

# Denver Law Review

---

Volume 64  
Issue 2 *Tenth Circuit Surveys*

Article 1

---

February 2021

## Vol. 64, no. 2: Table of Contents

Denver University Law Review

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr>

---

### Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 64 Denv. U. L. Rev. [v] (1987).

This Front Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact [jennifer.cox@du.edu](mailto:jennifer.cox@du.edu), [dig-commons@du.edu](mailto:dig-commons@du.edu).

Published by the  
University of Denver  
College of Law

DENVER  
UNIVERSITY  
LAW  
REVIEW

1987 Volume 64 Issue 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| The Judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit  | xii |
| Table of Cases  | xv  |
| In Memoriam to the Honorable William E. Doyle   | 101 |
| <i>Board of Editors</i>   |     |
| ADMINISTRATIVE LAW  | 105 |
| I. Administrative Search and Seizure, the Four Corners Doctrine, and Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies: <i>Donovan v. Hackney</i>   | 105 |
| II. Convergence of the "Substantial Evidence" and "Arbitrary and Capricious" Standards of Review on Questions Involving Scientific Expertise: The Uranium Mill Tailings and Compound 1080 Cases | 111 |
| III. Joining the Social Security/Unemployment Compensation Offset Bandwagon: <i>Edwards v. Valdez</i>   | 122 |
| IV. Good Timing—Finality of Judgment, Motions for Reconsideration, and Statutory Limitations in Filing Appeals from Administrative Actions  | 125 |
| ANTITRUST LAW   | 131 |
| I. <i>Instructional Systems Development Corp. v. Aetna Casualty &amp; Surety Co.</i>  | 131 |
| II. <i>Motive Parts Warehouse v. Fact Enterprises</i>   | 135 |

Published by the  
University of Denver  
College of Law

# DENVER UNIVERSITY LAW REVIEW

1987 Volume 64 Issue 2

*Tenth Circuit Survey Editor*

DAVID C. PUCHI

*Managing Editor*

ALISON L. TAYLOR

*Editor in Chief*

PATRICK L. RIDLEY

*Business Editor*

DOUGLAS J. ARNOLD

*Symposium Editor*

ROBERT J. BRICMONT, JR.

*Articles Editors*

TANA K. SIMARD  
ROBERT J. WAGNER

*General Editors*

JANE FREDMAN HUNT\*  
SOLOMON L. LEFTIN  
MARY MAIKOETTER

C. FORREST MORGAN  
VICTORIA M. PARKS  
CHARLES T. PASSAGLIA

FRANCIS J. McALEER, JR.

*Research and Technical Editors*

MITZI GROVE BALL  
JOYCE M. BERGMANN  
JULIE BURNS  
MARIAN L. CARLSON

KEVIN M. DUFFICY  
MARTHA E. ELY  
LYNNE PIAT HARDY  
ANN C. KIRWIN

S. LORRIE RAY

*Staff*

LILY APPELMAN  
JAN BULLOCK  
DANNA BURLINGAME  
VICKI L. BUSSARD  
GLENN CLEEK  
BRUCE E. CONANT  
MARTHA E. COX  
DUNCAN DEVILLE  
STEPHEN J. EGAN\*\*  
SHAUN DULEY-GLOUDE  
CAROLINE W. FERREE  
SARAH GODFREY

ROBERT W. HATCH  
VIVIENNE KRAMER  
SUSAN LEHR  
STEVEN LOUTH  
GLORIA MAPHET  
KATHY McDUFF  
ELLEN McELROY  
BETH MORRISON-KLEIN  
WENDY MOSER  
MOLLY MYER  
ERIN O'BRIEN\*\*\*  
VINCENT J. OLIVA

HUGH S. PIXLER  
MARGARET PORTER  
EDWARD J. POSSELIUS III  
HENRY ROSEN\*\*\*  
TED ROSEN  
ARTHUR SALTARELLI  
JOHN A. SBARBARO\*\*\*  
SUZANNE L. SCHMELTER  
ALAN H. SIMON  
BOSTON H. STANTON JR.  
STEPHANIE TUCKER  
ERIC TWELKER

*Faculty Advisor*

STEPHEN L. PEPPER

The Denver University Law Review (ISSN 0883-9409) is published quarterly by the University of Denver College of Law through the Denver University Law Review Association. Publication is in the fall, winter, spring, and summer. Editorial and General Offices are located at 7039 East 18th Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80220; Tel. (303) 871-6172. Subscription price: Domestic, \$20.00 per volume; Foreign, \$21.00 per volume. Subscriptions are renewed automatically unless notice of discontinuance is received. No discounts are given to subscription services. Separate mailing and billing addresses should add \$1.00 per volume. Single issues of the current volume are available from the Association at \$8.00 per issue (enclose check with order). All issues of prior volumes may be obtained from William S. Hein Co., Inc., 1285 Main St., Buffalo, New York 14209. Address all other correspondence to the Offices of the Association. Manuscripts should be submitted in duplicate, typed triple spaced, with footnotes at the end, and should comply with *A Uniform System of Citation*, published by the Harvard Law Review Association. Second class postage paid at Denver, Colorado and at an additional mailing office. Copyright © 1987, Denver University Law Review, University of Denver (Colorado Seminary) College of Law.

