Denver Law Review

Volume 6 | Issue 8 Article 13

January 1929

Report of Committee on American Law Institute

W. W. Grant Jr.

Robert L. Stearns

Stephen R. Curtis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.du.edu/dlr

Recommended Citation

W. W. Grant, Jr., Robert L. Stearns & Stephen R. Curtis, Report of Committee on American Law Institute, 6 Dicta 22 (1929).

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Denver Law Review at Digital Commons @ DU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Denver Law Review by an authorized editor of Digital Commons @ DU. For more information, please contact jennifer.cox@du.edu,dig-commons@du.edu.

Report of Committee on American Law Institute					

22 DICTA

Your Committee has had one further matter of grave importance suggested for consideration, with an offer to present evidence to the Committee. The evidence has not been submitted as yet, and the Committee does not feel justified in naming the subject matter or giving further attention to the subject until definite evidence has been placed before it.

I beg to report that the members of the committee, Ira C. Rothgerber, L. R. Larwill, Clayton W. Carpenter and William E. Hutton, have responded promptly to every call for a meeting, and have given serious and earnest consideration to matters presented.

Very respectfully,

D. W. STRICKLAND, Chairman

April 23, 1929.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON AMERICAN LAW INSTITUTE

Your Committee on the American Law Institute desires to report as follows:

1. During its seven years of existence the American Law Institute has produced drafts of restatements of the law as follows: Agency—4 drafts; Business Associations—2 drafts; Conflict of Laws—5 drafts; Contracts—6 drafts; Property—1 draft; Torts—4 drafts; Code of Criminal Procedure—2 drafts.

These drafts constitute a very substantial portion of the various subjects and are proving of great practical value to lawyers making use of them. The work of preparing them is a laborious and monumental one, but steady progress is being made.

2. Your Committee has been trying to arrange for the annotation of at least one of the restatements with Colorado decisions. Under the direction of Dean James Grafton Rogers, of the University of Colorado Law School, two graduate students of that school commenced the work of such annotation of the restatement of contracts. This work did not progress very far and is at present at a standstill but it is anticipated that the work can again be taken up before long. One of the large law firms in the city has stated that it hopes before long to be able to assign one of its lawyers to the task

DICTA 23

of annotating another restatement. If any member of the Association knows of anyone who might be able and willing to undertake this work of annotation, the Committee will be glad to have this information.

3. Your Committee has called to the attention of the Legislative Committee and the Criminal Justice Committee of the Association the fact that the Code of Criminal Procedure, being prepared by the American Law Institute, may be of assistance to such committees in their work.

Respectfully submitted,

W. W. GRANT, JR. ROBERT L. STEARNS STEPHEN R. CURTIS, Chairman

April 5, 1929.

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON LAWS CONCERNING WOMEN AND CHILDREN

The activities of the Committee on Laws Concerning Women and Children have been largely limited to a careful survey of existing laws. The Judge of the Juvenile Court is a member of the Committee, and after several conferences with him, and with his concurrence, it was agreed that no radical changes be suggested at this legislative session. The most urgent need for amendment is in the law concerning the adoption of children, and the law concerning dependency.

MARY F. LATHROP, Chairman

REPORT OF COMMITTEE ON PROFESSIONAL ETHICS

The Committee reports that since its appointment there has been no question submitted for its opinion with the exception of one recently received. This will be considered at an early date and the result returned in the usual manner.

The death during the year of Mr. Charles R. Brock has taken from us a member who as man, lawyer, citizen, needs no eulogy. It is a fitting opportunity, however, for us individually and collectively to express our high regard for the