

January 1929

## Report of Judiciary Committee

D. W. Strickland

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr>

---

### Recommended Citation

D. W. Strickland, Report of Judiciary Committee, 6 Dicta 21 (1929).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact [jennifer.cox@du.edu](mailto:jennifer.cox@du.edu), [dig-commons@du.edu](mailto:dig-commons@du.edu).

---

## Report of Judiciary Committee

porate stock to change the requirements for transfer of or liens on stock of irrigation companies, and possible revision of statutes governing original procedure. Such being deemed to be beyond the scope or jurisdiction of the Committee, no action was taken.

Finally, although this is not strictly within the province of this Committee, we again wish to urge the support of all members of the bar and the electorate for the Charter Amendment once more to be submitted for popular vote to increase the number and pay of Justices of the Peace in Denver.

Respectfully submitted,

HAROLD H. HEALY, *Chairman*  
 LOWELL WHITE  
 ARTHUR E. ALDRICH  
 LEROY MCWHINNEY  
 ANDREW H. WOOD  
 R. H. WALKER

\* \* \* \* \*

#### REPORT OF JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

The Judiciary Committee of your Association begs leave to report that early in March of this year the Committee was requested to make its recommendations concerning a bill introduced in the legislature, the object of which was to increase the per diem allowance to jurors.

The Committee met and recommended that in counties of the first and second classes the per diem for jurors be increased to \$3.50 per day, and that in counties of the third, fourth and fifth classes the per diem be increased to \$4.00 per day. Report of this conclusion was made to the President of the Association.

The Committee was also requested to meet with the Executive Committee of the Association and consider the matter of the Association conducting a bar primary to nominate candidates to fill the vacancy caused by the promotion of Judge Moore to the Supreme Court.

The committees met, and it was the unanimous opinion of the joint committees that no action be taken. It appeared that no request from the Governor or other interested parties had been made.

Your Committee has had one further matter of grave importance suggested for consideration, with an offer to present evidence to the Committee. The evidence has not been submitted as yet, and the Committee does not feel justified in naming the subject matter or giving further attention to the subject until definite evidence has been placed before it.

I beg to report that the members of the committee, Ira C. Rothgerber, L. R. Larwill, Clayton W. Carpenter and William E. Hutton, have responded promptly to every call for a meeting, and have given serious and earnest consideration to matters presented.

Very respectfully,

D. W. STRICKLAND, *Chairman*

*April 23, 1929.*

\* \* \* \* \*

#### REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON AMERICAN LAW INSTITUTE

Your Committee on the American Law Institute desires to report as follows:

1. During its seven years of existence the American Law Institute has produced drafts of restatements of the law as follows: Agency—4 drafts; Business Associations—2 drafts; Conflict of Laws—5 drafts; Contracts—6 drafts; Property—1 draft; Torts—4 drafts; Code of Criminal Procedure—2 drafts.

These drafts constitute a very substantial portion of the various subjects and are proving of great practical value to lawyers making use of them. The work of preparing them is a laborious and monumental one, but steady progress is being made.

2. Your Committee has been trying to arrange for the annotation of at least one of the restatements with Colorado decisions. Under the direction of Dean James Grafton Rogers, of the University of Colorado Law School, two graduate students of that school commenced the work of such annotation of the restatement of contracts. This work did not progress very far and is at present at a standstill but it is anticipated that the work can again be taken up before long. One of the large law firms in the city has stated that it hopes before long to be able to assign one of its lawyers to the task