

January 1934

## Dictaphun

Dicta Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr>

---

### Recommended Citation

Dictaphun, 11 Dicta 294 (1934).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact [jennifer.cox@du.edu](mailto:jennifer.cox@du.edu), [dig-commons@du.edu](mailto:dig-commons@du.edu).

---

## Dictaphun

# Dictaphun

## OPENING FOR UNEMPLOYED, I. E., REPUBLICAN LAWYER

From San Antonio, on stationery bearing those stirring words, "Remember the Alamo," comes a letter to "Honorable Albert Gould, Attorney, Sect'y. of the Denver Bar Association Or To Whom It May Concern," reading, in very small part indeed, thusly:

"Kind Sir:—Pardon. I am taking the liberty of writing to you, and see if you won't kindly refer the enclosures as well as this letter, to one of your able-Promotion-as well as Corporate, Attorneys, and kindly request of them, or him rather; after thorough investigation into the merits of my proposition-represent me as 'Associate Counsel,' namely; \* \* \* We will follow the methods of other well organized corporation, as to our physical years, and our Officers-Directors shall be elective, except in the internery—they may be appointive—at the out-set \* \* \*"

---

## YOU ASKED FOR IT, JUDGE, or CHICKENS COME HOME TO ROOST

An anonymous friend suggests that Judge Denison add to his collection the following excerpt from *Williams v. Smith*, 76 Colo. 152, opinion by Denison, J.:

"The defendant demurs on various grounds, all of which amount to insufficient facts to constitute a cause of action. September 11th, the defendant answered, thereby waiving the demurrer."

---

## WHY PICK ON NORTH DAKOTA?

Recently there was circulated in North Dakota a printed petition proposing that the people of the state enact the following as an initiated measure:

"Sec. 1. No conviction of felony heretofore or hereafter had under the laws of the United States or of any other state than the State of North Dakota shall be deemed a conviction of a felony under the constitution or statutes of this state, unless the crime for which the conviction be had be deemed a felony under the laws of this state, and no court shall construe the constitution or any statutory provision of the state of North Dakota otherwise.

"Sec. 2. Repeal—All acts or parts of acts in conflict with the provisions of this act are hereby repealed."

The committee for the petitioners includes two lawyers of the State.