	5	12	4	30	1	3; 1	. 7	9	16	47
Average Sales Ratio (%)	26.6			19.1		22.0	***	21.4	16.8		17.2	17.5
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	3.6 3.4 7.0			2.1 4.9 7.0		2,9 5.8 8.7		2.3 6.4 8.7	3.3 5.7 9.0		2.8 5.7 8.5	2.9 5.6 8.5
Prop, of Ass'd. Value	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.6	0.6	6.2	4.5	10.7	51.7	37,1	88.8	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Washington County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One	e-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (vear	s)	A11		Agri	c. Land	Misc. Rural Land	All		
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Aqes	Other <u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 1 13	0 1 1 1 2	0 3 4 3 15	0 0 0 0	0 3 4 3 15	0 2 1 4 3	0 2 6 6 8	1 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 4 8 11 11	1 7 12 14 26
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	1 2 2 2 3	0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0	6 4 3 3 1	1 0 4 0	10 6 9 7 4	0 0 0 0 2	10 6 9 7 6	3 1 2 2	1 3 4 3 3	0 0 0 1	0 1 0 0	4 7 5 6 5	14 13 14 13
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	3 4 2 1 1	1 0 0 1	0 0 0 1	4 0 5 1	1 3 0 0	9 7 7 4 2	0 0 0 0	9 7 7 4 2	1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	5 1 1 0 0	14 8 8 4 2
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	1 3 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	4 3 1 0 0	1 0 0 0	5 3 1 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	5 3 1 1 0
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	2 0 0 0	1 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	4 0 0 1	1 0 0 2	5 0 0 3	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	6 0 0 3
Total Cases	25	8	6	48	16	103	6	109	24	39	7	1	71	180
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.4	30.0	24.0	21.3	21.9	24,5		27.1	19.3	18.7			18.9	19.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	4.2 4.4 8.6	10.0 13.8 23.8	4.5 15.0 19.5	4.2 7.2 11.4	4.9 8.8 13.7	5.0 8.0 13.0		3.1 14.9 18.0	3.8 5.7 9.5	4.1 4.9 9.0			3.9 5.3 9.2	4.0. 5.9 9.9
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	1.7	0.9	0.4	2.6	0.6	6.2	4.5	10.7	37.1	51.7	0.0	0.0	88.88	99.5

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.

5. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

	<u>One</u>	-Family	Dwelling	s by Age	Class (y	ears) All	Multi-	Commercial	Inductio	1 Tatal	- Agri With	c. Land Without	Misc. R With	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	<u>Over 48</u>	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Buildings	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 1 2 3	0 0 2 1 7	0 0 0 4 0	0 3 9 8 20	0 7 12 20 14	0 10 24 35 44	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 1	0 0 0 0	0 11 24 37 45	0 3 5 4 6	2 2 4 1	0 6 2 2 5	0 0 1 2 1	2 11 12 9 15	2 22 36 46 60
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	6 16 29 42 62	9 11 33 15 25	3 7 6 3 1	12 14 12 14 2	22 29 21 23 11	52 77 101 97 101	0 0 0 1 2	3 1 2 5 2	0 0 0 2 1	55 78 103 105 106	7 5 13 3 6	1 1 3 3 0	5 1 2 1 0	5 2 3 1 9	18 9 21 8 15	73 87 124 113 121
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	88 107 134 21 5	14 8 0 3 4	3 1 1 1	7 4 2 2 0	5 6 9 1 4	118 126 146 27 14	3 4 1 1	0 2 1 4	1 0 0 1 0	122 132 148 33 16	3 4 4 3 1	1 1 2 0	1 4 0 0 0	2 0 1 0	7 9 6 5 1	129 141 154 38 17
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	2 0 1 1 0	0 2 0 0	0 1 0 0	1 0 2 0 2	1 4 1 0	4 7 4 1 2	0 0 0 0	0 3 2 2 0	1 0 2 0	5 10 8 3 2	1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 0 1	8 11 8 4 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 1 0	1 0 0	1 0 1 3	1 0 0 1	1 2 1 2	0 2 0 1	3 4 2 7	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 2 0 0	3 6 2 7
Total Cases	520	136	32	115	192	995	15	38	11	1,059	70	27	31	28	156	1,215
Average Sales Ratio (%)	29.8	25.0	23.9	20.8	21.3	24.5	30.5	26.9	37.3	25.3	22.3	18.6	18.8	23.5	21.2	22.6
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.8 2.7 5.5	2.8 2.9 5.7	3.6 4.1 7.7	3.9 4.4 8.3	4.0 4.4 8.4	3.4 3.6 7.0	2.0 4.0 6.0	3.4 15.6 19.0	9.8 12.0 21.8	3.5 6.4 9.9	4.5 6.0 10.5	5.2 9.6 14.8	5.0 5.7 10.7	2.3 5.8 8.1	4.6 6.6 11.2	4.3 6.5 10.8
Prop. of Ass'd. Value	9.8	4.5	2.2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7.8	0.2	62.4	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Weld County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	One-	Family D	wellings	by Age	Class (ye	ars) All	Multi- Family	Commercial	Industria	l Total	Agri With	c. Land Without	Misc. R	ural Land Without	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	<u> 29-48</u>	Over 48	Ages	Dwellings	Buildings	Buildings	Urban		Impts.	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 2 1 3 5	0 0 2 3 10	0 0 5 0	3 6 25 22 41	4 12 26 43 43	7 20 54 76 99	0 0 0 0	1 2 0 5 3	0 1 0 1 0	8 23 54 82 102	5 9 18 15 22	5 6 9 7 12	0 11 9 5 13	3 1 0 4 7	13 27 36 31 54	21 50 90 113 156
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	7 23 57 111 190	14 18 46 28 63	12 10 12 15 8	38 40 51 35 21	51 58 50 53 42	122 149 216 242 324	0 0 1 1 2	6 2 6 7 8	0 1 2 2 1	128 152 225 252 335	16 19 29 19 16	7 5 8 4 3	7 2 9 6 5	5 12 15 4 1	35 38 61 33 25	163 190 286 285 360
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	248 278 281 74 36	50 37 · 27 16 16	11 9 11 2 4	22 17 12 8 2	24 21 25 6 12	355 362 356 106 70	3 5 2 2 1	2 4 6 8 4	2 0 0 2 0	362 371 364 118 75	10 21 9 12 5	2 2 1 4 0	6 29 11 7 0	10 7 1 1 0	28 59 22 24 5	390 430 386 142 80
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	20 9 4 2 0	6 8 6 2 0	1 1 0 1	3 0 3 2 3	5 9 4 4 1	35 27 18 10 5	1 0 0	2 7 3 4 1	1 0 3 1	39 35 24 15 7	4 4 2 2 1	1 0 0	4 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	9 6 2 2 3	48 41 26 17 10
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0 3	0 1 0 4	0 0	0 1 1 3	3 1 1 5	3 3 2 15	1 0 0 1	3 3 4 18	0 4 3 3	7 10 9 37	1 2 1 2	0 3 0 0	0 1 0 3	0 1 0 1	1 7 1 6	8 17 10 43
Total Cases	1,354	357	103	359	503	2,676	21	109	28	2,834	244	80	130	74	528	3,362
Average Sales Ratio (%)	30.1	27.8	26.4	22.1	22.5	25.9	30.2	30.5	36.1	27.1	23,4	18.1	26.3	20.4	22.8	24.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.7 2.5 5.2	4.0 4.0 8.0	4.6 4.5 9.1	4.5 4.7 9.2	4.6 5.3 9.9	3.9 4.0 7.9	1.4 5.6 7.0	5.9 18.0 23.9	10.1 17.7 27.8	4.4 7.1 11.5	6.1 7.1 13.2	4.1 6.4 10.5	9.1 5.4 14.5	1.0 8.3 9.3	6.1 6.3 12.9	5.5 6.9 12.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Valua ^b	9.8	4.5	2,2	5.8	4.9	27.2	0.3	8.4	1.1	37.0	46.0	8.4	7,8	0,2	62.4	99.4

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Accessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Yuma County: Number of Conveyances by Size of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Year 1961

