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ABSTRACT

Deadly, inter-ethnic group conflict remains a threat to international security in a world where the majority of armed violence occurs not only within states but in the most ungoverned areas within states. Conflicts that occur between groups living in largely ungoverned areas often become deeply protracted and are difficult to resolve when the state is weak and harsh environmental conditions place human security increasingly under threat. However, even under these conditions, why do some local conflicts between ethnic groups escalate, whereas others do not? To analyze this puzzle, the dissertation employs comparative methods to investigate the conditions under which violence erupts or stops and armed actors choose to preserve peace. The project draws upon qualitative data derived from semi-structured interviews, focus group dialogues, and participant observation of local peace processes during field research conducted in six conflict-affected counties in Northern Kenya.

Comparative analysis of fifteen conflict episodes with variable outcomes reveals the conditions under which coalitions of civic associations, including local peace committees, faith-based organizations, and councils of elders, *inter alia*, enhance informal institutional arrangements that contain escalation. Violence is less likely to escalate in communities where cohesive coalitions provide platforms for threat-monitoring, informal pact making, and enforcement of traditional codes of restitution.
However, key scope conditions affect whether or not informal organizational structures are capable of containing escalation. In particular, symbolic acts of violence and the use of indiscriminat force by police and military actors commonly undermine local efforts to contain conflict. The dissertation contributes to the literatures on civil society and peacebuilding, demonstrating the importance of comparing processes of escalation and non-escalation and accounting for interactive effects between modes of state and non-state response to local, inter-ethnic group conflict.
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INTRODUCTION

In August of 2012, in Tana River County of rural, Northeastern Kenya, a clash between Orma and Pokomo communities over land and grazing rights caused twenty-five fatalities. Over the next four months, the conflict escalated and spread across the county through multiple towns, leading to 160 casualties and over 15,000 internally displaced persons (IDPs). In contrast, in July of 2014, a clash between Pokot and Turkana communities over land rights in Turkana County triggered inter-ethnic group violence with 15 fatalities. The conflict, however, stopped short of further escalation. These conflicts represent a larger puzzle related to inter-ethnic group conflict in areas of limited state presence: Why do some local conflicts between ethnic groups escalate whereas others do not?

Although total levels of global armed conflict declined significantly after 1991, the majority of armed conflict today occurs within states rather than between them (see, Themnér and Wallensteen 2014). Armed conflicts between rebel movements and states, such as the current conflicts in Syria and Iraq, remain major global problems. Non-state

conflicts\textsuperscript{2} between groups divided along identity lines are persistent threats to international security, as well. As Sundberg et al. show, “the numbers of state-based and non-state conflicts have converged since 2000” (Sundberg, Eck, and Kreutz 2012, 354). According to the Uppsala Conflict Data Program (UCDP), non-state conflict causes, on average, 4,000 fatalities per year across many regions of the world. The spectrum of cases includes inter-tribal clashes in Pakistan, fighting in India between Hindu and Muslim communities, identity-based conflicts in Lebanon and Iraq, as well as clashes between Muslim and Christian groups in Nigeria (Sundberg, Eck, and Kreutz 2012, 355).\textsuperscript{3}

The problem is global. Non-state conflict, however, concentrates in Sub-Saharan Africa. Between 1989 and 2008, 74\% of total fatalities related to non-state conflicts (or 58,940 deaths) occurred in states in Sub-Saharan Africa (Sundberg, Eck, and Kreutz 2012, 357). In 2014, half of the top 15 states that experienced intra-state conflict were in Sub-Saharan Africa. Nigeria, South Sudan, Sudan, Somalia, Central African Republic, Libya, and the Democratic Republic of Congo were the most severe cases (see, Themnér and Wallensteen 2014). India was the only state outside of Sub-Saharan Africa in the top six most severe cases. In Somalia, Sudan, and DR Congo, non-state conflict led to more fatalities than conflict between the state and armed rebel groups. Across all of these

\textsuperscript{2} The UCDP definition is as follows: “The use of armed force between two organized armed groups, neither of which is the government of a state, which results in at least 25 battle-related deaths in a year,” see: \url{http://www.pcr.uu.se/research/ucdp/definitions/}

\textsuperscript{3} The global death toll due to conflict between social groups from 1983 – 2014 is over 80,000. Pew Forum data analysis indicates identity-based violence with religious dimensions increased over the past six years, reversing a historical downward trend (see, Pew Research Center 2014).
cases, the problem is related directly to state fragility,\(^4\) or the absence of formal state institutions with capacity\(^5\) to provide basic security and public goods (Hendrix 2010; Bertocchi and Guerzoni 2012).

Global and regional armed conflict trends make Kenya a critical case study of sub-state fragility with persistent non-state conflict. UCDP data indicate that over the past 15 years Kenya experienced roughly 6,000 fatalities due to non-state violence.\(^6\)

Compared to its neighbors—Somalia, South Sudan, and Uganda, Kenya is the most stable country in the Horn of Africa. The country has achieved relatively consistent economic growth, and marked improvements in governance performance (see, World Bank 2015). Notwithstanding positive economic and political outcomes, similar to other rapidly growing countries in Sub-Saharan Africa such as South Africa, Ghana, and Nigeria, Kenya remains vulnerable to inter-ethnic group conflict due to sub-state fragility. The more severe cases, such as South Sudan and DR Congo, experience both state-based\(^7\) and non-state conflict, making the two phenomena difficult to distinguish.

Kenya, in contrast, has not experienced state-based conflict, yet continues to experience high rates of inter-ethnic group conflict, especially across the Northern rural

\(^4\) Conceptually, state fragility refers to the absence of state authority, capacity, and legitimacy, or the state’s failure to provide security, rule of law, and basic services for citizens.


\(^6\) The number is closer to 8,000 according to SCAD data.

\(^7\) The UCDP definition is as follows: conflict between, “government and non-governmental party.”
periphery also known as the Arid and Semi-arid Lands (ASALs). The map below portrays the relatively high rate of armed violence across the counties of Northern Kenya.

*Figure 1: Kenya’s Counties by Level of Armed Violence Volatility*

![Map of Kenya's Counties by Level of Armed Violence Volatility](image)

*Source: Small Arms Survey 2012*

---


9 Seventy-five percent of the landmass of Kenya is classified as Arid and Semi-arid Land (ASALs), with harsh climatic and environmental conditions not conducive to sustainable agricultural production. These areas of the country are also the poorest regions in the country. The ASALs have the highest poverty, the lowest human development scores. Up to 80% of the Kenyan population living across the ASALs lives on less than one dollar per day (World Bank 2015). The ASALs lack modern infrastructure, and have very few, if any, government services. The lack of state capacity and formal security institutions generates conditions conducive to high levels of armed violence.

However, patterns of violence vary significantly at the local level. This is common for intra-state conflicts. In the Greek civil war, riots in India, the war in Bosnia, and inter-clan clashes in Somalia, violence escalated in some areas yet not others, even with similar demographic features and conflict pressures (Kalyvas 2006; Varshney 2002; Ron 2000; Shortland, Christopoulou, and Makatsoris 2013). The Kenya context is no exception. Under very similar conflict pressures, some inter-ethnic group conflicts escalate to include acts of mass collective violence, while others do not.

One part of the puzzle of intra-state conflict in deeply divided African states is related to group motivations for engaging in violence. Why some actors choose to use increasingly severe forms of violence against rival ethnic groups is a key question. However, explaining why some conflicts escalate and others do not involves a more complex question related to patterns of response, or the warning-response problem (George and Holl 1997).

Even in the most remote regions of what was once called the Northern Frontier District (NFD), a broad spectrum of actors including local civic groups, peacebuilding committees, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and government agencies respond to inter-ethnic group conflicts in attempts to prevent mass atrocities. Local peace committees with linkages to District Peace Committees (DPCs) are in place even in very remote locations across Northern Kenya. It is not inevitable that collective violence will escalate in the absence of formal state policing. Early warning, rapid response, and preventive operations coordinated through non-governmental organizations and local

---

11 Shortland et al. use innovative satellite imaging of light emissions to show variation in local violence patterns in Somalia.
civic associations may contain it (George and Holl 1997; Carnegie Commission 1998). Therefore, why some responses to outbreaks of violence contain\footnote{Following the insights of Lake and Rothchild, fully resolving and eliminating inter-ethnic group conflict under conditions of state fragility, extreme human insecurity, and inter-group fear and mistrust is not possible; however, containing it is possible (Lake and Rothchild 1996, 203). The containment concept relates to the pressures external organizations and actors use to prevent violence from escalating or spreading. The study also deals with the concept of restraint, or internal pressures that prevent armed actors from engaging in violence, such as fear of consequences, or a “mutually hurting stalemate,” inter alia (Horowitz 1985; Zartman 2001).} escalation and others fail to do so is also a critical part of the puzzle.

**Patterns and Consequences of Ethnic Violence**

The study finds that the relationship between modes of state and non-state response to local inter-ethnic group conflicts affect patterns of escalation. In the absence of state authority, capacity, and legitimacy, coalitions\footnote{The coalition concept refers to relationships among civic associations that are “deliberately constructed,” yet operate, “independent of formal organizational structures” (Stevenson, Pearce, and Porter 1985, 256 – 257).} of civic associations limit escalation across multiple inter-ethnic group conflicts. Persistent insecurity due to sub-state fragility triggers the formation of cohesive,\footnote{Cohesion, building from Pearlman’s conceptual framework includes two observable characteristics: 1) unified local elites, and 2) the presence of local institutions (formal or informal) with clearly articulated and accepted rules for conflict prevention (Pearlman 2011; Pearlman and Cunningham 2012).} albeit highly informal, organizational structures for communal protection and rapid response to outbreaks of violence. Containing the escalation of conflict rarely hinges upon one particular organizational structure. Containment depends upon relationships among multiple civic associations that align with a particular long-term peacebuilding agenda, or align with resisting threats from particular violent actors. Local peacebuilding coalitions commonly contain
escalation through the establishment of informal threat-monitoring networks, providing multiple platforms for preventive negotiation and pact making, and enforcing traditional codes of restitution. In otherwise hostile environments, coalitions comprised of diverse combinations of civic organizations play significant roles in containing the escalation of inter-ethnic group violence.

However, the study also finds that a set of particular conditions affects the extent to which informal organizational structures are capable of containing escalation. In conflicts where state actions undermine trust between local organizations and militia leaders, coalitions commonly fail to contain escalation. Highly symbolic acts of violence and the use of indiscriminant force by police and military actors create windows for conflict escalation and undermine the preventive efforts of local civic groups. In this way, the relationship between modes of state and non-state response explains variable patterns of escalation and non-escalation across non-state conflicts in Kenya’s rural periphery.

The study analyzes fifteen conflicts across six counties in Northern Kenya, including Mandera, Marsabit, Isiolo, Samburu, Turkana, and West Pokot. The dissertation addresses the critical problem of collective action to contain violence. Prior research, such as Rothchild’s research on ethnic bargaining, recognizes the role of the state in formulating strategies to manage inter-ethnic group conflict across various Sub-Saharan countries (1995). However, the dissertation looks at the relationship between state and non-state responses to ethnic conflict to account for interactive effects among various modes of response. Extant studies of collective violence compare episodes of escalation with other episodes of escalation (Kiernan 2009; Semelin and Hoffman 2009; Goldhagen 2009; Valentino 2013). These forms of comparative analysis focus on drivers
of collective violence, often overlooking the dynamics of containment and restraint (Straus 2012). They also overlook empirical evidence from cases in which conditions are rife for escalation, but mass atrocities do not occur.

Empirically, the study makes two main contributions to the field. First, the dissertation demonstrates the importance of comparing episodes of escalation and non-escalation and adds case study evidence to the research program on sub-state violence dynamics (King 2004; Straus 2012). Second, the study also contributes to the literature on statebuilding and peacebuilding. Conflict that begins in periphery areas, like the current cases of Mali and the Central African Republic, can quickly transform into large-scale civil war. The prevention of social conflict requires better understanding of conditions that help constitute relatively secure communities in otherwise highly insecure environments. Very few studies address the ways in which state actions and civic actions may compliment or compromise one another within processes of conflict prevention.

**Escalation and Containment of Ethnic Violence**

According to Fearon and Laitin, *intra-group* policing is the key mechanism that prevents conflict between ethnic groups from erupting and spiraling out of control (Fearon and Laitin 1996). The theory posits that conflict rarely escalates because in the day-to-day each community polices itself to avoid the high cost of violence for the larger group. Evidence from Kenya challenges this approach. Intra-group policing, alone, may not be sufficient to contain escalation. Within the rural periphery, armed youth militias travel long distances, operate largely undetected outside of their home territories, and cross international boundaries through shifting inter-ethnic group alliances. To contain
escalation, it is likely that multiple groups, organizations, and actors need to be involved. Without basic forms of *inter-group* policing and collective action among multiple civic organizations, escalation may be more likely.

Civil society theories also provide a plausible explanation of why some inter-ethnic group conflicts escalate and others do not (Varshney 2002; Paffenholz 2010). Case study research related to civil society theories tends to analyze the relationship between specific types of associations and violence prevention, such as trade associations in India and Nigeria (Jha 2008; Meagher 2007), churches and faith-based organizations in Rwanda (Longman 2009), and international non-governmental organizations (INGOs) in Eastern Europe and East Timor (McMahon 2007; Robinson 2009). Focusing on specific types of associations does not capture the empirical reality of what civil society looks like on the ground in conflict-affected communities. Even in small villages in the most remote regions of Northern Kenya, there is commonly a set of diverse organizations engaged in responding to outbreaks of violence. This gap in the literature motivates analysis of the main factor—cohesive coalitions. The study contributes to the civil society literature through analysis that accounts for the strengths of civic coalitions involved in preventive action, as well as their limitations.

A third major approach in the literature on sub-state conflict claims political elites and ethnic entrepreneurs determine whether or not violence escalates. Fearon and Laitin identify elite theory as the most dominant narrative (Fearon and Laitin 2000). Political elites, some suggest, decide whether or not to arm local militias and incite ethnic constituencies to engage in collective violence, or decide whether or not to allocate state resources to protect certain ethnic groups over others (Bates 2008; Boege, Brown, and
Clements 2009). For example, Wilkinson argues political elites in India protect minority groups from acts of violence only when the majority ethnic group depends upon the minority group to win an election (Rabushka and Shepsle 1972; Wilkinson 2006). Violence, from this perspective, is an instrument for gaining political support and personal power. When political parties and their leaders have little to lose from violence, or a lot to gain, escalation may be more likely (Gagnon 2006; Laitin 2007).

Elite theory explains a number of conflict events in the Kenya context. For example, evidence suggests political elites played direct roles in escalating post-election violence in Kenya in 2007-2008. Elite theories, however, do not fully explain sub-state variation. In some cases, there is evidence of elites escalating violence for political or personal gain, but in others, there is not. Most importantly, in some cases, political elites play significant roles in preventive responses and peace processes to contain conflict.

Overall, predominant theories of sub-state, inter-ethnic group violence explain variation in relation to political entrepreneurs who draw upon and exacerbate identity-based grievances, the absence of integrated civic organizations, and the failure of formal state policing. This particular combination of stress factors contributes to relatively higher levels of armed conflict in the periphery region of Kenya, yet, under all of these conditions, patterns of escalation are variable and large-scale acts of collective violence remain rare. This study, therefore, critiques the capacity of theories of sub-state ethnic violence to explain variation in patterns of conflict escalation across Northern Kenya.
Methods

The structure of the analysis for the study includes country-level assessment of the Kenya context, as well as comparing sub-state, inter-ethnic group conflicts. Four methods are used: case studies, analytic narratives, process tracing, and structured, focused comparison (Bates 1998; George and Bennett 2005; Bennett and Elman 2006). Following Brass’s methodological approach to the study of ethnic violence in India, conflict narratives draw significantly upon local interpretations and viewpoints on escalation dynamics (Brass 1997). Each case study investigates informants’ claims to identify the most plausible explanations of why conflict did or did not escalate in particular situations. Process tracing of state, civic, and communal responses to outbreaks of conflict allows for assessment of the relationship between state and non-state efforts to contain violence. In each case, conflict events, corresponding responses, and critical outcomes are recorded and then subjected to comparative analysis.

The counterfactual problem is inherent in the research question. How is it possible to know with confidence whether or not particular preventive actions caused further violence not to happen? As Rubin describes, “The problem of counterfactual hypotheses is endemic in social science and policy, both of which deal with nonreplicable events. It is not peculiar to or uniquely prominent in the problem of conflict prevention” (Rubin 2002, 211). Solving the research puzzle requires comparative analysis of positive cases where escalation occurred, alongside negative cases where escalation did not occur but easily could have due to the presence of conditions that commonly trigger escalation.

There are two methodological strategies for testing hypotheses through case study analysis—counterfactual thought experiments to rewrite histories imagining how
outcomes would change in the absence of particular factors, or comparing similar historical cases (Fearon 1991). Rather than constructing counterfactual narratives, the study matches historical cases that share most similar conditions. To gauge the extent to which cohesive civic coalitions may or may not contain escalation, most similar cases are matched and used, as Levy describes, to compare “worlds that are as close to each other as possible” (Levy 2008).

All of the cases provide evidence of actors engaging in observable actions intentionally selected to contain conflict and prevent further escalation (Rubin 2002). The first set of cases analyzes escalatory dynamics in the absence of preventive intervention through civic coalitions, or conflict outcomes that result from the absence of the primary variable under investigation (Fearon 1991). The second set of cases assesses conflict outcomes with the presence of a cohesive coalition of civic associations involved in significant preventive efforts (King, Keohane, and Verba 1994). Case studies analyze the ways in which collective efforts impact conflict outcomes across multiple settings. As a third test of the cohesive coalition concept, the final set of cases includes mixed outcomes. Civic organizations had success in containing violence in past conflicts, but then collapsed due to various pressures. In sum, to facilitate comparative analysis, conflict episodes are matched and grouped in three sets: cases of escalation with minimal preventive action, cases in which preventive intervention contained violence, and cases in which preventive intervention failed to contain violence.
**Table 1: Case Studies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Escalation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Samburu: The Baragoi Massacre (November 2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Turkana: The Todonyang Massacre (May 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limited Escalation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recurring Escalation</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

In order to select and assess sub-state conflict events for the study, I initially conducted two months of state-level field research engaging over 35 national and international organizations involved in security governance and peacebuilding in Kenya. During the first stage of field research, I noted conflict events and locations where peacebuilding and security actors identified variation in terms of escalation and non-escalation, as well as variation in the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of preventive responses coordinated through local organizational structures.

Next, I conducted an additional four months of field research across six counties in Northern Kenya. Working with at least one and sometimes two research assistants in each county, I engaged over 300 research participants in interviews and focus group dialogues, and in the form of participant observation. Accompanying peacebuilding
actors during responses to clashes provided access to observational data related to informal practices used for rapid response and preventive bargaining. Interviews and focus group discussions, ranging from one to four hours in length, were semi-structured. A standardized questionnaire guided each conversation with intervening questions asked to clarify key points and allow actors to speak in depth about issues related to their particular area of expertise (Berry 2002). Perspectives and insights derived from interviews and focus group discussions were triangulated against data from a variety of international organizations’ reports, news articles, scholarly publications, and policy documents related to each conflict under analysis (Höglund and Öberg 2011).

Using field research findings as a foundation, conflict narratives follow a common format. The format includes assessment of the conflict context, patterns of response, key outcomes, and conclusions. In each case, context analysis identifies parties to the conflict, major conflict events and historical grievances, and causal factors described by local informants and conflict assessments for each case. Proximate conflict triggers for the initial shift from latent to deadly conflict are identified. Using insights from first-hand sources, where available, the section on patterns of response describes as accurately as possible the dynamics of state, civic, and communal responses following the initial outbreak of conflict. Narratives draw upon interviews with leaders of peacebuilding organizations, local political leaders, and security actors involved in responding to the threat of escalation in each case.

The conclusion section identifies preliminary findings regarding conditions related to escalation or containment. The cases do not identity all of the possible conditions that could play a role in the conflict; rather, the goal of each narrative is to
identify specific components of each episode relevant to the theoretical argument under investigation—the relationship between state actions, informal peacebuilding coalitions, and patterns of escalation.

Overview

Chapter one assesses the extent to which prevailing theories are useful for explaining variation in patterns of escalation, and why some responses to outbreaks of inter-ethnic group conflict may be more successful than others in containing it. Overall, research on ethnic violence focuses heavily on formal institutions such as state-based rules for inclusion and integration, and the extension of bureaucratic peacebuilding institutions to the local level. Informal rules and social organizations, however, are pervasive and equally important for conflict analysis. The chapter justifies the coalitions concept and forwards hypotheses related to the potentially significant role of civic groups that operate outside of formal organizational structures.

Chapter two analyzes drivers of ethnic conflict in the case of Kenya, and the historical transformation of state responses to the escalation of inter-ethnic group conflict. In particular, it analyzes how prior modes of state intervention shape current logics of conflict among communities in the periphery region, and how state institutions designed to prevent inter-ethnic group conflict affect local protection strategies. In areas of limited state presence, the qualities of prior state interventions affect armed actors’ strategies as well as operating conditions for civic organizations.

Chapter three presents narratives of five conflicts that escalated in the rural periphery of Northern Kenya. Each case accounts for contextual analysis of conditions
that contributed to the outbreak of conflict, corresponding responses, and conditions contributing to escalation or non-escalation. The cases show how escalation occurs across multiple cases in the absence of local organizational structures to monitor threats and support pact making based on customary forms of restitution.

Chapter four compares conditions for limited escalation, or the containment of inter-ethnic group conflict. Five episodes portray conditions that contribute to the outbreak of conflict, corresponding responses, and outcomes. The cases show the most prominent mechanisms through which informal coalitions of local civic groups restrain armed groups and limit rapid, asymmetrical violence when inter-group conflict is on the brink of escalation.

Chapter five assesses and compares cases in which local peacebuilding organizations failed to contain violence. In these cases, collective peacebuilding efforts had prior success in containing conflict yet conflict re-escalated. In this chapter, there is further evidence of local associations effectively containing violence. However, additional patterns are evident. Coercive state actions and acts of symbolic violence trigger escalatory dynamics that are to contain difficult for local civic groups.

Chapter six draws comparative findings from the study, articulates how the findings contribute to the research on non-state violence, and explains why some inter-ethnic conflicts escalate, whereas others do not. The conclusion summarizes key findings, describes limitations of the research, and articulates implications for Kenya, divided societies in Sub-Saharan Africa, and non-state conflicts, more broadly.
CHAPTER ONE: ETHNIC CONFLICT IN FRAGILE CONTEXTS

The Cold War era global upsurge in ethnic conflicts—from the late 1960s through the early 1990s—gave rise to extensive research on the causes of conflict between identity-based social groups. As a result, there is a strong foundation for identifying potential root drivers of ethnic conflict at multiple levels of analysis (Horowitz 1985; Brass 1997; Kaufman 2001; Fearon and Laitin 2003; Varshney 2003). Predatory elite behavior is the most prominent narrative (Bates 1982; Allen 1999; Herbst 2000; Lemarchand 2011; Bates 2008; Boone 2014). State failure and fragility, minority exclusion, and horizontal inequalities are also dominant theoretical approaches (Gurr 2000; Barkan 2012; Bates 2008; Laitin 2007; Stewart 2008; Wimmer, Cederman, and Min 2009). State fragility and elite behavior, in particular, clearly help explain why rural Northern Kenya is vulnerable to more frequent outbreaks of armed violence than Southern Kenya. However, the concepts of state fragility and elite predation are misleading.

\[\text{15 For example, Horowitz’s seminal psycho-social theory identifies four necessary conditions for the onset of deadly ethnic violence: 1) a history of intergroup hostility that provides a contextual motivation for killing; 2) a social sanction or moral justification for killing, usually signaled by support from in-group elites; 3) the presence of a precipitating event, such as rumor or small scale violent episode that sparks group mobilization, and; 4) the reduction of group inhibitions against killing, or the lowering of constraints including the absence of police forces (Horowitz 2001).}\]
Conflict analysis, especially across states in Sub-Saharan Africa, leaves the impression that ethnic conflict is a normal phenomenon. Empirically, even in the most remote and largely ungoverned areas of the world where theories predict the highest levels of vulnerability to ethnic conflict, episodes of conflict that escalate to include mass collective violence are still quite rare (Fearon and Laitin 1996; Straus 2012). The conditions thought to predict conflict between identity-based groups are more prevalent than the outcome. Many small-scale social conflicts are contained before they escalate and transform into wars. What are the conditions, therefore, that contain conflict between ethnic groups in largely ungoverned regions of the world? Why does collective violence not escalate, when conditions are rife for allowing it to escalate?

Chapter one has three main sections. The first section defines foundational concepts used in the study. The second section reviews sets of literature most relevant to the research question—why do some local inter-ethnic group conflicts escalate while others do not? The review analyzes plausible factors and mechanisms that may contain violence under conditions of sub-state fragility in order to identify gaps and unresolved debates in the literature. The third section proposes a theoretical explanation for variation in patterns of violence based on the main propositions—the interactive effects between state and non-state modes of response to local conflicts.

**Conceptual Orientation**

The dependent variable in the study is the escalation of non-state conflict. Escalation can occur rapidly or over longer periods of time, but it always includes a shift
in distinct aspects of a crisis (Zartman 1989, 9). Escalation is the extent to which the nature of conflict changes in intensity and severity of tactics, which initially includes a shift from latent conflict to armed violence with increased competition in risk taking (Rubin, Pruitt, and Kim 1994; Licklider 2005; Kahn 2009). Other forms of evidence associated with escalation include the shift to increasingly violent tactics such as targeting women and children, burning homes, or destroying resources that belong to particular ethnic groups (Carment and James 1996; Horowitz 2001; Lobell and Mauceri 2004; Colaresi 2007).

Resilience, in contrast, refers to the absence of increased competition in risk taking. The resilience concept has many critics due to its broad use across multiple disciplines including ecology, engineering, and psychology, inter alia. Within peace and conflict analysis the concept often lacks of clarity of meaning due to its use in a wide range of policy documents from international organizations such as the African Development Bank, the United Nations Development Program (UNDP), and the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). However, in this study, the concept simply refers to successful instances in which communities resist pressure to resort to violence following the onset of conflict (see also, Carpenter 2014; Ryan 2012). As Menkhaus describes, resilience occurs when escalation is a potential outcome due to increasing pressures for groups to resort to violence, yet the use of lethal force is limited (Menkhaus 2013, 4).

Conflicts analyzed in the study occur between non-state groups, and do not include pogroms or civil wars. In other words, groups involved have no direct control over state military forces and the state is not a direct, active participant in the initial conflict. Representatives and agents of the state are potential third parties to the conflict, however, especially following initial outbreaks of violence.\textsuperscript{17} Violence refers to the empirical, physical definition, in which groups engaged in conflict choose to use lethal force to gain control over a contested resource, which aligns with Galtung’s classic definition of visible armed violence—as opposed to cultural violence or structural violence (Galtung 1969).

The significance of ethnicity as a cause of conflict is essentially contested in the literature. Alesina and Sambanis, among others, argue ethnic diversity—measured through degrees of ethno-linguistic fragmentation—does not affect the likelihood of conflict escalation (Reilly 2001; Alesina et al. 2003; Habyarimana et al. 2009; Sambanis and Shayo 2013). Therefore, in this study, ethnic identity limits the scope of the dependent variable rather than operating as an explanatory factor.

Conceptually, identity is a social category to which individuals are eligible for membership, and ethnic means eligibility for membership is based upon decent-based attributes\textsuperscript{18} that are real or believed (Chandra 2006). Ethnicity is a socially constructed sense of collective belonging. It provides a platform to differentiate groups and mobilize

\textsuperscript{17} See UCDP definitions of “non-state” conflict at: http://www.pcr.uu.se/research/ucdp/definitions/#Non-state_conflict

\textsuperscript{18} Decent based refers attributes that are genetic, cultural, historically inherited, or acquired such as a last name or tribal markings (see, Chandra 2006).
individuals for collective action (Lake and Rothchild 1998; Fearon and Laitin 2000). Ethnic identity, in other words, becomes a conflict driver when groups feel threatened and identity becomes a common rallying point. In sum, conflicts analyzed in the study occur between non-state groups with a shared communal identity, and grievances are related to ethnic affiliations (Horowitz 2001).

**Theoretical Foundations**

The following section critiques theoretical arguments relevant to the puzzle of why violence escalates in some cases, yet not others. The literature related to this problem falls into three general categories: state fragility, civil society associations, and informal institutions. The review of extant literature serves as the foundation for the analytical framework used for case study assessment and comparative analysis. It identifies gaps and unresolved debates in the literature to build a case for the need for further inquiry into interactions between modes of state and non-state responses to contain inter-ethnic group conflicts. In particular, there is a lack of consensus on the conditions under which civic associations and informal institutional arrangements are able to effectively contain violence that is related to political and economic transition.

There is also a lack of consensus across the literature regarding whether or not coercive or non-coercive pressures are most necessary for containing inter-ethnic group conflicts. In other words, is the threat of the use of deadly force necessary to reduce the likelihood of conflict escalation, or are non-coercive pressures capable of preventing
violence from spiraling out of control? This problem relates to theories of *restraint* versus *constraint*.

Some theories suggest violence stops mostly due to *internal pressures* that restrain armed groups from escalating a conflict. Rational calculation of the cost of violence for a particular group, the fear of consequences, or reaching a “mutually hurting stalemate,”¹⁹ for instance, may reduce the likelihood of escalation (Horowitz 1985; Zartman 2001; Collins 2009). In contrast, other theories are based on the fundamental assumption that violence stops due to *external pressures*, or forces that restrict the ability of armed groups to use violence, such as pressures from elders and militia patrons, peacebuilding associations and civic organizations, or from state institutions. These debates are addressed throughout the literature review.

### Sub-state Fragility, Ethnic Conflict, and Coping

A dominant theory in the literature on ethnic conflict is that the modern bureaucratic state is the most necessary constraint—without it, conflict is likely to escalate. Weak states have ineffective policing systems, which, in Fearon and Laitin’s terms, yields low capacity to cauterize small scale conflicts before they turn into wars (Fearon and Laitin 1996). In other words, state authority and a monopoly over the use of violence are necessary for preventing the escalation of violence (Weber 1968). The state fragility argument is related to Cohen and Felson’s general theory of collective violence

---

¹⁹ According to Zartman, whether or not external third party mediation contains escalation depends upon on the interests of conflict parties, not on the neutrality or legitimacy of the mediator, per se. Whether or not violence escalates depends upon whether or not both parties have reached a mutually hurting stalemate (Zartman and Rasmussen 1997). Conflict will continue to escalate, Zartman suggests, until both parties are locked in a situation in which they both perceive further stalemate will lead to catastrophe.
as a social process that occurs in space and time under three conditions, including the
presence of motivated actors, the presence of suitable targets, and the absence of capable
guardians (Cohen and Felson 1979).

Where the state is not a capable guardian, ethnic security dilemmas may take root
into governance vacuums to provide authority and communal security where the state
cannot. In the case of Northern Kenya, this is a common phenomenon. Across the
northern periphery there is very minimal state authority, capacity, and legitimacy. Sub-
state fragility creates conditions conducive to severe poverty and human insecurity
(Kumssa, Jones, and Williams 2009). Ethnic militias operate in this context as the first
line of defense for communities. Under conditions of rapid environmental change,
intensive inter-group competition occurs over increasingly scarce resources (Collier and

Empirically, however, even with very similar conflict pressures across a broad
region, the state’s capacity to contain conflict may vary across different sub-state settings
within areas of limited state presence.20 One approach to this problem is the construction
of ideal types of stateness, or various forms of governance that emerge under conditions
of state fragility (Migdal 1988; Desch 1996; Allen 1999; Laitin 2007; Risse 2013). For
example, Stanislawski makes a case for three types of limited statehood, para-states,

---

20 Defining limited statehood, Risse states, “in those parts of the country in which central authorities
governments) lack the ability to implement and enforce rules or in which the legitimate monopoly over the
means of violence is lacking, at least temporarily” (Risse 2011: 5).
quasi-states, and criminal enclaves. Each type may vary in terms of state capacity to contain local inter-ethnic group conflict (Stanislawski 2008).

Criminal enclaves, for example, tend to be corrupt, undemocratic, and sustained through illicit economies with linkages to international criminal networks. Theoretically, this type of sub-state structure may be more prone to violence than others. Violence escalates if ethnic out-groups threaten to undermine illicit economic activities or the authority of local warlords. Militias operating under these conditions also are more likely to use violence against both ethnic competitors and state police forces (Bayart, Ellis, and Hibou 1999; Bunker 2012). In short, from this perspective, violence is a tool warlords and local powerbrokers use to protect criminal economies (Sullivan and Bunker 2002; Bollig, Schnegg, and Wotzka 2013).

Prior research on the Kenya context relates to this approach. Early writers called Northern Kenya a bandits kingdom (Farson 1953). Contemporary conflict analysis of the region suggests not much has changed. Eaton and Bollig et al., for example, argue violence escalates most often and most severely in relation to commercialized banditry. Politicians, corrupt security actors, and government administrators benefit from local conflict through corruption, patronage, and high value bribes. Local militias, therefore, may use violence against ethnic out-groups that threaten criminal economies (Osamba 2000; Eaton 2008b; Bollig, Schnegg, and Wotzka 2013). The extent to which commercialized banditry has taken root across northern Kenya, however, is not clear. While there have been reports of political support for criminal activities across Northern Kenya, this issue may be over exaggerated (Eaton 2008b).
Brass’s research on sub-state conflict in India takes state fragility as the starting point for classifying riot prone versus non-riot prone areas. He argues that some areas are more prone to ethnic violence than others due to the direct absence of state security forces. The absence of formal policing allows for the rise of a class of actors called riot specialists who build and maintain organized social networks of armed actors who keep inter-ethnic tensions tense. Riot specialists organize violent attacks at opportunistic moments, including during democratic and economic transitions, elections, and in areas of states where anonymity is possible (Brass 1997). Theoretically, Brass’s argument implies ethnic violence has an urban bias. He suggests it is more difficult to operate as an anonymous killer in a rural area than in an urban area, making violence more likely to escalate in urban settings. The Kenya context questions this approach. Rural regions of Northern Kenya are more prone to recurrent violence escalation than urban areas. This implies communal strategies to maintain and protect the anonymity of armed actors may play an important role within conflict dynamics.

Critics of state fragility arguments claim the absence of the state is not the most important factor that explains why inter-ethnic group conflict escalates in some areas area not others. Rather, the presence of the state and the actions of state representatives may be more critical. Horowitz, for example, argues ethnic violence is not random. It is usually well organized. Organized, large-scale acts of ethnic violence often require the resources of the state (Horowitz 1985). Saideman and Zahar, more recently, build upon this insight. They argue governments are the biggest threat to their own citizens. State actors may play critical roles in determining whether or not intra-state conflict escalates
(Saideman and Zahar 2008). For example, case study evidence from Fjeld’s comparative research on sub-state conflict dynamics in Nigeria supports this approach. She finds that local violence escalates more often in relation to “sins of commission” at the hands of state actors, including patronage politics and abusing political office for amassing personal wealth, rather than “sins of omission” in failing to provide security or public goods (Fjelde 2009).

Approaches that highlight state actions identify sets of specific behaviors that can implicate the state as a direct or indirect cause of inter-ethnic group violence. Even in highly ungoverned periphery regions with very limited state capacity, local inter-group conflicts often have links to national level conflict dynamics (Mamdani 1996; Albert 2001; Kalyvas 2006). For instance, Cedarman et al. argue that state’s use of discrimination and favoritism of particular ethnic groups over others can deepen ethnic divisions and grievances at the local level, increasing the likelihood of escalation (Mamdani 1996; Cederman, Gleditsch, and Buhaug 2013). Redrawing internal district borders based on ethnic identity (Donnan 1999; Greiner 2013), prebendalist state elite behavior, and identity-based rent-seeking may have a similar effect (Joseph 1983; Lewis 1996; Le Billon 2003). State responses to sub-state conflicts may deepen inter-group grievances and increase pressure for communities to resort to violence.

More directly, military support for one ethnic community over another within a local conflict, often for the purpose of consolidating political support can trigger large-scale inter-group violence (René Lemarchand 1972; Steeves 1997; Valentino 2013). In some cases, the issue may not be direct military support for one community over another,
but rather disarmament campaigns in one community and not another. Unequal disarmament may increase fear among minority groups of adequate protection and thus trigger escalation (de Figueiredo and Weingast 1997). Similarly, Bell et al. argue the state’s use of coercive force against citizens, such as disappearances and human rights abuses, may increase the likelihood of escalation (Bell et al. 2013).

Overall, the state fragility literature and its critics reveal that state responses to local ethnic conflicts vary significantly across time and space. The state is not a homogenous actor. The general concept of state fragility does not capture the empirical reality of how state actors respond, on the ground, to prevent escalation across various inter-ethnic group conflicts. Lawson and Rothchild’s concept of state coping, therefore, serves as a conceptual foundation for the analysis. State actors often adjust strategies under conditions of institutional weakness and insecurity—factors common across modern African states (Lawson and Rothchild 2005; see also, Jackson 2002; Boone 2003). State coping, theoretically, generates local-level variation in strategies the state employs to try to contain escalation of inter-group conflict. State responses may cause violence to escalate in some cases yet prevent it from escalating in others.

In the study, therefore, state responses to communal conflicts are conceptualized as ad hoc, experimental attempts to improve security and prevent inter-group conflicts from escalating under harsh and uncertain conditions. It is critical to try to account for variation in patterns of state approaches to local conflicts in different settings across the rural periphery. In particular, in the Kenya context, shifts in the larger regional political economy have increased state interest in local security for the purposes of protecting
resource extraction and international investment in some previously marginalized areas, but not others. Explaining why some conflicts escalate and others do not in the Kenya context, therefore, requires accounting for variable patterns of state response to inter-group conflicts in particular areas of the Northern periphery.

Civic Organizations, Ethnic Conflict, and Coalitions

A second set of literature related to the study of variation in patterns of local conflict focuses on civic organizations and violence prevention efforts. However, there is no consensus on the conditions under which civic groups are or are not capable of containing escalation. The major gap in the literature is related to the problem of scope conditions and intervening factors that cause variation in the capacity of civic associations to contain violence more or less so than others. Under conditions of state fragility, to what extent can civic groups contain violence? Kalyvas’ seminal study of sub-state conflict merely states, “we know little about how [local organizations] operate” (Kalyvas 2006, 110). Recent research tries to fill this gap.

Across multiple studies and methodological approaches, four mechanisms now stand out as the most plausible channels through which civic associations contain violence. First, civic groups may incentivize inter-group or “crosscutting” cooperation and help overcome collective action dilemmas. Second, they may provide information to conflict parties and the state necessary for early warning and effective preventive response. Third, they may leverage international support and help increase capacity and resources available for conflict prevention efforts. Finally, they may even change norms among armed groups. Civic groups may encourage armed groups to adopt non-coercive
conflict management tactics that help reduce the likelihood of escalatory dynamics. The following section addresses research on civic organizations and violence prevention, assessing the range of processes through which civic organizations may succeed or fail to contain violence.

Civil society approaches build from Putnam’s research on civic associations and democracy. He theorizes dense associational networks create social capital, or norms of inter-group trust that increase the likelihood of collective action (Putnam, Leonardi, and Nanetti 1993). In other words, civic groups increase “bridging” among ethnic groups and decrease “bonding” within ethnic groups (Colletta et al. 2000). Applied to ethnic conflict, the theory implies strong civic associations lead to higher levels of inter-group trust.

Inter-group trust then serves as the foundation for high-risk collective action necessary for effective violence prevention. Civil society organizations that integrate ethnic groups may increase willingness among citizens representing different ethnic groups to intervene in crisis situations to contain violence in the absence of state security (Kalyvas, Shapiro, and Masoud 2008; Druckman and Olekalns 2011).

Varshney finds evidence to support this theory in urban settings in India. Hindu-Muslim violence was more intensive in communities lacking crosscutting business associations, labor unions, political parties, and voluntary community organizations. In areas with crosscutting organizations, during periods of rising tension, pre-existing associational frameworks were conducive for rapid collective action. They shared information about conflict dynamics with state authorities, quelled the spread of rumors and hate speech, and improved informal community policing (Varshney 2002, 9 – 10).
Overall, Varshney’s theory implies that in settings where civic groups tend to segregate local ethnic groups, conflict is more likely to escalate.

