

June 2021

Divorce Forms Corrected

Dicta Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr>

Recommended Citation

Divorce Forms Corrected, 29 Dicta 158 (1952).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu, dig-commons@du.edu.

has registered such a charge to the legal profession.¹⁹ In fact he considers the Full Faith and Credit Clause as "peculiarly the attorneys' clause".²⁰

GEORGE F. BARBARY.

DIVORCE FORMS CORRECTED

It has been called to the attention of the subcommittee on District Court Forms, that the acceptance of service to be used in divorce litigation shown at page 95 of Volume XXIX, Number 3, Dicta (March, 1952), is incorrect in that it does not comply with Rule 4 (i) 5, of the Colorado Rules of Civil Procedure, which provides as follows:

"Proof of service of process shall be made as follows: . . . by the admission or waiver of service by the person to be served, *duly acknowledged*."

In view of this error, waiver of service to be used in divorce litigation should read as follows:

"STATE OF COLORADO,
CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER } ss.

I, *Reginald Phinehas Hpuiwmn*, being duly sworn, state that I am of full age, am the defendant in this action, have received a copy of the summons and complaint and accept service thereof, and state that I am not now in the Military Service.

Reginald Phinehas Hpuiwmn.

Subscribed, sworn to and acknowledged before me this 15th day of *February, 1952*.

Sally N. Doakes,
Notary Public.

My commission expires *September 20, 1953*."

Royal C. Rubright chairman,
Forms Standardization Committee.

Donald M. Leshner, Chairman
Subcommittee on District Court Forms.

¹⁹ Robert H. Jackson, "*Full Faith and Credit*," 45 Col. L. Rev. 1, 33.

²⁰ While the 1948 Judiciary Code (28 U. S. C. 1738) adds some certainty to the situation, it probably does not relieve the attorney of the burden referred to by Justice Jackson.