		One-Family	Dwellings	by Age C	lass (years	3)	All Other	Takal	Agric.	Land Without	All Other	Total	Total
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	19-28	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	<u>Urban</u>	Total <u>Urban</u>	Impts.	Impts.	Rural	Rural	County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 2 1	0 0 1 5 3	0 0 2 8 4	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 8 4	0 0 1 2	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 2 1	0 4 10 5
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 3 2 1	1 0 3 0 1	1 1 6 4 3	0 0 0 0	1 6 4 3	0 2 1 0	2 0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0 0	2 3 3 1 0	3 4 9 5 3
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 1 0 0	0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	1 2 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 1	2 5 2 1 2	0 0 0 0	2 5 2 1 3	0 0	0 0 2 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 0 1	2 6 4 1 4
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	4 2 0 1 0	0 1 0 0	4 3 0 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	00000	4 3 0 1 1
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 0	0 1 1 0	0 0 0	0 1 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 1 1 1
Total Cases	4	6	1	19	20	50	4	54	8	7	3	18	72
Average Sales Ratio (%)		26.4		23.8	19.7	24.4		29.1	19.0	20.5		19.4	21.0
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total		1.9 8.6 10.5		2.3 9.7 11.0	4.1 13.3 17.4	2.6 8.4 11.0		2.6 7.2 9.8	4.0 3.0 7.0	1.7 10.1 11.8		3.3 5.0 8.3	3.2 5.3 8.5
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	76.8	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
 b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.

Yuma County: Number of Conveyances by Slze of Sales Ratio, Average Sales Ratio, Measure of Variation and Proportion of Assessed Value by Class of Property for the Years 1959-61 Combined

	. One	-Family C)wellings	by Age (Class (year	rs)		A11	* ***********************************	_Agric.		Misc. Rural Land	All		_
Sales Ratio Class (%)	1-8	9-18	<u>19-28</u>	29-48	Over 48	All Ages	Commercial Buildings		Total <u>Urban</u>	With Impts.	Without Impts.	With Impts.	Other Rural	Total Rural	Total County
Under 10 10 and " 12 12 " " 14 14 " " 16 16 " " 18	0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 4 8 5	0 3 2 13 5	0 4 6 22 11	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 4 6 22 12	0 2 4 5 7	1 0 7 2 2	0 0 2 1 0	1 1 0 1	2 3 14 8 10	2 7 20 30 22
18 " " 20 20 " " 22 22 " " 24 24 " " 26 26 " " 28	0 0 1 0 4	0 1 1 4 0	2 2 0 0	6 7 7 8 6	4 0 7 1 4	12 10 16 13 14	0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0	12 10 17 13 14	1 3 2 1	3 2 4 1 0	0 1 1 3	. 0 1 0 0 0	4 7 7 3 4	16 17 24 16 18
28 " " 30 30 " " 32 32 " " 34 34 " " 36 36 " " 38	0 2 3 2 1	3 4 2 2 0	0 0 0 0	2 3 4 3 1	1 0 1 1 3	6 9 10 8 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1	6 9 10 8 7	0 1 0 0	0 0 2 1 1	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 1 2 1 2	6 10 12 9
38 " " 40 40 " " 42 42 " " 44 44 " " 46 46 " " 48	0 0 0 0	1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0	3 1 0 0	1 0 0 2 0	5 2 1 2 0	0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	5 4 1 2 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	00000	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1	5 4 1 2 3
48 " " 50 50 " " 55 55 " " 60 60 and Over	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	0 0	1 0 0 3	0 2 1 0	1 3 1 3	2 0 0 2	0 0 0 1	3 3 1 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	0 0 0	1 0 0 0	4 3 1 6
Total Cases	14	22	5	73	51	165	9	3	177	28	. 26	11	5	70	247
Avelage Sales Ratio (%)	28.8	28.5		22.3	19.1	23.1	42.0		27.5	18.6	15.5	21.9		17.6	19.2
Measure of Variation ^a Below Average Ratio Above Average Ratio Total	2.0 4.9 6.9	3.3 6.0 9.3		4.2 8.2 12.4	3.9 8.5 12.4	3.3 7.4 10.7	0.8 14.7 15.5		2.7 9.0 11.7	4.2 2.7 6.9	1.9 7.7 9.6	5.4 5.6 11.0		3.4 4.4 7.8	3.3 5.1 8.4
Prop. of Ass'd. Value ^b	3.4	1.7	0.8	6.0	2.8	14.7	8.0	0.3	23.0	54.5	21.5	0.8	0.0	76.8	99.8

a. Range in percentage points within which the middle half of the ratios fall when arranged from low to high.
b. Assessed value in 1957 by class of property as per cent of total assessed value in the county as reported by the assessor to the Legislative Council.