The ongoing research program on civil society and sub-state violence now includes multiple methodological approaches to identify key mechanisms that link civic groups to variable conflict outcomes. Most studies use case study methods (Barkan 1994; Belloni 2001; Orjuela 2003; Imobighe 2003; Paffenholz 2010), some use mixed methods (Kaplan 2013; Murdie 2014), and a few recent studies use large-N data (Nilsson 2012; Bailer, Bodenstein, and Heinrich 2013). Within the literature, the range of potential mechanisms linking civic groups and conflict outcomes is now far broader than the three main processes Varshney identified in the India case. The research program now focuses on the specification of what civic associations really do, on the ground, to contain violence during inter-ethnic group conflicts.

Most civil society studies analyze specific types of organizations. Business associations, churches and faith-based organizations (FBOs), NGOs, INGOs, transnational human rights networks, and political parties, in particular, all may play positive roles in containing conflict. Varshney’s research focused on the positive role of crosscutting business associations, similar to Jha, who analyzed medieval trading towns in India and found that ethnic groups engaged in trade tended to form business associations that helped prevent inter-group conflict (Jha 2008). Similarly, in the case of Colombia, when civil war broke out, a local peasant workers’ association served as a platform for communities to negotiate with armed actors. The institution improved
monitoring of the behavior of armed actors and improved the transparency and credibility of information about local crises, effectively limiting acts of violence (Kaplan 2013).

Religious organizations, some argue, dampen violence by changing social norms around the use of violence. For example, Fein argues that during the holocaust where local churches were engaged in regular, active opposition to Jewish persecution, violence was less common than in areas without church presence (Fein 1979). Similarly, in the Rwanda case, Longman suggests Christian churches failed to condemn violence at the state level, creating a window for mass collective violence (Longman 2009). At the same time, in some small pockets of Western Rwanda local churches actively condemned violence through peace messaging campaigns. Peace messaging and norm change around the use of violence may lead to lower levels of casualties in particular communities (see also, Frank 2002; Imobighe 2003; Morton 2008; Sisk 2011).

NGOs, INGOs, and transnational networks may play a similar role as religious organizations and FBOs in changing norms around the use of violence during conflict and share information about local conflict dynamics across broad networks of civic associations. In some cases, there is case study evidence that they helped communities develop capacity to use non-coercive or nonviolent tactics to contain violence. McMahon, for example, argues networks of transnational NGOs in Eastern Europe in the 1990s helped dampen conflict (McMahon 2007). In areas where violence could have erupted, transnational NGO networks were heavily involved in peace messaging, providing direct support for nonviolent social movements, and financing inter-ethnic group negotiations. Similarly, information sharing may have played a role in stopping genocide in the East.
Timor case. International journalists gained internal access to the conflict through local NGOs and CSOs. This allowed for sharing of information on the conflict that improved the effectiveness of peacebuilding responses (Robinson 2009).

Beyond information sharing, and providing support for local peacebuilding efforts, the inclusion of civic organizations in peace negotiations may be a key mechanism for violence prevention. Nilsson’s analysis of global data on peace agreements, for example, claims violence is less likely to re-escalate if peace processes include civic organizations. NGOs and INGOs may play lead roles in bargaining processes, threat monitoring, and holding spoilers accountable for the use of violence within local conflicts (Murdie 2009; Murdie and Davis 2012). Civic associations can provide eyes of the ground for identifying culprits of violence, reducing the anonymity of armed actors, and raising the cost of engaging in violence (Brass 1997).

While there is a significant amount of case study evidence that suggests civic organizations tend to play positive roles in preventing violence, the role of NGOs and INGOs remains highly contested in the literature on peacebuilding. Many scholars argue NGOs and INGOs, across multiple cases, have had a very minimal or even negative impact on local conflict dynamics. Autesserre, for example, claims the behaviors of international peacebuilders commonly deepen cleavages between external actors and communities in conflict (Autesserre 2014). In the Nigeria case, she suggests INGOs involved in peacebuilding do not help contain violence.

21 The relationship between inclusivity and stable peace echoes the findings of Chenoweth and Stephan 2011.
Denskus and Eaton find evidence of other mechanisms that prevent INGOs from having a positive impact on local conflict. Elite capture of civic groups and communal perceptions of corruption, bias, and inequality among INGOs involved in local peace processes are dominant explanations of peacebuilding organizations (Denskus 2007; Eaton 2008a; Autesserre 2014). Similarly, Smock, Pearlman, and Richmond hypothesize that overly bureaucratic solutions to localized conflict often decrease the effectiveness of grassroots peace processes (Smock 1997; Pearlman 2011; Pearlman and Cunningham 2012; Richmond 2013). The imposition of externally developed solutions for local, inter-ethnic group conflict may undermine the efforts of more organic, ground-up peacebuilding processes (Mamdani 1996). Only domestic processes, many argue, can truly contain local conflict. In short, critics suggest civil society organizations regularly fail to contain violence. It may be more rare for civic groups to develop capacity to prevent complex inter-group conflicts from escalating than much of the literature of civil society organizations suggests.

The civil society literature also includes analysis of political parties as a key type of civic associations that plays a role in processes of local ethnic conflict prevention. The literature is linked to larger debates around formal state institutions for political contestation and inter-ethnic cooperation, also known as the long-running consociationalism (Lijphart 1969; Lustick 1979; René Lemarchand 2007; Selway and Templeman 2012) versus centripetalism debate (Sisk 1995; Lake and Rothchild 1996; Sisk and Reynolds 1998; Reilly 2001; Large and Sisk 2006). These arguments focus on formal, constitutional incentive structures for political parties. Whether or not parties
choose to divide ethnic groups by playing the “ethnic card,” or unite ethnic groups in the pursuit of political power may impact whether or not ethnic violence is likely to escalate.

For example, in contrast to Varshney’s argument regarding crosscutting civic groups in the India case, Wilkinson argues that political parties and associated elites actively protected minority groups from acts of violence only when a larger ethnic group depended upon a smaller minority group to maintain a coalition necessary to win an election (Rabushka and Shepsle 1972a; Wilkinson 2006). In other words, whether or not violence is used against particular groups depends upon electoral dynamics and political calculations of the value of minority group support. These theories imply political parties have authority to mobilize and command armed actors and determine whether or not violence escalates between particular ethnic groups around electoral cycles. When political parties and their leaders have little to lose or a lot to gain from violence, escalation may be more likely (Laitin 2007; Gagnon 2006).

This perspective, quite prevalent in the literature on African politics assumes ethnic entrepreneurs regularly mobilize ethnic constituencies to engage in collective violence (Bates 2008; Hesselbein, Golooba-Mutebi, and Putzel 2006; Boege, Brown, and Clements 2009). With minimal state authority and weak justice systems to hold power political actors accountable, political actors have both greater capacity for, and fewer disincentives against, organizing ethnic groups and instigating inter-communal conflicts using violent tactics for the purpose of gaining political power. Elites competing for power may engage in ethnic outbidding, or, in other words, appeal to ethnicity as most important issue for the masses (Rabushka and Shepsle 1972).
In sum, whether or not local violence escalates, from this perspective, depends upon the interests of political parties. Parties may manipulate ethnic identity and draw upon inter-ethnic grievances in order to gain or maintain access to local political power. This explanation is critical for the Kenya context, as some of the most severe episodes of violence in Northern Kenya have occurred in the run up to, or just after local elections. The process of devolving power to the local level in Kenya, many analysts argue, may make ethnic conflict more intense around contests for local political power in regions that historically have not had relevance for national elections.

Local militias and vigilante groups are the final major type of civic group analyzed in the literature on civic organizations and ethnic conflict (Meagher 2007; Meagher 2012). Weinstein and Wood analyze various militia organizational structures that can vary in terms of using violent or nonviolent action against rival groups (Weinstein 2007; Wood 2014). Similar to Olson’s theory of the stationary bandit, analyses of militia organizations claim whether or not violence escalates depends upon the relationship between the community and local militias (Olson 1971). For example, Weinstein argues opportunistic militia groups are more likely to escalate violence against local communities. They do not require support from the community to survive, and tend to be more fragmented and less capable of preventing militia members from using violence against rival communities to extract resources.

Bunker suggests an alternative theory—armed groups with links to illicit markets require support from the local population and are thus more likely to use violence against out-groups that challenge their authority (Sullivan and Bunker 2002; Bunker 2012). In
sum, theories of militia behavior imply the drivers of escalation or non-escalation relate to the internal organizational structures of armed militias. From this perspective, in contrast to the larger literature on civic associations, the capacity of a militia to restrain its own potentially violent actors is more critical than the capacity of local civic groups to mobilize to contain the escalation of violence.

Overall, the civil society literature provides a broad set of potential explanations and mechanisms for explaining why violence escalates in some cases, and not others. Local civic organizations may incentivize inter-group cooperation through crosscutting social networks, or monitor conflict dynamics and provide information to conflict parties and the state to improve policing. They may leverage international support and use external resources for preventive diplomacy. They may even alter norms at the local level and change the behavior of armed groups. However, there are four gaps in analysis of the relationship between civic groups and patterns of ethnic conflict.

First, by focusing on cases with very specific types of associations, the literature does not capture the empirical reality of what civil society often looks like on the ground in conflict-affected communities. Even in very remote and largely ungoverned regions in conflict-affected countries, multiple civic organizations engage in responses to contain violence. Rarely do conflict settings include only one type of organization. There is a very high level of institutional multiplicity, even in remote settings such as Northern Kenya. This insight serves as a conceptual foundation for the coalitions concept. In areas prone to insecurity, informal civic coalitions and other local, informal institutional arrangements may form and play significant roles in containing escalation.
Conceptually, according to Stevenson et al. coalitions are “deliberately constructed, [yet] independent of formal organizational structures” (Stevenson, Pearce, and Porter 1985, 256 – 257). Empirically, many civic associations at the local level in the Kenya context are informal organizations, as opposed to formal organizational structures (Opalo 2011). The coalitions concept allows for assessment of cooperation among various civic organizations involved in preventive action in a conflict setting. It also provides a conceptual foundation for inquiry into the extent to which cooperation among civic associations cuts across ethnic boundaries. The presence or absence of a cohesive coalition of local peace actors may affect whether or not local associations are able to contain escalation.

Second, the literature assumes civic associations predominantly use non-coercive or nonviolent forms of pressure to contain escalation. The primary mechanisms across the literature are non-coercive forms of pressure designed to change the behavior of armed actors, including monitoring threats, information sharing, spoiler accountability and transparency, or teaching and supporting non-coercive or nonviolent conflict resolution strategies. However, evidence from conflict cases across the Kenya context raises a question—is it accurate to classify civic organizations as largely non-coercive, nonviolent actors?

In some settings in Northern Kenya, civic associations directly and indirectly support local militias and extend their capacity to use or threaten to use deadly force against rival groups. For example, in the Nyrio Mountain corridor (see chapter 4), civic organizations contain escalation largely through their ability to expand communal support
for a broad network of local militias. Civic groups improve access to information about threats provide organizational support for militias to respond more rapidly and directly to threats and warnings of impending attacks. In this case, the contribution of local civic organizations is not in fostering crosscutting, inter-group cooperation as Varshney’s theory suggests, but in improving the capacity of local militias to exert coercive force as a deterrent.

Third, the literature on civil society and ethnic conflict presents a paradox. Some research on inter-ethnic group violence suggests the experience of conflict itself can improve civic capacity to contain future violence. For instance, Bellows and Miguel found in Sierra Leone that communities impacted most by violence during the war were more likely than communities not impacted “to attend community meetings…join local political and community groups, and…vote” (Bellows and Miguel 2009, 1145). The most conflict-affected communities had higher levels of civic engagement and thus higher potential for collective efficacy, or communal capacity to contain and regulate inter-group conflict (Sampson and Wikstrom 2008). Communities often bear the highest costs of violence, which may create incentives for civilians to develop collective solutions to reduce insecurity.

In short, this approach suggests crisis may help communities overcome collective action dilemmas and adopt new strategies for preventing violence. In line with this logic, many arguments about the efficacy of civic associations claim prior experience in organizing preventive responses increases the likelihood of future success. A primary assumption of Varshney’s theory is that prior organizational experience creates social
frameworks that then serve as platforms for mitigating conflict between ethnic groups before it escalates (Varshney 2002). In other words, under conditions of state fragility, civic organizations develop skill sets that, over time, allow for more effective preventive action. Local coping and the formation of informal institutions may lead to stronger inter-community ties, and strategic innovations that can dampen violence. In sum, this approach suggests state fragility creates conditions for resilience via the strengthening of civic groups and informal institutional arrangements.

Variation across the Kenya context allows for examination of this claim, especially in areas where collective efforts of local organizations were successful in containing prior inter-group conflicts in the past, but then violence re-escalated (see, chapter 5). For example, the Wajir Peace and Development Committee is cited commonly as a case of successful civic-led prevention (Menkhaus 2008; Odendaal 2013). However, violence re-escalated in the region in 2012 and 2014. Varshney’s theory does not account for factors that can cause well-organized civic coalitions to fail to contain violence, even after they have been successful in the past (Meagher 2012).

The Kenya context indicates even the strongest and most effective civil society groups may face critical limitations, which indicates a gap in knowledge related to scope conditions for effective violence prevention through non-state organizational structures. Civic groups may be able to contain some types of violence yet not others. Civic groups also may be prone to threat – response dilemmas similar to state-level policy makers (George and Holl 1997). Even with adequate information about possible threats, civic groups may not have adequate incentives to take risks necessary to preemptively
intervene in local conflicts. Moreover, in some cases, state elites may directly work to undermine the capacity of civic associations. Ethiopia’s Charities and Societies Proclamation, for instance, does not allow local organizations to share information openly about local conflict dynamics, which has implications for peacebuilding efforts along the Kenya–Ethiopia border (see, chapter five). In other cases, previously effective civic association break down following state-led policing or military interventions that use indiscriminant violence against communities.

Overall, non-state actors and civic associations have a broad range of tools that may contain escalation and limit the spread of collective violence in some cases, but fail to do so in others. It is not clear what causes such broad variation in the extent to which civic associations may or may not prove capable of containing conflict. In any given conflict setting, some civic organizations may have more capacity than others to coordinate effective interventions to contain escalation. The track record of civic organizations is not perfect, and prior success does not ensure future success. The Kenya context provides a large range of cases in which to assess the relative efficacy of different civic coalitions and preventive interventions, as well as the factors that hinder effective preventive responses.

Informal Institutions, Ethnic Conflict, and Communal Adaptation

A third school of thought in the literature claims the principal conditions that affect patterns of inter-ethnic group violence are not related to the state’s ability to police, or civic associations’ ability to build peace, but to informal institutional arrangements specific to particular conflict settings. Informal institutions are unwritten conventions or
codes of behavior that evolve over time (see, North 1990). As Helmke and Levitsky state, informal institutions\(^\text{22}\) are, “socially shared rules, usually unwritten, that are created, communicated, and enforced outside of officially sanctioned channels” (Helmke and Levitsky 2004, 727). For example, in some communities in Northern Kenya, following deadly clashes elders negotiate communal restitution or “blood payments” to support aggrieved communities and reduce the likelihood of violent retribution (Chopra 2009). Context-specific institutions at the communal level of analysis may impact whether or not violence escalates.

This theoretical perspective contradicts the bulk of research on ethnic conflict that focuses on formal institutional arrangements for containing inter-ethnic group conflict (Horowitz 1985; Posner 2005; Laitin 2007). Assessment of formal institutions overlooks informal customs, traditions, or adaptive rule systems that may create disincentives for violence within inter-ethnic group conflicts. Informal institutional arrangements tend to result from communal adaptation to high levels of human insecurity. Menkhaus, for instance, states, “local communities are not passive in the face of state failure and insecurity, but instead adapt in a variety of ways to minimize risk and increase predictability in their dangerous environments” (Raeymaekers, Menkhaus, and Vlassenroot 2008, 75). This is the fundamental assumption of what Meagher coins the “strength of weak states premise” (Meagher 2012)—vulnerable communities, in some cases, may be as innovative and strategic as armed militias. Communities may adapt

\(^{22}\)In Helmke and Levitsky’s conceptual orientation, informal institutions are rooted in “shared expectations” rather than “shared values,” which means they may or may not be rooted in culture. Culture might “reinforce or undermine particular informal institutions” (727).
preventive, informal institutions to maximize protection and reduce the likelihood of collective violence (Hesselbein, Golooba-Mutebi, and Putzel 2006).

The concept of preventive adaptation is most common in research on environmental vulnerability (Taylor and Mackenzie 1992; Eriksen, Brown, and Kelly 2005; Eriksen and Lind 2009). However, the concept has important implications for the study of sub-state ethnic conflict. As McAdam states, “lacking [formally] institutionalized power, challengers devise techniques that offset their powerlessness” (McAdam 1983, 735). Conceptually, adaptation focuses on context specific protection strategies and informal rule systems that communities devise for protection under conditions of insecurity, the threat of violence from ethnic competitors, and the absence of state security (Hesselbein, Golooba-Mutebi, and Putzel 2006).

There is evidence for communal adaptation in periphery regions in Somalia and Somaliland leading to informal institutional arrangements that contain inter-ethnic group conflict. In particular, elders and religious authorities collaborated with militia leaders to form informal policing units, enact customary modes of conflict resolution, and even provide basic public services within conflict-affected communities (Menkhaus 2008). In these cases, traditional authorities, including elders and imams, devised new informal institutional arrangements to resolve inter-group conflicts. This had a dampening effect on inter-group violence even in the absence for formal security and justice institutions. Ayittey and Meagher find evidence of similar outcomes in South Sudan and Nigeria—indigenous institutions serve as the foundation for the emergence of new community protection systems that improve resilience to violence (Ayittey 2006; Meagher 2012).
The literature on peacebuilding, therefore, generally indicates there is a positive relationship between informal institutions and communal resilience (Edwards 1985; Malan 1997; Boege 2006). Supporters of the so-called post-liberal peace paradigm argue local, informal conflict resolution approaches are more effective than external, formal approaches for preventing violence within traditional societies. Richmond, Kumar, and Chandler, for instance, claim indigenous conflict prevention practices play critical and under-analyzed roles in preventing inter-group violence (Richmond 2010; Kumar 2011; Richmond and Mitchell 2011; Chandler 2013). Murithi, MacGinty, and Akinwale present case study evidence from local peace processes in Ghana, Nigeria, and South Sudan to support this theory (Murithi 2008; MacGinty 2008; Akinwale 2010).

In the absence of effective and legitimate state institutions in largely ungoverned periphery regions, traditional conflict mediation processes may play important roles in determining whether or not conflict escalates following initial acts of inter-group violence (Hydén 2006). Traditional conflict resolution processes commonly provide groups with access to channels for bargaining outside of corrupt, inefficient, and high cost justice systems common across Sub-Saharan Africa. Customs and codes of behavior embedded in community-level social expectations about compensation, fairness, and social support for communities affected by violence may dampen stabilize inter-ethnic relations and reduce the likelihood of escalation.

Conflict resolution scholars argue the unique qualities of traditional conflict resolution processes lead to more positive outcomes than mediation processes led by external state-based peacebuilding organizations or INGOs. Theoretically, rather than
using coercive pressures or threats to get conflict actors to the negotiation table, traditional modes of mediation tend to rely upon elders as legitimate local authorities and trusted conflict mediators (Rothchild 1995; Zartman 2000; Lederach 2012). Buur and Kyed, for example, argue state-led peace processes are often short-term and ad hoc, whereas traditional peace processes are long-term and based on consensus building. Elder-led peace processes, they suggest, are more effective than state-led processes because they use legitimate rules for negotiation and have high levels of communal participation and inclusivity. These qualities of traditional peacebuilding may increase the stability of local agreements (Buur and Kyed 2007).

In Lederach’s terms, elders play critical roles in containing inter-ethnic group violence because they are able to function as “insider-partial” mediators. Elders tend to have personal stakes in conflict outcomes (Wehr and Lederach 1991). The insider partial concept aligns with Fearon and Laitin’s theory of interethnic cooperation. Elders and traditional conflict mediators may function as powerful information brokers with, “specialized knowledge of actors, members, and personality types within the group” (Fearon and Laitin 1996, 731). Local information brokers play significant roles in reducing uncertainty following conflict triggers, often decreasing the probability of escalation.

Communal adaptation and the emergence of context-specific informal institutional arrangements, therefore, are plausible explanations for why conflict escalates in some cases, but not others. Ethno-specific peacebuilding institutions or context specific preventive adaptations may affect whether or not armed actors choose to escalate
conflict. Analysis of the relationship between communal adaptation and patterns of conflict escalation, therefore, is a key level of analysis for the study.

The literature on traditional conflict resolution and informal institutions has critics. Similar to civil society theories, the primary unresolved debate is related to scope conditions. In particular, to what extent can traditional, informal peace processes, or “local infrastructures for peace,” (Lederach 2012) contain modern forms of violence and inter-ethnic group conflict? Recent research on conflict dynamics in Kenya, in particular, suggests that informal institutions and customary modes of conflict prevention may no longer function as effective controls or checks against violence.

Prior research on conflict dynamics in Kenya challenges the assumptions of the strength of weak states premise. In contrast to a broad set of literature on indigenous peace processes, Adano and Wittsenberg argue traditional modes of conflict prevention often fail to contain modern processes of inter-group conflict in periphery regions. The actual contribution of informal conflict prevention processes maybe overstated (Adano et al. 2012). For example, Duffield, Boege and Chapman argue traditional conflict resolution practices are increasingly ineffective. Heavily armed, highly cohesive youth militias no longer follow traditional codes of conduct or respond to traditional forms of social authority (Duffield 1997; Mkutu 2001; Turton 2003; Boege 2006; Chapman and Kagaha 2009).

From this perspective, elders once had control over youth militias and consistently helped limit the use of indiscriminant violence. Elders shared experience with militias on assessing threats, effective scouting, and calculating low-risk opportunities for defensive
attacks or acts of banditry. However, the integration and rapid modernization of periphery regions, the proliferation of small arms, increasingly severe human insecurity, and the emergence of powerful warlords with wealthy patrons living outside of conflict zones may, in fact, undermine the effectiveness of informal and traditional modes of conflict prevention.

In short, some analysts claim the experience of elders used to be a check against indiscriminant acts of violence. Now, however, young warlords have more wealth and power than elders, making militias more likely to use indiscriminant violence against rival out-groups to increase power, territorial control, and wealth. Without the control of elders, heavily armed youth, with little experience in warfare, may be more likely to use deadly force to steal resources from rival ethnic groups, even killing women and children in the process. These acts of violence may increase the likelihood of escalation. They are less calculated, more deadly, and an affront to traditional cultural norms about how violence should be used, and who or who should not be a target. From this perspective, the state may be necessary for solving the enforcement dilemma (Brosché and Elfversson 2012). Without the state acting as a third party and threatening to use violence to enforce local pacts, informal institutions may have very little power to affect patterns of escalation (Rubin, Pruitt, and Kim 1994).

Many analysts of conflict dynamics in Northern Kenya follow this logic. Mirzeler and Young, *inter alia*, claim pastoralist warfare has become a capitalistic form of resource extraction. Rising prices for livestock and land drive groups to use increasing severe forms of violence against ethnic out-groups for individual economic benefit.
In other words, leaders of militia groups in the periphery are modern robber barons (Collier 2000). Violence escalates when ethnic militias choose to target neighboring communities for personal gain, putting their own communities at risk of further violence. This theory suggests marginal returns are higher for militia groups with more weapons, less aversion to the use of deadly violence, and less attachment to the larger ethnic community. Escalating inter-group violence, from this perspective, is the outcome of rational maximizing, economic behavior among militias trying to maintain and gain wealth in periphery regions (Gelsdorf, Maxwell, and Mazurana 2012).

The same logic informs a recent wave of small arms research across Northern Kenya and other ungoverned areas of fragile states in Sub-Saharan Africa (Mkutu 2003; Weiss 2004; SRIC 2006; Ndungu 2009; Wepundi 2012). This line of research is based on the assumption that the proliferation of small arms is a key explanation for escalation. Cameron et al. state, “Since the availability of modern firearms, [informal] processes for mitigating escalation have become eroded, causalities have become higher, and cycles of revenge, often indiscriminate, have become more common” (Cameron, Weatherbed, and Onyiego 2013, 4). Modern forms of pastoralist violence and new forms of weapon technology provide ethnic groups with more power to threaten the existence of other groups leading to increasingly severe ethnic security dilemmas (Mirzeler and Young 2000).

This narrative, however, may not be accurate, especially based on the logic of restraint within inter-ethnic group conflicts. The presence of advanced weapons
technology in traditional pastoralist societies may limit the deadliness of inter-ethnic attacks and reduce the likelihood of escalation. With advanced weapons, youth militias become smaller and more risk averse, with knowledge that potential enemy groups are also well armed (Eaton 2008a). In other words, even if customary forms of authority are not as capable of controlling youth militias, the proliferation of more and more modern weapons may restrain ethnic militias from using violent force by increasing fear among armed groups of the consequences of escalating violence. This logic aligns closely with prior studies of escalation that contend internal calculations of the potential cost of violence to armed actors affect whether or not conflict escalates (Zartman 1989; Zartman 2001; Morgan et al. 2008, 34 – 36).

Overall, the literature on informal institutions serves as the foundation for analysis of how community level adaptations may affect the actions of youth militias following violent attacks, and thus affect patterns of conflict escalation. In many conflict settings formal institutions have very little influence upon the daily lives of citizens, leaving informal institutional arrangements as the most important rule systems shaping inter-group interactions (Chirot and McCauley 2010). Informal institutions remain critical for understanding patterns of conflict.

Whether or not unique forms of communal adaptation and customary mechanisms for conflict prevention contain escalation requires further investigation (MacGinty 2008). In particular, local adaptation may include forms of tactical innovation to increase the capacity of local militias to use or threaten to use deadly force as the primary foundation or communal resilience, rather than non-coercive strategies, such as traditional conflict
resolution processes, preventive bargaining and informal pacts, or informal codes of restitution.

**Assessing State, Civic, and Communal Responses to Local Conflicts**

Across the three sets of literature, the key unresolved problems are all related to scope conditions. When do state actions accelerate or contain local, inter-ethnic group conflict? To what extent do informal communal protection strategies accelerate or contain conflict? In particular, there is a lack of clarity on the conditions under which civic may or may not have the capacity to contain forms of inter-group violence that presently affect communities in ungoverned periphery regions.

Explaining why some conflicts escalate and others requires addressing state actions, civic coalitions, and informal communal adaptation. These three concepts justify a levels-of-analysis approach to study patterns of escalation across various conflict settings. The approach also aligns with Carment and James’ insight that, “ethnic conflicts are multifaceted and dynamic phenomena. The analysis of ethnic conflict should focus on the development of models based on theories of multiple causation” (Carment and James 1996, 1). Similarly, Straus proposes that the study of ethnic violence should clearly articulate the ways in which processes of, “escalation and restraint can be seen to work in tandem” (Straus 2012, 345). Conditions that restrain escalation, and the factors that breakdown and undermine restraints are as critical for understanding process of inter-ethnic violence as the core drivers.

As the conceptual basis for structured, focused comparison, in each case, after the initial outbreak of conflict, patterns of response related to state actors, civic
organizations, and communal adaptations are addressed, and then linked to conflict outcomes. Based upon the conceptual framework outlined, the following questions are the foundation for empirical inquiry across the cases:

1. *Following an initial outbreak of violence, how did local civic associations respond to the incident? What were the effects, if any, on the subsequent process of conflict escalation or restraint?*

   • What, if anything, happened after the initial conflict between the groups?
   
   • Is there evidence that local, civic, and/or state responses restrained conflict actors from engaging in further attacks, or resolving the initial conflict trigger? Or, is there evidence that responses contributed, in meaningful ways, to the continuation of conflict and further acts of violence?
   
   • Is there evidence that particular types of responses were more or less effective than others in resolving the crisis following the initial outbreak of conflict?

More specifically, the proposed causal mechanisms, key conditions, and interactive effects mapped out in the section above for explaining escalation or non-escalation are analyzed within each episode, using questions that reflect the most critical set of factors and interactions.

2. *How did conflict actors react to preventive responses? What were particular courses of action that followed? Why did the conflict escalate, or not escalate?*
• What actions did local associations in the area undertake in response to the initial outbreak of conflict? To what extent did civic associations cooperate while engaging in preventive responses?

• Was the coalition of local peacebuilding organizations able to maintain cohesiveness and stability following the outbreak of violence? Was the coalition of actors able to maintain cohesiveness and stability following state intervention?

• Was the coalition able to support community actors in using non-coercive strategies to respond to violence? What types of bargaining processes were initiated, and to what effect?

• Did the state deploy security forces in response to communal violence, or not? Did state actors elect to cooperate with particular civic groups within the local peace coalition, or not?

• Is there evidence of militias adopting new strategies in relation to particular state actions? Did state actions undermine or compliment local approaches to the conflict?

Regarding the problem of how to compare a broad range of strategies for containing local inter-group conflict, Rothchild proposes a typology distinguishing
coercive from non-coercive modes of state response (Rothchild 1995). The two categories, with minor amendments, provide a framework for analysis of the state, civic, and communal responses to violence. After conflict occurs, actors typically respond with coercive or non-coercive measures to attempt to contain escalation.

Conceptually, structural prevention strategies are employed prior to the outbreak of crisis to try to deal with root drivers of conflict. Categories of structural preventive strategies include early warning, preventive diplomacy, sanctions, inducements, and military force. Operational prevention strategies are employed following a crisis. The main types of operational preventive action include mediation, messaging, and assistance (Carnegie Commission 1998). The following typology of preventive actions derived from the literature on conflict prevention in general and conflict prevention in Africa, specifically, serves as a tool for identification and comparative analysis of various types of strategies used by different actors to contain violence across cases in Northern Kenya.

Rothchild conceptualizes bargaining as the opposite of violence. Violence eliminates all opportunities for group bargaining and imposes the will of one group for control over another. From this perspective, limiting escalation requires securing opportunities for inter-ethnic group bargaining and negotiation. The key causal mechanism Rothchild identifies that contains violence is the “moral norm of reciprocity.” Where groups share this norm, it operates as the foundation for inter-group bargains and conflict reduction, but without this shared norm, extreme fear emerges increasing the likelihood of violence (Rothchild 1995).
Table 2: Types of Preventive Action

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of Analysis</th>
<th>Coercive</th>
<th>Non-coercive</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>• Sanctions</td>
<td>• Messaging</td>
<td>• Escalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Inducements</td>
<td>• Mediation</td>
<td>• Contain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Police/Military Force</td>
<td>• Assistance</td>
<td>• Re-escalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic</td>
<td>• Sanctions</td>
<td>• Messaging</td>
<td>• Escalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Inducements</td>
<td>• Mediation</td>
<td>• Contain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Militia Support</td>
<td>• Assistance</td>
<td>• Re-escalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>• Sanctions</td>
<td>• Messaging</td>
<td>• Escalate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Inducements</td>
<td>• Mediation</td>
<td>• Contain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Militia Force</td>
<td>• Assistance</td>
<td>• Re-escalate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coalitions and Conflict Trajectories

While there are multiple theories relating a broad spectrum of potential causes of escalation or restraint within communal conflicts, the study proposes a narrow set of specific factors and scope conditions to explain why violence escalates in some cases but not others. The following section proposes a theory to explain variation across conflict cases that share a high risk of escalating under conditions of sub-state fragility.

Logic of Escalation in Fragile Settings

The core features of conflicts within periphery settings that make violence likely to escalate between groups are as follows. Following a deadly attack, a targeted group faces a critical decision juncture: to use coercive or non-coercive means to respond to acts of aggression. Not responding at all puts the group at risk of further threats, and high
levels of poverty undermine the capacity of group to absorb the loss of property.\textsuperscript{24} Non-coercive response, or, more specifically, initiating a process of bargaining and conflict mediation with an assumed culprit, requires sending emissaries to acquire adequate information about the identity of culprits, their location, and motivations.\textsuperscript{25}

In remote periphery regions with limited state presence, the risks associated with initiating mediation processes are significant. Emissaries sent to negotiate may be targeted by attackers or other armed groups operating in the region, or even threatened or targeted by civilian actors within the out-group community committed to protecting the identity of armed actors (see also, Eaton 2008b).

Indirect means of attaining information following an attack are also high risk. Attaining information through personal relationships risks causing a contact to risk in-group trust, or, at worse, face the threat of violence for sharing information with an out-group. In areas where ethnic communities are highly skilled in protecting the individual identity of armed assailants following acts of aggression, violence is increasingly likely to escalate between ethnic groups. Uncertainty surrounding the identity of individual culprits increases the likelihood of indiscriminate violence against an entire group.

Considering the high risk associated with pursuing and gathering accurate information necessary to initiate a bargaining process following a deadly attack, indiscriminant violence against proximate members of the rival ethnic group becomes a lower risk option for deterring further acts of aggression. Absent channels for bargaining

\textsuperscript{24} Asfaw Kumsaa, UNCRD, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 18, 2014.

\textsuperscript{25} Halkano Bukuno, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 21, 2014.
with ethnic militias and their intermediaries, aggrieved groups are more likely to engage in acts of organized violence against members of the opposition identity group who were not directly involved in the initial act of violence.

Cohesive Coalitions

Cohesive civic associations may support preventive actions that alter this logic, and thus reduce the likelihood of escalation. Providing secure spaces and opportunities for groups to negotiate informal rules for compensation and restitution is a key mechanism for containing and preventing escalatory dynamics. Local coalitions may increase access to conflict information, increase access to platforms for inter-group bargaining, and provide support for non-coercive communal protection strategies at the village-level. Cohesion, building from Pearlman’s conceptual framework, includes two observable characteristics, including unified local civic leaders, and the presence of institutions, formal or informal, with clearly articulated and accepted rules for conflict prevention (Pearlman 2011; Pearlman and Cunningham 2012).

Effective coordination of preventive action does not have to be institutionalized, such as in formal District Peace Committee systems, but can be highly informal and action based. Unity among diverse civic associations and effective preventive action may occur where groups organize and mobilize around shared interests. Prevention capacity, therefore, depends upon alignment among multiple civic associations around a particular cause, or upon alignment with resisting the threat of attack from a particular violent actor. Where informal coalitions of civic organization improve threat monitoring, provide
platforms for inter-group bargaining and pact making, and enforce informal codes of restitution, violence may be less likely to escalate.

**Hypotheses**

- Escalation is less likely when a cohesive coalition of civic groups is present.
- Escalation is more likely when a coalition of civic groups is not present.
- Escalation is more likely when a coalition of civic groups breaks down.

Informal coalitions of local civic groups, including CBOs, FBOs, LPCs, elders’ networks, and youth militia leaders, *inter alia*, can, in some cases, prevent escalation. Coalitions restrain violence escalation by engagement in coordination of preventive actions including monitoring, threat response, routinized negotiation, immediate crisis response, and limiting interference and meddling by external actors interested in exacerbating conflict for economic or political gain. When coalitions break down and become fragmented, monitoring is limited, crisis response is not rapid or adequate to restrain mobilization for retribution, and external meddling is more likely.

Coalitions provide local actors working to protect communities with more complex institutional platforms for inter-ethnic group bargaining. Different types of civic associations engage in different types of bargaining processes, such as faith-based reconciliation strategies, or local peace institutions and inter-group dialogues. While approaches may vary in strategies for conflict prevention, in the absence of crosscutting civil society organizations, these processes, at least, increase opportunities for bargaining.
and informal pact making between armed groups. Cohesive coalitions allow for the proliferation of platforms for inter-group bargaining, which can limit escalation.

Scope Conditions

In areas of limited statehood, local, informal coalitions help to routinize monitoring and bargaining processes. However, as mentioned above, even under conditions of state fragility the actions of representatives of the state can impact coalition cohesion and stability. In line with the logic described above, state responses to outbreaks of communal violence may either undermine or compliment coalition cohesion. Proposed scope conditions include the use of indiscriminant force by police and military forces and symbolic acts of violence. These two conditions may undermine preventive efforts of civic organizations and create windows for conflict escalation.

Hypotheses

- Escalation is more likely when coercive state responses cause local peacebuilding coalitions to collapse.

- Escalation is less likely when state responses strengthen and compliment local coalitions.

Political and economic interests condition state responses to local violence. The value of an area for particular state development strategies, political support and mobilization, and national security interests shape state responses to outbreaks of communal violence in any given locality. These interests impact not only whether or not the state will respond, but also how it will respond to initial outbreaks of inter-ethnic
group conflict. Whether or not the state uses coercive or non-coercive tactics to contain local violence, I argue, is shaped by the following logic. Investment in, and the deployment of, well-trained security actors in remote periphery areas is very high cost. Choosing to work through local voluntary institutions and informal coalitions already established at the local level is very low cost, even if potentially sub-optimal in terms of ensuring a monopoly over violence. Security outcomes may not fully improve by channeling state support and resources to local civic organizations, but state actors may choose sub-optimal behaviors during processes of coping with high levels of uncertainty and insecurity.

**Conclusion**

Within literature related to containing local ethnic violence, the majority of theories situate agency for whether or not collective violence occurs at the level of the state, with political elites, or with militias. These approaches operate under the assumption that armed actors are most innovative and important actors to determine when outbreaks of mass armed violence will or will not occur within an ethnic conflict. However, dynamics of restraint may counteract state actions, elite actions, or the actions of armed militias are not well accounted for. In some cases, civic associations may be as well organized as armed actors in developing strategies to contain acts of violence.

Prior studies have identified a range of potential contributions of civilian actors and organizations to process of violence restraint. However, this study advances the literature on civil society and ethnic violence by articulating key conditions that can both
support or undermine communal peace actions. In short, prior research over-exaggerates state fragility, under-exaggerates the capacity of communities to adapt and develop unique informal organizational arrangements to contain conflict, and largely overlooks critical interactive effects between state actions, informal coalitions, and local adaptation in shaping conflict trajectories.
CHAPTER TWO: ETHNIC IDENTITY AND INSECURITY IN MODERNIZING KENYA

To contextualize the conflicts under assessment in chapters three, four, and five, the following chapter analyzes the dynamics of ethnic conflict and conflict prevention strategies employed throughout different periods of Kenya’s history. The broader context of Kenya as a developmental state is related to the informal organizational structures in place to contain local violence. Historically, marginalization of the northern periphery region was, in part, a measure to contain conflict between pastoralist and agriculturalist ethnic groups. Long-term marginalization, however, created conditions conducive to sub-state fragility, high levels of human insecurity, and relatively high levels of armed violence across a broad region with limited state presence. In the post-independence period, the erosion of state legitimacy led to the mobilization of ethnic militias for communal protection, and the emergence of a broad array of civic organizations that function as primary providers of security and basic public goods across Northern Kenya.

Over the past seven years, changes in the regional political economy have led to a policy reversal, with the state investing in and supporting the formation of more formalized peacebuilding institutions across Northern Kenya. However, even though there is a new set of formal institutions in place, communities continue to rely upon diverse configurations of non-state organizations, community leaders, elders, and
informal security actors who are not constitutionally mandated to provide local security. As James N’dungu describes, “there are a lot of new rules on the books for conflict prevention, but when you really look at how communities interact with the state to govern local conflicts, the rules are quite different.” Contextual dynamics, specific to Northern Kenya, affect both conflict dynamics and the efficacy of local peacebuilding efforts.

**State Formation and Sub-state Fragility**

Ethnic fragmentation remains a persistent feature of Kenya’s post-colonial political economy. Political and economic change generated conditions conducive for inter-ethnic group conflicts. Political elites used monetary handouts, land allocation, and even infrastructure, education, and health projects to secure support from particular ethnic groups for the formation of dominant ethnic coalitions (Barkan 2012). There is corresponding evidence that political elites, in order to maintain control of the state apparatus, directly coordinated attacks against ethnic groups aligned with opposition political coalitions (Branch 2011).

Identity-based cleavages persist in Kenya due to a long history of political violence and prebendalism. Ethnic-based state resource allocation sustains social cleavages even during larger processes of rapid modernization and democratization. In Ruth Aluoch’s terms, “the success of any given community, economically, is tied to its
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26 James N’dungu, Saferworld, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 8, 2014.

27 Prebendalism is Richard Joseph’s term. The concept describes political regimes in which state representatives use government resources to benefit co-ethnic supporters (Joseph 1983).
level of access to political power.”28 The following section maps the most persistent social cleavages across Kenya, along with the policies various regimes employed to contain inter-group conflicts that erupted under pressures related to state formation and economic integration.