\*Assistant Symposium Editor

\*\*Assistant Business Editor

\*\*\*Associate Editor

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| CIVIL RIGHTS   | 141 |
| I. Age Discrimination in Employment  | 141 |
| II. Section 1983   | 150 |
| III. Attorney's Fees in Civil Rights Cases   | 158 |
| COMMERCIAL AND CORPORATE LAW   | 165 |
| I. Claims of Tortious Interference with Contract<br>and with Prospective Business Advantage<br>Provide No Protection to Takeover Bidders<br>Outdone by Competitive Tender Offers | 165 |
| II. The Distinction in Applicability Between "Force<br>Majeure" and Adjustment Clauses When<br>Supervening Governmental Regulations Affect<br>Performance Under a Contract       | 169 |
| III. Preemption by Federal Copyright Laws  | 174 |
| IV. Corporate Governance   | 176 |
| V. Banking   | 184 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL LAW   | 193 |
| I. Familial Association Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983:<br><i>Trujillo v. Board of County Commissioners of the<br/>        County of Santa Fe</i>  | 193 |
| II. Due Process Rights of a Pawnbroker in<br>Consigned Property: <i>Wolfenbarger v. Williams</i>   | 202 |
| III. Minimum Contacts: <i>Fidelity and Casualty Co. of<br/>        New York v. Philadelphia Resins Corp.</i>   | 209 |
| IV. Common Law and Constitutional Rights to<br>Privacy Regarding Credit Reporting:<br><i>Polin v. Dun &amp; Bradstreet, Inc.</i>   | 216 |
| CRIMINAL LAW   | 225 |
| I. Habeas Corpus   | 225 |
| II. Convictions on Appeal  | 233 |
| CRIMINAL PROCEDURE   | 245 |
| I. Ineffective Assistance of Counsel and Validity of<br>the Guilty Plea: <i>United States v. Andrews</i>   | 245 |
| II. Double Jeopardy: <i>United States v. Broce</i>   | 250 |
| III. Sufficiency of the Evidence: <i>United States v. Hooks</i>  | 257 |
| IV. The Fourth Amendment Privacy Interests   | 261 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| LABOR AND EMPLOYMENT LAW  | 271 |
| I. Dual Capacity Doctrine Exception to Exclusivity<br>of Workmen’s Compensation   | 271 |
| II. The NLRB’s Failure to Follow Its Own Case Law   | 275 |
| III. Stock Options Are Held Not to be Wages   | 280 |
| IV. Rejections of Collective Bargaining Agreements<br>in Bankruptcy Court   | 286 |
| V. Procedural v. Substantive Arbitration  | 291 |
| LANDS AND NATURAL RESOURCES   | 295 |
| I. Boundary Disputes  | 295 |
| II. Navigational Waters   | 303 |
| III. Mineral Patent   | 315 |
| IV. Environmental Impact Statement  | 321 |
| SECURITIES AND COMMODITY FUTURES<br>REGULATION  | 329 |
| I. Commodity Exchange Act:<br><i>Hill v. Bache Halsey Stuart Shields, Inc.</i>  | 329 |
| II. Securities Regulation   | 342 |
| TAXATION  | 351 |
| I. The Standard for Assessing a Good Faith<br>Misunderstanding of Law Defense   | 352 |
| II. The Standard by Which Civil Tax Investigations<br>Are Initiated and Implemented   | 357 |
| III. The Standard for Particularity in Search<br>Warrants Issued in Connection With<br>Criminal Tax Investigations  | 367 |
| UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT REVIEW OF<br>TENTH CIRCUIT DECISIONS  | 373 |
| I. <i>Aspen Skiing Co. v. Aspen Highlands Skiing Corp.</i> :<br>The Supreme Court Upheld an Antitrust<br>Decision Against a Market-Dominant Company<br>Which Refused to Cooperate With a Competitor | 373 |
| II. <i>FDIC v. Philadelphia Gear Corp.</i> : The Supreme<br>Court Held a Standby Letter of Credit Is<br>Not an FDIC-Insured Deposit   | 379 |

|   |   |     |
|---|---|-----|
| III.  | <i>Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System v. Dimension Financial Corp.</i> : The Supreme Court Sets Aside a Federal Reserve Board Rule Regulating “NonBank” Financial Institutions as Banks | 387 |
| IV.   | <i>Mountain States Telephone and Telegraph Company v. Pueblo of Santa Ana</i> : The Supreme Court Holds That Pueblo Indians May Alienate Their Lands Without Congressional Approval                   | 391 |
| COMMENT, TOO MUCH PROTECTION WITH TOO LITTLE SUPPORT: A LOOK AT THE ELEVENTH AMENDMENT IN LIGHT OF <i>GARCIA</i> <i>v. BOARD OF EDUCATION</i> |   |     |
| I.  | The <i>Garcia</i> Case  | 399 |
| II.   | The Courts Opinion  | 400 |
| III.  | Background  | 405 |
| IV.   | Analysis: <i>Garcia</i> ’s Effect Under Existing Law  | 411 |
| V.  | Beyond <i>Garcia</i>  | 413 |



THIRTEENTH ANNUAL  
TENTH CIRCUIT SURVEY