Denver Law Review

Volume 6 | Issue 1 Article 9

January 1928

Christening

Dicta Editorial Board

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr

Recommended Citation

Christening, 6 Dicta 25 (1928).

This Indexes is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

Christening	

CHRISTENING

HE announcement that The Record was about to appear in new plumage, and that it was considering the adoption of a new name, elicited many suggestions—facetious and otherwise.

Among the more frivolous suggestions were these:

Bar Railings
The Legal Cafeteria
Fee Simple
Denbar
The Bar Fly
Tomechromes

The Bar Cry
The Jurisprude
The Air Loom
The Straddlevarious
Sunset and Evening Star
The Inns (and Outs) of Court

Among the names which were more seriously suggested were:

The Vox Bar The Annotator The Brief Bag The Bar Vane **Brief Case Secrets** Certiorarities The Legal Compass The Fellowship The Periscope The Denver Nautilus **Pandects** The Denver Legal Ledger Bar Relief The Denver Bar Association Journal Writ of Errors The Affidavit The Colorado Legal Monthly Sky Line Justice The Subpoena The Denver Law Journal The Supersedeas The Denver Bar Association Report Denver Legal Flights

And also the following suggestions were made:

The Law Torch The Bill of Discovery Annotated Notations The Tortfeasor Denver Bar-o-gram The Sheriff The Bill of Particulars The Forum Denver Legal Journal The Easement Denver Bar News The Attachment Bond In Testimony Whereof The Appeal Denver Bar Notes Admissions Against Interest Comes Now Quid Pro Quo

Not to mention the following:

Legal Miscellany
Denver Law
The Denver Bar
The Denver Jealous Mistress

Denver Legal Light

Legalight
The Appearance
The Bailiwick
The Warrant

The Mittimus

The Denver Lawyer
Trial and Error
The Transcript
The Hue and Cry
The Writ
The Arraignment
The Domboc
The Domboc
The Domboc
The New Era
Trial and Error
The Hue and Cry
The Deposition
Rehearings
The New Era

The fact should be mentioned that not all of the suggestions were in English. Witness:

Camera Stellata Amicus Curiae (i.e. The Star Chamber) Nisi Prius The Jurat Lex Domicilii The Fi. Fa. The Lex Scripta Lis Pendens Ouoad Hoc Ipse Dixit Tabula Justitiae Casus Fortuitus Stare Decisis The Sci. Fa. (i.e. The Inevitable Accident) Res Ipsa Loquitur

The Editorial Board considered each name which was suggested. Perhaps those which received the most serious consideration were:

Legal Tender The Bar-ometer The Barrister Lex The Mirror The Brief Case The Caveat Obiter Dicta The Scroll Caveat Emptor Service by Publication Dicta Torch and Scales Exhibit A The Torch The Judgment

From all of the names which were suggested, the Editorial Board finally certified four to the Executive Committee:

The Caveat Obiter Dicta
Legal Tender Dicta

From these four the Executive Committee selected:

DICTA

A great deal of consideration was given to the matter by the Editorial Board, and it was carefully weighed by the Executive Committee before the decision was reached to change from the old name and to adopt the new one.

Dicta was not among the formal suggestions. Like Topsy, it just growed. During the deliberations of the Editorial

DICTA 27

Board, Obiter Dicta was casually suggested, and this was shortened to Dicta by common consent.

This name may not be perfect, but a careful examination of the entire list submitted will doubtless satisfy the critics—if any—that the selection might have been worse.

In any event, this name has certain merits:

- 1. It is distinctive.
- 2. It is brief.
- 3. It looks well in print.
- 4. It is distinctive.
- 5. It is apt.
- 6. It can be pronounced—by everyone.
- 7. It is slightly amusing—to attorneys.
- 8. It is distinctive.

CHOATE'S PROVING A NEGATIVE

"A vessel insured was prohibited from going north of the Okhotsh Sea. Within a year, the duration of the policy, she was burned north of the sea proper, but south of some of the sea's gulfs. Defendant set up no loss within the policy. On the way to the court house Choate said to his associates, as they were for plaintiff: 'Why should we prove we were not north of that sea; why not let them prove we were?' The mate was put on to prove the burning within the year and state the loss. No cross-examination followed and the plaintiff rested. The defendant was dumbfounded; had no witnesses ready; expected plaintiff would consume two days in proving he was within the terms of the policy. The case lasted an hour and Choate won."—Reed's Conduct of Litigation, 150.

INVENTION

"It took 4,000 years of Pagan and 15 centuries of Christian civilization to produce a two-pronged fork, and another century to bring it into use."—Thos. B. Reed.