Colonial Strategies for Containing Local Violence

State formation in Kenya has a long history with roots in the Berlin Conference and the Scramble for Africa in 1885. As early as 1895, the East Africa Company constructed railroads to open the territory for economic development, which led to a mass influx of European settlers starting in 1907 and the establishment of plantations and ranches for large-scale agricultural production (Lonsdale 1977). During the first stage of colonization over 350,000 Europeans, mostly from Great Britain, immigrated to Kenya. A large majority of the population settled in the Central Highlands, the primary territory of the Kikuyu (see map below). With European settlement concentrated in the Central Highlands, government institutions also concentrated in the area. The first colonial government called the Legislative Council maintained links between London and Nairobi, and constructed bureaucratic structures to provide basic public goods, including jails, schools, and livestock and agricultural management boards (Anderson 1986).

In contrast, in Northern Kenya, then called the Northern Frontier District (NFD), the government established scattered military and administrative posts. The territory was officially set apart as a “closed district.” Bureaucratic structures remained weak with little authority, capacity, and legitimacy, especially among highly mobile pastoralist ethnic

groups. Without formal boundaries to determine the limits of state control, authority was based on the extension of colonial military capacity (Herbst 2000). Within the regional political context, the NFD was a buffer zone to prevent the expansion of the Ethiopian empire under Menelik II (Zartman 1989, 114 – 118). British military posts across the North functioned as an informal border defense to protect Kenya from Italian interests in expanding the territory of Ethiopia southward (Fratkin and Roth 2006, 40).

Under these conditions, early writers called Northern Kenya a bandits kingdom (Farson 1953). During this period, colonial authorities employed coercive, and in Berman’s terms, terror-like tactics against civilian populations to project authority and prevent inter-group conflict and violent clashes (Lonsdale 1977; Lonsdale and Berman 1979; Berman 1992). The British military imposed order through the use of coercive force, or what the military called punitive expeditions. State-sanctioned violence against pastoralist ethnic groups was a component of the early state formation processes. The military threatened pastoralist groups, took livestock as a form of taxation, punished and shamed of local leaders accused of involvement in attacks, and assassinated local leaders who resisted colonial authorities (Berman and Lonsdale 1992).

Not all colonial governance strategies used coercive measures as the basis for control, however. For groups such as the Turkana, Somali, Borana, Gabra, Rendille, and Samburu there was a high level of encouragement of nomadic movement. In many areas of Northern Kenya, the government established group ranches to separate conflicting pastoralist groups. As long as pastoralist groups paid taxes, engaged in minimal inter-group conflict, and remained within the borders of designated grazing areas, the colonial
government did not intervene (Fratkin and Roth 2006). In some cases, arrests and public hearings were used to try suspected criminals. For example, as early as 1909, building upon traditional rules of conflict resolution, the Collective Punishment Ordinance required groups, as a whole, to pay for crimes of individuals from that group (Anderson 1986). This institution persists today within multiple local peace agreements and customary codes of restitution.

Historical records describe colonial leaders’ interest in protecting the traditional pastoralist lifestyle (Kochore 2013). This indicates an early motivation to protect indigenous cultures and pastoralist livelihoods that were under threat from nascent modernization and industrialization. This motive, however, contradicts early records of administrators’ views toward the region. In archived letters from the period, administrators posted to the NFD complained they were assigned positions as “museum curators” rather than “civilized administrators” (Kochore 2013). In some cases, colonial administrators were sent to government outposts such as, North Horr, Marsabit, Archer’s Post, and Loiyangalani on Lake Turkana as a form of political exile. The areas had very harsh living and working conditions compared to urbanizing town centers in the central highlands.

An economic logic also shaped the colonial governance strategy for the region. The projection of military authority protected the agricultural center from pastoralist groups. The North was not viable for large-scale agricultural production, and thus not worth the cost of investing in the development of bureaucratic structures needed to extract economic resources (see also, Boone 2003). To maximize agricultural resource
extraction, pastoralist groups were cordonned off within the closed frontier district to protect more fertile land. The closed district designation prevented pastoralists from migrating southward to more arable lands where European settlers had large-scale agricultural schemes, especially in the fertile Mt. Kenya central highlands. As Rothchild describes, a structure of European settler privilege shaped the deep and persistent core-periphery cleavage in Kenya (Rothchild 1973). The early state structure served the interests of European settlers, and prioritized the interests of a particular, foreign ethnic group over the interests of indigenous groups.
Figure 2: Kenya Ethnicity and Dialect Map

Source: New World Encyclopedia 2015

Overall, the structure of the early colonial state, and the nature of patron-client relationships between colonists and indigenous groups set up conditions for inter-ethnic group inequality. With the central highlands as the most productive region of the country, following independence, European settlers allocated the most valuable land and productive resources to the Kikuyu community. The Kikuyu community, therefore, had greater initial access to state resources, educational opportunities, and capital not available to other ethnic groups, especially compared to pastoralist groups of the northern periphery.

These initial conditions created overlapping class and ethnic-based social divisions across the country, or, in Stewart’s terms, deep horizontal inequalities (Stewart 2000; Stewart 2008). The Kikuyu, over time, comprised the dominant elite based on access to the most fertile land, early government capacity, and political control over the allocation of both land and state resources. Targeting of Kikuyus across Kenya in 2007-2008 was rooted within long-standing grievances among ethnic groups related to early colonial structures.

Overall, colonial policies set up conditions for persistent inter-ethnic group divisions and conflict over access to political power. At the same time, they set up the pastoralist periphery as the most underdeveloped and marginalized area of the country. With few roads, schools, and local government services human security\(^\text{30}\) is persistently under great threat for the Samburu, Turkana, Pokot, Rendille, Gabra, Borana, Garre, Murule, and Degodia communities of Northern Kenya. Under these conditions of sub-

\(^{30}\) For assessment of the relationship been human insecurity and inter-group conflict, see: (Kumssa, Jones, and Williams 2009).
state fragility, ethnic militias have proliferated to secure highly mobile, highly valuable communal resources under harsh environmental conditions.

Opening a Closed District

The transition to independence in Kenya was less violent than many other decolonization processes across Sub-Saharan Africa and other colonial states (Horowitz 1985, 4 – 6). Even though decolonization did not directly trigger mass ethnic violence, the transition was intertwined with the Mau Mau rebellion from 1952 – 1956 and the Shifta War, from 1963 – 1967 (see, Branch 2009). In 1952, the Mau Mau, a Kikuyu insurgent group, attacked British settlers in control of the largest and most fertile farming areas around Mt. Kenya and Meru. During the same time period, Jomo Kenyatta was a key leader of the Kenya African Union (KAU), a nonviolent independence movement. Initially, colonial authorities presumed Jomo Kenyatta was a supporter of the Mau Mau movement and thus imprisoned. He was later released in 1961.

In response to the Mau Mau insurgency, British authorities coordinated a military campaign with over 15,000 troops (Barkan 2012). There is disagreement among historians around the severity of the Mau Mau conflict and the number of fatalities. Official documents from the colonial government indicate that there were 11,503 fatalities. David Andersen suggests 20,000 fatalities is a more accurate number (Anderson 1986). Elkin’s archival work to get beyond official narratives and include washed evidence finds that 70,000 or more people died during the conflict (Elkins 2005). Eventually, the government defeated the Mau Mau in 1956 using extensive violence
against civilians including mass detention camps and acts of torture to try to identify and attain information about armed militias (see, Elkins 2005; Branch 2009).

Comparative research on the Mau Mau movement points toward a relationship between local governance strategies and the escalation of inter-ethnic group conflict. Mungeam’s research, for example, addresses the following puzzle: why did the Kikuyu use violence against white colonial settlers, yet not the Maasai, who were a larger majority group and well known for their warrior culture and military capacity (Mungeam 1970). He builds a case that the Kikuyu, as an agriculturalist ethnic group, had a different standing relative to the projection of colonial authority than the Maasai, a pastoralist ethnic group. The colonial government’s interest in the extraction of agricultural resources caused the state to use more coercive and more violent state intervention in agricultural areas, compared to pastoralist regions. Even though Maasai were better organized for war than the Kikuyu, grievances against the colonial state were less severe in the pastoralist periphery than in agricultural regions. In short, the violent Mau Mau movement formed among the agriculturalist Kikuyu ethnic group due to deeper grievances against the extractive and coercive colonial government structure.

After Jomo Kenyatta was released from prison in 1961, he became the first president of the newly independent state in 1963. The process of independence in Kenya triggered reformulation of state strategies for containing conflict in the northern periphery. In 1962, one year prior to independence, the state established a new institution called, the Northern Frontier District Commission. The Commission focused specifically on the management of ethnic grievances and conflicts in the periphery during the political
transition toward constitutional democracy. The primary challenge facing the commission was a secessionist movement among Somali\textsuperscript{31} ethnic groups (Whittaker 2014). Public sentiment among Somali ethnic groups across the NFD was in favor of territorial secession from Kenya. Kenyan Somalis wanted the NFD to join greater Somalia. However, in response to secessionist demands, in 1963, Jomo Kenyatta reportedly said, quite harshly, “Let them pack their camels and go back to Somalia” (Kochore 2013).

The government did not grant Kenyan Somalis an opportunity for a popular consultation on the issue of secession. The denial of autonomy triggered the escalation of violence across the NFD. Starting in 1963, Somali shiftas attacked government posts. The government response to shifta attacks included enforced sedentarization of pastoralist communities and group detainment, the confinement livestock by the military, and widespread civilian abuses to identify, track, and detain militants. The Shifta war lasted from 1963 until 1967, when Zambian president, Kenneth Kaunda, led a team of mediators that reached the Arusha Agreement (Thompson 2015).

Historians who analyzed the effects of state actions during the Shifta War found that the conflict had a very deep and lasting impact on pastoralist communities across the region. Communities in Northern Kenya still refer to the Shifta War as the “time of stop” (Whittaker 2008). In an already fragile and marginalized environment, military intervention destroyed all wealth in the area. The Shifta War exacerbated the process of pastoralist sedentarization, and further undermined the livelihoods of pastoralist ethnic groups (Fratkin and Roth 2006). There has not been another secessionist movement in

\textsuperscript{31} Multiple Somali ethnic groups have resided for centuries within the current territory of the Kenyan state.
Northern Kenya since the Shifta war. However, the war itself deepened the core-periphery cleavage between the North and South and further undermined the legitimacy of the GoK across the region.

Archival documents reveal Kenyan leaders referring to local political leaders from Northern Kenya as “those secessionists.” The term *shifta* still operates as a slanderous term against ethnic Kenyan Somali communities (Whittaker 2014). In terms of general identity-based stereotypes, the North, to this day, remains, “the other Kenya,” and the South, “the real Kenya” for most residents of the rural periphery. Ethnic groups in the North have not formed an attachment to the larger Kenyan identity (Kisiangani 2014). This condition is most severe in Northeastern Kenya, along the Somalia border. Somali Kenyan youth were part of a major *al-Shabaab* attack against a University in Garissa, Kenya in March 2015 (Sperber 2015). The rising rate of radicalism among pastoralist youth, in general, and Kenyan Somali youth, in particular, is linked to the long history of marginalization of Northern Kenya. Persistent grievances against both the state and neighboring ethnic groups created pools of willing recruits for local ethnic militias, and, more recently, for *al-Shabaab*.

Over the fifteen-year tenure of the Kenyatta regime, Kenya experienced rapid economic growth. In general, most post-colonial states in Sub-Saharan Africa promoted protectionism and socialist economic strategies. In contrast, the Kenyatta regime maintained a high degree of economic openness and promoted a more liberal orientation toward integration into the global economy. Liberal economic policy triggered rapid economic growth, yet development concentrated in the Central Highlands and within
urban centers. Critically, rapid economic development and urbanization did not reduce ethnic fragmentation, as modernization theories predicted. Mazrui’s study of post-independence Kenya, for example, found that urbanization caused a decline in the practice of unique ethnic rituals and customs, but “in the scramble for limited opportunities and resources in cities and towns, the pull of ethnic loyalty has remained strong. Ethnic behavior may have declined, but ethnic loyalty has remained strong” (Mazrui 1998, 128).

For the Kenyatta regime, Northern Kenya had little to contribute to rapid economic development. As a result, the area received minimal state investment and public goods provision. This outcome aligns with Rothchild’s theory of ethnic bargaining in developmental states. Outward looking national development policy tends to align with the political marginalization of groups and regions with little capacity to contribute to development of a modern market economy (Rothchild 1973). The direct categorization of the Northern periphery as a “low potential area”\(^{32}\) correlated with a process of state retreat (Kurimoto 1998). Government posts established under the colonial state were abandoned and neglected during the Kenyatta regime, leaving the northern periphery with even less contact with the center than during the colonial regime.

Under these conditions, leaders from principally agricultural regions had little interest in allocating scarce government resources to rebuild defunct government institutions and protect and support pastoralist livelihoods. Political elites from the

Central Highlands dominated the state system. Kikuyu elites under the Kenya African National Union (KANU) controlled the first post-independence government. Technically, Kenya was a democratic regime, but in practice, the regime was neopatrimonial (Eisenstadt 1973; Erdmann and Engel 2007). In other words, political power consolidated among a set of male political elites from the Kikuyu ethnic group, and state resources were allocated to areas of the country where Kikuyu represented a majority of population (Atieno-Odhiambo 2002). State support for education in the Kikuyu highlands, in particular, set up conditions for Kikuyu dominance (Barkan 2012).

Directly after independence in 1963, the NFD remained an official no-go zone for the government and outsiders. The government abolished the closed district policy in 1969. The end of the closed district policy impacted conflict dynamics across the region. In many locations local elites and warlords controlled territory, while other pockets were fully anarchic with no clear center of local authority. In particular, Kratli et al. describe how the Northern periphery experienced rising levels of armed violence following the end of the closed district policy. The state had authority over urban centers, but no control over vast northern frontier (Herbst 2000). The introduction of outside interests, cross-border dynamics, and large inflows of illegal arms increased tension and armed violence between pastoralist groups in the region (Krätli, Swift, and England 1999).

With rising insecurity, the state had to develop a solution to prevent violence among pastoralist groups from affecting and destabilizing the center (Stanislawski 2008). New leaders of the state, therefore, faced a dilemma—how to govern an increasingly insecure periphery with a lack of political legitimacy. The most severe inter-ethnic
clashes occurred due to inter-ethnic group power imbalances. In situations where one community with more weapons could overpower another community, violence escalated rapidly. In response, the initial state solution was to restore and create an ethnic balance of power among pastoralist ethnic groups through the allocation of arms to the Kenya Police Reserves (KPR), also known as home guards. This informal policing strategy, however, had unintended consequences. Arming citizens with no accountability to formal policing institutions increased the availability of weapons across the area, and changed the context of inter-ethnic conflict (Ndungu 2009). In sum, independence, the opening of the North, and rising insecurity across a region with a high level of sub-state fragility opened the door for, in Wulf’s terms, “outsourcing” peace and security functions to non-state actors (Wulf 2005).

Ethnic Federalism and Local Violence

Following the death of Jomo Kenyatta in 1978, Daniel arap Moi assumed the presidency. Regional conflict dynamics during the early years of Moi regime increased pressures for inter-ethnic group conflict among pastoralist communities in Northern Kenya. From 1978 – 1979, Idi Amin led Uganda into a short territorial war with Tanzania. During the campaign, Amin received direct military support from Muamar Qadahafi in Libya. Jackson and Rotberg, *inter alia*, argue the war was designed to divert attention away from the increasing instability of Amin’s autocratic regime (Jackson and
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33 Following security reforms in 2010, the institution was renamed the National Police Reserves (NPR). However, actors in Northern Kenya still refer to local informal police as KPR. For consistency, KPR is used throughout the study.
Rotberg 1982). The regime collapsed very shortly after the failed war. In 1979, as soldiers loyal to Amin fled from Uganda, they sold arms to pastoralist militias operating along the Uganda—Kenya border. The collapse of the Amin regime, therefore, triggered the first major wave of weapons proliferation across Northern Uganda and Northern Kenya. The proliferation of weapons increased the intensity and deadliness of inter-ethnic group clashes across what became known as the “Karamoja Conflict Cluster” (Mirzeler and Young 2000).

Addressing the spike in inter-ethnic group conflict across the country, Moi described ethnicity, “as the cancer that threatens to eat away the very fabric of our nation” (Goffard 2012). During his tenure, however, inter-ethnic group tension and state corruption were rife. The regime allocated state resources to particular ethnic groups to consolidate political support and manipulated long standing inter-group grievances to maintain access to power.

As Rothchild’s research suggests, ethnic bargaining remained the primary tool for the maintenance of Moi’s hegemonic exchange regime—an authoritarian regime type where the foundation of authority is based on elite-level ethnic pacts. This regime type persisted across multiple African states even with the introduction of multi-party democracy (Rothchild 1995). For example, Moi employed a political strategy to unify previously disparate ethnic groups living in Western Kenya. Political elites emphasized shared cultural attributes among the Keiyo, Kipsigis, Marakwet, Nandi, Pokot, Sabaot
and Tugen to construct a large Kalenjin ethno-political identity (Lynch 2011; Branch 2011).³⁴

The Kalenjin alliance flourished under the Moi presidency. State resources flowed less to Kikuyu areas and more toward Kalenjin areas. Moi used the single-party state and coercive tactics around electoral process to undermine and repress Luo and Kikuyu opposition groups from contesting the regime (Throup and Hornsby 1998, 371). In some cases, the state relocated entire Kalenjin groups to undeveloped areas in order to both gain support and contain local, inter-ethnic group clashes. The Nandi, for example, were relocated away from Luo dominated areas around Lake Victoria to more fertile areas along the Rift Valley. Relocation decreased violence between Nandi and Luo communities in the short-term, but set up conditions for more intensive inter-ethnic group grievances over land ownership (Boone 2014).

After three years in the presidency, in 1981, Moi amended the constitution to prevent the emergence of multi-party democracy. Under the majority of Moi’s tenure, the Kenya African National Union (KANU) was the foundation for a single-party state. In the late 1980s, facing increasing pressure from civil society groups and increasing international pressure, Moi set up the Commission for Constitutional Reform of Kenya. Chairman Prof. Yash Pal Ghai led the process of constitutional reform. The outcome of the constitutional reform process was the repeal of single party state provision in the

constitution and the introduction of multi-party democracy in 1992 (Murunga and Nasong’o 2007).

Multi-party politics had implications for local conflict dynamics in the northern periphery. As Tablino argues, elections were the “only unifying national ritual” that cut across the very deep center-periphery division (Tablino 1999, 137). Even though the area was disconnected from the Kenyan economy and the larger national identity, ethnic groups in Northern Kenya became involved in the national political system through electoral processes.

Even with new constitutional rules allowing for inclusion and contestation among multiple political parties, Moi won elections in both 1992 and 1997. Historians compare Moi’s political strategies to colonial divide and rule practices that were used across multiple African societies across Sub-Saharan Africa (see, Throup and Hornsby 1998). For example, Moi introduced a policy in the early 1990s called majimboism, or regionalism, that introduced a major national policy debate and intensified inter-ethnic group conflict.

The policy was, in effect, a form of ethnic federalism (Branch 2011). It was based on the idea that indigenes would maintain exclusive rights in local administrative areas and control over communal resources, including land and property. The creation of home areas for particular ethnic groups increased pressure for conflict across Northern Kenya (Fox 1996). Population mobility among pastoralist communities clashed with the basic premise of ethnic federalism. Starting under the Moi regime, majimboism triggered

35 There is an unsettled debate in the literature on decentralization and ethnic federalism around whether the institutional structure helps manage ethnic conflict, or foments ethnic conflict. Decentralization of power to
conflict between ethnic groups, with violence directed against ethnic out-groups in local political districts.

Historians of the Moi period argue that the introduction of multi-party politics correlated with rising ethno-centrism and ethnic fragmentation in Kenya. Multi-party politics created an overlap between land competition, political competition, as well as competition for control over ethnic militias and crime syndicates that could be mobilized during electoral cycles to use violence for political purposes. Contestation over land, political positions, and militia allegiance played out in terms of ethnic divisions, which deepened inter-ethnic group fragmentation. In parallel, research from the Moi period focuses on predatory elites, the criminal state, and ethnic electoral competition as the most significant drivers of conflict escalation (Steeves 1997; Klopp 2001; Anderson and Lochery 2008; Mueller 2011). As Umaro Adano describes, “the state was the only visible route to wealth, fame and glory. As such, fighting over access to state resources along tribal lines was considered an entirely rational and legitimate pursuit” (Adano 2014, 3).

One of the largest acts of state-sanctioned violence in Northern Kenya also occurred during the Moi era—the Wagalla Massacre. Human rights groups have accused the government of massacring upwards of 5,000 Degodia on the Wagalla Airstrip on February 10th, 1984. Some local accounts suggest the government carried out multiple acts of torture and human rights abuses. Official reports indicate that the GoK mission was a disarmament campaign to control Degodia militias engaged in clashes with Garre smaller ethnic blocs is designed to better organize local governance and meet local demands for greater autonomy. The process is designed to reduce inter-ethnic conflict. However, in some cases, ethnic federalism increases ethnic competition. The construction small administrative units can create conditions under which majority ethnic groups engage in ethnic cleansing to ensure full control group over the area.
and Merule communities in the Northeastern Province. The state reports only 57 fatalities during the operation (Kenya Human Rights Commission 2014).

The case is still under investigation. If reports on the event are accurate, the Wagalla Massacre is the largest act of state-sanctioned violence against an ethnic group in the history of Kenya. Like the Shifta War, the Wagalla Massacre had long-lasting effects upon state-society relations in Northern Kenya. In particular, during the tenure of the Truth Justice and Reconciliation Commission (TJRC) following ethnic violence in 2007-2008, debates surrounding the Wagalla Massacre led to withdrawal of the TJRC commissioner, Bethuel Kiplagat. Kiplagat withdrew from the chairman position following accusations that he was complicit in the massacre as a leading politician under the Moi regime. The opposition party, the Orange Democratic Movement (ODM), led by Raila Odinga, used the Wagalla massacre to undermine credibility of the Kenyatta regime and to build support for ODM in the Northeastern district during the lead up to elections in 2013 (Gitari 2014).

The Development Frontier

The Moi era ended with new constitutional restrictions in place to prevent him from running for a third term. In 2002, Mwai Kibaki won the national election with backing from the National Rainbow Coalition (NARC). Increasing polarization between Kikuyu, Luo, and Kalenjin ethnic groups occurred under Kibaki regime. In particular, a failed constitutional referendum in 2005 designed to limit the powers of the presidency, also known as the Wako Draft, created a deep amount of mistrust and suspicion between Mwai Kibaki and Raila Odinga (Murunga and Nasong’o 2007). After the failed
referendum, Odinga organized a strong opposition movement among the Luo community, deepening the division between Luo and Kikuyu communities in the lead up to the 2007 election.

The Kenyatta and Moi regimes directly excluded Northern Kenya from larger national development planning. However, a major national policy shift took place during Kibaki’s tenure that impacted inter-ethnic group conflict dynamics across the region. With the expectation of a very close national election in 2007, ethnic constituencies in Northern Kenya became relevant to national election outcomes. In particular, to garner support from pastoralist communities, in 2007, the Kibaki regime established the Ministry for the Development of Northern Kenya and other Arid Lands (MDNKOAL). The ministry focused on improving local security in Northern Kenya and supporting livelihoods among pastoralist communities. Through the MDNKOAL, in the lead up to the 2007 – 2008 election, the government allocated Northern Kenya more development support than it had ever received.

In 2007, Raila Odinga ran against Kibaki’s incumbent regime. The election had disastrous consequences. Mass post-election violence broke out during December 2007 – January 2008. According to best estimates, post-election violence caused over 1,300 fatalities and 650,000 IDPs, including major massacres, church burnings, severe property destruction, and human rights atrocities (UCDP 2015). Mungiki attacks directly targeted Luo communities using severe forms of violence, including mass rape and executions.
The major political parties in Kenya, including the Jubilee Coalition Alliance, ODM, and the Coalition for Reforms and Democracy (CORD) are not explicitly ethnic parties. This does not, however, limit ethnic identity from playing a major role within processes of party formation and electoral competition. Even though national parties are not explicitly ethnic, local political contestation is ethnic. In the absence of sufficient state support for electoral campaigns, candidates running for office rely on their own ethnic groups for resources to run campaigns. Local political contestants hold *harambees* to collect donations and build local support networks. Political entrepreneurs working to position themselves with political party structures gain the support of an entire ethnic group, and then use that power base as leverage to form coalitions with other political actors. Political parties in Kenya, therefore, function as ethnic coalitions that are constructed through local processes of ethnic-based political mobilization (Lynch 2006; Branch, Cheeseman, and Gardner 2010).

Two proximate factors, therefore, triggered the outbreak of mass violence in Kenya in 2007 - 2008. Allegations and rumors that the Kibaki regime rigged the election and the long period of time it took the electoral commission to announce the results created uncertainty (Mueller 2008; Cheeseman 2008; Kanyinga 2009). At the same time, long-term institutional decay and a lack of state control over local security actors created a window for the rapid and severe escalation of post-electoral ethnic violence (Mueller 2008; 2011). Violence played out between the largest ethnic groups in the country,
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36 Jubilee is an alliance of four parties, the National Alliance, NARC, and the United Republican Party, and the Republican Congress party. The coalition backed Uhuru Kenyatta and William Ruto in the 2013 presidential election.
including the Kikuyu, Luo, and Kalenjin. The core-periphery cleavage in Kenya served as a buffer for minority, pastoralist groups in the North against the 2007 outbreak of election-related violence. Respondents described, “we were sitting at our TVs wondering – what is happening in Kenya?”

The first stage of response at the state level was the organization of an informal group of national peace actors, led by Ambassador Bethuel Kiplagat. The group coordinated the initial response, drawing upon personal networks and experiences in peace negotiations across Africa. Shortly following, the African Union (AU) set up a formal Panel of Eminent African Leaders, led by Kofi Annan, to lead a national peace process (see also, Lindenmayer and Kaye 2015). To coordinate the international response, a new institution was established—the Kenya National Dialogue and Reconciliation (KNDR) Commission. Negotiations among elites and external mediators led to the formation of a peacebuilding agenda with four points of action for the restoration of peace in Kenya, including immediate police deployment to contain violence, addressing humanitarian crises, resolving the political crisis through power-sharing, and addressing inter-group grievances related to land, employment, and impunity.

The escalation of ethnic violence in 2007-2008 left a deep imprint on social divisions across Kenya. The major post-conflict policy document known as the Waki Report, drawing on peacebuilding policies used during post-genocide experience of
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37 Hassan Kochore, National Archives, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 8, 2014.

38 Bethuel Kiplagat, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.
Rwanda, recommended local tribunals in conflict-affected communities. The recommendation to set up structures to build peace from the ground-up, however, was not adopted. Instead, the ICC took up the case. The ICC eventually indicted six political elites for crimes against humanity in March of 2011, including Uhuru Kenyatta, Henry Kosgey, William Ruto, Francis Muthaura, Joshua Arap Sang, and Mohammed Hussein Ali.39 Evidence gathered for the ICC trial indicated political elites directly supported and mobilized local youth militias that engaged in attacks against rival ethnic groups.

In response to the outbreak of post-election violence, in March 2008, the GoK passed the National Reconciliation Accord Act. The Act included provisions for powersharing. It restructured executive institutions to allow for a Prime Minster and two Deputy Prime Ministers under a coalition cabinet called the Government of National Unity. Under the powersharing government, in June of 2008, Kibaki launched Vision 2030. The goal of the strategy is for Kenya to attain middle-income status by 2030. Targets are linked to the United Nations’ Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). The development strategy has wide ranging implications for inter-ethnic group conflict and peacebuilding efforts across Northern Kenya. Shortly thereafter, in 2009, the GoK passed constitutional reforms designed to address conditions that led to violence in the prior electoral cycle, and in 2010, a new constitution was passed through a national referendum with 67% support. The Constitutional Implementation Oversight Committee (COIC) was set up, increasing momentum for process of devolution and broad reform of institutions across the country.

39 After a long process of negotiation, evasion, and reports of disappearances of key witnesses, the ICC case against President Uhuru Kenyatta was dropped on December 5, 2014.
In the final years of Kibaki’s tenure, due to new electoral rules enacted in 2010, Northern Kenya again was relevant for national political campaigns. In the lead up to the 2013 election, political elites campaigned across the North more than in any other prior electoral cycle. In line with increasing political relevance of the periphery, the GoK articulated new state policies around reversing long-held policies of marginalization and exclusion of Northern Kenya. Policy papers provide direct evidence of the recent reversal. For example, *Sessional paper no. 10 of 2012*, states:

“The defining feature of Northern Kenya is its separation from the rest of the country, which manifests itself in both physical and psychological ways. The primary challenge is how to close this gap and achieve national integration in terms that benefit the people of the region and the country as a whole” (GOK 2011, 12).

Critically, under Kibaki’s tenure, the remote hinterlands were no longer seen as being of little importance to Kenya’s development strategy. The GoK set established new policies and institutions to integrate the area into the Kenyan political economy. The increasing political relevance of Northern Kenya is directly related to changes within the larger, regional political economy (Shamaro 2014). Over the past seven years, Turkana and Isiolo Counties, in particular, have become hubs for regional economic activity. Oil exploration and production in Turkana, wind power production in Marsabit, and livestock market expansion in Marsabit, Isiolo, and Mandera drive rapid economic transition.

Economic transition in Northern Kenya is linked to changes within the East African economic context, including increasing cross-border trade, infrastructure

---

construction, and major development projects, including Vision 2030, which is part of the Lamu Port South Sudan-Ethiopia Transport Corridor project (LAPSSET). Mwai Kibaki, Salva Kiir, and Meles Zenawi commissioned the LAPSSET project in 2012. Kenya allocated 2.5 Trillion Kenya Shillings (KES) to the project, or roughly 30 billion dollars. The project was designed to develop a transportation corridor linking South Sudan, Ethiopia, Kenya, and Uganda to the Indian Ocean for the purpose of exporting oil supplies from across Eastern Africa. Principally, the LAPSSET project is designed to construct a pipeline across the region to provide landlocked South Sudan an outlet for its oil supply that does not run through Northern Sudan. With oil as a driver of long-running civil conflict in Sudan, the LAPSSET pipeline would allow South Sudan to avoid engagement with the authoritarian regime of Omar Bashir.

All four countries, however, have incentives for the successful implementation of the LAPSSET project. Following its secession from North Sudan in 2011, landlocked South Sudan needed an alternative, sustainable outlet for its oil production. Kenya remains a key ally for South Sudan. Similarly, with the secession of Eritrea in 1991, Ethiopia is also landlocked. Ongoing conflict between Ethiopia and Eritrea undermines the likelihood of cooperation around trade, leaving the state with a need for an alternative link to the Indian Ocean for exporting goods. At the moment, Ethiopia maintains a strong relationship with Kenya largely due to increasing regional cooperation under the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD), and new agreements in place for
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41 IGAD is a regional trading bloc comprised of eight member states including, Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, and Uganda.
Kenya to purchase power from Ethiopia. The Gibe III dam project,\textsuperscript{42} in particular, includes large-scale hydropower development projects across Western Ethiopia to support the LAPSSET project.

Kenya’s interest in the LAPPSET project is linked to its larger developmental goal to become the gateway for the region. Regional aspirations drive inter-state collaboration and cooperation. Exporting oil from Turkana, Uganda, and South Sudan would generate positive externalities for the larger Kenyan economy. However, the LAPSSET project has broader ambitions to redress severe poverty in the North. Considering that Northern Kenya is the most underdeveloped region of the state, LAPSSET aims to redress this problem through complementary business opportunities, such as pipeline construction, long-term infrastructure maintenance, and transportation industries. Railroads, paved roads, and an international port in Lamu will accompany the construction of the pipeline and provide new opportunities for economic growth.

Growing economic interest in the Northern periphery, and increasing potential for economic gains, drive a shift in how the North is viewed by the center. The state is now far more concerned with development in the region. It is also concerned with efforts to redress local grievances and prevent outbreaks of violence among pastoralist ethnic groups. New strategies are in place to try to integrate previously marginalized minority groups into the larger nation. In the view of analysts from the region, “strategies are

\textsuperscript{42}Gibe III is generating international cooperation between Kenya and Ethiopia, but also internal conflict around Lake Turkana. The agreement is viewed in Turkana as a lack of support by the Kenyan state for local groups whose livelihoods are linked to water levels in Lake Turkana. A strong civic response to the dam project, called Friends of Lake Turkana and led by Ikal Angelei, advocates for a shift in Kenya’s energy development policy as the key for conflict mitigation around Lake Turkana.
designed, mostly so pastoralist groups do not stand in the way of development.” From this perspective, the state’s effort to integrate previously marginalized groups into the larger nation is a pacification strategy. Under the Kibaki regime, the GoK started to view the North not just as an ungoverned bandits kingdom, but also as a potential, in Kibaki’s terms, “bridge for opportunity” (Kantai 2012). Political will to engage in local peacebuilding efforts across the region has increased with potential implications for conflict patterns across Northern Kenya.

**National Reform and Local Conflict**

In January of 2015, following the collapse of the court case against Uhuru Kenyatta, Fatou Bensouda, chief prosecutor of the ICC, released a summary of charges. The case indicated that there was sufficient evidence that Pres. Kenyatta was personally involved supporting the Mungiki militia in 2007 to carry out attacks against civilians. However, throughout the pre-trial process Kenyatta denied his involvement in inciting ethnic violence, and the ICC did not ban Uhuru Kenyatta and William Ruto from running for the presidency even while facing trial for crimes against humanity.

Analysts argue the Kikuyu - Kalenjin Jubilee coalition is held together due to a shared interest in protecting both groups from allegations of crimes against humanity (ICG 2014). Political elites from the two most prominent ethnic groups had a shared interest in gaining and maintaining access to political power in order to avert the ICC indictment for crimes related to the 2007-2008 electoral process. The Jubilee coalition
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43 Hassan Kochore, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 8, 2014.
also uses the ICC trial as tool to mobilize its own support base, accusing ODM of supporting a foreign process to unjustly try to take back power (Kisiangani 2014).

After the Kenyatta and Rutto’s Jubilee party won the national election in 2013, the ICC case also affected state development policies that have increased pressure for inter-group conflict in Northern Kenya. In particular, as Ali Gorai describes, “the ICC trial led to a decisive turn east.” The trial process influenced the Kenyatta regime’s interest in collaborating with states less concerned with the ICC case and human rights protections. China invests heavily in Kenya, especially in infrastructural development projects across the northern periphery.

The “turn East” is shrinking space for civil society groups to hold the GoK accountable, narrowing space for civil society organizations involved in local peacebuilding and conflict prevention efforts. Organizations working to gather human rights data, track abuses related to political elites, and monitor the actions of police and military forces are particularly under threat. The Kenya Information and Communication Act of 2013, for example, directly reduced media independence. In 2014, the parliament debated a new bill designed to prevent NGOs and CSOs from access to external funding. Much like Ethiopia, the GoK is actively working to constrain civil society groups and prevent opposition to and criticism of the Jubilee coalition.

From a historical perspective, the episode of 2007-2008 was not unique. Ethnic violence escalated during multiple electoral cycles in Kenya, including in 1992, 1997, and 2005. In all of the cases, elites were, if not directly, at least indirectly involved in

mobilizing support for youth militias. Therefore, a key finding of the Waki Report in 2008 was that containing ethnic violence in Kenya requires upholding the rule of law for elites involved in criminal activities (Mueller 2011). The ICC case is the first experience using an external justice mechanism in Kenya to regulate impunity and election crimes. The logic of the process is that it will create a strong institutional disincentive for elites to use youth militias to manipulate elections, and reduce the future likelihood of election-related violence.

A wave of institutional innovation occurred after the outbreak of violence in 2008. Broad constitutional reform set up a new set of formal national institutions designed to improve the capacity of the state to prevent outbreaks of inter-ethnic group violence. The so-called Agenda Four served as the foundation for constitutional proposals that were approved by referendum and enshrined in the new Kenyan Constitution in 2010. New institutions and reform processes play key roles in shaping state approaches to inter-ethnic conflicts and clashes across the country. In particular, Agenda Four Reforms fall in multiple categories of formal institutions thought necessary to contain outbreaks of ethnic violence.

The first priority focuses on improving the effectiveness of policing in Kenya, long identified as one of the most corrupt institutions in all of Africa (Anderson 2002). A new independent oversight committee for national police is now in place titled the Independent Police Conduct Authority and administration police are in the process of integration with the Kenya Police Service. The National Land Commission is working to pass the Land Acts to address long-standing land-related grievances, as are reforms to
address youth unemployment and devolve power to the county level. Devolution is
designed to reduce the intensity of competition around presidential elections.

Institutional changes aim to control and limit elite impunity for supporting ethnic militias and engaging in violence for political purposes. However, there is still a very high risk of inter-ethnic clashes across Kenya due to the fact that elites have framed all of the core issues within ongoing reform process in terms of political and ethnic affiliation. All of the political issues of post-conflict reform and their corresponding public debates take on ethnic dimensions.

For example, the issue of corruption is addressed through processes of ethnic balancing, which questions the long-term Kikuyu dominance of the public service. Similarly, incumbent versus opposition ethnic politics between a Kikuyu-Kalenjin alliance and a largely Luo opposition, drive contestation around police and judicial reform. The land question revolves around the history of political elites, and their families gaining ownership of very large swaths of land through illegal land grabbing. Land contestation also takes on an ethnic dimension (Boone 2014). Surveys indicate the public reform efforts as slow and of little benefit, indicating a high level of mistrust in public institutions due to persistent corruption in the political system (SRIC 2013). The expansion of formal institutions and oversight bodies intends to limit ethnic violence. At the moment, however, formal institutional changes have not redressed deeply entrenched practices of corruption and impunity – especially in the rural periphery, and especially around issues of local security.45

45 Focus Group with National Peacebuilding Actors, Dialogue with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.
Localizing Ethnic Politics

The construction of a new national peace architecture and the devolution of power occurred at the same time. The two processes, however, are working at cross-purposes. In 2010, constitutional reforms decentralized state power. Constitution changes were enacted in 2013, creating 47 counties with access to state funds and new jobs and posts at county level, including governors, senators, and members of the county assembly (MCAs). The intended outcomes of devolution were to allow state resources to reach the most marginalized areas, to support groups with very little power and control within the national government, and to improve governance of the periphery. Devolution, in sum, was designed to reduce grievances among the marginalized groups within Kenya, and thus reduce insecurity.

The early stages of devolution, however, have reproduced and intensified inter-group conflict and ethnic politics at the local level.\textsuperscript{46} The process has had unintended effects due to the fact that the nature of funding allocation from the national government generates a rentier state problem at the county-level (see also, Aslaksen and Torvik 2006; Torvik 2009; Basedau and Lay 2009; Ross 2013). At the county-level, taxation of the local population is the not the primary source of resources for public goods. Rather with funding from the national government, local leaders can allocate resources to particular groups to gain support, which intensifies intergroup competition for access to county-level political positions.

\textsuperscript{46} Daniel Kiptugen, Oxfam, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 16, 2013.
In Mandera, Moyale, Isiolo, and Turkana, devolution exacerbated competition over territorial control and, in some cases, escalated inter-ethnic group violence. Local populations view devolution as the institutionalization of ethnic territories. Devolution imposed an ethnic template upon contested territories, and created a situation where county government posts come with more power. Abdi Mohammed describes how devolution, “creates new minorities among minorities,” deepening the assumed link between ethnicity and territorial control (IRIN News 2014). As Carrier and Kochore report, new narratives across Northern Kenya of: “go back to your county,” “you do not belong,” “and expelling the other” have become more common (see, Carrier and Kochore 2014). Ethnic groups operate under the assumption devolution is a form of ethnic federalism, much like the system in Ethiopia, which is increasing suspicion and fear of organized political exclusion among ethnic groups at the county level.

Devolution also created localized financial shocks (Kimenyi 2013). All six of the counties under comparative assessment, due to their very high levels of poverty, have access to extra funding from the GoK through the Equalization Fund.47 With the new county budget formula, MCAs have larger budgets than MPs, especially because the MCA has a smaller constituency to serve. In Bryan Kahumbura’s terms, “County leaders are sitting pretty. With devolution, the Northern counties now receive more state resources per year than they have in total in the past 50 years.”48 According to GoK


48 Bryan Kahumbura, ICG, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 12, 2014.
reports, county governments in Northern Kenya now receive between $33 – 81 million dollars per year. In 2013, for example, the Mandera County Government in 2013 was allocated $73 million dollars through the Equalization Fund. Inter-ethnic group conflict over political power to control the allocation of funds led to a situation where, as of December of 2014, none of the county budget was utilized (IRIN 2014). This is a new pattern across the periphery. Inter-ethnic group conflict around local political competitions has become increasingly severe, even in remote periphery settings.

