

January 1933

Dicta Observes

Dicta Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr>

Recommended Citation

Dicta Observes, 10 Dicta 223 (1933).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu, dig-commons@du.edu.

DICTA

Vol X

JUNE, 1933

No. 8

Dicta Observes

The Nebraska Law Bulletin for May, 1933, published by the college of law of the University of Nebraska at Lincoln, contains an interesting article by Will Shafroth of the Denver Bar entitled "The Lawyers' Duty to the Public."

Robert L. Stearns of the Denver Bar, who for two years has been acting Dean of the University of Colorado Law School, has resumed his duties as a member of the firm of Lewis & Grant. Two years ago Mr. Stearns was called upon to fill the position of Dean James Grafton Rogers during the latter's regime as an Assistant Secretary of State in Washington, D. C. Mr. Stearns, very shortly after taking charge at Boulder, won the respect and honor of the faculty and the students and his departure was deeply regretted by all.

CORRECT YOUR COLORADO REPORTS

In 55 Colo., at page 41, the following quotation is found from Denver Tramway Co. vs. Cowan, 51 Colo. 64:

"The general rule is, that when a party is sued for damages arising from a particular act of negligence imputed to him, disconnected, though similar, negligent acts, are *admissible*."

Reference to the same case in 51 Colo., at page 69, shows that the quotation should be that, "disconnected, although similar, negligent acts, are *inadmissible*."

EDITOR'S NOTE—Whenever attorneys, in reading Colorado Reports, come across errors in quotations, and will call them to the attention of the Editorial Staff, DICTA will be glad to set them out for the use of the Colorado Bar.

According to Mr. Will Shafroth of the Denver Bar, if the attorneys of yesterday could see us today, "they would see a national bar of approximately one hundred and sixty thousand lawyers—with forty thousand more students in law schools on their way to join the ranks—almost universally acknowledging that their profession is overcrowded, and yet continuing to admit between nine and ten thousand new licensees every year. They would see bar associations passing resolutions favoring higher standards, yet courts hesitant about adopting them for fear of offending the great god Demos, and legislatures still contending for the 'open door' to the bar."

RECENT BAR EXAMINATION RESULTS

	<i>Colorado</i>	<i>Connecticut</i>	<i>Florida</i>
Total Taking Examination..	39	68	63
Number Passing.....	32 or 82%	18 or 26%	24 or 38%
Number of First Timers.....	12	22	14
Number Passing.....	10 or 83%	6 or 27%	17 or 39%
Number of Repeaters.....	27	46	19
Number Passing.....	22 or 81%	12 or 26%	7 or 37%
	<i>Idaho</i>	<i>Illinois</i>	<i>Kentucky</i>
Total Taking Examination..	8	341	80
Number Passing.....	7 or 88%	153 or 45%	37 or 46%
Number of First Timers.....	7	149	21
Number Passing.....	6 or 86%	77 or 52%	9 or 43%
Number of Repeaters.....	1	192	59
Number Passing.....	1 or 100%	76 or 40%	28 or 48%
	<i>Minnesota</i>	<i>Missouri</i>	<i>Montana</i>
Total Taking Examination..	34	218	6
Number Passing.....	11 or 32%	51 or 24%	1 or 17%
Number of First Timers.....	17	68	2
Number Passing.....	6 or 35%	22 or 32%	0
Number of Repeaters.....	17	150	4
Number Passing.....	5 or 29%	29 or 19%	1 or 25%
	<i>New York</i>	<i>Oklahoma</i>	<i>S. Carolina</i>
Total Taking Examination..	2,412	64	13
Number Passing.....	1,055 or 44%	45 or 70%	8 or 62%
Number of First Timers.....	565	52	11
Number Passing.....	195 or 35%	40 or 77%	7 or 64%
Number of Repeaters.....	1,847	12	2
Number Passing.....	860 or 47%	5 or 42%	1 or 50%