

Denver Law Review

Volume 11 | Issue 1

Article 6

January 1933

Dictaphun

Dicta Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr>

Recommended Citation

Dictaphun, 11 Dicta 21 (1933).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu, dig-commons@du.edu.

Dictaphun

ADMIRATION SOCIETY DISSOLVED

The Editor of *The Colorado Graphic* says *she* likes Casey better than *she* likes us. All right for *her*!

She also gave publicity to the fact we had paid our UR tax, thus giving our creditors wind of a potential income on our part. No doubt lots of garnishee process have reached the State Treasurer by the time this sees the light of print. (We mix our own metaphors.)

THE CONSTITUTION STILL WAIVES

It is bruited about that in *Walker vs. Bedford*, the Supreme Court announced its fixed purpose to waive not so much as a word of the constitution. It is also reported that after reading the Attorney General's petition for rehearing the Court will announce a rule that it will not even waive a misprint in the constitution.

IT OUGHT TO WORK BOTH WAYS

The courts have appealed to the bar to protect them against the vigorous onslaughts of the press. The bar is willing, provided the courts will keep the press off the lawyers' necks.

Incidentally, and most important of all, to whom should the lawyers appeal to keep the judges from making vigorous onslaughts on them?

MONA LISA

Charles B. Ladds, of the Colorado Springs Bar, presents a motion to Moses Hallett, Chief Justice, at Colorado Springs. Mr. Ladds used a goose quill pen, which he would dip into a bottle of ink, securing as much ink as he possibly could, and would then smear it over the paper.

Hallett, C. J.: Will you let me see that motion.

Mr. Ladds handed the motion to the judge, who looked at it for a minute or two, and without regarding Mr. Ladds at all, turned to the clerk and said: "Mr. Clerk, will you hand that to Mr. Ladds and ask him to paint it over again."

CONTEMPT IN PITKIN COUNTY

Before Warner Root, J. P., Pitkin County, appeared for the plaintiff Porter Plumb, Esq., and for the defendant the late Judge and quondam Congressman T. A. Rucker.

Plumb: Rucker, you are a damned liar.

Rucker floors Plumb with a chair, and the justice fines each \$5 for contempt. Plumb paid, but Rucker begged the indulgence of the court until the following morning, which being granted, the trial proceeded.

That night Rucker and others indulged in a poker game, and Root, J. P., having lost all his chips, begged Rucker, who was playing in good luck, to lend him some chips. Rucker said, "Not on your life, but I will pay you that fine," and pushed over chips representing the value of \$5. The justice proceeded to lose these back to Rucker, and the next morning entered in his docket an order to the effect that on ample apologies from Rucker, the fine had been remitted.

CONTEMPT IN YE CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER

Thomas J. O'Donnell: Your Honor's ruling is a travesty on justice.

Justice of the Peace Gavin: For that remark you will be fined \$100, sir.

Mr. O'Donnell: For another hundred, I'll repeat it.

THE GOOD OLD 8TH CIRCUIT DAYS

Appeal to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit on division of \$8000 counsel fees in a bankruptcy matter.

Counsel: Your Honors, we are here because we have been unable to agree on the division of the fee.

Trieber, J.: It was always the opinion of the Court that when Congress passed the Bankruptcy Act, it was intended that the creditors should get some of the money.

Arthur H. Laws has been appointed as an additional member of the Grievance Committee of the Denver Bar Association.

"I conclude then that the thirty thousand shares issued to Powell were issued for a consideration that lacked the quality of consideration exacted by the Constitution of this state, and were therefore issued without consideration." Blair vs. F. H. Smith Company, 156 Atl. 207.