Kenya’s Peace Committee System

The expansion of the District Peace Committee (DPC) system and its rapid spread is a unique contextual feature of the Kenya context. Insecurity can trigger social organization and the formation of organizational structures to manage rising uncertainty, reduce the risk of violence, and prevent escalation after violence breaks out. For example, conflict escalation sparked collective peacebuilding action in Wajir, Kenya in 1993. A local women’s market association formed a local peace committee and intervened in a deadly conflict between the Degodia and Ajurran. The group coordinated local peacebuilding processes through multiple local associations to contain violence.

In particular, the coalition of civic associations in Wajir enforced and sustained a long-term negotiation process between elites from the Degodia and Ajurran communities and collaborated with the government to enforce bargains and monitor the movement of local youth militias. These actions had an observable impact on violence reduction. The unexpected success of the Wajir Development and Peace Committee caused the model to
become an archetype for the formation of peace committees across Kenya. The United Nations Development Program (UNDP), in cooperation with multiple national level CSOs, including Peacenet Kenya and the Uwiano Platform, provided financial and technical support for establishing country-wide peace committee institutions (van Tongeren 2005; van Tongeren 2013; Odendaal 2013).

In 2001, the Ministry of Security in the Office of the President established the National Steering Committee on Peace Building and Conflict Management (NSC) to oversee DPCs, and, in 2008, the National Accord and Reconciliation Act of 2008 provided further support. At present, major efforts of the NSC include advocacy for a bill on National Conflict Management and Peacebuilding (National Policy on Peacebuilding 2011, 9). During the field research period, the bill was stalled in parliament due to uncertainty about where the mandate for peacebuilding rests within the state structure. Devolution triggered national debate over whether peacebuilding should be controlled at the county or national level.

Not all DPCs have had the same success as the Wajir DPC, and the process of devolution is creating increasing complex operating conditions for formal, focal-level peacebuilding institutions. In fact, the national peace architecture is not functioning as a cohesive system. Mads Frilander, Country Director for Danish Demining Group, states, “DPCs have lost credibility and momentum. It is unclear what they will look like with devolution. They have become semi-political institutions. They are not strong and they are not easily mobilized.” 49 Where DPCs have been successful, prior analysis suggests it

---

49 Mads Frilander, Danish Deming Group, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 18, 2014.
is largely due to charismatic leaders with strong skills in reconciliation and integration (see also, Menkhaus 2015). Frilander further states, “Leadership matters with local DPCs, even down to personalities and the need for local champions that are trusted and seen as real peacebuilding leaders. Not everyone has the skills necessary for engaging in complex political negotiations in ethnically diverse environments.”

Within the process of devolution, political tension and competition around the locus of control over local peacebuilding and security efforts have caused the DPC system to unravel in many locations. The locus of authority and control over local peacebuilding efforts still remains a contentious debate in Kenya. There is no consensus over whether the state or counties should have authority over local security interventions. As such, county-level governments are working to develop new institutions to try to fill in the gaps and improve coordination among the broad array of civic groups involved in peacebuilding efforts. In Marsabit, for example, the governor developed the “Commission for Cohesion, Peace, and the Coordination of Non-state actors” in an effort to try to improve peacebuilding interventions at the county-level. Supporting the initiative, local politicians made the argument that state-sanctioned security forces “do not know the terrain of Northern Kenya. We need our own actors in the police, to ensure our own security” (KTN 2014).

Deep ethnic fragmentation and a lack of trust in state-based policing create complex conditions for local peacebuilding efforts. Tension over the locus of authority
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50 Ibid.

51 Elema Dibo, Chairman of County Security Committee, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.
for local security, whether it rests with actors in the new county system, or actors in older Provincial Administration system, means local civic groups remain the most critical peacebuilding actors. DPCs are set up across the periphery, but their organizational capacity is very limited compared to churches, NGOs, local CSOs, and even ethnic militias.

**Conclusion**

Ethnic groups living in the periphery have little attachment to the larger, national identity of Kenya. The high level of mobility of nomadic groups engaged in pastoralist livelihoods caused them to ignore national boundaries, moving fluidly across the borders of Somalia, Ethiopia, Sudan, and Uganda. Throughout the post-independence period, without capacity to tax pastoralist groups, the GoK viewed the area as inherently ungovernable. Successive regimes left Northern Kenya alone. The area experienced no development of modern state functions and a deep core-periphery cleavage as the center experienced rapid economic growth and political development.

State strategies for governing the North changed little over the post-colonial period, leaving the region of the state as an area of limited statehood at risk of conflict due to the absence of state security (Stanislawski 2008; Raeymaekers, Menkhaus, and Vlassenroot 2008). In other words, as long as inter-group violence did not escalate severely, the state allowed local communities to control and maintain their own protection tactics, only working behind the scenes to try to ensure that all groups have relatively equal access to arms to, at the very least, deter opportunistic attacks against less powerful ethnic groups. Pastoralists were left to navigate complex inter-ethnic group
relations using informal conflict management practices to negotiate terms of resource sharing and restitution following deadly attacks.

Adding another layer of complexity to the context, state interests in the periphery changed with the discovery of oil and the prospect of major development projects related to the LAPSSET pipeline, which has important implications for local conflict patterns. The state is advancing in areas of the North where access to resources is at stake, but not in others, creating new forms of inequality and new inter-ethnic group cleavages. Employing Rothchild’s logic, with new opportunities for economic growth in the North, the cost of continued marginalization of ethnic groups in area is rising. The major costs include the risk of violent mobilization against the state and subsequent loss of international support and investment (Rothchild 1973; Rothchild 1995). As a result, the state is investing in the set up of formal security operations and state bureaucracies in high potential areas such as Isiolo and Turkana. At the same time, rising interest of the state in consolidating power in the rural periphery creates more direct linkages between national ethnic politics and local-level ethnic politics.

Neo-patrimonial systems of governance with high levels of patronage and clientelism remain highly susceptible to identity-based violence (Lemarchand 1972). Within the larger political system, ethnicity functions as the basis for the consolidation of power. With Northern increasingly relevant for the national development agenda and for national political contests, conditions are in place for increasing fragmentation along ethnic lines. National politicians rely on ethnic-based voting as the basis for electoral competition. The threat of violence against would-be ethnic competitors helps maintain
stability of regimes working to maintain order and construct state institutions. The process of devolution is shifting this form of political behavior to the rural periphery. The combination of devolution and national ethnic politics causes contentious ethnic conflict around struggles for land, political power, and control over state resources. In some cases, including Mandera, Moyale, and Isiolo, these conflicts have turned deadly.

The transformation of governance approaches in the ASALs impacts the logic of violence among ethnic groups. Formal institutional change is increasing dissonance between local peace and security institutions and emerging state institutions. Formal and informal rules of the game often conflict. Access to formal security systems in the ASALs still has a very high cost for pastoralist groups due to extensive corruption across the security system. The high cost of engagement with state security system causes many communities to avoid engagement with police, military, and even formal peacebuilding institutions such as DPCs. Wealthier actors who can afford high cost bribes may engage with the formal security system, providing them with access to information, protection, and immunity from prosecution for violent actions that poorer actors and communities cannot afford.

Due to institutional dissonance, ethnic militias, who rely on donations and support from their own ethnic communities, commonly use violent revenge attacks as a primary form of deterrence and communal protection. This is because they do not have access to information about threats that is accurate, and they cannot directly identify and try individual criminals considering the weakness of formal state judicial institutions. Under these conditions, asymmetrical and indiscriminant identity-based attacks are likely to
occur following acts of aggression, making escalation an increasingly likely phenomenon in the region.

Kenya’s efforts to manage and govern communal conflict in the periphery included formal bureaucratic approaches that have had a very mixed impact on local conflict dynamics. Formal policing structures have had little success and formal peace building institutions have a very mixed record. Disarmament campaigns have done very little to limit violence and may have had unintended effects. Overall, considering local peace systems are often ad hoc and not institutionalized, there is a very high level of variation in both the presence and quality of local peacebuilding institutions. Further inquiry into local cases, and processes of escalation and non-escalation is thus critical for improving understanding of the factors and processes that make escalation less common in some areas over others, especially under the harsh and increasingly uncertain conditions on Kenya’s periphery.
CHAPTER THREE: CONFLICT ESCALATION IN NORTHERN KENYA

Most inter-group conflicts are resolved without violence in Northern Kenya. However, some conflicts escalate to include mass collective violence between ethnic groups. The set of cases presented in this chapter share the same dependent variable: the escalation of non-state conflict. In these cases, the state is not a direct, active participant in the initial conflict. In all of the cases, however, state agents are third parties to the conflict following initial acts of violence. As previous micro-level studies of civil war suggest, it is common for different episodes of sub-state violence to have competing logics (Kalyvas 2006; Habyarimana et al. 2009; Kalyvas 2012). Analytic narratives for five inter-group conflicts in which local civic groups engaged in minimal preventive action provide a platform for identifying common factors that lead to escalation. Before assessing conditions that contain escalation in the next two chapters, the following cases serve as a platform for analysis of common triggers and logics of escalation in Northern Kenya.
Table 3: Escalation Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Samburu, Turkana, and Marsabit</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Samburu: The Baragoi Massacre (November 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Turkana: The Todonyang Massacre (May 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Turkana and Samburu communities living along the Nyiro Mountain – Suguta Valley conflict corridor, such as Waso Rongai, Kawap, Tuum, Parikati, Sarima, and Loongerin, are as close as possible to the complete absence of the state. Administrative and police posts are absent or abandoned, there are no paved roads, and no cell phone coverage. The area has no international borders, no oil deposits, and minimal state interest in economic development. Within a very fragile, semi-arid desert ecology, populations support local youth militias, or moran, who are well-organized, increasingly well-trained in military tactics, and heavily armed to protect valuable and highly vulnerable communal resources. Semi-nomadic groups in the area, including the Samburu, Turkana, and Pokot uphold strong social incentives for raiding and the use of
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52 A cell tower was under construction in Tuum in July of 2014.

53 Granted, there are rumors of mineral deposits in parts of Samburu County, but at present they are not being pursued actively. One major development effort, The Turkana Windpower Project, is now under construction in the area. To date it has caused only minimal conflict between ethnic groups in the area.

54 Retirees of the police or Kenyan military from pastoralist groups have returned to the area to train young warriors in both offensive and defensive military strategies.
force against rival ethnic out-groups. These social features are common to pastoralist ethnic groups that live across Northern Kenya.

Context of Conflict

Conflict between the Samburu and Turkana escalated in 1996, initiating a protracted range war along the Nyiro – Suguta Valley conflict corridor that cuts across Turkana, Samburu and Southern Marsabit County. The UCDP database identifies the Samburu – Turkana case as a non-state conflict, estimating the initial wave of escalation led to 51 fatalities in 1996. Local informants claim the death toll was over 100 with between 10,000 - 20,000 stolen livestock over the course of three months of attacks and counter-attacks between Turkana and Samburu militias (UCDP 2014; see also, Pkalya, Adan, and Masinde 2003).

Prior to the outbreak of armed violence, a regional drought caused pastoralist groups from Marsabit to relocate to more fertile grazing areas in the Nyiro Valley. Samburu leaders negotiated informal land and resource sharing arrangements with Gabra, Rendille, and Somali groups prior to the initial migration. Negotiations among elders and sub-district chiefs led to temporary, informal land-sharing arrangements, allowing for the accommodation of ethnic out-groups within Samburu County.
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55 Detailed anthropologies account for rituals related to violence among pastoralist groups. This set of work highlights heroism and raiding, age set social status and rewards, rituals of animal sacrifice following deadly attacks, scarification, tattooing, ritualistic violence and rites of passage.

56 Conflicts in the area certainly have a longer history, but multiple key informants set the start date of the range war between the Samburu and Turkana as 1996. In the study I employ local narratives as primary points of departure.

Samburu accommodation, however, increased fear and suspicion among Turkana clans with semi-permanent settlements along Western border of Samburu County.\textsuperscript{58} Fearing potential aggression due to the formation of alliances between Samburu, Gabra, and Rendille militias and the loss of access to land in the Nyiro Valley that functioned as reserve pasture for Turkana livestock, Turkana militia leaders organized 600\textit{ moran} and recruited reinforcements from Pokot militias. In December of 1996, the large joint militia raided the Nyiro Valley. The joint militia attacked multiple Samburu and Rendille settlements across the region. Smaller and widely dispersed Samburu, Rendille, and Somali militias failed to repel the large-scale attack.

Patterns of Response

After the initial attack in December 1996, the Government of Kenya deployed police, military, and political leaders by helicopter to track and recover stolen animals and arrest Turkana militia leaders. During the state-led operation, the Turkana-Pokot militia threatened and attacked police posts, and shot down a government helicopter with a rocket-propelled grenade. The helicopter carried a well-known Samburu leader, District Commissioner Henry Nyandoro, as well as, “ten other senior security personnel who were trying to monitor [militia] movements, killing all of them on the spot” (Daily Nation, December 28, 1996). The loss of a dominant group leader made the attack symbolic and especially egregious for the Samburu.

Local churches in cooperation with Catholic and the Presbyterian mission stations provided support for families that lost members, and assisted in relocating Turkana

\textsuperscript{58} Respondents referred to these groups as “Samburu – Turkana,” as opposed to the “Turkana – Turkana.”
minority groups to more secure towns along the Nyiro Mountain range, including South Horr and Baragoi. Prior to the conflict, Turkana and Samburu had semi-permanent, integrated settlements along the main trade route, including Waso Rongai, Tuum, and Loongerin. Fear of attacks against Turkana civilians caused area chiefs and councilors to donate personal resources to relocate Turkana away from predominantly Samburu settlements. Local government and mission station vehicles transported Turkana to homogenous settlements to prevent indiscriminant revenge attacks against Turkana civilians.

Samburu elders pooled communal resources and donations of livestock to purchase more sophisticated weapons through preexisting livestock trade networks. A Samburu informant describes the situation: “we began then [1996] to deal in illegal arms with our other enemies [Gabra and Borana] because we could not access arms as easily as the Turkana. We have no international border.” The Samburu also formed an alliance with the Pokot to coordinate a counter attack. In January and February of 1997, a Samburu – Pokot militia attacked Turkana settlements in the Suguta Valley. Informants suggest Samburu elders leveraged relationships and radio communication across church mission stations to gain information about Turkana settlements prior to engaging in the

59 Research Participant 121, Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.

60 Covert trade networks may establish inter-ethnic group bonds that can then help to strengthen informant networks (also covert). Types of weapons in the region include: rifles, AK-47, SKS, G-3, M-16. Cost varies. Informants indicate it costs roughly three bulls for one semi-automatic weapon (60,000 KSH). Ammunition is also expensive – 150 – 200 per round. Ammunition is purchased through informal networks from Somalia, Ethiopia, Pokot, or KPR. Research Participants 105, 106, 108, (Samson Leriano) Interviews with the author, South Horr, Kenya, August 14 - 21, 2014.

61 Research Participant 110 (Namugie Leokoe), Interview with the author, Kurungu, Kenya, August 16, 2014.
counter-attack: “we had to find ways to get more information about the Turkana and the Pokot before those attacks—the church bases helped us do that.” Estimates indicate the Samburu – Pokot militia killed 60 Turkana in multiple revenge attacks (Pkalya et al. 2004).

Outcomes

Respondents indicate that after 1997, “women and children started to die. That did not happen before. It was not allowed among the Samburu or Turkana moran.” Conflict between the two groups escalated in relation to direct failure of the state to ensure security and the use of increasingly severe tactics by both groups in the conflict dyad. Following the wave of violence, Suguta Valley, along the southwestern edge of the Nyiro Valley, became known as the “valley of death” (AllAfrica April 28, 2006). It became a strategic location for organization, mobilization, and refuge for armed bandit groups from various ethnic backgrounds. Opportunistic militias used the remote and very harsh desert environment as a base for the organization of attacks in the area. The 1996-1997 wave of escalation made the region a no-go zone for state police and even Kenya Defense Forces (KDF). After being targeted, the state was even less likely to intervene to prevent mobilization of militia groups engaged in large-scale cattle theft. Conflict escalation further eroded the state’s capacity to stem the increasing militarization and organization of youth militias, increasing the likelihood of violence in the area.

---


The case provides an example of the logic of escalation in pastoralist conflict settings. Resource scarcity was a conditioning variable causing population movement and inter-group conflict. The primary motive of the initial Turkana attack, however, was not to acquire resources, but to dislocate Rendille, Gabra, and Somali groups, and prevent Samburu militias from accommodating and forming alliances with ethnic out-groups. The expansion of militia alliances would have put the Turkana at greater risk of predatory attacks or dislocation from more fertile territories. 64 A patron of a local Turkana militia described this logic of deterrence, as follows:

We raid, not because we are poor and need more animals, but because we know that if we look weak, they [Samburu] will raid us at any opportunity. We have to make them fear us and fear that we are watching them from behind every rock. If not, they will slowly move into our territory, pretend like they are our friends, but then attack us first. If they do not fear us, they will want to live near us to take our water and pastures and kill our people. We will not survive if we do not improve our skills in raiding and war. 65

An informant from a Samburu militia in the conflict corridor confirms the logic of using banditry as a form of deterrence, as follows:

War is a more profitable activity than anything else that happens here, but stealing animals from the Turkana is not for getting rich. It is for getting more powerful. Animals are used to buy more weapons, more ammunition, and to pay bribes to prevent the police from chasing us. 66

Predation reinforces local militia capacity at the expense of other ethnic out-groups. 67 The use of raiding and deadly force against rival ethnic groups follows a
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64 Jonathan Losokon Lokinei, Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
67 Raphael Lesas, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, August 21, 2014.
defensive logic—to cause other potentially aggressive ethnic groups to fear living in close proximity. In the 1996 event, after the initial attack, for Samburu militias, there were two strategic goals for escalating violence against Turkana communities. The first goal was to create fear among the Turkana, as deterrent. The second was to restore a sense of reciprocity: “whey they draw blood, we draw blood.” This led to spiraling violence and the break down of former informal codes of behavior, such as traditional *moran* codes against killing women and children during warfare (see also, Spencer 2004).

Conclusion

Samburu elders convened negotiations with rival ethnic group leaders during the initial relocation period. However, Turkana militias viewed new inter-ethnic group allegiances as a threat. In the absence of early warning and preventive intervention, violence escalated. The police intervention failed to apprehend leaders of the Turkana – Pokot militia and the Samburu lost a key leader, making the attack again a government helicopter a highly symbolic act of violence. These conditions triggered rapid mobilization of militias, the pooling of communal resources, arms acquisition through inter-group trade networks, alliance formation, and subsequently, violent revenge attacks against Turkana communities.

The critical tipping point toward escalation in this case was evidence that the state was not immune from targeting. This created a new context for amassing weapons, increasing militant skills among the warrior class, and developing increasingly sophisticated strategies for communal protection. Samburu elders continue to reference
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the event as a justification for illegal arms acquisition, training and support for youth militias, and spy networks across the region.\textsuperscript{69}

**Samburu: The Baragoi Massacre (August – November 2012)**

The Baragoi Massacre is an episode of non-state conflict escalation between Turkana and Samburu communities in Samburu County. The attacks leading up to the massacre are not accounted for in the UCDP non-state conflict database, but the large-scale event is listed (UCDP 2015). According to local informants the initiating event occurred in early August of 2012. A Samburu militia stole 600 animals and killed 11 Turkana *moran* in Narokwe.\textsuperscript{70} In response, Turkana militias conducted two major raids. They stole 600 animals from the Samburu near Baragoi, then stole 450 cattle two days later in a raid near Lotikal. The Turkana militia killed 10 Samburu *moran* during the raids. Three months later, in November of 2012, a Turkana militia massacred 42 security personnel, predominantly Samburu KPR or “home guards,”\textsuperscript{71} during an ad hoc policing mission to recover the stolen livestock.

\textsuperscript{69} Fred Langaltei, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, August 14, 2014.

\textsuperscript{70} Stephen Lobert, Interview with the author, Waso Rongai, Kenya, August 19, 2014.

\textsuperscript{71} KPR policing actors are former ethnic militia members. They are provided access to G-3 rifles from the Kenyan Police. They operate in areas with no formal police stations, and, due to high levels of corruption, often purchase their weapons from the police. They have very minimal oversight and accountability to formal policing institutions. For a good assessment of the KPR system and its related shortcomings, see: [http://www.smallarmssurvey.org/fileadmin/docs/C-Special-reports/SAS-SR16-Kenya-ES-EN.pdf](http://www.smallarmssurvey.org/fileadmin/docs/C-Special-reports/SAS-SR16-Kenya-ES-EN.pdf)
Context of Conflict

After the Turkana raids at Baragoi and Lotikal in August of 2012, Samburu elders organized a protest in Baragoi. The group demanded a response from the local government for failing to protect the community from major acts of Turkana aggression (Standard Media 2012). In response, the Ministry of Internal Security coordinated a team of 107 local security actors. A BBC Media reports claims the group was composed of, “the regular police, reservists, and paramilitary officers” (BBC Nov. 14, 2012). The ad hoc group included different types of security personnel, but Samburu KPR or informal “home guards” were the major participants. A Samburu informant described the composition of the police force as follows: “even though some members of the General Service Unit (GSU) were involved, they [the Turkana] assumed we [the Samburu] were going for a counter-attack and revenge, rather than a peace mission.”

The composition of the group indicated to the Turkana that a Samburu militia had backing from the government. As a Turkana informant described, “the government was with the Samburu, our enemies; we thought they were going to clear us.” With dense informant networks across the area, the composition, organization, and route of the police group was well known: “with informers on both sides, the Turkana knew the exact date and time of movement of the group coming after them.” Some informants presumed Turkana political elites alerted local militias of the policing mission and justified the use
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72 Namugie Leokoe, Interview with the author, Kurungu, Kenya, August 16, 2014.
73 Research Participant 115, Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
74 Fred Langaltei, Interview with the author, South Horr, Kenya, August 14, 2014.
of extreme force.\textsuperscript{75} For example, a security analyst involved in the operation provides a plausible explanation of the role of Turkana politicians:

Turkana politicians gave a message to all their moran beforehand: telling them, ‘there is an operation coming to kill you.’ This made them fearful and they prepared for all out war. The evidence for this is clear – the Turkana were so well prepared, and their most skilled sharpshooters were there. They knew exactly what the Samburu were doing and had support from their politicians for the attack well in advance. No way they would have been so ready to kill without that support. Security is always the main concern of our people, so politicians are very aware of threats to their people, all of the time.\textsuperscript{76}

There are mixed reports on how the attack evolved, and a high level of speculation due to the fact that only a few KPR survived the attack to provide first-hand reports. The following excerpt is based on interviews with informants who were in Baragoi during the episode:

The [Samburu] KPRs went through the valley, following the escape path that the local moran identified after the Turkana stole their animals. The KPR were ahead of the police who did not know the area as well as the Samburu. Then Turkana snipers from the hills attacked them. After the shooting began, the Samburu KPRs tried to counter attack around the hill, but they lost 8 people right away trying to climb the hill. Then, the police, who were behind, came into the attack too – but the Turkana were just so ready and so well positioned, that everyone was killed completely.\textsuperscript{77}

\textsuperscript{75} This claim cannot be verified, but is broadly shared among multiple actors across the district. The insight aligns with Horowitz’ argument that ethnic violence rarely occur without “disinhibition,” or actions that reduce internal restraints among armed actors for engaging in high-risk collective violence. It is plausible that elite justification occurred in this case (Horowitz 1985).

\textsuperscript{76} Philip Ongunje, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 15, 2013.

\textsuperscript{77} Research Participants 105, 106, 110, Interviews with the author, South Horr, Kenya, August 14 - 16, 2014.
Patterns of Response

A KDF military operation tracked the militia after the massacre. The military operation did not lead to any arrests. Intelligence reports suggest the Turkana militia split into two smaller groups that dissolved into predominantly Turkana communities around Marti in Samburu County and Parikati in Turkana County.\(^78\) The nature the attack indicated that the Turkana militia had prior information of the timing and movement of the group. The militia was pre-positioned in a strategic position, had knowledge of the mountainous terrain of the Suguta Valley, and a pre-planned retreat strategy to evade capture. Weapons caches of the Turkana militia were highly sophisticated, including sniper rifles, AK-47, RPGs, grenades. This indicates that the militia had, at least, strong informal trade networks for acquiring illegal weapons beyond the capacity of most local militias. Some analysts interpret these facts as evidence of direct political elite support for the militia.

After the massacre, the Catholic Justice and Peace Commission (CJPC) in Baragoi and the Samburu North DPC canceled long-running inter-group dialogue programming and shifted activities toward peace messaging to stabilize conditions and prevent acts of post-massacre revenge.\(^79\) Turkana villages near Baragoi dissipated and engaged in mass flight. The Turkana community abandoned the town of Lemerok—the village nearest the site of massacre and the most likely location for Samburu militias to target for revenge attacks.


\(^79\) Halkano Bukuno, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 21, 2014.
With sufficient foreknowledge of the mission, the Turkana could have engaged in negotiation rather than violence, especially through the Catholic Church and the DPC. However, the nature of prior policing missions affected the decision to use deadly force. Prior policing missions in the area involved excessive force against civilians who were accused of harboring militia members. Philip Ongunje argues, “In the absence of a political settlement to ensure the hybrid local police force would deal with them [the Turkana] justly, the militia choose extreme violence as the mode of resistance.” The depth of uncertainty around the operation created conditions conducive for one of the most severe acts of violence within the long-running range war between the Samburu and Turkana.

Outcomes

The KDF failed to apprehend the Turkana militia, and perceptions of inequality, corruption, and direct marginalization deepened among the Samburu. The most common narrative of the Baragoi massacre among Samburu respondents was not that the state failed to provide security, but that the state sided with the Turkana. Rumors spread among the Samburu that Turkana contributed money to hire lawyers and prevent an investigation into the attack. The local government charged two Turkana MPs, but the case was dropped. Other informants claimed a Turkana MP cut the Turkana militia members a deal, offering immunity for mobilizing support for ODM in the 2013
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80 Philip Ongunje, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 15, 2013.
Turkana leaders, such as Ekuru Okot, of the Turkana Professionals Association, claimed the Samburu police force was planning to drive Turkana from the county in order for the Samburu to have an electoral advantage in the lead up to the 2013 election. Following the massacre, the national political cleavage between ODM and Jubilee became part of the conflict narrative.

Conclusions

The Baragoi incident highlights that state support, or even the perception of state support for a particular ethnic group can increase the sense of shared communal threat and trigger escalatory dynamics. In this case, the local government armed and backed informal Samburu police reservists in the absence of a clear agreement between the Samburu and Turkana regarding the formation of neutral policing forces. The Turkana community supported local militias to engage in mass organized violence to eliminate a potential threat to the larger Turkana community support network. Uncertainty surrounding the policing operation, as well as a history of violent policing operations in the past, triggered a violent response. The Turkana attack was related to intra-community defense, as well as retaliation for prior acts of state-sanctioned violence against the Turkana community.

The Turkana militia also took all of the weapons from the bodies of the Samburu KPRs who died in the massacre, which increased the expectation among the Samburu of

81 Johnstone Kibor and Jennifer Katusya, SRIC, Interviews with the authors, Nairobi, Kenya, August 12, 2014.
more aggressive attacks against Samburu settlements. An informant stated, “The problem now is the Turkana took 57 guns from the officers they killed, but only 3 have been recovered. We know those guns have circulated among the Turkana, because we’ve heard the voice of the G-3 in other raids.” The perception of an imbalance in weapons stockpiles set up conditions conducive for further escalation, including attacks in in Maralal, and raids in Waso Rongai and Tuum (described below).

**The Maralal Clashes (October 2013)**

Less than one year after the Baragoi Massacre, on October 30th, 2013, ethnic riots broke out between the Samburu and Turkana in the town of Maralal. The event is not recorded in the UCDP database since it did not cause more than 25 confirmed fatalities. The Armed Conflict Location and Event Data Project (ACLED) records one fatality related to the Maralal clashes (Raleigh et al. 2010). Local informants, however, reported 8 total fatalities occurred over the course of the process of escalation. Narratives gathered from first-hand conflict participants and witnesses allows for analysis of a process of escalation that unfolded within a very short timespan.

**Context of Conflict**

One week prior to the outbreak of violence in Maralal, a Turkana militia attacked a Samburu community on the outskirts of Baragoi. The militia stole 200 cattle and killed
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83 Frank Lekitap, Interview with the author, South Horr, Kenya, August 15, 2014.

two Samburu *moran*. Informants indicate the Turkana militia used G-3 rifles acquired during the Baragoi Massacre during the attacks. On October 28th, a week after the raid, Samburu living in Morijo village identified the stolen animals in two trucks en route to Maralal: “all Samburu clans know the marks of Samburu animals. They noticed our marks.” Sambru elders in Maralal received the report and organized a large group to blockade the road and watch for the trucks. When the lorries arrived in Maralal, the group stopped them and escorted the vehicles to the police station. The trucks and livestock were then impounded in the police compound.

Patterns of Response

After two days, Samburu in Maralal, “started thinking the animals would go back to the Turkana, so they organized a demonstration at the police station.” A well-known student from Laikipia University organized a group of 500 Samburu youth. Early in the morning of October 30th, they walked through Maralal town to the police station with posters, destroyed the padlock to the police station gate, and let the cattle off the trucks. To disperse the group, a police officer shot into the air, but then shot at the crowd killing a 14-year-old boy.

A Samburu university student, one of the lead organizers of the protest, took photos of the shooting and showed the images to the Officer Commanding the Station.

---

85 This analytical narrative is derived from interviews with informants who were present in Maralal on the day violence broke out, as well as secondary sources and news reports.


88 Ibid.
(OCS). The protest dispersed, and the police took back the cattle and locked them in the trucks at the station. Then, the station commander pursued and apprehended the student who took the photos and ordered an officer to shoot the student. The officer refused. The commander took a rifle from the officer and publically assassinated the student in front of the group of Samburu protestors.  

Then, informants describe,

> We all ran, but reorganized and came back to the police station. The police shot at us before we were close, and injured two more. We went away, but then went back again. Everyone was refusing to let the police take the body. The group then made a line and yelled at the police, ‘shoot us, too!’ for almost three hours. They kept shooting, but, by then, killing was everywhere. Moran in Maralal went through Turkana neighborhoods to chase all of them out, even beyond Maralal. Many Turkana living in Samburu villages around Maralal were killed. We know at least seven Turkana died and many houses were burned.

After the deadly riots, informants describe,

> “the MP and the Governor of Samburu flew to Maralal from Nairobi. They called a forum and told us: hold his body until we tell you what to do. The MP cried, and they took the boy’s body. They put the OCS in jail in Maralal, at first, but then he was relocated. No one knows where he has gone. They kept it a secret to protect him.”

The Catholic Church in Maralal convened peace dialogues and conducted peace messaging, but the town dis-integrated in the wake of the clash. Discrimination and threats against Turkana increased. Samburu business owners stopped working with Turkana actors, and a large majority of the Turkana community relocated away from Maralal. Even though the Samburu were aggressors, the event deepened perceptions of
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89 Namugie Leokoe, Interview with the author, Kurungu, Kenya, August 16, 2014.

90 Halkano Bukuno, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 21, 2014.
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inequality, victimhood, and suspicion among the Samburu community. The police released the cattle and lorries to the Turkana one week after the riots, causing local leaders to make claims that: “the police are part of this syndicate of Turkana cattle rustling.”

Conclusion

Although state actors were not directly involved in the initial conflict, the perception of state inequality interacted with the nature of violent actions in the process of escalation. Police protection for Turkana, and the public assassination of a young, well-known university student triggered rapid escalation. The initial act of violence broke a social norm, and local police became a third party to the conflict. These two factors led to threats and violent attacks against Turkana civilians in Maralal and surrounding villages. Violence escalated rapidly with minimal space for intervention, and rapid disintegration of the town undermined opportunities for negotiation.

Turkana: The Todonyang Massacre (2011 - 2013)

The Todonyang massacre is a case of non-state, inter-ethnic group conflict that escalated to include mass collective violence between Turkana and Dassanetch communities. Todonyang is a small fishing village in the Northeast corner of Turkana County near the border of Ethiopia. A catholic mission station is the only external organization based in the village. Local CSOs based in Lodwar, SAPCONE and the

Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, provide intermittent support for local peacebuilding work in the Todonyang area. The conflict is not included in the UCDP non-state conflict database, but it is listed in the ACLED database. ACLED data captures three deadly conflict events within the escalation process, totaling 48 fatalities (Raleigh et al. 2010).

The Turkana and the Dassanetch94 have a long conflict history. Historically, the Dassanetch were the only group with semi-permanent settlements across the marshlands of Northern Lake Turkana in Southwestern Ethiopia. Turkana shifted livelihoods over time due to climate change, unstable rain patterns, and insecurity due to persistent raiding. At the same time, the water level in Lake Turkana fell and the marshlands retreated southward beyond Kenya’s boundary. Under these conditions, to the Turkana, the Dassanetch are illegal immigrants in Kenya; to the Dassanetch, the Turkana community infringes upon fishing resources—the Dassanetch community’s traditional source of livelihood.95 Informants trace conditions for increasing hostility between the two groups trace to September 2002 when tension increased due to conflict over marshland access and killings related to Dassanetch initiation rites.96
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94 The Dassanetch are also known as the Merille.

95 Peter Echele and Purity Akok, SAPCONE, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, July 31, 2014.

96 One informant stated, “they kill us during August.” When male Dassanetch youth are on break from school in August, they go through circumcision rituals. An accompanying ritual is to kill an enemy to complete the rite of passage: “after circumcision of the Dassanetch, they become very hostile until they have killed a Turkana and become a man. August is the most dangerous month. Everyone knows it. This is when they go for circumcision. The Turkana see their footprints in the area, and get very afraid” (Research Participant 84).
Patterns of Response

In response, elders from both communities, with the support of the local Catholic Church and the MP for Turkana North, Japheth Ekidor, mediated an informal peace pact to set rules for resource sharing in the marshlands. Both groups signed the pact on September 11th, 2002. On September 13th, only two days after the local peace agreement was signed, a Dassanetch militia attacked a village near Todonyang killing ten Turkana civilians. A second attack on September 17th, 2002 caused six fatalities (Raleigh et al. 2010). Ekidor continued to convene peace negotiations, but on October 14, 2002, as the Security Research and Information Centre (SRIC) reports, “Ekidor’s vehicle was sprayed with bullets while he was in route to Nairobi ahead of Kenya African National Union (KANU) Presidential nominations” (SRIC 2006).

Following the assassination of Ekidor, from 2002 – 2011, best estimates indicate cyclical clashes between the two groups caused between 15 – 20 fatalities per year (SRIC 2006). Turkana militias adopted more aggressive tactics, conducting terrorist-like raids on Dassanetch civilians and attacking Ethiopian police. During this period, the conflict developed a cross-border dimension with linkages to the LAPSSET project (see chapter 2 for overview).

Increasing demand for energy necessary for oil pipeline construction led the GoK to sign an agreement to purchase energy from Ethiopia. The primary source of power for LAPSSET projects will come from the Gibe III Dam on the Omo River. The dam, when

97 Accurate figures of total fatalities over the course of the Turkana and Dassanetch conflict in the following years are not available due to its extremely remote location. ACLED data includes 80 fatalities in eight clashes (Raleigh et al. 2010).
complete, will block the Omo River and further reduce the marshlands of Northern Lake Turkana, and push the Dassanetch closer to Turkana communities. The agreement undermined the neutrality of the Kenyan government to mediate in the conflict, as Turkana in Todonyang believe the GoK sided with Ethiopia, and by proxy, the Dassanetch. It also increased fear among the Turkana that their interests were not protected by the state.

On May 2, 2011, the most severe episode of violence within the long-running conflict occurred, with direct acts of ethnic cleansing and cyclical revenge attacks. After a dispute over access to the lake, a band of armed Dassanetch youth attacked a small fishing settlement near Todonyang, killing one Turkana elder and injuring another. Turkana citizens rapidly mobilized and conducted revenge attacks, initially killing 4 Dassanetch living in Todonyang (see, Maina, Friends of Lake Turkana, 2011).

After the major attack in Todonyang, leaders of the Catholic parish mobilized to warn Turkana to evacuate from Dassanetch villages. Excerpts from Fr. Steven Ochieng’s situation report indicate elders from the Catholic Church attempted to intervene, but did not mobilize fast enough to prevent the massacre. Ochieng states:

“We were very worried and wanted to save the situation from more casualties…News reached the village of Sies before us and we were in shock to see the aftermath of one of the cruelest atrocities we have ever witnessed in the area; 23 bodies of women, children and men…This was a massacre” (Ochieng, May 28, 2011).

Before the informal response team could warn Turkana to relocate, the Dassanetch militia killed 23 Turkana civilians who were en route to a market in the Dassanetch village of Sies.
KPR in Todonyang were not equipped to contain violence, especially attacks by heavily armed Dassanetch militia members with backing from the Ethiopian police. Turkana informants claim, “The police arm the Merille to protect the marshes. Each month, each person gets restocked with bullets.” In the absence of significant police capacity, local civic associations including elders and the Catholic mission functioned as the primary crisis response team. The church coordinated a full evacuation of the village of Todonyang, facilitating the movement of 1,200 people south to Lowarengak. Informants report that the IDP settlement at Lowarengak was attacked multiple times following the relocation. On August 15th, 2013, the Dassanetch attacked Todonyang, again, killing 13 Turkana. Police from Ethiopia intervened to contain attacks, but the Turkana militia killed 15 police.

Outcomes

An informal coalition of civic actors in Todonyang, including the area MP, local religious leaders and elders convened negotiations that led to an informal pact. The pact was very quickly broken, reducing the likelihood of future negotiation. Variation in civil society space between Kenya and Ethiopia undermined the effectiveness of local peace actors working to resolve the long-running conflict. Local peacebuilding associations, including SAPCONE and the Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, cite this issue as a major restraint for effective preventive action. Lucas Echuchuka describes this condition: “The major problem in Todonyang is that there is no civil society in Ethiopia to negotiate with. When we want to plan cross-border dialogues, and try to reach agreements to help
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98 Peter Echele and Purity Akok, SAPCONE, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, July 31, 2014.
the situation, they say where is the government? They won’t negotiate with CSOs.99

Ethiopian government officials did not cooperate with civil society groups from Kenya due the CSO Proclamation—a set of restrictive regulations against civic associations engaged in issues linked to human rights promotion.

Conclusions

The Todonyang case presents a combination of pressures conducive for escalation, including the deliberate and rapid breaking of a local peace pact, ritual violence and inter-group fear, and the symbolic assassination of a local leader. The case also illustrates pressures limiting effective preventive intervention. SAPCONE and CJPC attempted to mediate the conflict, but were not able to convene negotiations due to formal institutional restrictions on civil society associations operating in Ethiopia. State representatives also faced restraints for engaging in the conflict as neutral third party mediators. The perception that the government was not protecting the interests of the Turkana community limited the ability of government actors to mediate. Under these conditions, the Dassanetch and Turkana both engaged in increasingly severe, and terrorist-like acts of violence. As the conflict escalated, both groups targeted state security actors, police posts, border crossing posts, and even peacebuilding actors, triggering further escalation.


Inter-ethnic group violence escalated in two major waves in Moyale between 2011 and 2013. The events are listed in the UCDP database, which estimates a total of 174 causalities during inter-ethnic group clashes among Borana, Gabra, Burji and Garre communities. Moyale is a small trading town on the border between Kenya and Ethiopia in Northern Marsabit County. The Borana and Gabra have a long history of conflict outside of Moyale—it is only recently that violence between the two groups escalated in Moyale. The case presents a logic for conflict escalation between pastoralist ethnic groups competing over increasingly scarce resources, with a border dimension. There is some evidence of local preventive action containing the spread of violence beyond the urban center.

Conflict Context

The Burji and Garre are minority groups in Moyale, yet they make up a large portion of the business elite. Control over trade networks makes Burji and Garre powerful minority actors in a border town where illegal weapons and human trafficking are major economic activities. Borana and Gabra are also settled around Moyale. Both communities maintain largely livestock-based subsistence livelihoods. In early 2011, within the initial process of devolution and county government reform in Kenya (see chapter 2), Burji and Garre actors gained political influence within the Moyale electoral constituency. Under shifting political conditions, the following events intensified conflict between ethnic groups in Moyale, leading to two waves mass collective violence.
In 2011, facing pressure to relocate from settlements in Somalia and Mandera due to rising in resource scarcity and insecurity, Garre communities established new settlements in the Moyale area on the border between Kenya and Ethiopia. Garre expansionism increased tension and conflict over territory around the border town. In July 2011, a Garre militia clashed with a Borana militia north of Moyale. Shortly following the Garre attack against Borana settlements, the Gabra formed an alliance with the Garre.

