Denver Law Review

Volume 73 Issue 3 *Tenth Circuit Surveys*

Article 3

January 1996

A Note from the Editor

Kerri M. Pertcheck

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr

Recommended Citation

Kerri M. Pertcheck, A Note from the Editor, 73 Denv. U. L. Rev. 597 (1996).

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

A Note from the Editor

This front matter is available in Denver Law Review: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr/vol73/iss3/3

This year I am excited to announce the formation of a new committee designed to assist the Law Review Editorial Board. The Denver University Law Review Alumni Advisory Board is comprised of alumni members of the Law Review. We thank the following members of the legal community who volunteered their time and energy for this Survey:

Leland P. Anderson Herbert A. Delap Jo Anna Goddard Alan Hale Jerry N. Jones Leslie P. Kramer Richard W. Laugesen Marilyn McWilliams Nancy I. Pertcheck Charles M. Pratt Robert Schuetze Len Segreti Gregory J. Smith Rebecca Steinebrey Peggy Toal Doris Truhlar Christine Truitt Sherri Way Carol Welch Thomas J. Wolf

In addition to traditional Survey topics, this edition of the Annual Tenth Circuit Survey contains two special features. First, a dialogue on judicial recusal between members of the Law Review and several Tenth Circuit judges follows the Survey on Professional Responsibility. It is my hope that this discussion provides the Tenth Circuit practitioner with a judicial perspective on recusal.

This Survey Edition also includes a detailed examination of the United States Supreme Court's controversial affirmative action decision in Adarand Constructors, Inc. v. Pena.¹ In Adarand, the Court abruptly departed from the previous standard by mandating strict scrutiny review of federal affirmative action programs. In recognition of the fact that this significant case originated in the Tenth Circuit, a review of Adarand begins this edition.

Kerri M. Pertcheck, Editor THE TWENTY-SECOND ANNUAL TENTH CIRCUIT SURVEY

^{1. 115} S. Ct. 2097 (1995).