## **Denver Journal of International Law & Policy**

Volume 12 Number 2 *Spring* 

Article 1

January 1983

## Vol. 12, no. 2-3: Table of Contents

Denver Journal International Law & Policy

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/djilp

#### **Recommended Citation**

Table of Contents, 12 Denv. J. Int'l L. & Pol'y [vii] (1983).

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the University of Denver Sturm College of Law at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Journal of International Law & Policy by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

Vol. 12, no. 2-3: Table of Contents



# **Denver Journal**

## of International Law and Policy

VOLUME 12

NUMBER 2-3

SPRING 1983

#### **MYRES S. MCDOUGAL DISTINGUISHED LECTURE**

#### LOOKING, STARING AND GLARING:

MICROLEGAL SYSTEMS AND PUBLIC ORDER .... Michael Reisman 165

The University of Denver College of Law was honored to have Professor Michael Reisman, Hohfeld Professor of Jurisprudence at Yale University Law School, as its guest speaker for the seventh annual Myres S. McDougal Distinguished Lecture. Professor Reisman chose to address the topic of microlegal systems and their role in the public order, and he notes that his objective is "to alert and sensitize scholars and the diverse official, as well as non-official, custodians of the private sphere or civic order, to the fact that key aspects of individual lives are affected by microlegal arrangements." In his remarks, Professor Reisman discusses the norms of eve communication and draws some generalizations about the law in microsocial settings. Specifically, he states that "[t]here is a rule and an attendant set of expectations about proper subjective and objective responses to norm violation, intimating some sort of system for enforcing the norm." In addition to microlaw which accompanies microsituations, there exist microsanctions which serve to maintain the norm. Professor Reisman concludes his remarks by stating that although microlegal systems may encounter rejection by jurisprudential scholars and remain low in visibility, their "significant effects . . . on social order and psychopersonal organization" should not be ignored.

#### ARTICLES

#### 

To aid the understanding of the European Economic Community's treaty power, Mr. van Son first gives us a background of the legal documents which created this power, particularly, the Treaty of Rome. Next, he poses six hypothetical models in which he discusses how a court would apply the treaty power to each in light of past court opinions. From this, the author attempts to contrast the theoretical development of the treaty-making power with the EEC treaty-making procedure in practice. Mr. van Son illustrates the conflict between the progressive and forward-looking evolution of the treaty-making power espoused and bestowed on the Community by the European Court, and the practical application of that power. He contends that this tension continues to be the major problem confronting the Community with respect to its treaty-making power, and it arises mainly from the ongoing political struggle between the Commission and the Council. It is generally agreed that the European Court "is leading both the Council and, at times, the Commission, toward the practical application of B.S.B.A., J.D.; The Honorable Joseph R. Quinn, A.B., LL.B.; Stephen C. Rench, B.A., J.D.; Paul E. Scott, B.A., M.D., J.D.; Daniel J. Sears, B.S., J.D.; Martin Semple, B.A., J.C.B., J.L.L., J.C.D., J.D.; Harley W. Shaver, A.B., J.D.; C. Garold Sims, B.A., J.D.; Gerald D. Sjaastad, B.S., M.S.C.E., Ph.D., J.D.; James W. Spensley, B.S., J.D.; Harry M. Sterling, B.S., LL.B.; Peter M. Sussman, B.A., J.D.; Janice R. Tanquary, B.A., J.D.; James K. Paupey, B.B.A., J.D.; Cooper Wayman, B.S., M.S., Ph.D., J.D.; Michael O. Wirth, B.S., M.A., Ph.D.; Lucius E. Woods, B.S., LL.B.; Brooke Wunnicke, B.A., LL.B.; James R. Young, B.S.C.E., J.D.; Adjunct Lecturers in Judicial Administration: Teri P. Campbell, B.S., B.A., J.D.; Stephen P. Ehrlich, B.S.B.A., J.D.; Barbara J. Gletne, B.A., M.A.; Maureen M. Solomon, B.A., M.P.A.; Bernard D. Steinberg, B.Mus., J.D.; Daniel R. Vredenburg, B.S., M.S.J.A.