Analysts suggest the alliance, in part, was a coordinated effort for Gabra militias to gain access to funding from radical Islamic groups through Garre networks, in order to increase funding and military training for Gabra militias. With the Garre population rapidly growing due to emigration from Somalia to areas along the Kenya – Ethiopia border, rumors spread about impending attacks. For instance, actors from the Borana community claimed the Gabra-Gurre alliance preemptively secured protection from Kenyan and Ethiopian security forces through large bribes and payoffs.

Informants from Marsabit County indicated the Gabra - Garre strategy was well formulated, promoted through online platforms, and very well known among security officers, and even key peacebuilding organizations. For example, a statement from David Kimaiyo, Inspector General of the Kenya Police, published in the East African Standard described threats related to the Garre expansionist narrative:

Kimaiyo said [the police] are also investigating a website known as Garr online for allegedly inciting skirmishes. The website calls for the secession of parts of
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100 Jeremiah Omar, ACK, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.

101 Forole Karani, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.
North Eastern Kenya. According to map, the new region will be called Garreland Republic which stretches from Isiolo–Moyale, Wajir and Mandera to parts of Ethiopia (AllAfrica, September 3, 2013).

Despite widespread knowledge of the threat of major attacks, violence escalated. On January 2nd, 2012, a Gabra militia attacked Mansile, Heilu, and Oda, Borana villages on the outskirts of Moyale. The Heilu attack occurred at the same time District Commissioner Elias Kithaura was in the village conducting peace talks (AllAfrica 2012). Kithaura was able to escape from the attack unharmed with support of Borana KPR protecting the convoy of mediators. The attack triggered four days of clashes between Gabra and Borana militias around Moyale. Borana civilians fled from the area and traveled across border into Ethiopia, and the Gabra militia gained control of all three villages after the Borana militia ran out of ammunition (BBC News, “20,000 Flee Moyale Clashes,” July 28, 2012).

The KDF and border patrols based in Moyale secured the border to prevent an influx of armed actors from Ethiopia. Borana and Gabra reinforcements tried to cross the border, but most reinforcements retreated after clashing with KDF border patrols. In Moyale town, riots broke out. Borana youth attacked the District Commissioner’s office, claiming he was supporting Gabra in the clashes. However, no fatalities occurred in Moyale town during the initial attacks, only in the surrounding villages. Reports estimate 25 actors from both sides were killed during the four days of clashes.\footnote{102 Godfrey Godana, CARITAS, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 10, 2014.}
Patterns of Response

After direct violence ended, Gabra retained control of the three villages outside of Moyale. National-level mediators from the National Cohesion and Integration Commission (NCIC) secured a ceasefire agreement through negotiations with local political leaders from the Gabra, Garre, Borana, and Burji communities (AllAfrica 2013). The KDF border patrol unit promised to enforcement the ceasefire, and President Kenyatta threatened local political leaders with suspension of the government should the ceasefire not hold. However, both groups interpreted the threat as a political move rather than a sincere effort to contain the conflict. Borana and Gabra communities in Moyale assumed Kenyatta aimed to use the conflict to undermine the new County Governor, Ukur Yatani Kanacho, who was aligned with CORD rather than Jubilee (Menkhaus 2015, 121).

Two months after the first wave of violence, in March of 2012, Borana civilians who had fled to Ethiopia returned to Kenya. Then, in April, Gabra civilians from Ethiopia moved into grazing areas on Kenyan side of the border 30 kilometers form Moyale town, and set up two nomadic settlements called Funan Nyata and Antuta. The Borana viewed this as an act of aggression related to the Gabra’s expansionist strategy. The new settlements caused the Borana community to mobilize and recruit more youth militia members from intra-ethnic networks in Ethiopia, under the assumption that new settlements were evidence that the Gabra intended to take control of the area.103 They

103 Jeremiah Omar, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.
assumed nomadic settlements were potential forward bases for Borana militias working to gain access to the area.

With ongoing threats of attacks, from May – August 2013, the ceasefire held. There were ongoing reports of small and sporadic acts of theft between Boran and Gabra militias, but most of the attacks were in the form of banditry aimed toward vehicles on the roads between Marsabit and Moyale. During this period, a clash in Ethiopia threatened to undermine the ceasefire. On July 25, 2012, a clash between Garre and Borana militias on the Ethiopia side of the border lasted for three days. The UN and the Red Cross reported 20 fatalities and over 20,000 IDPs in Ethiopia (Al Jazeera July 28th, 2012). The clash did not spillover directly into Kenya. Borana in Kenya, however, interpreted the conflict as another sign of increasing intensity of Garre acts of aggression and active territorial expansion.

During August of 2012, campaigning picked in Moyale within the national electoral cycle. Politicians used the conflict as a platform for consolidating support, which further intensified tension between the Borana and Gabra (Scott-Villiers et al. 2014). Outcomes of the county elections were not favorable to the Borana, again deepening the sense of threat. The REGABU alliance, comprised of the Rendille, Gabra, Burji – the three minority groups in the area, won almost all major political posts including the new104 governor, senator, and women’s representative roles.

A brief history of REGABU is critical for understanding the conflict dynamics in the case. REGABU formed due to Gabra frustration toward Borana domination.
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104 New political positions introduced in 2012 within the process of devolution.
Historically, prior to devolution, the Borana dominated mid-level political positions in the county government. In particular, Gabra were persistently under-represented in the teachers union, one of the largest labor groups in the area. Initially, in order to shift the balance of power away from the Borana, the Gabra used the REGABU strategy to win elections within the Kenya National Union of Teachers and Marsabit Teachers’ SACCO. Within teachers’ union elections, the strategy worked effectively to “sideline” Borana representatives. The reversal in control of the teachers union, then, created a situation where the majority ethnic group was underrepresented in the union. Borana interpreted this as, as a “Gabra conspiracy to lock us out of power.” The same strategy used in teachers union elections, was then applied as foundation for county elections in 2013, fueling the same sense of grievance among the Borana.

Electoral outcomes increased fear among the Borana of political exclusion within the newly devolved system of county government. In response, the Borana accused the REGABU alliance of “stealing our seats” (Daily Nation 2012). In the words of an informant, “election outcomes left Borana feeling ‘sidelined,’ and the Gabra feeling victorious over their historical subjugators.” The electoral processes increased fear of
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105 Lohko Abduba, County Representative, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.

106 Research Participant 152, PACODEO Focus Group, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 12, 2014.

107 Ethnic alliances, therefore, shape the pattern of conflict with the Borana engaging against a coalition of Gabra, Rendille, and Burji. Considering that the direct intention of the alliance was to prevent Borana from accessing posts in the new county government, election outcomes in 2013, left created a sense of “Borana status anxiety” due to the long history of ethnic-based allocation of state resources.

marginalization by an alliance of minority groups, which intensified animosity and tension in in Moyale.

Between April and August of 2012, conditions remained relatively peaceful in Moyale. However, over the course of four months, the Borana reported increasing threats of attack and displacement from Gabra militias and elites. In response, the Borana activists organized a protest in Moyale, demanding a statement from the government about how they were planning to deal with increasing threats against Borana from Gabra militias. Protesters also demanded the arrest of a prominent local Gabra chief that they accused coordinating a deadly raid (Star News, August 12, 2013). The KDF was deployed to control crowds, but, as tension escalated, a KDF officer shot and killed a Borana human rights activist, Hassan Ali Guyo. The incident deepened suspicion among the Borana that the Gabra bribed Kenyan government officials and security actors to support Gabra expansionism.

Two weeks after Guyo’s death, on August 26, 2013, a second wave of major violence began. Gabra militias attacked Heilu, Odda, and Antuta on the outskirts of Moyale town. During the second outbreak, the KDF was not able to effectively control the border. Borana and Gabra reinforcements crossed into Kenya, causing clashes to spiral for three days. The Kenyan military intervened using helicopter gunships, and stepped up policing of the area.

Patterns of Response

In the wake of the clashes, the GoK posted a new District Commissioner to Moyale, Kamunyan Chedotun. Chetodun had a specific mandate to lead a local peace
process. Reports emerged of tension between Chetodun and local police. Boran and Gabra leaders perceived a divided government, and rejected negotiations (Star Report, August 28th, 2013). On December 3, 2013 clashes resumed. The Gabra/Burji alliance again engaged in clashes with the Borana for four days, with, according to government reports, 27 fatalities (20 Borana fatalities and 7 Gabra/Burji fatalities), and over 70,000 IDPs fleeing from Moyale. On day four, the KDF intervened using helicopter gunships and infantry to drive militias from the town and reclaim the area.

Two days after direct conflict ended, reports spread of government alignment with the Gabra. Reports suggested the KDP gave uniforms to Gabra militias during the conflict and helped to burn Borana civilian homes. Borana elites took the issue to parliament in Nairobi, calling for statements on the reasons for government support for the Gabra during the clashes. The GoK responded, claiming that the Ethiopian military was involved, and justified its support for the Gabra militia as a joint effort between the Kenyan and Ethiopian militaries to root out OLF members hiding among the Borana militia in Moyale (Borana News 2014).

Without established DPCs in Marsabit County, the GoK formed an ad hoc county-level peacebuilding committee to mediate the conflict. A committee of eminent personalities called the Kaparo-Haji joint committee facilitated negotiations. Ole Kaparo, former speaker of National Assembly, and Yusef Haji, Garissa county senator, and former Defense Minster led the ad hoc peace committee. The Kaparo-Haji committee

\[109\] Tumal Orto Galdibe, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 13, 2014.
achieved a negotiated settlement and stayed in place to oversee reconstruction, relocation of IDPs, and allocation of resources to support affected families.\textsuperscript{110}

The recommendation at the center of the peace strategy was to ensure the: “equitable distribution of resources of the government, both financial and human” (Marsabit County Review, June 2014). The committee imposed new rules of engagement. Ole Kaparo set up a mandate that the government would fine communities five million KES for breaking the ceasefire pact. On February 15\textsuperscript{th}, 2014, an elite compromise was reached. President Kenyatta increased pressure through an ultimatum:

Kenyatta issued an ultimatum to the local political leaders to reach an agreement. Under pressure from the president, leaders from different communities in Marsabit agreed to form an oversight committee to ensure continued efforts for peace. If negotiations fail, Kenyatta stated, all measures will be taken to see peace restored (Capital FM, February 15th, 2014).

Conclusions

Inter-ethnic group conflict escalated in Moyale in two major waves: one in 2012, and the other in 2013. In 2013, clashes broke out following local elections. Initially, local peace actors engaged in the conflict with little success in attaining a truce. The GoK coordinated a peace restoration committee with external political actors as lead negotiators. The process of devolution introduced more resources into the conflict system, creating a type of localized financial shock and increased inter-ethnic group competition. With political positions linked to exclusive control over the allocation of

\textsuperscript{110} Lohko Abduba, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.
new county resources, the long history of identity-based allocation of state resources raised the stakes of local elections.¹¹¹

As Roba Shamaro describes,

“what really makes democracy dangerous in the North is that every leader has their own ethnic militia. This creates very unstable conditions around contests for local power. Politicians directly mobilized their own militias to burn houses and displace rival groups in the lead up to elections.”¹¹²

Devolution, therefore, deepened inter-ethnic enmity as local elites competed for access to new positions in the county government. Political entrepreneurs exploited ethnic identity. Historical grievances related to prior acts of violence were brought to the surface, establishing conditions highly conducive for a symbolic event, such as the killing of a nonviolent activist, to spark even more widespread violence.

In the Moyale case, border dynamics intensified the process of escalation. Gabra and Borana in Kenya both have ties with kinship groups in Ethiopia. This factor affected the escalation process. During the lead up to attacks in Moyale, Borana and Gabra militants trained new militias members across the border. Borana from Northern Marsabit had links with the Oromo Liberation Front (OLF). Borana in Kenya supplied and aided former Oromo militia members in the process of evading the Ethiopian military, making Gabra increasingly fearful of highly coordinated and aggressive attacks with support from and cooperation with the OLF fighters.¹¹³

Increasing rumors of mobilization,

¹¹¹ Hassan Kochore, Interview with the author, Nairobi, September 8, 2014.

¹¹² Roba Shamaro, UNDP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 6, 2014.

¹¹³ While it is difficult to verify claims of harboring, due to high levels of suspicion and secrecy, most likely, claims of linkages and support form the OLF for the Borana militias in Kenya are not overstated. Community reports, for example, indicate there are still key actors who support the OLF being protected and harbored in Sololo (see Marsabit Conflict Report 2005). The Ethiopian military, at times, has pursued
armament, and bribery of security forces on the other side of the border increased suspicion and fear between both groups.

At the same time, both groups could draw upon ethnic ties across the border for accessing arms, fleeing across border into Ethiopia, and calling for reinforcements. Cross-border ethnic networks and linkages to conflict in Ethiopia made the conflict increasingly complex on the Kenya side of the border. During the Moyale episode, for example, the Borana were accused of calling in members of the OLF militia to assist in attacks. Borana denied the allegations, claiming that they were victims of aggression from both Kenyan and Ethiopian militaries, who were falsely accusing them of harboring OLF rebels, merely based on their shared identity (see also, Menkhaus 2015, 47 – 48).

OLF militants from Ethiopia offered assistance for organization and militarization of Borana youth in Marsabit introducing more actors with previous wartime experience into the conflict system. The Ethiopian military and intelligence officers constantly look for Borana OLF sympathizers in Kenya. Thus, the Gabra are highly likely to spoil zones of protection for OLF rebels evading the Ethiopian military. OLF supporters cannot trust Gabra to keep secrets of identity, whereabouts, as well as businesses transactions related to human trafficking, poaching, or arms trafficking. The process of securing Kenyan ID Cards for Borana from Ethiopia wanting to relocate to Kenya has become a big business, with illegal trafficking operating under umbrella of livestock

OLF members across border in Kenya in areas around Sololo and Moyale, for example. Moreover, there are reports that Borana chiefs who have not been loyal in supporting the OLF have been assassinated. The OLF has used aggression and violent tactics against elites within Borana community, which may help secure protection and harboring of rebel leaders within the remotest areas of Northern Kenya.

114 Ibrahim Sora, CIFA, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 12, 2014.
Therefore, cleansing Gabra from contested border areas is a way for the Borana to protect illegal, intra-group activities as well as protect actors supporting the OLF from Ethiopian spies and military actors. Border dynamics play a significant role in the conflict.

In the Moyale case, the Borana conducted highly organized attacks against the Gabra. The level of organization required for clandestine activities intensified identity-based divisions. Local peace actions occurred prior to the escalation of violence in Moyale but did not contain escalation. There is some evidence of local peace action preventing the spillover of conflict from Moyale to surrounding villages through the coordinated actions of elders networks formed through negotiation processes over local declarations following the Turbi massacre (see chapter five for further analysis).

**Logics of Escalation**

In these cases, the following conditions stand out as common features of escalation processes in areas with limited state presence. First, the initial trigger of escalation was related to the quality of particular acts of violence. Inter-group conflict intensified in relation to the downing of a helicopter with a prominent Samburu leader, the killing of a well known student activist, the targeting church elders, and the killing of youth militia members, as respondents described, “in their sleep.” All of these acts of violence were symbolic and fell outside of more expected acts of killing that occurred

---

115 Hassan Kochore, Interview with the author, Nairobi, September 8, 2014.
more regularly between male youth involved in militias. These acts broke the social norm of reciprocity regarding informal codes of targeting within range wars, and increased the likelihood of escalation (Rothchild 1973).

The second common pattern is that different combinations of civic associations responded to initial crises to mediate informal peace pacts. In the Turkana - Samburu case, local government officials, church mission station leaders, and group elders intervened and led negotiation processes. Operational preventive actions predominantly included peace messaging, and assistance for relocation. In the Todonyang case, a prominent MP, the Catholic Church and local CSOs mediated. The rapid breaking of locally negotiated informal peace pacts, however, played a role in the escalation process in multiple cases. Informal peace pacts were signed, but quickly broke due to small-scale acts of violence.

The state used ad hoc helicopter missions to respond to the most remote clashes, but sent a team of eminent personalities to Moyale to broker a cease-fire agreement. The use of elite, immanent figures is a common state-led approach to govern conflict in the periphery. At the state level of analysis, two actions influenced the process of escalation. In four of the cases, conflict intensified after militias targeted and killed state police agents. Targeting of the police led increased communal support for local militias. Patrons of local militias formed cross-ethnic alliances, and extended trade networks to gain access to more sophisticated arms using pooled communal resources. Similarly, when conflict actors perceived that the state used force unevenly, conflict escalated. In four of the five cases, when the targeted group assumed state agents aligned with the aggressor,
conflict escalated. The perception of state discrimination is a common factor that plays into local conflict escalation dynamics.
Table 4: Features of Escalation Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groups</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana, Pokot, Somali</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana</td>
<td>Turkana, Dassanetch</td>
<td>Gabra, Borana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Symbolic</td>
<td>Y Political Leader</td>
<td>N Fear: Revenge</td>
<td>Y Student Killed</td>
<td>Y Political Leader</td>
<td>Y Activist Killed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Border/Dev/Periphery</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Border/Developmental</td>
<td>Border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Cohesive Coalition</td>
<td>Elite Unity Informal Institutions Militia Trust</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y* (Rapid Riot)</td>
<td>N Fragmented: CSO Restraint in Ethiopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>1 Escalation</td>
<td>1 Escalation</td>
<td>1 Escalation</td>
<td>1 Escalation</td>
<td>1 Escalation (*2 – catholic church protection)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2 – no urban to rural spread*
CHAPTER FOUR: LIMITED ESCALATION IN NORTHERN KENYA

The set of cases analyzed in chapter four share the same dependent variable: limited escalation or resilience. Inter-group conflict intensified and actors had the opportunity use violence against a rival group, but they did not. In contrast to the set of cases in chapters 3, episodes in this chapter provide evidence of coalitions of local civic associations playing roles in processes of preventive intervention and warning response to contain inter-group conflict.

In all of the cases, there is evidence of local peace actors operating outside of formal organizational affiliations to respond to threats and dampen violence. Each case considers plausible conditions for constraint, or factors related to external actors and preventive intervention, and restraint, or factors internal to armed groups, contributing to non-escalation. Across the cases, informal coalitions of civic organizations prevent conflict escalation following acts of violence through three key mechanisms: threat-monitoring networks, providing platforms for inter-group negotiation of informal peace pacts, and enforcing traditional codes of restitution.
Table 5: Limited Escalation Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samburu, Marsabit, Turkana, and Isiolo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


Conflict between Samburu and Turkana militias along the Nyiro Mountain conflict corridor from 2012 – 2014 is a case in which inter-group hostility was very high, but escalation did not occur. Following a series of three Turkana attacks against Samburu villages and resources, local militias did not use violence against Turkana settlements along the border of Samburu County. Why did inter-group violence not escalate during a period of increasing hostility and threats of revenge attacks? The series of conflict events is included in the ACLED database, but not in the UCDP database since total fatalities did not reach the threshold (Raleigh et al. 2010). The Nyiro mountain case is an example of local civic associations playing a key role in containing escalation.

**Conflict Context**

Overlapping conditions increase the potential for escalation and collective violence within the conflict between the Samburu and Turkana along the Nyiro Mountain – Suguta Valley corridor. Small arms density is high. Saferworld and SRIC survey indicate a 100% ownership rate among adults for the area (SRIC 2006). Turkana
settlements within the boundaries of Samburu County have rising populations, and Samburu politicians regularly express fear of Turkana resettlement schemes. The area chief, Dominic Lepulelei stated, “Their home area, Suguta Valley, is a terrible place. They keep moving into our territory. They have it in their minds take over our grazing areas.” Drawing upon this suspicion, Samburu political leaders regularly threaten, “to push the ‘Samburu-Turkana’ back towards ‘Turkana-Turkana.’” Samburu politicians consistently threaten to remove Turkana settlements due to fear of a long-term Turkana expansionist strategy. Rising competition over increasingly scarce resources, political incitement, and a history of inter-group banditry and violent clashes suggests there is a high risk for conflict escalation.

Between 2012 – 2014, a series of conflict events increased hostility between the Samburu and Turkana along the Suguta Valley – Nyiro Mountain conflict corridor. Less than a year after the Baragoi massacre in 2012 (see chapter 3), on the morning of October 17th, 2013, a Turkana militia attacked the village of Waso Rongai. The militia killed four Samburu moran, injured three, and stole 600 cattle. One day prior to the attack, Turkana abandoned the village of Kawap, a semi-permanent settlement 8 kilometers west of Waso Rongai along the Turkana militia’s escape route—evidence of direct collaboration between the Kawap community and the militia.

---

116 Dominic Lepulelei, Chief, Interview with the author, South Horr, August 15, 2014.

117 Ibid.

Following the attack, the Samburu North DPC and the Catholic Church in Baragoi convened a peace dialogue between Samburu and Turkana ward representatives. The dialogue led to a new informal boundary agreement, and a new rule of engagement for Turkana and Samburu militias: “shoot on sight.” Elders from both groups informed leaders of remote Samburu and Turkana militias of the new boundary rules.

Shortly after the dialogue, on October 27th, 2013, a Turkana militia broke the agreement. A militia attacked Tuum, injuring one Samburu moran and stealing 120 cattle. Families who lost animals in Tuum demanded a direct response from local Samburu militias, increasing the potential for a revenge attack against Turkana settlements. Even though only one injury occurred, a local elder stated, “[the Tuum attack] was not at all acceptable for the Samburu—it was cowardly, shameful, and happened right beside the police barracks.” During the attack, only one warrior monitored a large herd of cattle belonging to 17 families, and fog covered the valley.

Informants indicated the animals belonged to the poorest families in the community, stating, “There is no way we can stand still when the poorest among us lost so much.” The proximity of the attack to the most recent peace dialogue, “made the Tuum raid so bitter.” Respondents expressed the Turkana were, “speaking peace with

120 Focus Group 120, Catholic Peacebuilding Team, Interviews with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
121 Ibid.
122 Raphael Leparkiras, Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
one mouth, and organizing violence against us with the other.”\textsuperscript{123} In the words of a key \textit{moran} leader, “a Turkana must die, and the cows must be brought back, due to the way they took the Tuum cows.”\textsuperscript{124}

Patterns of Response

Despite increased hostility and direct demands from the Tuum community for \textit{moran} to coordinate revenge attacks, revenge attacks did not occur. On the brink of escalation, what factors prevented Samburu militias in the Nyiro Valley from engaging in revenge attacks against Turkana settlements? In July of 2014, drought was the most common explanation. In the words of one informant: “when it rains, there will be trouble.”\textsuperscript{125} Without access to water and resources away from the mountain range, \textit{moran} could not travel inconspicuously to conduct a counter-raid operation. With clear evidence of increased tension, Turkana militias stationed scouts across the rangeland, especially close to well-known water points and raiding routes. Militia vigilance increased the risk of Samburu mobilization, especially under drought conditions. With the Samburu community demanding a revenge attack, a plausible explanation for restraint is that environmental conditions increased the cost and risk of conducting a counter-raid, containing escalation (see also, Witsenburg and Adano 2009).

\textsuperscript{123} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{124} Samson Leriano and Lchagi Amin, Interview with the author, Kurungu, Kenya, August 13, 2014.

\textsuperscript{125} Samuel Lekinet, Interview with the author, South Horr, Kenya, August 16, 2014.
In other cases of escalation, such as the Baragoi conflict and Turbi massacre, militias elected to engage in asymmetrical revenge attacks against proximate out-group communities. Turkana civilian populations in close proximity to Tuum, including Parikati and Sarima and Kurungu were not immediately targeted. What factors prevented revenge attacks against proximate Turkana settlements? The following narrative employs insights from interviews and focus group conversations on threat response strategies employed in the area. Local interpretations from Tuum, Loongerin, Parikati and Sarima, the most plausible sites of attacks, suggest informal out-group coping mechanisms, and intra-group civic associations play key roles in containing violence. Local civic associations, in particular, contributed significantly to non-escalation.

**Parikati – Tuum Communal Protection Strategies**

Parikati, Kenya is a Turkana village on the edge of the Suguta Valley, between Turkana and Samburu Counties, 15 kilometers from Tuum. There is very little trust between the two groups and a persistent threat of attack. Samburu do not allow Turkana from Parikati direct access to markets in Tuum out of fear of spying, and access to information related to militia locations and resources. Livestock trade between Parikati and Tuum occurs through heavily policed sokko, or “peace markets,” organized by the Catholic Church, or through intermediaries who conduct exchanges in remote locations between the two villages. Samburu militia members assume the Turkana community in
Parikati is likely to collaborate with highly mobile Turkana or Pokot militias to raid Samburu livestock.  

Turkana living in Parikati articulated a range of strategies to reduce the likelihood of Samburu targeting the village following deadly raids. Local elder Jonathan Losokon stated, “Parikati is a very dangerous place, but we trained ourselves to survive in this area.” A small network of Turkana leaders in Parikati share threat information with patrons of Samburu militias in Tuum. In the absence of communication technology, Turkana source information on potential threats through intra-clan trade networks. This is a high-risk strategy, as Turkana militias have assassinated actors caught spying for Samburu militias. The covert informant network limits the likelihood of rapid escalation. As a local Samburu militia leader stated, “We still do not trust them at all. We would attack them if we knew they were working with other enemies, but as long as they share information with us, we cannot attack them.” Clandestine informant networks establish a minimal form of cross-ethnic group connection to reduce the likelihood that Samburu will target out-group villages.
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At the same time, informal, intra-group policing\textsuperscript{131} strategies compliment covert inter-group information networks. The tenuous relationship would break down if Samburu suspected Turkana of forming an outside alliance to allow an external Turkana or Pokot militia to use the village as a forward base for conducting raids. As Joseph Lokinei describes:

We do not let other Turkana or Pokot pass through Parikati, even just to trade. There are spies for the Turkana in Parikati and in Tuum and spies for the Samburu in Parikati and Tuum. All of the spies want peace and to protect their own animals. They tell each other if the other group is coming through their territory to attack. We do not want those who kill to be part of our community, so we keep them out.\textsuperscript{132}

The GoK promotes informal community policing among pastoralist communities in the area via the *nyumba kumi* ("ten houses") initiative. Replicating communal policing structures in Rwanda, the GoK encourages villages to develop informal community watch systems to control movement of potential spoilers in and out of settlements in the most insecure areas.

Livestock management strategies compliment informant networks and intra-group policing. For example, the Turkana community in Parikati established livestock identification and tracking systems for each clan, and enforced a shared communal rule to not purchase animals from unknown Turkana or Pokot markets or traders. They do not purchase livestock if they do not know and trust the source to reduce suspicion among

\textsuperscript{131} Fearon and Laitin, 2006.

\textsuperscript{132} Research Participant 117 (Turkana moran, anonymous), Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
Samburu militias: “even if they think we are thieves, there will not be peace.” Turkana in Parikati over-accumulate livestock. A prominent elder stated, “We do not sell animals, even if we need the money for schools fees. Sometimes, we really struggle for food, but if raided, at least we can forgive and not organize for revenge.” Respondents claim these tactics prevent Samburu from attacking Turkana living in Tuum following Turkana militia attacks on Samburu resources: “In these ways, we suffer to keep peace.”

**Sarima – Loonjerin Communal Protection Strategies**

Similar to Parikati, Sarima, Kenya is a Turkana settlement at high risk for revenge attacks. Loonjerin and Sarima are, “two villages in the danger zone. When the Turkana attack Samburu, they must first attack Loonjerin. It is just at the base of the mountains and easy to scout for animals and warriors. When the Samburu attack, they first attack Sarima.” Due to the severity of past attacks that have occurred in these two locations at the intersection of major raiding routes, there is a very high level of distrust and inter-group suspicion. For example, a Samburu elder state: “Those of Sarima also give us fake names of raiders when we go to them after the attack to see what happened. They use diversion and lies to try to keep the peace and protect their own people.”
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136 Steven Lepaul Lemadada, Interview with the author, Loongerin, Kenya, August 16, 2014.

137 Focus Group 139, Loonjerin Elders Dialogue, Dialogue with the author, Loongerin, Kenya, August 26, 2014.
Despite severe suspicion and mistrust between the Samburu and Turkana, similar to Parikati, both groups have in place covert informant networks. Samburu informants described,

“They [Turkana of Sarima] are still our enemies, but they let us know if Turkana, Pokot, or Gabra militias are on the other side. This is a dangerous strategy. Sometimes bandits from other groups make tracks leading to Sarima to make us think [the Turkana] were involved when they were not.”\(^{138}\)

An ex-militia leader,\(^ {139}\) and now key elder of the Turkana clan describes the strategic dilemma for the settlement:

We live at a very dangerous point along the road between Maralal and Loiyangalani. Many people pass through here, so we have to be welcoming to everyone for our businesses. This makes the Samburu suspicious of us. They think we are always working with others to coordinate attacks on them. That is why we have to make sure they know this is not the case. We have to be a town of peace. If we are not, the Samburu will clear us.\(^ {140}\)

Due to high levels of suspicion, and shared knowledge of the various ways the other group can avert blame and mislead local policing missions with tracking or misinformation about impending raids, informant networks are complex and layered. As one respondent stated, “we have spies to spy on our spies.”\(^{141}\) The main Turkana elder based in Sarima is infamous. Respondents from the area said, “that guy was a real warlord” and accounted stories of his leadership and behavior during deadly clashes. He now chairs the local peace committee of Sarima. As a major local power broker, the local
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\(^{138}\) Samson Leriano and Lchagi Amin, Interview with the author, Kurungu, Kenya, August 13, 2014.

\(^{139}\) N.B.: Actor is also the chairman of the local peace committee.

\(^{140}\) Naisei Erupe Esunyen, Interview with the author, Sarima, Kenya, August 22, 2014.

\(^{141}\) Samson Leriano and Lchagi Amin, Interview with the author, Kurungu, Kenya, August 13, 2014.
leader of the Sarima settlement works in close collaboration with Samburu elders, informants, and militia leaders, and has become a central figure for information sharing and monitoring. Critically, his dominant status as a former militia leader protects him from threats from other Turkana militias.

Respondents from Sarima village claimed they disarmed the village to make it less prone to attacks, stating: “home guards (KPR) have weapons to protect us, so we do not need weapons.”\textsuperscript{142} Further investigation indicated that this is not the case: “Turkana in Sarima have a lot of weapons. Everyone does. But it is true that they do not try to get more. If they did, we would know and they would have to leave.”\textsuperscript{143} Samburu elders maintain linkages with actors involved in weapons trade that provide them with information about who is purchasing or transporting weapons into the area, which plays into calculations related to potential threats.

**Civic Associations and Warning Response in the Nyiro Valley**

A rapid deployment unit (RDU) with an anti-stock theft police force is based on the outskirts of Tuum. Police actors, however, do not have access to day-to-day interactions and exchanges between the Samburu and Turkana regarding potential threats. Samburu and Turkana are suspicious of the policing force comprised of soldiers from “down country.” Samburu militia leaders in Tuum do not provide information to the formal security unit due to the high cost of corruption, and that fact that militia actors

\textsuperscript{142} Naisei Erupe Esunyen, Interview with the author, Sarima, Kenya, August 22, 2014.

\textsuperscript{143} Research Participant 138, Interview with the author, South Horr, Kenya, August 23, 2014.
assumed their illegal weapons would be confiscated. A group of young boys in Tuum, too young to be initiated into *moran* protection groups, frequented the military camp and, in exchange for food, provided some information to the military. State actors in Tuum functioned well outside of the primary group of actors responsible for the day-to-day management of threat warnings and organized scouting missions.

Local civic associations with insider linkages to leaders of youth militias stationed in remote outposts involved in day-to-day scouting and warning response in the Tuum area reveals a strong, informal coalition of local civic groups that includes five Samburu associations. The Protestant Church in Tuum is as a major hub for communication with leaders, elders, and, indirectly, leaders of armed communal protection units. The mission station in Tuum had the only Thuraya (satellite) phone in village. Early warning messages are sent to that phone in the hands of a local missionary. Vehicles from the mission regularly deployed for tracking, animal recovery, and scouting missions.

Elders groups played a key role. Elders are the main patrons for young *moran* entrenched in the day-to-day process of livestock protection, information gathering, and positioning of resources. This is very different from the past social structure. Increasing insecurity in the area has triggered change within the Samburu age-set hierarchy. Traditionally, Samburu *moran* operated independently. Elders collaborate closely with *moran* to provide support and protection while in mobile cattle camps. For
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144 Traditional social order is based on what anthropologists call, “a hierarchical, segmentary descent system” (Evans Prichard 1940; Spencer 1973; Fratkin 1991; Naito 2005). The social system is organized by ethnic group, clan, sub-clan, and patrimonial lineage. Clan functions are key organizing feature of life in Samburu – as it impacts nature of marriage, and inter-clan collaboration. However, segmentation between age sets is now changing, with more collaboration between elders and youth in day-to-day actions of communal protection. In other word, “bonding social capital” among Samburu age sets is quite strong.
example, as an informant described, “last year we failed in a raid and lost one warrior.
Elders came in to support us and help organize the next one we could learn quickly from the mistakes.” Elders also play multiple roles within various community organizations, increasing their capacity to acquire broad and detailed information about conflicts and negotiation processes to provide to warriors. Warrior bands living in remote, clan-based cattle camps use information provided through informal networks to make day-to-day decisions on herd movement, scouting locations, or mobilization for offensive theft or attack if low-risk opportunities are identified.

The Catholic Church of Tuum is another major hub for improving and enhancing local protection strategies. The Church constructed signage around the valley with the message, “Tuum – Land of Peace.” It was involved in peace messaging, and coordinating peace dialogues designed for long-term norm change and a discursive shift (Cameron, Weatherbed, and Onyiego 2013). In other words, dialogues pursed the goal of convincing all groups living in the area to identify smaller bandit groups as thieves and murderers, not as, “legitimate members of the larger collective.” Most importantly, the Catholic Church organized peace markets, helping to reestablish open exchange between Turkana and Samburu, and increase opportunities for information sharing among clandestine informant networks.

---

146 Focus Group 120, Catholic Peacebuilding Team, Dialogue with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
The teachers’ union played a role, as well. On a day-to-day basis teachers engage with Samburu youth, many of whom choose, “to stay in town rather than in the camps.” Samburu students maintain direct relationships with age mates stationed in remote protection militias, allowing teachers the opportunity access and relay information related to rumors, conflict dynamics, and potential threats.

The District Peace Committee for Samburu North played a minimal role in responding to the Samburu – Turkana conflict. With an increasing threat of violence, the chairman of the DPC for Samburu North, Moses Lenaroishi, traveled to Tuum to conduct a peace dialogue. After suggesting the Samburu were culpable for prior attacks, informants indicate that the community did not allow him to convene a dialogue. Leaders of Samburu militias communicated to the DPC that, “there is no way must a Turkana not die and the cows be brought back.” The threat initiated dialogue among leaders of the DPC and local political representatives about the government paying restitution for the crimes to reduce the risk of escalation, the first time a proposal was considered.

**Outcomes**

Historically, clashes occurred between Turkana and Samburu in Loongerin and Sarima. Recently, however, clashes have not occurred between Samburu and Turkana communities for six years. Coping strategies restrain the mobilization and movement of

---


149 As of April 2015, no payment had been made, and violence had not reoccurred.
large armed militias in the area, making it difficult for armed groups to move freely and inconspicuously across the territory. Small groups still operate in the area, often in very small bands of *moran* that break away from the larger militia to engage in banditry.

Dense covert informant networks prevent mobilization and planning required to engage in large-scale raids and attacks, increasing risk for large bands of armed actors to operate in the area. Dense informant networks restrain the mobilization of large groups, but they cannot fully contain all mobile ethnic militia groups that are small, strategic, and well armed.

Covert trans-ethnic informant networks are strong, even if this is a high-risk strategy. The absence of an international border forces Samburu militias to purchase arms and ammunition through outsider ethnic groups, rather than through intra-group networks. Thus, the formation of illicit arms networks that cut across identity boundaries strengthens informant networks that help in creating trans-ethnic alliances for threat monitoring, and policing of inter-group boundary areas within Samburu County. Due to the fact the Samburu have historically faced threats from almost every group in the region, militias have developed skills in forming opportunistic alliances. As conflict dynamics change, the Samburu have the capacity to use threat of the use of force as a deterrent and to work through civic organizations to monitor potential threats and convene inter-group negotiations.
Conclusions

The case indicates local associations extend informal threat-monitoring networks. Covert informant networks contain inter-group violence, but at high risk to informants. Clandestine information sharing provides communities on both sides of the conflict dyad with greater access to information. They also increase time to address and respond to potential threats or reinforce local protection strategies. Cooperation between militias and local civic associations, improves scouting and threat response capacity for local militias. An informal coalition of local civic associations in Tuum improved intra-group communication and extended the threat response capacity of Samburu militias.

Reports of suspicious armed groups moving in the area – reach armed actors in remote manyattas set up at locations with broad visibility. The rapid spread of information through civic organizations triggered deployment of armed scouts to areas with suspicious activity. Scouts then gained more accurate information about movement of potentially threatening actors in the area, in order to shift placement of protection units and deploy additional scouting units to strategic locations. Vehicles from local associations helped local militias respond to potential threats and police broader territory, allowing actors within monitoring networks to attain even more information about of potential threats.

The informal protection system in Tuum resisted interference of outside actors. Local associations prevented external actors and civic groups from operating in the area. Respondents identified multiple external CSOs and church groups interested in ad hoc peace work or missionary work in the area that were not allowed access. Samburu elders
stated, “NGOs and other missionaries do not support our *moran*. They tell them to disarm and go to school, but without the *moran*, no one can live here.” Since the state cannot ensure security in the area, local associations, even those involved in peacebuilding programming, collaborate with and support armed militias to ensure local militias have capacity to respond quickly to information about potential threats.

In this case, local militias leverage the resources of civic associations to extend policing and threat-response capacity. The collaborative relationship between local militias and the coalition of civic organizations allows for armed groups to Tuum to engage in more strategic, and controlled interactions and negotiations with Turkana militias, reducing the likelihood of rapid, asymmetrical revenge attacks against proximate Turkana communities and thus limiting the escalation of violence.


Outside of town centers such as Marsabit and Loiyangalani, across the remote, Northwestern region of Marsabit County, the majority of semi-permanent settlements are ethnically homogenous. Sarimo, however, is a unique Turkana – Gabra settlement in Northwestern Marsabit County. Similar to the nature of inter-group relations between Samburu and Turkana settlements in the Nyiro Valley, Sarimo is an example of non-escalation. Local civic associations and informal institutional arrangements play key roles in containing conflict between local communities from two rival groups. Guyo Tuke describes the setting:
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150 Research Participant 121, Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 18, 2014.
“Sarimo is a unique case of peace in Marsabit County. The Turkana and Gabra decided to settle together even after major clashes in 2008 and 2009, and even stayed together in peace after a major drought in 2011. Many thought there would be problems in Sarimo, but Turkana are now grazing over 100 kilometers into Gabra territory, with no problems.”

Context of Conflict

Gabra and Turkana militias engaged in deadly clashes outside of Loiyangalani in the village of Moite in May of 2007. The conflict escalated with major attacks in April and July of 2008. ACLED data indicates clashes between the Gabra and Turkana caused 31 total fatalities in 2008 (Raleigh et al. 2010). In 2009, during a major drought, Gabra and Turkana elders negotiated an informal peace agreement, called the Sarimo Declaration. A local civic association called the Pastoralist Integrated Support Program (PISP) was the lead coordinating organization, collaborating with elders from both groups and the District Peace Committee in Loiyangalani.

After the signing of the pre-movement pact, the two groups formed a new settlement in Sarimo. The village remains divided, with no inter-group marriage, and persistent intergroup suspicion. Gabra and Borana militias still engage in attacks against Turkana clans outside of Loiyangalani. The Gabra view Turkana groups on the eastern side of the lake, as “living outside of their home area.” However, even following attacks between Gabra and Turkana militias in neighboring areas, the joint settlement did

152 Most residents of Loiyangalani have, over time, fled violence in home villages to settle in informal cluster settlements around the town (see, Goldsmith 2000).
153 Philip Molu, PISP Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 10, 2014.
not dis-integrate. Sarimo is a case in which conflict was of the brink of escalation, but violence did not escalate.

Patterns of Response

Peacebuilding actors in Marsabit claimed the work of PISP, a local NGO with special focus on supporting the pastoralist groups across Marsabit County played a critical role in strengthening communal protection strategies. Prior to the establishment of the village of Sarimo in 2006, PISP conducted a peacebuilding program that included pre-movement negotiations, pact making, and a training program for local KPR.154 Informants from Sarimo, however, did not support the narrative of successful external intervention.

During the focus group, a respondent stated,

“The [peacebuilders] set up new rules and agreements about land and animals for all of us to follow, but in our scattered nomadic societies, rules are difficult to follow. Our people are scattered everywhere, so their programs always breakdown. We have to build new rules with our neighbors everywhere we go.” 155

Leaders in Sarima cited the following criticism of preventive efforts conducted in the village, stating,

“Peace programs are small, short-term, and one-time meetings. The NGOs sit up there, and nothing reaches us. No one sits with us to see how we keep peace. We use our own leaders and processes to resolved our own conflicts. They sit up there and take credit, while our people keep peace.” 156


155 Ibid.

156 Ibid.
The most plausible factors that help contain violence between the Turkana and Gabra and prevent dis-integration of the unique settlement are as follows. The Turkana community living in Sarimo fled an even more insecure area to the South of Loiyangalani under severe threat from Samburu, Borana, and Gabra militias. Gabra actors settled in Sarimo relocated from an insecure area north of North Horr. Both groups settled in the remote village due to flight from more severe violence. The location remains at threat of attack from Dassanetch militias to the North; however, Sarimo is a strategic location for protection from Dassanetch aggression. Sibiloi National Park is a buffer. Sibiloi is remote, largely ungoverned territory, but ranger patrols make it difficult for Dassanetch militias to cross the area.

In short, the Turkana and the Gabra face a common aggressor, increasing the likelihood of cooperation and negotiation following inter-group conflict events. Leaders in Sarimo described the strategic dilemma for both groups:

After so many battle deaths in our communities, we all agreed that this death is useless. We came to an agreement to share the land. We chose a very distant, very harsh location, even with less water. Our women and children suffer most. But we had to come here, so we would not have a border with the Dassanetch. Sometimes the Dassanetch try to come this far; so we must work together to survive here. We see the benefit of peace and of staying together to be protected from the Dassanetch.\textsuperscript{157}

Respondents from Sarimo also identified disarmament and day-to-day practices of resource management as key conditions for inter-group peace. Integrated livestock management and tracking functions to increase security. Everyone in the settlement helps protect livestock. Women and children herd livestock by day, but, at night, men monitor

\textsuperscript{157} Ibid.
the area, circling borders to look for footprints and scout for bands of raiders. If there is suspicion of theft, the KPR lead recovery missions as both groups have disarmed. Turkana respondents described the situation: “We accepted not having arms only because we would not be allowed to live in Gabra areas. As long as we have the KPR and as long we know whether or not the Dassanetch are coming, we think everything will be OK.”

The enforcement of an informal peace pact cements the higher level of inter-group cooperation in Sarimo, as well. Clashes occurred between Turkana and Gabra youth militias over grazing blocs and water points south of Sarimo broke out in 2009 near Loiyangalani shortly following the peace agreement. One Turkana died in the clashes. In contrast to other cases where informal peace pacts failed to contain further escalation of violence (see chapter 5), the Sarimo pact held. Why did the Gabra community choose to pay the high cost of restitution following the clash? PISP intervened, along with elders, and representatives of the district peace committee in Loiyangalani.

The local coalition assisted in coordination and enforcement of the informal restitution payment stipulated in the Sarimo Declaration, and supported both groups in the process of navigating the county justice system. PISP played a key role in supporting the informal process of restitution. The enforcement of the informal institutional arrangement governing the settlement was highly symbolic for both groups: “after the Gabra made the payment, we knew we could stay in peace for a long time.” Elders stated,

---
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159 Halkano Bukuno, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 21, 2014.
“We knew we had to follow the rules to stay here in peace. We pressured the community to ensure the payment was made.”

Conclusions

The Sarimo settlement, similar to Parikati and Sarima, is a case where a minority group, the Turkana adopted context specific informal institutional arrangements to live in close proximity to a primary aggressor and reduce the likelihood of conflict escalation. In 2014, negotiations through the elders system and with Gabra clans led to the expansion of informal agreements between the Gabra and Turkana, and Turkana gained access to grazing rights in Gabra territory with rising resource scarcity. In this case, civic associations complimented local protection strategies to prevent disintegration in Sarimo following clashes between Gabra and Turkana militias in Loiyangalani. The experience of enforcement of the informal peace agreement played a key role in preventing Gabra and Turkana clashes from escalating.

West Pokot: Turkwel Gorge and the Motorcycle Murders (July 2014)

Turkwel Gorge is a micro-level case in which a coalition of local peacebuilding organizations played a role in dampening violence between the Pokot and Turkana along a highly volatile border area. The case is linked to the larger inter-group conflict discussed in chapter 5, in which many preventive efforts did not limit escalation.

---
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However, in this micro-case, it is plausible that rapid threat response of elders, “reformed warriors,” and Catholic Church leaders along the border contained a conflict that could have continued to escalate. The coalition provided a platform for informal inter-group negotiation, threat monitoring, and support for non-coercive communal protection strategies.

Following an initial attack and a highly asymmetrical counter-attack, violence stopped. ACLED data includes the key events within the conflict, starting on July 18th, 2014 (Raleigh et al. 2010). Participant observation and interviews with conflict actors provide the foundation for the following conflict narrative. Intervention forestalled further cyclical violence under conditions of severe volatility.

Context of Conflict

Historically, banditry was the most common conflict driver of inter-group conflict along the border between West Pokot and Turkana. Groups in the area, including the Pokot, Turkana, and Karamajong, have long engaged in highly cyclical raids. Traditionally, stolen animals tended to stay within the herds of each group, remaining as the foundation for wealth accumulation and social status. Animals were rarely sold, even for school fees for children. Cyclical theft occurred in relationship to cycles of animal replacement, and tit-for-tat retribution following initial acts of inter-group violence. Many anthropologists argue elders organized raids, and attacks were opportunistic and

---

162 The larger “Karamoja Cluster” includes eleven ethnic groups: Karimojong, Dodoth, Nyakwai, Toposa, Nyangatom, Teso, Turkana, Merille (Ethiopia), Pokot (Kenya), Didinga (Sudan), and Boya (Sudan) (see, Iruungu 2001).
highly risk-averse, which caused very low levels of fatalities. Parenti and other analysts describe the traditional form of banditry as a game of social exchange. This approach assumes that inter-group resource conflict functions to increase and develop the status and household wealth of young warriors (Meier et al. 2007; Sterzel et al. 2012).

Armed actors, however, have become increasing militarized over time, and many groups abandoned relatively peaceful games of social exchange. Well-organized Pokot militias now engage in violent theft and banditry as an accumulation strategy, rather than more traditional and symbolic forms of raiding (Griner 2013; Triche 2015). In West Pokot, very violent forms of predatory resource extraction have emerged that are quite different and more intensive than in other areas of Northern Kenya.

In contrast to relatively peaceful forms of traditional theft, banditry began to be used not only for the purpose of the accumulation or exchange of wealth, but to undermine the power of other ethnic groups operating within the predatory system. As raids became increasingly militarized, armed groups changed tactics, and inter-group violence escalated in relationship to the nature of attacks. Violence became more indiscriminant with women and children becoming targets during raids, and villages being looted and burned. Banditry became a tool for ethnic cleansing, across the larger conflict system (Triche 2014). This form of inter-group violence concentrates around Turkwel Gorge.

Turkwel Gorge, in West Pokot County near the Uganda border, is a site of major contestation and frequent clashes between the Turkana and Pokot communities. Turkwel River is a key water resource for both groups, flowing from Mt. Elgon, across West
Pokot County through the Southern Plains of Turkana County, and across the Loturerei Desert to Lake Turkana. Development assistance from France supported the construction of a hydro-power plant along the river between 1986 – 1991 (Adams 1989). The reservoir increased settlement of Turkana clans within West Pokot County. Turkana settled in the area to access jobs during dam construction, the new stable water supply, electricity, and opportunities for fishing. Over time, Lorogon village formed as a Turkana settlement near the reservoir.

The project created high expectations among the Pokot. The GoK promised compensation to the Pokot community through land, job opportunities, and overall development gains. A local informant described, “today there have been no gains for us. No jobs were given to the Pokot; they were given to the other communities, so we felt cheated from land and jobs in our own county.” Actors from the Pokot community claim Turkana encroachment is the primary cause of conflict in Turkwel Gorge. Respondents state, “this was not their original land; they do not belong here.” Pokot assume that the government of Kenya protects the Turkana in Lorogon, but tries to, “chase the Pokot from Turkwel Gorge.” Similarly, the dominant narrative among the Turkana is related to a Pokot conspiracy to drive the group away from water resources and claim Turkana territory. A local Turkana respondent stated:

164 Mary Mlee, West Pokot DPC, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 12, 2014.
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When the dam was built there was a secret between the government [Moi regime] and the Pokot to drive the Turkana away from the Turkwel River. They all drew a secret map, and land around Turkwel Gorge was sold to the Pokot. We didn’t know this until recently, but they changed the map of Turkana when they built the dam. Lorogon was our land before.¹⁶⁷

From the view of the Turkana, even though the settlement is within the borders of West Pokot County, the community assumes it has a legitimate claim to the land around the dam, claiming it used to be part of Turkana County. Both groups claim the land and both groups assume the GoK supports and protects the other group. For example, Pokot respondents indicate that unequal application of disarmament campaigns has exacerbated the conflict, stating:

This conflict has become worse because of efforts to disarm all Pokot instead of those guilty of crimes. When you criminalize the whole community, this leads to mobilization of the whole community. There has been a strong government bias against the Pokot. They help to recover cattle stolen for the Turkana, but they do not help recover cattle the Turkana steal from us.¹⁶⁸

The dam deepened conflict between the Pokot and Turkana over territorial demarcation, ownership and access to Turkwel River, as well as access to irrigation schemes linked to the new reservoir at Lorogon. Administrative control over Lorogon remains contested. The village is within the boundaries of North Pokot District of West Pokot County, but the chief of Lorogon represents the Turkana community and reports to the Turkana County Administration (West FM, Sep. 16, 2014). Under these conditions,

¹⁶⁷ Research Participant 102, Interview with the author, Kainuk, Kenya, August 1, 2014.

Pokot and Turkana militias engage in aggressive tactics and the minority Turkana population living at Lorogon remains highly vulnerable to outbreaks of violence.\textsuperscript{169}

On July 18\textsuperscript{th}, 2014, Pokot warriors rode into Lorogon on motorbikes, killed four Turkana men and took 20 animals. Two days later, on the 20\textsuperscript{th} of July, Turkana engaged in a nearly immediate revenge attack against a Pokot settlement near Turkwel. The revenge attack was asymmetrical—the Turkana militia killed two Pokot men, one woman, and eight elders and children.\textsuperscript{170} However, the conflict stopped short of further escalation.

Why did the Turkana kill Pokot civilians, indiscriminately, after the attack? An informant described, “after the incident on 18 July, we asked for them to hand over those who were responsible for the attack, but they [the Pokot] did not produce them. This was why we killed 11 Pokot.”\textsuperscript{171} Vigilante justice was used to try create a sense of equality of loss. As a conflict actor described, “if we can’t get the right person, we have to get someone from that group to make them feel the same pain.”\textsuperscript{172}

The reported logic of violence indicates the trigger for highly asymmetrical violence was the absence of equality of loss between both groups. As a conflict actor described, “if we can’t get the right person, we have to get someone from that group to

\textsuperscript{169} Interview 72, Achika Radio, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, July 29, 2014.

\textsuperscript{170} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{171} Focus Group 52, Dialogue with the author, Kitale, Kenya, July 23, 2014.

\textsuperscript{172} Ibid.
make them feel the same pain.”\textsuperscript{173} The expectation of tit-for-tat justice between both groups is a strong and deeply embedded customary institution that shapes the trajectory of conflict between the groups. Why did violence not continue to escalate, after the highly asymmetrical Turkana attack directed toward women and children?

Patterns of Response

In response to the clashes, the state deployed political elites on a fact-finding mission. The government mission coordinated a peace rally in Lorogon and promised deployment of security personnel including a rural border patrol, rapid deployment unit, and anti-stock theft unit for the area (see, Daily Nation, “More officers planned for Pokot-Turkana border,” June 2, 2014). Historically, multiple organizations, including the National Council of Churches of Kenya (NCCK), POKATUSA, and Riam-Riam, supported local peace processes in Turkwel Gorge. Peacebuilding actors targeted resource-sharing issues,\textsuperscript{174} focusing on dialogues with Pokot and Turkana political leaders (see also, Reliefweb, “Turkana-Pokot Peace Meeting,” March 05, 2014). In this particular case, however, the Catholic Diocese of Kitale was the lead responder to the conflict.

The diocese mobilized reformed warriors from Lorogon to assemble militia leaders, and bring conflict actors together on neutral territory for negotiations.\textsuperscript{175} The

\\textsuperscript{173} Ibid.

\textsuperscript{174} Peacebuilding organizations that had conducted local dialogues in Lorogon in the past include: Ateker Committees, NCCK, POKATUSA, World Vision Mapotu, Riam-Riam, and the District Peace Committees.

\textsuperscript{175} Elias Ekiyeyes, Turkana South DPC, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, August 1, 2014.
Church convened a dialogue in Kitale on July 23rd, 2014. Mediators communicated to both groups to send delegations of, “real warriors, not town people.” A well-known mediator, Bishop Cornelius Korir, led the dialogue. Priests led intra-group dialogues, and inter-group dialogues followed.

A final joint dialogue set forth three basic agreements to avert escalation: inter-group compensation and restitution, upholding the shared norm of non-targeting of women, elders, and children, and limiting community mobility until tension eased. The Bishop stated, “now that we have agreed, call your boys and tell them not to cross the border with guns, because everyone is ready for war.” Pokot militia leaders agreed to prevent reinforcements from traveling into the region from across the Ugandan border. Militia patrons present at the dialogue informed local politicians of the outcomes of the negotiation.

Participants in the dialogue had extensive experience dealing with scripts of formal peace negotiations due to the high level of prior intervention. After the program ended, ongoing negotiations and inter-group dialogue took place outside of the peace meeting in a more informal setting. Both groups voiced grievances related to composition and group representation – Turkana claimed their negotiation team included, “real warriors but the Pokot only brought town warriors,” and conflicts emerged over demands
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177 Bishop Korir is known for successful mediation in Eldoret in 2008, following major church burnings during the post-election violence.


from Finn Church Aid (FCA) for payments and sitting fees. Participants claimed: “all the other groups have paid us to come to these things!”

180

In terms of the impact of the dialogue on conflict dynamics, discussing the process with participants, they argued, “these meetings help a little.”

181 Rather, respondents highlighted the importance of the “reformed warriors” as key local peace actors in ongoing work to contain violence in the area. As John Lodinyo, founder of POKATUSA and supporter of reformed warriors programs, describes:

182 “Reformed warriors from both sides react to every problem. Things are bad now, but they could be so much worse. Their bravery allows us to all work together to make decisions about how to protect the community. When the peace actors live out there, the situation changes. They demand peace.”

Local reformed warriors groups, comprised of Turkana and Pokot men that have been part of the conflict system in the past, formed over time through the longer-term peacebuilding work of church networks and other CSOs. These groups have a very high level of legitimacy and, as evident in the July 23rd dialogue, are able to convene actors directly involved in clashes. Pokot participants, for example, stated, “we are only here to talk, because (anonymous) is a reformed warrior, and he wanted us to be here.”

183 Outside of intermittent dialogues supported through external organizations, peacebuilding actors working along the Pokot – Turkana border claim village level peace groups coordinate routinized inter-group dialogues to contain conflict.
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Outcomes

Under conditions of severe insecurity community protection strategies have taken on new forms. Three main village based groups coordinate local dialogue processes, including elders’ councils, reformed warriors, and local women’s groups. After local dialogues occur, elders and women leaders report receiving more information about impending attacks, and being able to coordinate dialogues, warn other groups, and alert patrons of local militias to persuade youth militias to stand down from planned attacks.¹⁸⁴ Local peace actors along the border claim village-level associations prevent severe clashes and dislocation, even though the larger conflict is not resolved.

During periods of heightened insecurity, external organizations cannot access the most insecure and vulnerable communities along the Pokot – Turkana border due to threats from militias with experience resisting state police and military forces. In day-to-day settings, reformed warriors groups play a key role in threat monitoring and coordination of local dialogue processes. In the words of a national-level conflict specialist, “along the West Pokot border, there is significant reverse engineering of peacebuilding technology.”¹⁸⁵

Conclusions

Following the church-led intervention, two months followed without major attacks; however, violence re-escalated in Lorogon in early September, leading to over 15


¹⁸⁵ Neven Knezevic, UNICEF HARP, Regional Director, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 12, 2014.
fatalities.\textsuperscript{186} Reformed warriors and the dialogue platforms of the Catholic Church had a momentary dampening impact. Rapid response and mobilization of local peace actors, convening rapid preventive negotiations, and symbolic compensation for fatalities were key mechanisms that restrained the immediate mobilization of armed groups for further attacks. The larger conflict remained unresolved, however, especially in light of rising conflict pressures within the larger conflict dyad between the Turkana and Pokot (see chapter five). In this case, faith-based groups with linkages to local peacebuilding actors with direct relationships with leaders of militia groups filled in gaps in preventive intervention when other organizations withdrew due to increased insecurity.\textsuperscript{187}

An informal coalition among the Catholic Church, Finn Church Aid, and local groups of Reformed Warriors responded rapidly to violence to convene negotiations. The coalition created opportunities for information exchange, and a platform for ongoing inter-group negotiation directly following clashes. The formal dialogue process was scripted and bureaucratic, but it provided an opportunity informal dialogue and negotiation that would not have occurred otherwise. Due to the highly asymmetrical nature of revenge killings against women and children, severe collective violence could have occurred. The intervention did not transform the conflict, but contained it at a critical juncture at which spiraling violence was likely. Deep perceptions of inequality in state responses to the conflict continued to drive mobilization of armed groups, making the conflict prone to recurrent violence.

\textsuperscript{186} Dan Nganga, Catholic Justice and Peace, Interview with the author, Kitale, Kenya, July 23, 2014.

\textsuperscript{187} Geoffrey Lipale, POKTUSA, Interview with the author, Makutano, Kenya, July 22, 2014.
Turkana: Oil and Ethnic Riots (2012 – 2014)

Turkana County is a site of major economic transition. The discovery of oil in Turkana, the Lamu Port South Sudan-Ethiopia Transport project (LAPSSET), Vision 2030, the construction of new roads, increasing cross-border trade of livestock and goods, and increasing land speculation, all point toward significant development gains in the region. The potential for rapid economic growth in an area of severe poverty influences the dynamics of inter-group conflict. In 2013, riots broke out across oil drilling sites in Turkana County. The conflict had ethnic dimensions. Turkana communities rioted against, in the words of informants, “Kenyan outsiders.” In this case, ethnic identity overlaps with the core-periphery division in the Kenya context. Turkana protesters claimed Tullow Oil had unjust hiring practices, favoring non-locals. The case is non-state conflict in which Turkana used coercive force against predominantly Kikuyu and Kalenjin oil workers. The conflict did not escalate to include deadly violence. Following the riots, local peacebuilding groups played a complementary role in containing escalation.

Context of Conflict

Kenya, historically, has not experienced conflict pressures related to the so-called resource curse. This is no longer the case. Turkana County has key risk factors for an impending oil curse. Pre-existing inter-ethnic grievances around land rights and political
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representation are increasing between rival groups across Turkana County (Johannes, Zulu, and Kalipeni 2014). Comparing conditions in Turkana with conflict dynamics in DR Congo, South Sudan, Angola, and Nigeria, analysts now predict communal violence will escalate in Turkana due to historical marginalization and severe poverty, highly militarized ethnic militias, and the introduction of lootable resources. Cummings predicts Turkana is the location of “Africa’s Next Oil Insurgency” (“Predicting Africa’s Next Oil Insurgency: The Precarious Case of Kenya’s Turkana County” 2014).

In 2012, Tullow Oil, an Irish exploration and production company, discovered oil in the South Lokichar Basin in Turkana County. Tullow drilled the first exploratory well at the site Ngamia-1 in 2011, and confirmed discoveries at two locations in 2012: Ngamia-1 and Twiga South. In 2013 three additional sites were viable: Etuko-1, Ekales-1, and Agete-1. In early 2014, the GoK signed off on a multiple field exploration approach, accelerating exploration and the development of additional well sites across Turkana County. As of January 2015, the South Lokichar basin had the potential to produce 1 billion barrels of oil (“Kenya Exploration and Appraisal Update” 2015).

In 2012, following the discovery of oil at Ngamia 1, politicians running in national elections picked up on the emerging sense of fear of marginalization among Turkana communities related to oil exploration. Campaign strategies appealed to this sentiment. CORD, in particular, developed a platform targeting Turkana voters, claiming the party would ensure that the Turkana community would benefit from oil extraction. CORD gained broad support across Turkana County. CORD candidates won multiple
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189 Mads Frilander, Danish Deming Group, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 18, 2014.
county-level posts in the 2013 election, but the party did not win the national election (see, IEBC Election Results 2013). National electoral outcomes increased fear among the Turkana that the Jubilee coalition would not protect Turkana interests related to oil extraction on communal land (Vasquez 2013).

In October 2013, James Lomenen, MP for Turkana South, and Nicholas Gikor, MP for Turkana East organized and mobilized Turkana groups to protest outside Ngamia-1 and Twiga-1. Initially peaceful protests escalated into large-scale riots. Protesters hurled stones at vehicles, threatened security guards, broke into two compounds, looted camps, and damaged property. Tullow Oil evacuated staff from the region, and Turkana militias blockaded roads to prevent access to the compounds for two weeks (Akumu 2013). On October 26th, 2013, Tullow Oil halted drilling operations.

Patterns of Response

In response to riots, Tullow Oil agreed to double the amount of funding allocated for local development projects and to hire more Turkana workers, especially for higher wage positions. The company re-articulated its work to build a social contract with the community, in cooperation with Turkana leaders and politicians. Tullow stepped up private security around convoys and drilling operations, and the GoK agreed to increase policing around oil sites (Akumu 2014). A negotiated settlement led to the restoration of operations two weeks following the riots.
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Prior to engaging in oil exploration in the region, Tullow Oil conducted risk assessments and concluded setting up a major operation in Turkana County, an area with extreme poverty and high levels of animosity toward outside ethnic groups, would require careful communal diplomacy to avoid triggering conflict. Tullow established a formal strategy to address grievances around local employment preemptively, with clear strategies in place for conflict prevention. The firm’s strategies for communal conflict prevention were based on a logic of appeasement, including local content and social spending, was well as appeasement of national political elites through dialogues around levels of taxation.

As of 2014, Tullow Oil Co. invested over $70 million in Kenya through $21.7 million paid in taxes to the national government, $47.9 million in local content procedures, and $1 million in the form of social investment (Tullow Report 2014). Social investments were directed to scholarships for Turkana students, and school and hospital construction across Turkana County. The company hired local labor for a broad range of positions across the operation. Seventy percent of Tullow Oil’s 2000 staff are Kenyan nationals, and nine out of ten subcontracting companies are based in Kenya, as of December 2014 (Internews 2014).

Early efforts to include a large majority of Turkana staff and control the narrative around hiring practices, however, were not sufficient to prevent the emergence of local grievances and riots (Hatcher 2014). Riots were directly related to the dilemma of ensuring inequality in hiring within an unskilled labor pool. Tullow’s overall proportion

---

of national (Kenyan) staff is 90%, and local contractors involved in supplying the wells and exploratory bases report 60% of their staff are Turkana. However, only 25% of those hired have high wage positions (Kavanagh 2014). Despite careful hiring practices, the Turkana community perceived resources from the oil boom benefited Kenyans from “down country,” over Turkana communities.

Tullow’s efforts have not changed perceptions of inequality, marginalization, and the potential for state abuse. Informants, from a broad spectrum of Turkana society, share a common view that, “gains from the oil will go to corrupt politicians; not to us.” Even though there are numerous local employees, political elites benefit most from supply chains and local sourcing efforts. Turkana political elites, in particular, and the only actors with access to enough capital to purchase vehicles and start companies to provide goods to the rapidly increasing number of drilling sites across Turkana County.

To adapt to the increasing threat of communal conflict, Tullow employs informal strategies to manage and prevent conflicts during day-to-day operations. At the local-level, to manage inter-group grievances and suppress outbreaks of violence, the company maintains tight control over conflict narratives. Tullow employees are not allowed to directly speak to local media outlets, reporters, or researchers working on the issue. They are not allowed to engage communities directly during convoys. An anonymous respondent, for instance, described that when the company’s vehicles encounter

192 Patricia Ekadele, County HR Manager, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, July 29, 2014.
roadblocks set up by local Turkana youth militias, they are not allow to exit vehicles. They must return to base and take a community relations liaison to the site to manage the grievance and control information about the conflict. Specific personnel manage public grievances and information related to conflict issues.\(^{195}\)

Tullow makes regular public statements at key peacebuilding events, especially related to projects they are funding in the region. The company is open and transparent about its social efforts, but efforts have not reversed social expectations that gains from oil will benefit outsiders (Vasquez 2013). The community at large is aware of the massive financial gains at stake related to the oil. The company engages in clandestine talks with the national government about resource sharing, which contributes to the communal perception that outsiders, “are coming to drink our oil.”\(^{196}\) Accountability, transparency, and limited cooperation with local labor associations are reported weaknesses of the firm’s approach to violence prevention.\(^ {197}\)

Respondents from local peacebuilding organizations claimed the company did not collaborate with or support local peacebuilding processes. However, in light of deepening grievances and rising local conflicts related to the process of oil extraction, as the County Peace Secretariat described, peacebuilding organizations fill in information gaps: “even though we have little support from the company, local organizations voluntarily extend


\(^{196}\) Elias Ekiyeyes, DPC Chairman, Turkana South, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 1, 2014.

\(^{197}\) Joseph Areng, Turkana County Peace Secretariat, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, July 30, 2014.
Tullow’s efforts. The oil issue is now part of every dialogue and project we do.”

Local peace actors in the area are highly skilled in engaging in high-risk preventive intervention with very few resources due long-running interventions in the larger Karamoja Cluster. With changing conflict dynamics actors within the broad peace coalition shifted efforts toward dampening conflict around oil-related issues—a plausible constraint against further riots.

Conclusions

Tension remained high between local Turkana communities and Kenyan employees working for Tullow operations, but no major riots or acts of violence occurred for two years. Why is this the case? Two primary factors stand out in the case. Turkana political elites and business owners, the primary patrons of local Turkana militias, benefit from start up businesses to provide locally sourced services and resources for Tullow Oil well sites. In short, local powerbrokers, the dominant patrons and supporters of Turkana militias have new economic interests that reduce the likelihood of violence between Turkana communities and external workers.

At the same time, due to rising insecurity in the area, Tullow employs members of Turkana militias, and former KPR officers as private security officers to protect assets and compounds across the area. In short, key actors most likely to use riots and violence to destabilize operations and capture resources, at present, benefit from the process of rapid economic expansion. The most likely spoilers benefit from resource extraction (Brass 1997). Actors with the most power to incite violence have interests related to the

\footnote{Ibid.}
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protection of peace and open trade routes. Local militias and their patrons benefit from the oil industry, making escalation less likely.

Secondly, Turkana County has a very large and broad coalition of civic associations involved in local peacebuilding interventions. The area experienced the rapid expansion of civic associations to manage the massive influx of international donor support to address insecurity along the Western border with Uganda. This process led to the emergence of a strong, coalition of peace actors in the area with broad experience working with multiple CSOs and NGOs on complex peacebuilding programs. For instance, the Catholic Church, with more resources, staff, and logistical capacity than the County government, stands at the center of a very broad set of peace organizations operating across the area.

Even though key peacebuilding organizations broke down in the face of rising political pressure in Turkana County (see chapter five for further analysis), actors from prior associations reformulated new organizations to extend a broad range of preventive actions to contain conflicts related to rapid economic expansion. A broad coalition of local peace organizations works to increase levels of information sharing around Tullow efforts, improve local conflict monitoring, and engage in rapid response mediation when conflicts occur. These two factors contribute to containing conflict in this case, and preventing the outbreak of further riots against Tullow operations and employees.
The Isiolo case is an example of successful preventive intervention through an informal coalition of local civic actors operating outside of official roles in formal organizational structures. The Isiolo conflict corridor experienced a pre-election period of escalation (see, chapter five). However, it did not experience post-election violence as analysts predicted (Menkhaus 2015). Conflict escalated between Borana and Gabra communities in Moyale, and Garre and Degodia communities in Mandera following high stakes elections in 2013. Violence, however, did not occur in Isiolo under similar conditions (Carrier and Kochore 2014).

The Borana-Somali alliance won the most coveted positions in the devolved county system—the governor, Godana Doyo, a Borana; the Deputy Governor, a Somali; and the Senator Mohammed Kuti, from Sakuye. A Turkana representative won one of the MP positions, but a Borana representative, Tiyyah Galgalo, won the new Women’s Representative position. Electoral outcomes were skewed in favor of the Borana – Somali coalition, yet did not trigger violence following the election. What explains this outcome within the longer conflict trajectory? The case study analyzes non-escalation. The narrative draws from interviews with politicians and local peace actors from Isiolo to analyze actions of state actors, civic associations, and communities related to the high threat of post-election violence.
Patterns of Response

Respondents built a case that state support for local peace action prior to the election had a positive effect, preventing outbreaks of violence following the 2013 election.\textsuperscript{199} Due to higher levels of state interest in peace and stability in Isiolo as a necessary condition for resource extraction and international investment, in the lead up to the election the GoK provided additional support to local peace actors and the District Peace Committee. The Isiolo County government conducted multiple peace forums in cooperation with DPCs (Elder, Stigant, and Claes 2014).

The state provided financial support for the DPC system through national peacebuilding organizations including the NSC, NCIC, and the National Drought Management Authority (NDMA), the three biggest state-based organizations with political authority to engage in and support local peace efforts. The Isiolo Inter-agency Forum was set up to help improve collaboration of multiple peace actors in the area. The Governor of Isiolo County, Godana Doyo, expressed support for the forum and its work to deploy peace actors to prevent conflict around the election (Daily Nation, Nov. 11, 2014).

Respondents from Isiolo, however, argue state-led peace forums conducted through the DPC system had a minimal impact (Elder, Stigant, and Claes 2014). In particular, citizens were deeply suspicious of government motives related to the formal peace forums. Media reports following state-led peace forums did not report local grievances related to the failures of state security interventions and the DPC system. In

\textsuperscript{199} Focus Group 4, Dialogue with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 16, 2013.
the words of one respondent, “After peace forums, politicians still go and report that conflict in Isiolo is due to the fact that we are wild, violent, traditional people; when, really what we are telling them in these forums is that they are failing to protect us.”

During state-led peace forums, respondents describe how national-level politicians used social cohesion narratives and Vision 2030, the national development agenda, to try to unify rival groups. Kochore interpreted the use of a national social cohesion agenda as a strategic effort to try to integrate historically marginalized groups into the larger national identity in order to neutralize potential secessionist sentiments among the population in Isiolo (Kochore 2013). The promotion of national cohesion and development agendas did not reduce inter-group suspicion. Local actors remain very skeptical of the new narratives, especially in light of how the state tried to exert power in the past. In the words of a local Borana leader, “the government can’t even stop the Samburu from attacking us, but now they care about ‘development,’ ‘social cohesion,’ and ‘peace’? All we are seeing is outsiders rushing in to grab our land and take our money.”

Outcomes

Even with very little trust in formal peacebuilding interventions in the area and a high level of inter-group fear around the election, a local movement with broad support

---


201 Historically, Isiolo has also served as the home of secessionist movements in the region. It was the primary site of mobilization for Shifta war (see chapter 2).

from multiple civic associations conducted a pre-election pact making campaign. Pastoral Women of Isiolo, as the lead local association, in cooperation with youth groups, and DPC leaders operating outside of their official roles conducted informal dialogues with all candidates. The coalition managed to get every candidate to sign a pact to not engage in or support acts of violence around the election, or following the election.\(^{203}\) Initially, the effort was locally driven. Donor organizations learned of the pre-election pact making campaign, creating a multiplier effect. Saferworld and CJPC provided logistical support for the organization of pre-election dialogues in December of 2012, which provided local peace actors even broader access to political entrepreneurs and an opportunity for all candidates to display support for the pact (Saferworld 2014).

**Conclusion**

The collaborative, ground-up effort ensured that all candidates signed pre-election pacts against the use of violence, creating a new informal institution of accountability between civil society actors and political actors. In the words of Abdia Mohammud, “political aspirants and community representatives all have to all be on the same page to prevent conflict.”\(^{204}\) In this case, an informal coalition of local associations retained capacity to engage in preventive efforts that the DPC could not. The DPC faced pressures related to ethnic political mobilization, undermining its potential to mediate between groups in Isiolo.

\(^{203}\) Shoba Ali, Pastoralist Women, Interview with the author, Isiolo, Kenya, August 18, 2014.

\(^{204}\) Abdia Mohammud, Chairwoman, Isiolo Peacelink, Interview with the author, Isiolo, Kenya, August 20, 2014.
In the Isiolo case, there is evidence that an informal coalition of actors working outside of their official roles in institutions neutralized the threat of post-election violence. Due to the high risk of institutional capture during electoral contests, informal civic associations can play roles in conflict mitigation that more formal institutions cannot. In this case, a coalition of local peace actors coordinated a successful process of pre-election pact making that reduced uncertainty around electoral outcomes, and contributed to the prevention of conflict following tense elections.

**Coalitions and Constraint**

The five cases of limited escalation in this chapter share common features. Civic organizations contained violence within inter-group conflicts through three main mechanisms. Building from local knowledge related to risk factors within particular conflict settings, civic associations collaborated to establish threat monitoring systems and informal community policing systems along the Nyiro Valley conflict corridor and in Sarimo. In the Sarimo, Isiolo, and Nyiro cases, informal coalitions supported processes of pre-movement, and pre-election pact making that played key roles in preventing the escalation of violence following initial acts of aggression.

In the Turkwel Gorge case, local civic associations supported and collaborated with reformed armed actors who played key roles in increasing the likelihood that armed actors would negotiate following the outbreak of violence. Coalitions of different forms, including elders groups, faith-based groups, reformed warrior groups, local peacebuilding
committees, and women’s associations, engaged in collective efforts in all of these cases to contain the escalation of inter-group violence.

The Parikati, Sarima, and Sarimo cases reveal that adaptive, localized protection strategies, specific to minority groups residing within larger inter-ethnic conflict systems can function to reduce the likelihood of indiscriminant, asymmetrical targeting in response to both theft and inter-ethnic clashes—two potential triggers of escalation. In the Parikati and Sarimo cases, covert information sharing, in-group policing, and informal pact making were key mechanisms to contain escalation following deadly attacks between local militias. In the Turkwel Gorge case, villages along the volatile border used mediation skills learned through long-running peacebuilding programming, or reverse engineering of peacebuilding processes, even in the absence of external organizations to coordinate opportunities for preventive bargaining. In these cases there is evidence that preventive adaptations among minority out-group communities living along volatile borders decrease the likelihood of rapid escalation following acts of violence or predation.

At the same time, village level protection tactics, alone, may not be sufficient to fully contain violence. Due to sparse population distribution and the highly mobile nature of ethnic militias in the area, covert informant networks or other village-level adaptations could break down very quickly. A powerful rumor, or even a hint of suspicion of collaboration with a militant group can lead to asymmetrical targeting and escalatory dynamics. The Turkana and Samburu still have a very high among of fear, distrust and inter-group hatred due to a very long history of protracted of inter-group clashes. With
very high levels of suspicion and distrust between neighboring groups, informal coalitions with the capacity to gather broad information through covert informant networks can contain escalation. Strong coalitions restrain mobilization of large groups of armed actors, and delay rapid and direct mobilization in response to attacks by providing multiple platforms for negotiation.
### Table 6: Features of Limited Escalation Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groups</strong></td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana, Pokot, Somali</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana</td>
<td>Turkana – Samburu; Borana - Somali</td>
<td>Gabra, Borana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Symbolic</strong></td>
<td>Y Theft of Poor; Prior Symbolic</td>
<td>N Fear: Revenge</td>
<td>N Grievance: Inequality/Revenge</td>
<td>N Grievance: Inequality</td>
<td>Y Death of activist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Border/Dev/Periphery</strong></td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Border</td>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>Developmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Cohesive Coalition Elite Unity Informal Institutions Militia Trust</strong></td>
<td>Y (intra-group) (informal pact) (militia trust)</td>
<td>Y (inter-group) (informal pact; pre-emptive) (militia trust – integrated KPR)</td>
<td>Y (inter-group) (informal pact) (militia trust – reformed warriors)</td>
<td>Y (intra-group) (militias – benefiting)</td>
<td>Y (inter-group) (informal pact; pre-emptive)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coh ere: resist common threat</td>
<td>coh ere: resist common threat</td>
<td>coh ere: long-term peace agenda</td>
<td>coh ere: long-term peace agenda</td>
<td>coh ere: resist common threat</td>
<td>coh ere: resist common threat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>2 Non-escalation</td>
<td>2 Non-escalation</td>
<td>2 Non-escalation</td>
<td>2 Non-escalation</td>
<td>2 Non-escalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Escalation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Non-escalation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Re-escalation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER FIVE: RECURRING ESCALATION IN NORTHERN KENYA

Cases in chapter four analyzed the extent to which coalitions of civic associations dampened violence across various conflict settings in Northern Kenya. In chapter five, the question is reversed. What factors limit the effectiveness of local coalitions to engage in successful interventions to contain escalation? The cases represent settings in which threat monitoring networks, local peace processes and informal pacts, and informal communal protection strategies failed to contain the re-escalation of ethnic violence.

Narratives for five conflicts in which local peacebuilding actors engaged in preventive intervention yet violence still escalated provide a platform for comparison of factors that may undermine preventive measures. In cases where civic organizations had prior success in containing conflict, symbolic acts of violence or the use of indiscriminant force by state actors undermined trust between local associations and militia patrons, increasing the likelihood of escalation. The relationship between modes of state and non-state response to local inter-ethnic violence affect patterns of escalation in this set of cases.
Table 7: Recurring Escalation Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>West Pokot, Isiolo, and Mandera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The Turbi Massacre (2005)

The Turbi Massacre is a case of non-state conflict in which responses to threats ultimately did not prevent mass collective violence. Prior to the massacre, multiple civic associations coordinated negotiations using customary rules for conflict management, established informal threat-monitoring networks, and supported local communal protection strategies. Notwithstanding, violence escalated in July of 2005. A group of 1,000 Borana crossed the border from Ethiopia and attacked the village of Turbi, a small trading post in Northern Marsabit County.²⁰⁵

During the raid, the Borana militia directly targeted Turbi Primary School. The group wielded machetes, shot students, and killed an infant with a rock, making it one of the most severe acts of ethnic violence in Kenya’s history (Mwangi 2006). Casualty reports vary.²⁰⁶ The NSC conducted an official government inquiry, reporting 50 fatalities and 25 injuries during the initial attack at Turbi, with 50 additional fatalities.

²⁰⁵ Turbi is a small village with a population of 1,300 people. It sits on the border between Gabra and Borana dominated territories in Northern Marsabit County.

²⁰⁶ The UCDP non-state conflict database estimates between 68 – 95 fatalities.
over the course of three days of violence that spread to other towns including Maikona, Kalacha, and Marsabit (NSC 2005).

Conflict Context

Dr. Bonaye Godana, a prominent Gabra politician from North Horr rose to national political prominence in the late 1980s, increasing political tension between the Gabra and Borana. Borana, historically, dominated political positions in Marsabit County. Godana’s appointment as Kenya’s Foreign Minister in 1998 was a threat to the status quo. Menkhaus elaborates: “Godana presided over Kenyan foreign policy during a time when Kenya agreed to work with Ethiopia to combat the OLF, which the Ethiopian government considered a terrorist organization but many Boran Oromo viewed as a legitimate liberation movement (Menkhaus 2015, 120). Notwithstanding, inter-group relations remained stable. Militias from both groups formed alliances to protect integrated settlements from Rendille, Samburu, and Dassanetch aggression.\(^{207}\)

Informants trace the conflict in Turbi back to a localized incident in August of 2002, in which a minor inter-group dispute over an outstanding debt turned deadly, with one Borana fatality.\(^ {208}\) In response, the Gabra conducted a revenge raid and stole 800 livestock. With rising threat of attacks, elders convened an informal negotiation to

\(^{207}\) Ibrahim Sora, CIFA, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 12, 2014. Both the Gabra and Borana have ethnic ties the cut across the border with Ethiopia. Both groups speak the same variant of Cushitic language, with an Oromo variation. Due to language similarity, there has been a very high level of intermarriage between Gabra and Borana, historically. Historically, the two groups engaged in a strong militia alliance, called the “Worr Liban alliance” during clashes against Maasai to take control of Marsabit area during the 1950s and 60s (see also, Schlee 1985).