#### The Denver Journal of International Law and Policy

is an integral part of the University of Denver's International Legal Studies Program. The purpose of the Program is to prepare students for effective roles in the contemporary interdependent world of business, federal government, and international relations. The faculty includes members of the regular faculty at the University of Denver College of Law, professors from other schools and departments of the University, and several practicing attorneys. The Director of the Program is Professor Ved P. Nanda of the College of Law.

In addition to the regular course of study, the International Legal Studies Program makes special provision for internships, externships, and summer study in the United States and abroad. Students may also enroll in a joint degree program with the Graduate School of Business and Public Management or the Graduate School of International Studies, leading to the degrees of M.B.A., M.A., or Ph.D., in addition to the J.D.

Other components of the Program include the Denver International Law Society, the Myres S. McDougal Distinguished Lecture in International Law and Policy, the annual regional conference of the American Society of International Law, and the Philip C. Jessup International Law Moot Court Competition.

Please address inquiries concerning the Program to:

Professor Ved P. Nanda, Director International Legal Studies Program University of Denver College of Law 200 West 14th Avenue Denver, Colorado 80204 USA Telephone (303) 753-3427 a treaty-making power that permits the Community to act as a fully independent international legal personality."

#### TERRORISM AND THE LAWS OF WAR ..... Alfred P. Rubin 219

Professor Rubin begins by distinguishing the "law of peace" from the "law of war" and then attempts to clarify the underlying perceptions of the law of war as they have been growing in regard to "irregular" combatants. Specifically, the author contends that "recognition of 'belligerency' is frequently denied for political reasons, but recognized by implication when the legal results of recognition are sought by states who simultaneously deny the overt label. Thus 'terrorists' may be labeled 'criminals' while in fact treated as 'prisoners of war' when captured by a state denying that the law of war applies even to that particular outbreak of political violence." Professor Rubin scrutinizes treaties and codifications of the laws of war, including the latest attempt of the international community to address entitlement prisoner of war status, the 1977 Geneva Protocols. He proposes that these codifications are too narrow, resulting in a pattern of prescription that is "unsatisfactory" and "inconsistent" with the most basic precepts of humanitarian law. In conclusion, the author contemplates that the muddled legal categories of soldiers and irregulars are, in practice, becoming irrelevant due to the humanitarian legal protection which nationstates currently afford to common criminals and to participants in armed conflicts of non-international character.

#### 

t 237

This article reviews the "exercise of discretionary authority by international organizations with respect to treatment of their staff," and it then examines "the reactions by some international administrative tribunals to such exercise of discretionary authority as perceived through the judgments of such tribunals . . . ." Mr. de Vuyst starts by noting that the source of international administrative law is primarily international organizations, rather than general principles of law. He then turns to the nature and functions of international organizations and concludes that certain inherent characteristics therein necessitate executive discretionary powers with respect to treatment of a staff, so that flexibility and the ability to act may be preserved. After lengthy analysis, it is concluded that administrative tribunals have allowed international organizations a wide berth in exercising their discretionary authority with regard to their staffs so long as the authority is not wielded deviously or unreasonably. Mr. de Vuyst closes by noting that this judicial reluctance to override the decisions of an international organization is correct because the role of an international administrative tribunal is to adjudicate, rather than to legislate.

### DEVELOPMENTS

The United Nations Environment Programme   After a Decade: the Nairobi Session of a   Special Character, May 1981   The Act of State Doctrine:   Ethiopian Spice v. Kalamazoo Spice	269
	285
Humanitarian Intervention: A Possibility for Northern Ireland	295
BOOK REVIEW	
Le droit de l'intégration économique socialiste	307
BOOK NOTES	313

.

.