\(^{208}\) Jeremiah Omar, Former DPC Chairman, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.
prevent further incitement. One week later, on September 15th, 2002, the Marsabit DPC coordinated a follow up dialogue. Mediators used the Garissa Declaration as a foundation for dialogue around restitution. Both groups rejected high rate of compensation: 100 cattle for murder, and a repayment scheme of 3:1 for stolen livestock.\footnote{Tumal Orto, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 13, 2014.}

After failed negotiations, informal resource-sharing agreements broke down around Turbi. Gabra and Borana communities began to claim exclusive control over water points. Due to rising tension, local civic organizations intervened. Two local civic associations based in Marsabit, PISP (Gabra) and CIFA (Borana), in cooperation with the Marsabit District Peace Committee convened a cross-border peace meeting in Yabello, Ethiopia in November 2003. With backing from the DPC, the Marsabit County Government promised to enforce the peace agreement. Elders, in response, signed the Yabello pact, which held over the course of 2003. However, settlements did not re-integrate. Borana did not resettle in Turbi, out of fear of potential revenge attacks. In February 2004, Gabra elders from the Moyale DPC organized peace meetings in Turbi and Marsabit, but when the external mediation team arrived in Turbi, the meeting collapsed after a small-scale riot. The long series of local peace processes stabilized conditions, but did not resolve the conflict between the Gabra and Borana.

In April of 2005, in the lead up to national elections in 2006, the District Commissioner (DC) disbanded DPCs in Moyale and Marsabit. Formally, the District Security and Intelligence Committee (DSIC) provided oversight for DPCs. In practice, they were informal organizations with backing from donor organizations. OXFAM-GB
and ITDG provided the bulk of support for the Marsabit DPC. The District Commissioner appointed religious leaders as chairmen, who then relied on personal and church-based networks to form and maintain informal early warning systems, coordinate rapid responses to clashes, and support local peace processes. Prior leaders of the Marsabit DPC system claim it was a strong, independent organizational framework with a record of successful conflict prevention across Marsabit County.

From the perspective of former DPC leaders, politicians dissolved the system because, “it was too strong—politicians wanted to get us out of the way to be able to use the conflict to win the next election.”\textsuperscript{210} The DC claimed he disbanded the DPCs because, “they stepped outside of their mandate—the organization became too involved in security issues that County Government should control not the DPC” (National Steering Committee on Peacebuilding and Conflict Management 2005, 12). Multiple respondents supported the view that political elites disbanded the DPC because it was one of the only neutral institutions in Marsabit with the potential capacity to undermine Borana political strategies.

Notwithstanding, even after the DPC collapsed, on May 23\textsuperscript{rd}, 2005, PISP, CIFA, and mediators from the Diocese of Marsabit convened a dialogue in Maikona to take forward the local peace process. Both groups agreed on a shared compensation rate for the 2002 Turbi raids: stolen livestock returned a rate of 1:1, and communal compensation for murder based on the Borana tradition of 40 cattle or the monetary equivalent. Both

\textsuperscript{210} Jeremiah Omar, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.
groups paid restitution for the 2002 events on June 30, 2005 in Maikona.\(^{211}\) The local truce, however, was broken, rapidly. On June 5\(^{th}\), 2005 a Gabra militia attacked and killed five Borana near Moyale. The attack triggered a series of increasingly severe revenge attacks. Gabra raided Hurri Hills, killed a Borana chief, and one Borana KPR. The killing of the Borana Chief triggered acts of revenge in Marsabit town, with two fatalities and five homes burned.

The following week, police deployed additional KPR to the area. Borana politicians led a peace mission, collaborating with local peace actors to try to secure another truce. In the midst of a flurry of preventive intervention, the key trigger to very rapid escalation and major cleansing occurred on July 1\(^{st}\), 2005. A Gabra militia attacked and killed six Borana youth in the village of Forole. In the words of a respondent, “it was in no way acceptable for the Borana—killing those boys in their sleep.”\(^{212}\) In response, Borana living in Ethiopia mobilized a large group of actors to conduct a major attack at Turbi.

Informants indicate information about plans for the Turbi attack traveled broadly, up to two weeks prior to the massacre.\(^{213}\) There was basic early information warning of the group mobilizing. For example, reports from the NSC fact-finding mission indicate Gabra children withdrew from school in Turbi during the week prior to the attack (NSC 2005). Even with rumors of the movement of the large band of attackers spreading

\(^{211}\) Tumal Orto, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 13, 2014.

\(^{212}\) Ibid.

\(^{213}\) Stephen Gorai, Interview with the author, Marsabit, Kenya, September 11, 2014.
broadly, there was no preventive response. The Borana militia attacked Turbi on July 12, 2005. The nature of violence was symbolic. The main target of the attack was the center of social life in the village—the primary school. As news of the attack spread, violence escalated. Attacks occurred across multiple rural villages: “in Bubisa 10 passengers were dragged out of a mission vehicle and murdered in the trading center. The victims were Gabra, Catholic evangelists from Sololo” (NSC 2005,10). In Maikona, Gabra killed one Borana and burned 10 homes burned, and in Kalacha, most Borana fled rapidly, but Gabra burned 20 Borana homes.  

Outcomes

Accusations of political involvement followed the massacre. Tension increased between the two dominant Borana MPs from Marsabit, Abdi Tari Sasura and Guracha Galgallo, and the Gabra MP, Dr. Bonaya Godana. Gabra politicians aggressively contested Borana dominance (Schlee 2008). One year after the Turbi massacre, on April 10, 2006, Godana died in a plane crash in Marsabit, along with 10 other passengers; three of the 14 survived.

---


215 Carrier and Kochore describe, “Dr. Godana was seen as the chief architect of a distinct Gabra political and cultural identity that countered the Borana hegemony” (Carrier and Kochore 2014, 141; see also, Schlee and Shongolo 2012). Godana was an active proponent for the Gabra in a political system dominated by the Borana, and a predominant national figure, rising to the position of deputy leader of KANU.

216 Other prominent politicians died in the crash, including, internal security assistant minister Mirugi Kariuki, and MPs Abdi Sasura (Saku), Titus Ngoyoni (Laisamis), Guracha Galgalo (Moyale) and Abdullahi Aden (East Africa Legislative Assembly MP).
The flight was in route to Moyale for peace talks to address ongoing inter-communal clashes between the Borana and Gabra along the border with Ethiopia. The official report indicated the plane crashed into the side of Marsabit Mountain due to an unexpected weather change. However, Gabra claim Borana politicians organized the crash to prevent the Gabra from gaining political power following the Turbi Massacre. In the words of a local activist, “Godana was eliminated because he was about to take the government to court over Turbi, he had documents on the case, and Borana in the government organized to take him out. They paid the pilots to take their own lives.”

In the wake of the massacre, anthropologists who study the area marked distinctive shifts in inter-ethnic group differentiation in terms of identity and traditional religious practice (Watson 2010).

The severity of violence used in the Turbi massacre in 2005 and the following events ossified a deep division between the Boran and Gabra. The event also triggered extensive cooperation among organizations working to restore peace in the area, which had a side effect of forming and extending a broad local peacebuilding constituency along the border. Tumal Orto argues the post-Turbi reconciliation processes led to the formation of extensive threat-monitoring networks among elders at the village level. After the DPC collapsed, an informal coalition of peace actors assembled Borana and Gabra elders to implement a long-term peace process to address deep grievances from the Turbi Massacre. Tumal Orto states:

A lot of NGOs were trying to bring peace after Turbi, but nothing was working. In 2006 and 2007 we were all involved in all types of dialogues that did not help—nothing was working; nobody was agreeing to anything. So we started our own peace process between the Borana and Gabra. Our strategy was to forget about NGOs and the government and to go directly to the elders. We took yaa and gadda members and their appointees and held meetings with them, under trees, in every village along the border, engaging local elders leaders from both countries.  

After three years of informal negotiations, Borana and Gabra elders agreed to a peace declaration, signed in Maikona. Gabra and Borana elders signed the Maikona/Walda declaration on July 29th, 2009. The declaration articulated rules for compensation for killing, and the roles of traditional elders in enforcement of compensation. Livestock markets re-integrated after almost three years of full separation and ongoing violence after the 2009.

Although violence escalated rapidly within Moyale town in 2012 and 2013, respondents claim, the post-Turbi peacebuilding process constructed an informal, rural coalition of conflict monitors with a shared interest in preventing political conflict from undermining a hard-fought peace agreement. In particular, after reports of violence in Moyale spread, elders within the network report rapidly engaging in local peace messaging with three primary persuasive tactics, including interpreting the episode as an urban problem rather than an ethnic conflict in order to limit its spread, reinforcing and traditional restitution rules established in the Maikona Walda Declaration and articulating

---

218 Tumal Orto Galdibe, Interview with the author. Marsabit, Kenya, September 13, 2014.
the high communal cost or organizing attacks, and deploying local protection units to
increase monitoring and scouting across rural areas surrounding Moyale.\textsuperscript{219}

Informants also claimed that that the nature of prior state actions in the area,
including shaming of local leaders caused elders to avoid engagement with the politics of
Moyale violence, and rely on local protection processes to contain escalation.\textsuperscript{220} It
remains plausible that informal grassroots efforts played a role in containing the spread of
violence beyond the town of Moyale in 2013 (see chapter three).

Conclusions

The Turbi case presents a set of compounding factors that led to the escalation of
mass collective violence, even with a broad coalition of local peace groups engaged in
significant preventive action. Prior to the massacre, the Borana and Gabra cooperated
extensively and shared many common social characteristics. A complex set of pressures
related to spillovers from the OLF movement in Ethiopia systematically increased tension
between the two groups. A highly local conflict event triggered a dispute that local peace
organizations struggled to resolve through series of broken peace pacts. Broken pacts
increased inter-group suspicion, settlements disintegrated, and contestation over land and
grazing rights increased. Political tension between group elites deepened the conflict,
creating a window for mass collective violence targeting an unprotected village.


\textsuperscript{220} Peter Gakunyi, Interview with the author. Marsabit, Kenya, September 10, 2014.
Escalation and restraint work in tandem. Following the massacre, local peace processes helped form and expand the number of civic organizations engaged in local peacebuilding efforts across the area, which, some informants claim played a key role in containing violence in the Moyale case of 2012 – 2013. In the Turbi incident violence between Gabra and Borana spread across multiple villages, but in 2012, violence between Borana and Gabra in Moyale concentrated in a single town.


The Turkana – Pokot border conflict is a case of non-state, inter-ethnic group conflict. The conflict is included in the UCDP database, which shows that after a five-year period without major clashes between 2008 – 2013, violence escalated in 2013 leading to over 70 fatalities. Cross-border dynamics play a role in the case. Uganda military intervention contained inter-group conflict on the Uganda side of the border.\(^{221}\) On the Kenya side of the border, in West Pokot,\(^{222}\) conflict between the Pokot\(^{223}\) and

---

\(^{221}\) Uganda approached the escalation of violence in the Karamoja Cluster and aggression by Pokot militias differently than Kenya. Uganda invested in a long-term “boots on the ground” campaign to forcibly disarm local militias involved in raiding, as has effectively closed the border.

\(^{222}\) West Pokot County, in Northwestern Kenya, borders Uganda to the West and Turkana County to the North. It is classified as an arid and semi-arid region but, geographically, has a highland-lowland split. The Southern part of West Pokot is at higher elevation, along the Rift Valley escarpment. The region is very mountainous and has poor infrastructure the Northern part of the County is very flat, semi-arid desert, more similar to geological conditions in Turkana County. Impassable, but seasonal rivers cut through the area, creating many pockets that bandits use for protection. The topography and geography of the area make it a very good location for evading formal security actors (Bollig 2010).

\(^{223}\) The Pokot ethnic group has roughly 220,000 Pokot members spread out across West Pokot and Baringo counties. The Pokot identify as a sub-group of larger “Kalenjin” identity group that was constructed under the rise of the Moi regime to counter the national political dominance of the Kikuyu (see Kipkorir, the Marakwet of Kenya, 1982).
Turkana escalated between 2013 – 2014, even under the watch of a large coalition of peacebuilding organizations operating in the area (UN-OCHA 2015).

Context of Conflict

On September 4th of 2013, a Turkana militia killed two Pokot warriors in a raid near Kainuk. In direct response a Pokot militia invaded the village of Lorogon, killing three Turkana men and injuring one woman and two children (Sabahi News 2013). The militia used trees to block the roads into and out of the village prior to the attack, and held the village for one week. The militia blocked the road between Kainuk and Turkel Gorge, and shot at vehicles traveling along the main road between Kitale and Lodwar to prevent access to the village. The area MP and CSOs traveling to the area to conduct peace talks were not allowed access to the village. At the same time, the Pokot held three police camps under siege with reports identifying two police fatalities.

The siege of Lorogon triggered a cycle of clashes between Turkana and Pokot militias along the border between West Pokot and Turkana leading to over 20 fatalities between September and December of 2013 all along the 200-kilometer border, including Lorogon, Kainuk, Nakwamoru, and Kapedo. The conflict continued through 2014 when another major clash occurred outside the village of Kapedo. On November 1st 2014, a Pokot militia ambushed and attacked a police convoy. They killed over 24 Turkana KPR and administrative police in route to address reports of increased militia

224 Dominic Kimengitch, Bishop, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, August 1, 2014.
activity in Kapedo. The militia stole a GSU vehicle from one of the police camps (Francas 2014).

Patterns of Response

In response to conflict escalation between the Pokot and Turkana, the GoK deployed teams of security actors and political elites to intervene. In this case, due to the relationship between development gains and conflict dynamics, the state deployed high-level members of the Senate Security Committee, including Yusuf Haji, to mediate. To date, an agreement has not been reached. Local, clan-based peace committees, called Adakar225 Peace and Development Committees, are in place across the border area. They formed during a long-running CEWARN program on conflict monitoring in the Karamoja Cluster that ended in 2010.226 Local leaders of the adakar committees collaborate with the Turkana District Peace and Development Committee in processes of conflict monitoring and early warning. Local DPC chairpersons work voluntarily, reporting very little success in dampening violence due to the increased risk of threat from local Pokot militias, and the lack of external support.227

An extensive network of civic associations specifically committed to peacebuilding activities in Turkana County, over 30 CSOs in total,228 is in place due to

225 “Adakar” is like a clan in Turkana—a group of families living under leadership of a dominant elder.


227 Elias Ekiyeyes, DPC Chairman, Turkana South, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, August 1, 2014.

228 For example, the National Council of Churches of Kenya (NCCK), a very powerful and well organized inter-denominational organization with a long history of peacebuilding work in Kenya, first engaged in
extensive international donor support related to conflicts in the Karamoja Cluster and increasing support from the GoK for local peacebuilding efforts. Even with very clear understanding of current conflict drivers, and resources for intervening along the conflict border, civic associations have very little capacity to contain violence along the border between Turkana and West Pokot. Respondents indicate that capacity of local civic organizations to contain conflict in this case is limited due to dilemmas of coordination, and unique conditions that cause Pokot militias to use violence against peacebuilding actors.

Uganda addressed insecurity in the Karamoja Cluster through military intervention, which had unintended effects for local peace processes between the Pokot and Turkana. In particular, forceful disarmament campaigns in Uganda heightened suspicion and fear among the Pokot and Turkana that the state would engage in similar disarmament tactics in Kenya. Daniel Edaan describes, “Disarmament in Uganda caused Pokot militias to stop engaging with us in peace negotiations. They are very suspicious of anyone they think will take their weapons, or report the situation to the government.”

Militia groups and the communities supporting them are less willing to engage with civic groups involved in peacebuilding work in the area. The nature of aggressive disarmament in Uganda increased suspicion of outside actors involved in peace work.

---

peace work in Turkana in 1992, with a program titled, the “Community Peace Building and Development Project.” The Catholic Justice and Peace Commission has been active in the area and has worked in close cooperation with local CSOs, such as POKATUSA on local peace issues. Other key actors in the field of peace building in Turkana County include: the CAPE Unit of AU/IBAR, the MAPOTU Peace Initiative with support from World Vision-Kenya, and VSF Belgium.

Pokot militias use force to repel NGOs, CSOs, and peace operations. Attacks have occurred during peace meetings, or right after causing high-ranking staff among NGOs and larger organizations to avoid taking the risks of engaging in the conflict zone. In response, local organizations withdrew staff from remote field stations, limiting on-the-ground presence of external organizations that could monitor militia movement. With the conflict intensifying, there is a significant amount of financial support available for peacebuilding along the Turkana – Pokot border area, but CSOs are not physically present along the border. NGOs working on the issue have urban bases and outsource high-risk peace work to voluntary local peace committees.

POKATUSA, for example, one of the most locally oriented CSOs, coordinates negotiations in areas away from the border because negotiators are not willing to travel to and conduct dialogues in the area. Militias and patrons send intermediaries to travel to dialogues who have no control over militia behaviors, making mediation, “a game of repeated and broken agreements between actors who have no power to control violence border.”

The lead organization in Turkana that had prior success in containing violence between Turkana and Pokot militia collapsed due to corruption, also undermining the legitimacy of peacebuilding organizations in the area. In response to insecurity in the Karamoja cluster, a grassroots peace association called the Turkana District Peace and Development Committee formed, and later shifted its name to the Riam-Riam Peace Network. Former youth militia leaders built the organization and were successful in

---

230 Neven Knezevic, UNICEF HARP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 12, 2014.
improving crisis response in the larger Karamoja cluster region. Riam-Riam formed a broad network of informants across the county from multiple local associations, ethnic groups, and clans.

Following attacks, Riam Riam assembled teams of first responders of actors with direct clan connections in the reported location. Response teams traveled directly to conflict sites to coordinate targeted peacebuilding responses. The group was very effective in preventing escalation and coordinating rapid negotiations and informal restitution payments. News of the success of the local association traveled widely, and the organization received donor support from Oxfam. However, leaders of Riam, Riam gained political support for improving local security, which caused leaders within the organization to shift into politics. In the words of Daniel Kiroket, “peace and politics do not mix.”

The high cost of engaging in politics incentivized theft of organizational resources, and leaders of the organization embezzled donor funds to run a political campaign. The collapse of the peace network shortly followed.

Outcomes

In this case, the discovery of oil triggered the perception among the Pokot of increasing wealth, weapons, and power among the Turkana. It also increased expectations of state support for the Turkana to prevent Turkana mobilization against Tullow Oil sites (see, chapter four). The Pokot presume the GoK will use force against local militias

---

231 Daniel Kiroket, MCC, Interview with the author, Lodwar, Kenya, July 30, 2014.

protect the Turkana and maintain access to oil. It also intensified land claims. Pokot militia actors stated, “Lokichar was our land before the Turkana pushed us from there with guns.” With these two expectations, Pokot militias now employ increasingly aggressive attacks to undermine an expected rise in both power and state protection for the Turkana. This has detrimental effects for local peacebuilding organizations—they are also targeted.

The nature of Pokot aggression suggests direct intent to capture and control territory with Turkana County—a more intensive form of aggression than in prior conflict events between the two groups. Prior conflicts between the Turkana and Pokot had little to do with the problem of inequality. Both groups were equally poor and marginalized. This condition changed with the discovery of significant oil reserves in Turkana County. Patrons of Pokot militias now support efforts of Pokot militias to gain access to areas with oil resources, with targeting focused on the locations of Orwo, Marich, or Alale that have minority Pokot settlements, but are located within Turkana County. Pokot militias rely on informants from these locations for support and information. In the most recent incident at Kapedo, for example, most violence was directed toward state actors who were attempting to control and limit boundary expansion of the Pokot, rather than against Turkana civilians.

Pokot militias use violence to directly target Turkana groups, threaten the state, and establish settlements in areas most likely to benefit from oil and expansion of markets and services. With the discovery of oil, violence has a new functional value for

---

accessing territory and oil wealth. Civic associations have little capacity to contain the violent rent seeking behavior of Pokot militias.

Civic actors face high risk in mediating the conflict and have little legitimacy due to disarmament campaigns in Uganda, was well as prior organizational failures. Rather than engaging in high-risk interventions, peace organizations operate from urban centers, using resources to conduct ad hoc peace negotiations with intermediaries who do not have power to control militia behavior. Even in the presence of a broad coalition of local peace organizations supporting threat-monitoring networks and platforms for negotiating and enforcing informal rules, preventive actions have failed to contain escalation in this case.

**The Isiolo Triangle (2009 – 2012)**

Isiolo is a case of non-state, inter-ethnic group conflict that occurred even the presence of a broad coalition of peacebuilding organizations. Preventive intervention in this case failed to contain escalation. From late 1996 – 2002, violence escalated across the Isiolo Triangle. Assessment reports from the time period estimate 1,200 fatalities occurred due to inter-ethnic group clashes, with up to 300,000 animals stolen in raids and counter raids (CEWARN 2004). Local militia strategies changed to include village burnings and targeting of women and children (Goldsmith 1997). In 2002, coordinated efforts of local civic associations and the District Peace Committee restored peace (UNDP 2006). Local peace actors contained violence following a series of major clashes. However, even with a relatively robust coalition of local peacebuilding organizations
established in Isiolo, violence re-escalated in 2009 and 2012. Preventive responses did not contain collective violence in this case.

Context of Conflict

In 1997, the year of the second national multi-party election, Borana militias raided Degodia settlements in Eastern Isiolo. The attacks triggered a cycle of raids and counter-raids that led to over 140 fatalities and the loss 17,500 cattle (Goldsmith 1997). The large-scale nature of theft and the deadliness of attacks left a deep sense of grievance between the Borana and Degodia.\textsuperscript{234} Prior to the initial attacks, reports claim Borana political elites used clandestine intra-ethnic networks to provide militias with weapons and information about large and vulnerable livestock populations belonging to Degodia groups immigrating into Western Isiolo.\textsuperscript{235} Analysts indicate incumbent politicians armed Borana militias to displace Somali populations aligned with opposition political parties, rather than KANU (Brown 2003). Political elites engaged in intensive competition over land and political representation directly incited attacks (Menkhaus 2005, 15). Elites used resource conflict as a platform to cloak political violence (Kimenyi and Njuguna 2005).

After the outbreak of violence in 1997, state intervention failed to control clashes. The GoK fired local politicians accused of supporting Borana militias, including MP for Isiolo North, Charfano Mokko. Dismissals triggered local demonstrations against the government for victimizing the Borana (Daily Nation, “Root Causes of Somali-Borana

\textsuperscript{234} Focus Group 4, Dialogue with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 16, 2013.

\textsuperscript{235} James Ndungu, Saferworld, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 24, 2014.
Conflicts,” May 14, 2000). Grassroots organizations, eventually, stabilized communal relations (Oxfam 2003; Menkhaus 2005; UNDP 2010). The Isiolo District Peace Committee played a critical role for stabilization as a hub for conflict monitoring and early warning. The District Peace Committee provided civic associations with detailed information related to threats of impending attacks, and local chiefs with direct access to militia intelligence. Local militia patrons cooperated with DPC leaders who then shared dynamics of potential threats across the region.236 The extension of threat-monitoring networks, at least, stabilized the conflict.

After the first major wave of violence, respondents report that elders played a key role in dampening conflict around following elections in 2002 through the practice of “negotiated democracy.”237 Elders from all major ethnic communities in the region engaged in processes of negotiation over candidate selection in the lead up to elections. Candidates for each post were selected for each position, “receiving the anointing of the elders.”238 Informal negotiations limited the need for political candidates to engage in process of population manipulation to ensure they would be well positioned to become candidates. Respondents suggest the practice of negotiated democracy and the development of stronger monitoring and early warning systems stabilized inter-group relations and reduced clashes for a period of six years.239


237 Hussein Mursale, Isiolo DPC, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 14, 2014.
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Following a long period of peace after 2002, in 2007, electoral dynamics sparked another wave of escalation. The Borana are the majority ethnic group in Isiolo North and Isiolo South, the two electoral constituencies in Isiolo County. The Borana have a long history of political dominance in both districts. In 2007, Joseph Samaal, a Turkana candidate, ran for the MP seat in Isiolo North and nearly won the election. Mohamed Kuti, a Sakuye candidate with a strong alliance with the Borana, only narrowly won the seat. The narrow victory threatened Borana political dominance in Isiolo North.

In response to the near loss, fearing the Borana constituency was too divided among clans, Borana leaders reorganized internally to re-unite the group. The unification strategy involved coordinated efforts to increase pressure on Turkana and Samburu settlements in Northern Isiolo County. The Borana organized around a shared goal of preventing Turkana and Somali groups from establishing settlements in Isiolo County. These efforts led to more frequent attacks on minority group villages.

Intra-Borana unification efforts also had a secondary effect—the formation of new political alliances. In response to increasing intra-Borana organization and aggression, Samburu and Turkana communities formed a minority alliance. The Borana and Degodia, also prior enemies from major attacks in 1998, formed a majority alliance. Local respondents called the Borana-Somali alliance the Cushitic bloc. The alliances formed along lines of, in Shamaro’s terms, cultural affinities. The Samburu and Turkana shared a larger Nilotic, pastoralist identity, and the Borana and Somali shared a larger

---

240 Hussein Mursale, Isiolo DPC Chairman, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 14, 2014.

241 Ibid.
Islamic identity, as well as a common language. Cultural affinity functioned as a framework, in both cases, for forming alliances among conflicting ethnic groups. Rising risk of armed aggression based on ethnic identities re-shaped the conflict trajectory (Shamaro 2014). Cross-ethnic alliances increased the offensive and defensive capacity of local militias, and expanded indigenous intelligence gathering capacity.

The outcomes of the 2007 county elections set up a path toward increasingly deadly attacks between the Samburu – Borana. Political leaders from the Samburu-Turkana alliance publically articulated a clear goal to unseat the Borana in Isiolo North. The Borana - Somali alliance articulated a similar goal, “to clear the area of Samburu and Turkana” (Shamaro 2014, 7). Political alliances shaped violent behavior. Seemingly traditional pastoralist acts of raiding cloaked politically motivated violence designed to undermine Borana power, dominance, and territorial control.242

The effects are visible in a steady increase in raiding behavior and attacks that played out along the political cleavage. Between 2009 – 2013, estimates indicate inter-group clashes caused between 165 – 300 fatalities in Isiolo County (Saferworld Report 2009; Alternet 2011, East Africa Standard 2013, ACLED 2013). Most acts of aggression during the time period targeted the dominant ethnic group—the Borana. Samburu and Turkana militias collaborated through clandestine networks, sharing information related to opportunities to attack Borana militias and settlements. In this period, the Samburu243

242 Hassan Kochore, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 8, 2014.

243 Samburu *moran* who live and raise cattle in Isiolo County have very strong and well-organized standing youth militia. Turkana, also have a well organized youth protection system, as do the Rendille, with a similar level of militia organization. However, there is an imbalance of militia capacity in the Isiolo case.
initiated the majority of offensive raids, with the Borana organizing very deadly, asymmetrical counter-attacks.

Patterns of Response

State efforts to contain conflict in Isiolo had unintended consequences. The state established new police institutions across the Isiolo triangle, including anti-stock theft units. Under the oversight of Commander Nelson Okioga, police trained security forces to counter livestock raids, and were based in joint camps with rapid deployment units (RDU). The police conducted disarmament operations. For example, in Western Isiolo, during the initial wave of escalation in 2007, Samburu militias agreed to voluntary disarmament, but only after the GoK promised to improving security, including the allocation of more police and rapid defense forces, more development projects including water points, schools, improvements in roads, and equality in disarmament among neighboring communities (UNDP 2010). Less than one month after the campaign, Borana raiders attacked. The event raised suspicion toward the government and led to rapid re-armament across the Samburu community.

After failed voluntary disarmament, in 2009, KDF intervened in clashes between the Samburu and Borana in Western Isiolo with the intent of disarming Samburu militias. During the operation, Samburu killed four KDF soldiers. Samburu claimed that they

---

Borana are seen as weaker targets to the Samburu than the Turkana or Pokot. Local Borana and Somali groups do not have same level of organization and number of armed actors. They do not have moran systems, so they depend on different methods for communal and resource protection. The KPR, self-arming, elders carrying arms or hiring private mercenaries, and the maintenance of links to OLF militants function to provide the Borana with for skills, training, weapons to counter the force of other armed militias. Hired mercenaries is also common in the area, especially in urban centers.
attacked because the military aligned with the Borana, while the Borana claimed
Samburu militias had been alerted of pending military strikes through insider networks
that allowed them time to design an effective defense strategy against the military. Failed
disarmament campaigns in the area undermined communal willingness to disarm, and
raised suspicions that state actors directly arm ethnic groups when it is in their interest to
do so.\textsuperscript{244} Respondents indicate that armed militias view disarmament campaigns as
moments of weakness. After disarmament campaigns, opportunistic militias commonly
attack disarmed groups.\textsuperscript{245}

In 2009, over 5,000 IDPs fled to Isiolo due to rising violence. In response, in July
of 2009, the GoK secretively allocated 300 weapons to the Borana KPR as a response to
rising aggression by Samburu and Rendille militias. Reports of MPs and politicians
unloading trucks with arms and ammunition at night to the Borana leaked to Samburu
and Turkana militias. The logic of allocating arms to Borana was based on a long-held
assumption that the state could generate an informal balance of power to reduce Samburu
and Turkana aggression.\textsuperscript{246} However, it had the opposite effect.

Arms allocation through the KPR system increased suspicion of state support to
undermine the Turkana and Samburu alliance, and introduced new grievances around
inequality in the provision of weapons. Inequality in state distribution of arms led to fear
of Borana domination causing the Samburu to organize to ensure all \textit{moran} in the area

\textsuperscript{244} James Ndungu, Saferworld, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 24, 2014.
\textsuperscript{245} Halkano Bukuno, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 21, 2014.
\textsuperscript{246} Ndungu, Saferworld, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, September 24, 2014.
were better armed than the Borana. Samburu and Turkana militias organized local protection groups to defend against an increased threat of Borana attacks, and engaged in more offensive raids against Borana communities along the borders to undermine the capacity of the Borana to mobilize against the Samburu (Saferworld 2009; UNDP 2010).

Allocation of weapons in the pursuit of an ethnic balance of power also intensified the political dynamics of the conflict. Local political entrepreneurs began using KPR arms allocation to campaign: “Politicians told their groups, ‘I will secure you, and get you more weapons for our people, if I am in power.’” Samburu politicians claimed that,

“the PNU [Party of National Unity] side of government [Borana – Somali alliance], with lobbying from the Isiolo North MP and Minister for Livestock Development, have resorted to arming supporters in order to punish the ODM sympathizers [Turkana – Samburu alliance]” (UNDP 2010, 10).

Informal armament of KPR actors increased inter-group tension and depended perceptions of state inequality.

Political elites used the conflict between the Samburu and Borana to consolidate support for national political parties. For example, in 2009, the government, with Mwai Kibaki as head of the PNU party, sent the KDF to engage in a disarmament campaign against Samburu in Samburu East. Raila Odinga, Prime Minister, but in the opposition ODM party traveled to the area after the disarmament campaign and accused the government of human rights as a means to secure political support for ODM from the

---
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Samburu. The Samburu–Turkana alliance aligned with ODM; whereas, the Somali–Borana alliance aligned with PNU.²⁴⁸

State responses in rising insecurity in the area provided the Borana with evidence of how ethnic alliances influence state security interventions, and impact whether or not dominant national political parties choose to support local militias, engage in disarmament campaigns, or even prevent disarmament campaigns in particular areas. If an ethnic group has access to national power through alliances with the dominant party, disarmament campaigns can be prevented.

This dynamic raised the stakes of 2013 elections, and played into the process of escalation in Isiolo. Accessing political control became directly associated with control over which groups would be disarmed. Both groups saw the state as a potential enemy, triggering militia mobilization and self-protection unit formation, as well as very aggressive approaches to ensure access to local political power.²⁴⁹ As conflict escalated between the two inter-ethnic group alliances, political interests led to the capture of the District Peace Committee, which functioned as a central hub for the coordination of preventive action.²⁵⁰

As Hussein Mursal describes, “DPCs were formed by the community, but hijacked by politicians. DCs captured donor resources directed to the DPCs, and lost their


²⁴⁹ Hussein Mursale, Isiolo DPC Chairman, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 14, 2014.

original purpose and spirit of voluntarism."251 Similar to the Marsabit case, the Isiolo DPC lost capacity to operate as a neutral organization. The success of DPC leaders during the period of peace made them valuable information sources for political candidates. DPC leaders were gatekeepers of information needed for candidates to develop strategies for campaigns. MPs and political entrepreneurs from the area looked to DPC leaders for information about threats, mobilization processes, and peace processes.

During negotiations with political candidates, DPC leaders were offered incentives for information they needed for positioning and campaigning, such as the dynamics of local peace negotiations, political positions of local elders and area chiefs, party alignment and degrees of support, territorial control, and the severity of threats in particular areas. When actors from both sides of the conflict realized DPC leaders were not neutral and shared information with political elites, the larger coalition of local peace actors broke down and the Isiolo DPC system ceased to function as a key hub for the coordination of peace activities.252

Outcomes

The process of devolution and local government reform led communities in the area to make increasingly aggressive claims over territorial control and position youth militias in disputed border areas. Small-scale demographic shifts triggered the assumption among the Borana that Turkana and Samburu settlements would help provide routes for armed raiders from outside of the area, such as the Pokot, to raid Borana

251 Hussein Mursale, Isiolo DPC Chairman, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 14, 2014.

252 Daniel Kiptugen, Oxfam, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 16, 2013.
settlements. Reported communal goals of attacks were twofold: to maximize harm and damage on enemy groups, and to reduce the likelihood of direct and rapid revenge. Escalation led to the expansion of covert information gathering networks across the area, and youth militias developed increasingly sophisticated forms of tracking and cloaking techniques.

With the presence of dense informant networks, the difficulty of entering an area without being noticed, even for a small-armed group to conduct an attack, required a high level of intra-group cooperation as well as inter-group cooperation across trans-ethnic alliances. To reduce risk of direct retaliation, groups adopted increasingly sophisticated cloaking strategies such as entering an area through the territory of an enemy (or non-allied) ethnic group to redirect a potential revenge response. Informants claimed: “if you can’t trust your neighbors not to collaborate with armed militia from outside of the area, you can’t trust your neighbors, at all. Raiders do so much to hide their identity, that most times no one know who is attacking.” These tactics greatly increase the likelihood of revenge attacks, and subsequent escalation.

---

253 Even though Isiolo does not border Ethiopia directly, the Borana share kinship ties with Borana in Ethiopia, and thus are often accused of having links with the OLF (Oromo Liberation Front). These linkages are always contested and controversial. In general, networks are largely informal and impact conflict dynamics through intra-group linkages for purchase of illegal weapons, or through support from a few OLF members for training, or for hire for private protection. The Borana sense of victimization, as a target of constant aggression and raiding by better-organized militias, may cause the group to pay high cost associated with organizing private militias comprised of former OLF fighters in Ethiopia.

Conclusions

Ethnic violence escalated, in the Isiolo case, in relationship to perceptions of the potential for future gain or future loss of power and wealth through access to control over the County Government. With political power as the primary channel for access to not only massive expected economic resources, but also control over state security operations, the expectation of possible loss among the Borana, and possible gain among the Samburu – Turkana triggered forcible dislocation, and acts of ethnic cleansing.

National-level politics reinforced local ethnic divisions. National politics raised the stakes of elections, increased local political tension, and deepened the division between identity-based alliances. While dominant interpretations of violence in the Isiolo Triangle blame resource scarcity and traditional acts of banditry and violence, in this case, political motivations exacerbated seemingly traditional acts of violence. Political capture and the collapse of a previously effective local peace structure created windows for escalation in Isiolo County.


Conflict between the Garre\(^{255}\) and Murule\(^{256}\) between 2005 – 2008 is a case of non-state, inter-ethnic group violence in which preventive intervention failed to contain

\(^{255}\) The Garre identify as a Kenyan Somali ethnic group, but are a sub-clan of the larger the Digil group, one of largest clans in Somalia, that represents twenty percent of the population in Somalia. Estimates indicate a Garre population of 50,000 in Kenya. Anthropologists classify the Garre within the language group, called Oromiyya. There are only minor language differences between Kenya and Somalia populations or Garre. The linkages between the Garre in Somalia and Kenya are critical in terms of the process of militia formation and the strength and level of coordination of Garre militias.

\(^{256}\) The Murule identify as part of the large group of Kenyan Somalis, and part of larger Somali clan Hawiye. The Murule identity is, therefore, a sub-clan, and has been geographically concentrated in...
escalation. The case is recorded in the UCDP database, estimating between 63 – 105 fatalities in 2005 and 31 in 2008. The establishment of sub-county political districts was a key trigger for conflict between the Garre and Murule.257 Historically, the Garre held the only MP seat in Mandera County. In 1983, a Merule representative won the seat. In response to the rising threat of political violence, the GoK divided the constituency into two districts—Mandera East with a Murule majority, and Mandera West with a Garre majority. Elections in 1988 allowed both groups the opportunity for political representation.

At the same time, the new boundary cut through communal grazing land. Elders used informal inter-group negotiations to determine water and resource sharing patterns in the area. Redistricting, however, caused contestation over the Alango water point. Both groups claimed ownership of the area and armed groups from each clan increased patrols along the new border. Garre and Murule drew upon cross-border clan networks for support, leading to accusations of using foreign actors to bolster local protection militias.258

Fear of external support justified further mobilization, training, and arming of youth militias. Both groups amassed weapons and expanded cross-border networks and
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257 See Azarya for a longer history (Azarya 1996). He argues Somali ethnic identities in Mandera, in the past, were far more fluid than they are today. See also, Donnan (1999) – anthropological approaches support this argument, claiming colonial borders and disrupted ethnic alliances created a new ethnic order with far less flexible and negotiable ethnic identities (Donnan 1999).

258 Abdul Aziz, COPA, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 17, 2013.
alliances. In particular, Garre developed linkages with the Rahanweyn Resistance Army (RRA) in Somalia. By 2002, the RRA controlled a large region of Somalia called, the South-West State of Somalia. After securing territorial control in Somalia, Garre militias in Somalia extended additional support for Garre militias in both Ethiopia and Kenya (Menkhaus 2005).

Conflict Context

Even with the formation of militia alliances through the late 1980s and early 1990s, clashes did not occur between the Garre and Murule in Kenya until December of 2004 when Garre movement into contested grazing territory increased inter-group tension. The key trigger of escalation was the murder of a prominent Garre aid worker. Armed Murule attacked a relief convoy at the junction of the Fino-El Wak roads, killing a well-known actor from the Garre community (Hussein 2012).

The initial Garre revenge mission was thwarted. Murule caught the Garre militia trespassing in Mandera East. The militia fled, but attacked and killed 20 Murule civilians during the retreat (IRIN 2005). In response, Murule attacked at El-Wak leading to population displacement of 1,500 Garre (IRIN, “Conflict over resources in border areas,” August 1st, 2002).
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259 Collapse of the Somali state in 1991 only further exacerbated the problem. Intra-ethnic networks among the Garre and Murule create channel for the import of illegal weapons. In particular, Hargesia and Burao, Garre controlled areas in Somalia have flourishing arms markets, from which illegal weapons are purchased and imported into Kenya, increasing the ease of access to very deadly weapons for use in war efforts (see, Menkhaus 2005).
Actors from the Wajir Peace Network, including elders, local peace committees, and women’s groups, engaged to try to restore peace and convene negotiations between the two groups. Leaders of local peace committees and the DPCs organized and hosted a peace rally for President Mwai Kibaki, who called on elders from the area to lead mediation efforts to secure a ceasefire. Elders led a local peace process, proposing a communal fine of 100 camels per fatality, based on the traditional rule of blood payment within Heer system. Both groups agreed to the rule. Shortly after the local truce, however, violence broke out again after a Murule boy was killed in Fino-El Wak. Murule blamed it on the Garre, and on March 16th, 2005, mobilized a large-scale attack at the El Golicha, killing 22 Garre civilians, including 15 women, an imam, and five children (Hussein 2012).

After the very deadly attack in El Golicha, the GoK engaged with the DPC system to coordinate a large-scale peacebuilding intervention across Mandera County. Local peace committees took the lead in arranging and coordinating dialogues, with overarching support from DPCs and an association of Muslim leaders called the Supreme Council of Muslims in Kenya (SUPKEM). DPCs also coordinated the formation of ad hoc inter-clan arbitration committee made up of clan elders, civil society leaders, political parties, and religious groups.260 The DPC appointed Sheikh Umal of Jamia Mosque in Mandera as a key leader of the peace operation. The process included village-level dialogues across the region, and eventually the signing of a peace accord, named in honor of Sheikh Umal.

260 Abdi Haji, SUPKEM, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 17, 2013.
The Umal Accord reinforced traditional blood payment codes set at 100 camels for each man and 50 camels for woman or child, pasture sharing agreements, open movement across political districts, and restitution for homes burned. The agreement also included a stipulation for both groups to participate voluntary disarmament campaigns (see, AllAfrica, “Blood Money Pact Opens Gender Debate,” March 26, 2005). Analysts claim the key to success of the local truce between the Garre and Murule was that the Kenyan government stepped into to fill a key void in enforcement (Menkhaus 2005).

The Umal Accord was informal and based on traditional customs that clashed with constitutional mandates. Notwithstanding, government officials promised to enforce the informal pact, and threatened military action against spoilers (see, Elfversson, 2013). However, on the ground, the GoK did not have capacity to enforce the agreement. Military action across the remote periphery area would have been very costly with limited impact due to the ease militia evasion across state borders. In practice, government representatives relied upon NGOs with broader presence in the area for conflict monitoring and information gathering to produce reports on the status of implementation for conflict parties (see, Hussein 2009). In this case, state – CSO cooperation improved monitoring, information sharing, and helped stabilize the peace accord. The Umal Accord, therefore, held for nearly three years.

Between 2005 – 2008, communities reported problems related to the implementation of the Accord. The state committed to enforcing the declaration and NGOs aided in monitoring. On the ground, however, DPCs were the main institutions

---
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involved in enforcement. DPC chairmen, however, had no capacity to force Garre and Murule communities to pay reparations for violence crimes. Both groups shirked payments for killings and paid bribes to conflict monitors to prevent reports from going out that reparations were not paid. DPCs had few resources to effectively monitor whether or not resource-sharing agreements around remote water points were upheld.

Therefore, during the period of peace, rather than relocating to former integrated villages, Garre and Murule populations moved away from contested areas and boundaries. Both groups formed more homogenous settlements seeking protection through intra-clan networks and alliances. In 2006, insecurity increased in the area in relation to the activities of criminal gangs associated with Garre and Murule militias. Both groups had linkages to conflict dynamics in Somalia, which remained rife with reports and rumors of kidnappings and assassinations of leaders from within cross-border ethnic alliances.

An event in May of 2007 nearly triggered re-escalation of the conflict:

“in May 2007 Murule elders traveling to attend a peace meeting were ambushed by a Garre militia. Two elders were killed and the rest were injured. The Murule claimed that the Garre were never remorseful and instead dismissed the incident as the work of unruly Murule youth” (UNDP 2010).

Peace held for one year following the attack. However, with rising tension due to ongoing conflict events in Somalia, as well as problems associated with enforcement and monitoring of the local peace pact, violence re-escalated in July of 2008.

---

262 Kassim Kerrow, Mandera East DPC, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 29, 2014.
The Umal Accord established Alango as a buffer zone between Garre and Murule communities. However, the government drilled a new borehole well in Alango in 2008, causing a dispute over ownership and access to the buffer zone. On September 5th, 2008, clashes broke out over control of Alango, with 13 fatalities. After the initial clash, revenge attacks escalated over the course of three months across multiple villages along the border leading to 30 fatalities through the end of October 2008, and 30,000 IDPs.

Patterns of Response

In October of 2008, the Kenyan police conducted a four-day sweep across the region in an effort to disarm Garre and Murule militias. Over 600 security actors, including police, administrative police, and KDF conducted a joint operation. GoK reports on the operation indicate the police arrested 150 Somali and Ethiopia militia actors without legal residency (Hussein 2012). Human Rights Watch, however, criticized the campaign for abuses, including allegations of rape and beatings. Reports gathered indicated joint forces caused up to 1,200 injuries through beatings one fatality (Human Rights Watch 2008). Kenyan police denied allegations of civilian abuse.

Even with increased policing in the area, respondents involved in the response argue increased policing did not contain conflict. In particular, “security personnel in the area, from ‘down country,’ were vulnerable to attack, and new to the desert conditions, terrain and topography. Fear of attack by militias from Somalia caused security personnel

to conduct very few preventative monitoring campaigns. In the absence of effective policing, local communities turned to politicians, local militias, and cross-border ethnic networks for protection. After 2008, the Garre, in particular, turned to ethnic kinship networks in Ethiopia for support for small arms and training for local militias. In the early 2000s, the Ethiopian military supported Garre militias from Kenya to engage in a proxy war against the OLF, drawing the Garre into conflict dynamics in Ethiopia, and providing youth militias with battle experience.

The GoK also organized a joint peace team and called elders and politicians for dialogues in Nairobi. In 2008, an ad hoc arbitration committee, called the Joint Nairobi Community Peace and Resolution Committee formed under the National Ministry for Northern Kenya and other Arid Lands. The committee organized 50 village and clan elders from all sub-districts in the county, and conducted peace dialogues through separate, intra-clan dialogues, before convening joint dialogues.

After the broad-based dialogue, delegates elected a ten-member team to mediate at the village level. The team received vehicles and resources to travel across the county and engage in village-level peace dialogues, especially along the district borders. A broad collation of organizations supported the peace operation, including local political actors,
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265 National politicians play a very active role in supporting local ethnic militias in Mandera. Even though it remains very difficult to find direct evidence of this support, key informants indicate that it is very well known that politicians often make some of the largest contributions for the support of local militias. Considering that politicians are the wealthiest members of pastoralist society, they tend to have very large herds of animals that the state cannot protect. Politicians and other wealthy actors from the group from these areas also support the system of armed actors to protect their own economic resources, providing arms, ammunition, and food supplies as handouts, through highly secretive, intra-ethnic networks of suppliers.
representatives of the UNDP Country Office, and the NSC. Following the local peace campaign, the GoK and UNDP reported successful voluntary disarmament along the disputed border (see, UNDP 2008). Respondents, however, indicate that disarmament was highly symbolic. In most locations, “local leaders brought out older weapons for photo opportunities, but still had very large, hidden stockpiles.”

District peace committees, prior to the 2005 outbreak, were in place in all four constituencies including, Mandera East, Central, West, and North. DPCs were in place in all four locations starting in the year 2000. In 2005, the District Commissioner at the time was head of the DPC, and both the Garre and Murule viewed him as a neutral actor, granting legitimacy to the ad hoc arbitration committee (Adan and Pkalaya 2006). The neutrality of mediators involved in the peace process improved the possibility of inter-group cooperation across all of the local peace forums conducted across Mandera County. The DPCs in 2005 functioned as the primary institution to organize, convene, and conduct peace negotiations among religious, business, and political elites. In 2005, they were a key part of the successful negotiation of the Umal peace accord, and the state supported them during the process, improving their capacity to respond and engage in multiple negotiations with various sets of conflict actors.

Some analysts report elders groups were key actors within the peace process. Respondents, however, indicate the traditional elders system is no longer a strong institution. Young Somali business elites challenge the authority of local elders. The
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266 Hassan Kochore, Interview with the author, Nairobi, September 8, 2014.
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growth of informal trade networks for the transport of goods across the Kenyan border into Somali and Ethiopia led to the emergence of wealthy youth business owners who have higher social standing than elders. Business networks provide youth with social authority and control over local militias due to their financial support for militias through clientelistic networks.\(^{268}\) As a result, local councils of elders are not always comprised of older men from the community, as in the past, but now include young men with access to business networks, transportation networks, and cross-border monetary exchange.

Outcomes

In the Mandera case, LPCs received financing through the local government, allowing informal coalitions of peace groups to expand monitoring capacity, to build stronger, more routinized processes of preventive negotiation (Weiss 2004; Menkhaus 2005). Notwithstanding, violence escalated in two major periods. Why did informal institutions and local peace systems not contain conflict between the Garre and Murule? Evidence suggests state actions undermined previously effective informal institutional systems, and that border dynamics allowed for the introduction of external militants.

After 2005, the conflict broadened to involve clans from Somalia and Ethiopia, who crossed the Kenya border to provide support for militia groups in Mandera. Due to the failure of disarmament campaigns and the rise of strong cross-border networks, militias from Garre and Murule remained heavily armed and prepared for violent

\(^{268}\) Maalim Aftin, UNDP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, August 8, 2014.
With youth militias well connected and interlinked with political elites, they had direct access to information about when and where the state was planning disarmament campaigns, and when the DPC was planning peace negotiations, providing militias time to relocate and evade both security interventions. Key informants involved in local peace processes in Mandera described how leaders of DPCs and LPCs interacted with communities and “played the peace game only on the surface.” At the same time, they maintained deep ties to their ethnic community and worked to assist militia members with access to accurate information about peace activities, and the behavior and interests of other groups operating in the area.

Traditional blood payment rules were not a sufficient disincentive, and proved easy to shirk. As a key informant described, “elders directly support local militias, and step into assume the cost of negotiating the informal justice system on behalf of youth militants in the event of causalities during a clash.” In other words, the fact that the entire community would absorb the cost of the blood payment did not create a strong enough disincentive for individuals in militias to refrain from using violent force to protect communal resources, or to engage in a revenge attack to protect the status of the group.

State actions in the area undermined trust of civic actors, and undermined capacity for local peace mobilization among a previously robust set of actors. As the


270 Maalim Aftin, UNDP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.
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Mandera Education Minister described: “With very little information, police choose to do untargeted and indiscriminate ‘sweeps’ that offend the people and undermined the legitimacy of local security institutions” (Mandera Education Commissioner, NCT Interview, August 10, 2014). Indiscriminate police sweeps increase tension and undermine trust in not only the police, but also local organizations thought to be collaborating with the police. This not only constrained the ability of local peace associations to extract information needed to convene negotiations, but it also made representatives of peacebuilding organizations potential targets of violence. Alignment, even perceived alignment, with the GoK reduced the efficacy of local peace actors.

Civic associations in Mandera ended up with little leverage to prevent well-organized armed actors with prior battle experience from using increasingly severe forms of violence against civilians. External actors involved in the conflict system have very low levels of aversion to killing civilians due to prior experience in militias in Somalia and Ethiopia. The local peace architecture in Mandera could not reduce uncertainty about impending attacks or threats from militias that were very well organized due to nature of severe insecurity in Somalia. The rapid influx of heavily armed, ethnic kinsmen from Ethiopia and Somalia quickly triggered escalation, with more severe violence than in prior conflicts.

Conclusions

Analysis of patterns of violence and responses to violence in Mandera between the Garre and Murule indicates the level of internal organization and skill of armed actors
to conduct attacks and evade both security interventions and peace actions played a major role in this case. After an extensive local peace process, the introduction of external actors the conflict created conditions for the re-escalation of violence. The nature and qualities of armed actors who are well trained due to linkages with more complex conflicts in bordering countries is critical for understanding why violence is more severe in Mandera than in other conflicts across the ASALs.

The Garre – Murule case reveals that in the wake of 2005 escalation, a very large joint peace coalition emerged among a very broad coalition of CSOs, including elders groups, religious groups, women’s groups, political parties, and international donors, was able to support an effective stabilization process that created a three year period of peace. However, keeping a peace coalition in place proved difficult under conditions of severe dis-integration of inter-ethnic ties and high levels of cross-border movement. Along the border with Somalia, armed militias benefit from illegal trade and extra-legal activities, and have significant resources as well as local social support to be able to evade and resist attempts among local peace groups to contain the use of violence. At the sub-state level, governance of local violence and conflict dynamics ends up in the hands of actors not necessarily well equipped with authority, or coercive power to be able to contain armed groups.


Conflict between the Garre and Degodia is a case of non-state, inter-ethnic group violence in which preventive intervention failed to contain escalation. The most recent
wave of escalation was deadlier than prior clashes with direct targeting of children and women, forced dislocation, as well as direct targeting of humanitarian and peacebuilding organizations. Estimates indicate conflict between the Garre and Degodia between 2013 – 2014 resulted in over 1000 homes burned, 52,000 IDPs, and 200 fatalities (OCHA Mandera Report 2014).

Reduction of ethnic violence in Wajir in the late 1990s is cited as evidence for effective local peace institutions in violence prevention (Odendaal 2013). Originating within a local women’s group called the Wajir Women for Peace Group, over time, a coalition of local associations formed. The Wajir Peace Group convened negotiations with armed groups and political elites, and effectively contained clashes between the Degodia and Ajurran along the border between Kenya and Somalia (Ibrahim and Jenner 1996; van Tongeren 2005; Menkhaus 2008).

Over time, Degodia involved in the Wajir Peace Group set up and expanded local peace networks and associations across Wajir and Mandera. Conflict dynamics in the area spill across county and state boundaries, and include the same ethnic groups and similar conflict drivers (Menkhaus 2015). Garre are the majority group in Mandera, and the Degodia are the Majority group in Wajir. The key puzzle for this case, therefore, is why local peace organizations with past success were not unable to contain escalation between the Garre and Degodia between 2012 and 2013.
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272 Red Cross was the main humanitarian organization working in Madera County, but the rising severity of violence between clans and increasing attacks by al-Shabaab caused them to suspend operations in the area in early 2014.

273 The two groups have not clashed in armed conflicts since 1994.
Context of Conflict

Respondents refer to 1984 as the beginning of conflict between the Garre and Degodia. In that year, drought sparked clashes over territorial control. Redistricting and ethnic based allocation of territory as a means to garner and consolidate political support played a major role, as well. Historically, political parties created sub-districts to gain ethnic-based support in Mandera. KANU political entrepreneurs, in particular, used this strategy broadly the 1990s and 2000s. Based on ethnic bloc voting patterns, political elites increased the number of electoral constituencies in Mandera and Wajir to increase certainty around electoral outcomes. This strategy, originally designed to prevent ethnic conflict, had unintended consequences. With a high level of population mobility, district boundaries did not ensure all ethnic groups had equal representation in the county government. Regularly shifting boundaries increased uncertainty, and, created linkages between access to political posts and power to allocate scarce land resources.

Historically, the Garre and Degodia clans were the only two ethnic groups with so-called “resident status” in Mandera County. All other groups were considered minority, migrants, or “corner tribes.” The breaking of a long-standing informal powersharing rule among the Garre and Degodia during the 2007 – 2008 election was the
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274 Joseph Ngondi, Climate Change Network, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.

275 Mandera now has six districts: Mandera East, Central, North, West, Banisa and Lafey, and three Parliamentary Constituencies (districts from which one MP is elected): Mandera East, Central and West.

276 The Garre maintain a communal belief that Mandera is their homeland. The historical narrative is that they relocated to Mandera during the 19th century, effectively winning it from the Borana, and pushing them back to Moyale. This narrative shapes the idea that Degodia, Murule and other minority groups are “outsiders” to the area, and that the settlement of other groups in the area is only due to successful negotiations and granting of permission by the Garre (see also, UNDP 2010).
key event that set up conditions for escalation in 2013 – 2014. An informal rule of ethnic powersharing maintained stability: the Garre held two MP seats, and the Degodia held one MP seat. This distribution aligned with the demographic composition of the area (Peace Direct 2014).

In the 2008 elections, the long-term ethnic balance shifted, breaking the informal powersharing rule. In Mandera Central, the incumbent MP, Billow Kerrow, a Garre from the majority clan, lost the election to Abdikadir Mohamed from the minority Degodia clan. Mohamed’s victory shifted the MP seat to control by the minority Degodia group, breaking the long period of Garre control. Grievances related to the political shift were not resolved. Garre believed the 2008 election as rigged, raising the stakes of the 2013 election.277 Conditions surrounding the 2013 election also raised the stakes for both groups. In particular, due to the ongoing process of devolution, county-level political posts were associated with access to more power and more resources due to a sharp spike in state resources allocated to Mandera County.278

National political dynamics raised the stakes of local elections. Constitutional reform, passed in 2010, required securing both 50% of national vote and at least 25% of the vote in fifty percent of Kenya’s 47 counties279 for a party to secure the presidency.

William Ruto, the Jubilee vice presidential candidate, and Raila Odinga, the ODM

277 Abdi Haji, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 17, 2013.
278 IEBC reforms in 2010 instituted after PEV in 2008 added three additional MP seats for Mandera County.
279 The institutional design logic is based on the concept of centripetalism. The electoral rule, in theory, incentivizes candidates to pursue a geographic, and thus ethnic, balance of political support during campaigns.
presidential candidate both spent a significant amount of time campaigning in the rural periphery, especially Mandera. This was a new campaign strategy for top national party elites. With more at stake in the elections, political entrepreneurs conducted ethno-centric campaigns, rife with hate speech and accusations of communal violence being used to displace voters.  

On March 4th, 2013, the outcome of the elections deepened tension between the Garre and the Degodia communities. Garre representatives dominated all political posts. The Garre won the MP seat in Mandera Central back from Degodia, as well as the County Governor and Senator positions. The Garre also won the MP seat in the newly defined district of Mandera North—an area with a majority Degodia population. The Degodia assumed it would be impossible, based on demographics, for the Garre to win the MP seat in Mandera North without manipulating the population or tampering with the election itself (Carrier and Kochore 2014).

Two months after the election, on March 10th, 2013: “a campaign vehicle belonging to the prior Senate candidate Billow Kerrow, who is from the Garre clan, struck and killed a businessman from the Degodia clan in Rhamu.” The Degodia community claimed the accident was intentional and politically motivated, making the event highly symbolic of Garre aggression (Sabahi, June 26, 2013). On June 21st, 2013, Degodia attacked Garre driving lorries near the village of Eldas as an act of retribution.
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280 Hassan Kochore, National Archives, Interview with the author, Nairobi, September 8, 2014.

281 Prior to the hit and run, Rhamu was a location where Degodia claim they were forcefully displaced by Garre militias, and Garre groups from outside of the district were resettled to secure the election for the Garre.
for the hit and run. Four Garre were killed. After the attack, the same Degodia militia attacked a GSU camp near Eldas.

In response, on June 23rd, 2013, the Garre attacked the Degodia at Jariko village in Banisa district, a largely Degodia IDP camp. The Garre militia used grenade launchers, targeted and burned homes and lorries among a largely civilian IDP population. The Jariko attack led to 14 fatalities and 17 injuries among the Degodia community. Police were also attacked: one officer was killed and four were injured. During the conflict, violence spilled over into Wajir County with incidents reported at Burmayo, Orgulae, Saman, and Dunto, and in Ethiopia near Malkamari. Police and other first-responders were attacked as militias tried to restrict the spread of information related to the events.282 A response team from the Wajir County Government, including the Governor, a Senator, and a Women’s Representative, was attacked on the road to Mandera in Burmayo village on June 30th, 2014, turning back the rapid response convoy (OCHA Mandera Report 2013).

On July 4th, 2013, Garre and Degodia elites signed an agreement in Mandera town to accept electoral outcomes, just prior to Ramadan. The GoK sent more police to area to try to maintain security, and canceled a disarmament campaign to allow time for voluntary disarmament (Mandera Times, July 4th, 2013). Analysts indicate that the threat of disarmament and holding local chiefs accountable for violence were key pressures that led leaders from both communities to sign the Ramadan agreement (Hiraan, “Mandera
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County warring communities sign peace accord,” July 6, 2013). Ali Ibrahim Roba, Mandera County Governor stated,

“the deal reached by the two communities was a culmination of weeks of multi-sector peace talks facilitated by the national and county governments with the support from the local leadership and national cohesion and integration commission” (Hiraan, “Mandera County warring communities sign peace accord,” July 6, 2013).

The Ramadan Accord held only for nine months. On May 13th, 2014 a Garre militia attacked three Degodia along the Burmayo-Fincharo road. The Degodia were working on a road-clearing project—a development scheme both groups agreed to in the Ramadan peace negotiations. The attack created a deep grievance among the Degodia, especially since it was against civilian actors involved in a peacebuilding project (Standard News, “Politics, Border Disputes, Garre Degodia clan clashes,” June 29th, 2014). With rising threat of revenge attacks, spillover dynamics from the KDF military operation in Somalia complicated the situation and restricted local peace actors.

A set of attacks by al-Shabaab in Mandera followed directly on the heels of the small act of communal violence. On May 14th, Al Shabaab conducted three attacks on police stations in Mandera town, and attacked the deputy governor’s home with a grenade launcher. Severe disorder in the area prevented local peace actors from engaging in rapid response actions.283 One week after the major outbreak of violence, on May 21st, 2014,
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283 Kenya’s war against Al-Shabaab in Somalia increases the likelihood of escalation of inter-ethnic conflict in Mandera due to blowback. The KDF is in active campaign against Al-Shabaab in Somalia (AMISOM operation), which increases suspicion and fear among ethnic groups in the region, especially as related to claims of harboring militants or sharing secret information about the process of recruitment and support for militants.
actors from local peace groups mobilize teams of elders to try to negotiate a ceasefire.

However, al-Shaabab gunmen attacked the peace team:

Al-Shabaab ambushed a security team heading to the reconciliation meeting between the Degodia and Garre clans in El Wak town. Al-Shabaab spokesman Ali Mohamud Rage warned that more attacks against Kenyan citizens would follow, stating, ‘The only ones who should be blamed for your insecurity are none but your government, which has invaded Somalia in an attempt to fight war on behalf of the West,’ he said. ‘We tell the Kenyan people to not even dream of peace as long as your sons are occupying Somalia’ (Boniface, May 21, 2014 in Sabahi).

In late May, Garre and Degodia leaders met President Kenyatta in Mandera and agreed to a ceasefire. Elite-centric negotiations, again, failed to stop attacks for more than a few weeks. Another major attack occurred in Banisa on June 23rd, 2014, with over 16 fatalities. On June 25th, both Degodia and Garre leaders met directly with President Kenyatta, who issued a proclamation stating that a major security operation would be conducted in the area if both groups could not control violence. Again, negotiations and state-led mediation failed. On July 1st, over one hundred armed Garre attacked civilians at Gunana village in a mission to attack a Degodia militia based along the border of Wajir and Mandera. During the attack, the Garre targeted the administrative police camp in order to repel police and prevent security actors from intervening (Finn Church Aid Report 2014: 8).

Patterns of Response

State military forces responded to the conflict between the Garre and Degodia, especially after reports emerged that both groups hired al-Shabaab gunmen to carry out

284 One of the primary reasons that inter-clan attacks between the Garre and Degodia have become far deadlier than they have been in the past is because both groups have hired al-Shabaab actors as
attacks. Due to linkages between local communal conflict dynamics in Mandera and Kenya’s larger national security intervention in Somalia, the state responded aggressively to contain communal conflict in Mandera (see also, Anderson and McKnight 2015). The GoK reinforced existing police units and established new joint security operations, including Anti-terrorism Police Units (ATPUs).

ATPUs received allegations of conducting extra-judicial killings (Al Jazeera 2015; Chimp Reports 2015). The GoK instituted reforms of local policing structures, including the removal of Garre and Degodia Police officers from Mandera in an effort to remove potential bias within police forces. The GoK also passed new, controversial legislation in December 2014 called the Security Laws Amendment Act to extend the formal security sector. The legislation increases policing of cross border movement and focuses on monitoring the movement of Somali refugees lacking formal documentation of residence (Goitom 2014).

Severity of insecurity and the high level of interest in cross-border stability triggered collaboration between the state, local peace committees, and NGOs operating in the area including the Kenya Red Cross Society, the National Drought Management Authority, Save the Children, Islamic Relief, and the Wajir Peace Committee. In other areas of Northern Kenya, peace committees are left to function on their own with a very low level of state support and interaction. DPCs in other locations operate as hybrid institutions seeking the aid of NGOs and other outside donor groups. In contrast, in the

mercenaries. These actors have very sophisticated military capacity, and have been able to conduct very deadly attacks, using more deadly weaponry and terrorist tactics, such as the use of IEDs in public spaces.
Mandera case, DPCs received significant support and were called upon directly during the security operations.\textsuperscript{285}

In particular, state officials engaged with DPCs in each district for gathering intelligence on conflict drivers, investigations related to evidence of political incitement and the involvement of local elites, and communicating threats of disarmament. The DPC set up state-level dialogues. The GoK and DPCs also collaborated to conduct diplomatic talks among political leaders from Kenya, Ethiopia, and Somalia over the problem of insecurity in the periphery of all three states.\textsuperscript{286}

Outcomes

Respondents from the Mandera DPC argued the nature of the relationship between the state security operation, the DPC, and local peace associations had unintended consequences for local peacebuilding interventions. The Mandera Peace Committee chairman stated,

Somalis are being systematically discriminated by Kenyan police and security actors. If you don’t feel Kenyan, you don’t feel safe in Kenya. Police see Somalis as ‘ATMs’ because they are highly likely not to have documents even if their family has always lived in Kenya; they know they can get very high bribes from targeting them (NCT Interview, August 10, 2014).

Following collaboration with the state during the policing campaign, local peacebuilding organizations receive threats from local militias, and lost capacity to convene negotiations. Reports accuse Kenyan security forces that responded to contain violence

\textsuperscript{285} Roba Shamaro, UNDP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 6, 2014.

\textsuperscript{286} Kassim Kerrow, Mandera East DPC, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 29, 2014.
between the Garre and Degodia of shooting suspects without due process, indiscriminant
detainment of suspects, and deadly disarmament campaigns.  Human rights
organizations accused police for abuse of local government officials for failing to
maintain security. Trust in local peace actors eroded due to heavy handed policing
tactics, the use of local peace organizations to gather intelligence, and the indiscriminant
targeting of Somalis by police across Kenya.

Conclusion

A combination of factors generated conditions for escalation that a relatively
strong coalition of peacebuilding organizations was not able to control. As the new
minority group, the Degodia have a very strong suspicion that they will continue to face
organized political exclusion in Mandera at the hands of the Garre. Under this condition,
competition over the two most valuable resources in the area—land and political posts—is the most powerful trigger of violence.

After the outbreak of clashes, militias sought recruits through intra-clan networks
in both Ethiopia and Somalia. Garre and Degodia leveraged networks with armed actors
in Somalia and Ethiopia to bolster local protection militias, including resource pooling
for hiring mercenaries to bolster protection units. They captured exclusive control over
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287 For example, the state engaged in disarmament in Rhamu in July 2013, where violence between the Garre and Degodia was most severe, but not in the village of Banisa, where conflict was less severe, but where the Garre were known to also have stored large stockpiles of weapons. The GoK has not been able to ensure equality in weapons reduction, which undermines the potential for negotiation.

288 Maalim Aftin, UNDP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.

289 Roba Shamaro, UNDP, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 6, 2014.
intelligence services, police, and local peace associations in order control all institutions related to local security. The Ramadan Accord included an agreement to ensure police were external actors from non-Somali groups. This stipulation was not upheld. Both groups rejected external police actors to ensure information networks were controlled and not leaking information to rival groups.²⁹⁰

All of these shifts occurred in parallel with the process of devolution and the pursuit of exclusive control over political processes to gain control over new administration posts. Prior to devolution both the Garre and Degodia had equally limited access to state resources. However, with devolution, majority groups have the capacity to lock out minority groups from access to state resources at the county level. One of the primary tactics for locking out a competing group, therefore, becomes, the use of traditional modes of inter-group violence, such as raiding, but on a larger, and deadlier scale. These strategic shifts transformed and intensified conflict in Mandera County. The informal peace architecture could not withstand the very rapid process of dis-integration, institutional capture, and inter-group fear.²⁹¹ The dis-integration process undermined both elite and armed actor interest in cooperating with local peace actors.

**Coalitions, Fragmentation, and Conflict Recurrence**

Why were peacebuilding organizations with prior success in limiting violence not able to stem escalation in these cases? Analysis of conflict escalation and corresponding

²⁹⁰ Joseph Ngondi, Climate Change Network, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.

²⁹¹ Abdi Haji, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 17, 2013.
patterns of intervention at the hands of state, civil society, and local peace actors indicate there are five common patterns that undermine the capacity of local peace coalitions to manage violence and prevent severe escalation of ethnic violence across the cases.

The first condition is the logic of violence itself. In the Isiolo case, for example, resource conflict took on both economic and political purposes. It shifted from a logic of economic predation to political violence conducted along cleavages based on ethno-political alliances. The second condition that triggered escalation, even in the presence of a very strong coalition of peace actors was the nature of attacks. As attacks became more severe, more direct, and targeted toward specific villages, the likelihood of highly asymmetrical acts of violence increased.

Both the nature and logic of violence created conflict conditions civic associations and non-coercive preventive strategies could not contain. Multiple, pre-conflict peace declarations broke down. Informal peace declarations, agreements, and compensation schemes were not sufficient disincentives to stem attacks from escalating. The covert involvement of elites in instigating violence, arming local militias, and guiding strategic targeting decisions became very difficult for local civic groups to counteract. Elites avoided engagement with local peace associations. With a high level of impunity, peacebuilding organizations faced challenges in stemming elite-led violence.

The increasing complexity of the local political economy also played a role. The twin pressures of developmental change, and political change, created a more complex political economy with a increasing number of interest groups and actors involved in the conflict system. The rising diversity in the composition of the polity in Isiolo undermined
cohesiveness among local peacebuilding groups. With rising violence and rising fear, inter-ethnic communication and informal protection networks broke down, undermining the ability of groups to reach pacts among elites from ethnic groups, all seeking to protect or access political power. At the same time, the increasing sophistication of organization among armed actors undermined local coping and protection strategies.

Local civic groups had some success at certain points with each conflict trajectory. In some instances, informal coalitions limited escalation. However, in the early stages of political change, under the conditions of shifting ethnic alliances, threats to status quo and majority control, and the rising possibility of future financial gain from development interventions, even relatively strong coalition of peace actors could not fully contain violence incited by political elites.

Large coalitions of civic organizations involved in preventive efforts collapse under political and economic pressures in West Pokot, Mandera, and Marsabit. For example, the DPCs in Marsabit and Moyale collapsed in the lead up to the Turbi Massacre, Riam-Riam and POKATUSA collapsed after leaders developed political aspirations and used organizational resources to fund personal campaigns, and in the run up to elections, the Isiolo DPC was captured by political interests. In these cases, the fragmentation of previously effective peacebuilding coalitions was part of the process of conflict escalation. Some forms of inter-group conflict, including more complex political violence, may be outside of the scope of civic organizations. Conflicts with political motivations that occur along international borders prove difficult for informal organizational structures to contain.
Table 8: Features of Recurring Escalation Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Groups</strong></td>
<td>Gabra, Borana</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana</td>
<td>Samburu, Turkana, Gabra, Somali</td>
<td>Garre, Murule</td>
<td>Garre, Degodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Symbolic</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Act of Weakness</td>
<td>Youth killed</td>
<td>Grievance: Inequality</td>
<td>Targeting: Norm shift</td>
<td>Death of humanitarian worker</td>
<td>Death of humanitarian worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Border/Dev/Periphery</td>
<td>Border</td>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>Developmental</td>
<td>Border</td>
<td>Border</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Cohesive Coalition Elite Unity Informal Institutions Militia Trust</td>
<td>N DPC Disbanded Fragmented Broken Pact</td>
<td>N Riam, Riam Collapse POKATUSA Collapse Targeting</td>
<td>N DPC Capture No trust</td>
<td>N DPC capture CSOs Targeted No Trust</td>
<td>N State intelligence = Fragmentation No Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td>3 Re-escalation</td>
<td>3 Re-escalation</td>
<td>3 Re-escalation</td>
<td>3 Re-escalation</td>
<td>3 Re-escalation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) Escalation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Non-escalation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Re-escalation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER SIX: CONTAINING VIOLENCE IN CONFLICT-AFFECTED COMMUNITIES

The previous three chapters presented empirical evidence related to processes of escalation in fifteen inter-ethnic group conflicts across six counties in Northern Kenya. Three categories of episodes were matched based on most similar outcomes: escalation, limited escalation, and cases where civic organizations had prior success in containing escalation but violence re-escalated. Each case analyzed conflict triggers, patterns of state, civic, and community response, and subsequent outcomes. Analysis focused on the extent to which civic groups responded collectively to dampen threats of violent conflict and effectively prevented further escalation. The following chapter draws from comparative case study analysis, explaining why escalation occurred in some cases, but not in others. Across the cases, the study finds that within areas of limited state presence, local resilience to inter-ethnic group violence is a function of the interactions between cohesive civic coalitions, patterns of state response, and communal adaptation.

State responses to local, inter-ethnic conflicts in Northern Kenya create a political economy in which ethnically homogenous settlements face a persistent threat of violent predation. Corruption among formal security organizations, the use of force against civilians to extract information about local militias, the involvement of political elites in
arming ethnic militias and coordinating disarmament campaigns directed against some groups and not others, as Joseph Akoule describes, “make the state an enemy.”

Under these conditions, civic associations have some capacity to contain escalatory dynamics. Threat monitoring, rapid response, and informal bargaining processes make it more difficult for large militias to form and mobilize rapid retaliation attacks. In some cases, patrons of militias leverage civic associations to expand and improve the capacity of militias to monitor insecure areas and respond to threats. In others, civic associations unified around long-term peacebuilding agendas, supporting preventive negotiations and informal codes of restitution that improved communal resilience. These mechanisms contain escalation and make large scale, well-organized acts of mass killing, such as the Turbi, Todonyang, and Baragoi massacres, rare. Informal institutional arrangements help avert vengeance and contain the escalation of inter-ethnic group violence following initial acts of aggression.

However, civic coalitions and communal adaption do not necessarily transform or resolve deeply protracted inter-ethnic group conflicts under conditions of sub-state fragility. In particular, following the state’s of use of indiscriminant violence against civilians, communities continue to support, mobilize, and expand the capacity of local militias to use the threat of deadly force as a primary deterrent. During perfect storms of compounding conflict pressures, informal organizational structures and communal
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protection strategies can quickly disintegrate. In particular, symbolic killings commonly trigger escalatory dynamics that are difficult for civic groups to contain.

**State Responses across Local Contexts**

State approaches to prevent the escalation of inter-ethnic group conflict were not consistent across the cases. This finding confirms the state coping concept initially proposed by Lawson and Rothchild (2005). Theories of ethnic conflict must account for variable patterns of state action within particular sub-state conflict contexts. Findings from the study indicate that across Northern Kenya there are three relatively consistent patterns of state response to inter-ethnic group conflict. State responses to local, inter-ethnic group conflict varied in relation to political and economic interests specific to periphery areas, developmental areas, and border areas.

**State Action in Periphery Conflicts**

In response to clashes in the most remote and least economically viable areas of Northern Kenya, including Samburu, Marsabit, and Turkana, the state deployed elite security teams for short-term peacebuilding missions. Preventive interventions were largely ad hoc and designed for information gathering, media interactions, and interactions with local chiefs and political representatives. During helicopter missions state elites accused local politicians of being involved or benefiting from conflict. Political elites regularly shamed local leaders for failing to control “their people.”

---
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Following more extreme episodes, such as the Bargoi Massacre and the Moyale clashes, the state charged local political leaders with incitement. This occurred even with little evidence of culpability. Public peacebuilding *barazas* were held in urban centers in which political elites and eminent figures from police institutions issued ultimatums in the presence of media outlets.

Informants indicated that these approaches had very little effect upon conflict dynamics. In the words of an area chief from Marsabit County,

> Helicopter missions do not accomplish anything for us. It makes us not respect them, and not want to work with them on anything. When Kimaiyo flies here, he warns everyone to stop fighting or there will be consequences. He accuses us of being involved, and says that if we are involved in organizing or funding armed groups, the problems will be serious. Then, he flies away, and nothing happens.\(^{294}\)

State-sanctioned threats undermined cooperation between pastoralist communities and state security actors, increasing the likelihood that groups would evade government security interventions. In the Nyiro Valley conflict, for instance, there was very minimal communal cooperation with RDU and anti-stock theft policing units. Local militias and their patrons did not report information on potential threats to the police, largely due to the high cost of corruption required to get security actors to respond to threats. Police used crisis moments as opportunities to extract resources from the community, which further undermined their ability to prevent inter-group conflict. Policing units based in the periphery had very low prevention and protection capacity.

\(^{294}\) Jacob Lengaur, Former Chief, Interview with the author, Tuum, Kenya, August 19, 2014.
Following more severe forms of violence in periphery areas, the state deployed additional security personnel. GSU camps, administration police, and joint military and police camps with rapid deployment units and anti-stock theft agents were established in highly conflict prone corridors including Suguta Valley, Loima, and Turkwel Gorge. Notwithstanding, the KPR remained the primary security actors involved in day-to-day policing and threat monitoring. The operating assumption behind the KPR system is that arming local community policing representatives from rival ethnic groups will create, as James N’dungu describes, “an informal ethnic balance of power to stabilize inter-group relations.”

Communities, however, did not perceive the KPR system as an inclusive policing institution. Particular ethnic groups with political connections could secure access to weapons for their own KPR units. It is widely known that pastoralist politicians arm ethnic militias, whether directly or indirectly through the KPR system. The depth of corruption within police institutions across Northern Kenya creates a situation in which communities pay bribes to gain access to weapons for local KPR agents (see also, Mkutu and Wandera 2013). Inequality and inconsistency within state policing strategies led ethnic groups to maintain large illegal weapons stockpiles and active linkages to cross-border arms markets. State actions and the availability of arms through co-ethnic, cross border trade networks undermined the logic of the KPR system.
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In Samburu, Marsabit, West Pokot and Mandera, to try to control and limit escalation the state conducted ad hoc disarmament campaigns. Disarmament processes, however, had unintended consequences. The politicization of disarmament campaigns contributed to escalatory dynamics. Disarmament was conducted in some communities but not others, reinforcing the notion of inter-group inequality. It caused groups to re-arm due to the increased threat of opportunistic attacks from neighboring militias that were not dis-armed. In the words of an informant from Marsabit County, “the government is against us, leaving us vulnerable if we do not have weapons. When they take our weapons, we know the government wants to clear us.” Communities viewed disarmament as a political action. In the Isiolo case, for example, political parties used grievances related to disarmament to foster political support.

During disarmament campaigns, police and military agents used violence and intimidation against communities. In West Pokot and Mandera, for example, after the state used coercive force to disarm pastoralist communities, militias targeted state police, paramilitary actors, and even peacebuilding convoys. The political dynamics behind disarmament processes increased the likelihood of violence escalating to include direct attacks against government security forces and civic organizations involved in peacebuilding missions. For example, in the cases of the Baragoi massacre and the Lorogon standoff, ethnic militias engaged in violent clashes with military and police personnel who responded to try to contain violence. The perception of inequality within
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state policing and peacebuilding interventions caused many conflicts to escalate to
include targeting of peacebuilders.

Suspicion and persistent fear of state meddling within local conflicts drove communities to support, expand, and train local ethnic militias. Government interventions were seen as a threat to communal security. In response, local militias became, over time, more powerful than the KPR, policing units, and even formal military units. Informants described state security forces as “outsiders,” “strangers,” and, in some cases, “enemies.” Where police were not trusted, the state was not trusted. Where civic groups were thought to be agents of the state, they lost the trust of local militias, as well. This caused deep and persistent inter-ethnic group mistrust, suspicion, and fear. In the most remote villages of the rural periphery, ethnic groups must not only work to evade threats from other ethnic groups but also threats from state security actors.

State Action in Developmental Conflicts

In comparative perspective, the discovery of oil in the Turkana region and state investment in new large-scale development projects in Isiolo presented unique challenges for civic organizations involved in local conflict prevention, and variation in state responses to local conflict. Turkana and Isiolo recently shifted from being economically unviable, to being potential sources of wealth for the country at large. Political and


299 Philip Ongunje, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, July 15, 2014.
economic transition intensified competition between ethnic groups in the Turkana and Isiolo cases.

In line with resource curse theories (Johannes, Zulu, and Kalipeni 2014; Cummings 2015), rising interest in development gains exacerbated identity-based rent seeking in Turkana and Isiolo. In the Turkana-Pokot conflict, Pokot elites at the state level directly supported and incited violence against Turkana militias along the border to dislocate populations in territories that are likely to contain oil deposits (see chapter four). In the Isiolo case, rapid economic development correlated with the escalation of inter-group violence due to the increasing value of communal territory and local political positions. Rapid development gains in both Turkana and Isiolo led elites to organize and support local militias to aggressively dislocate other ethnic groups. In these cases, resource-related conflict and traditional modes of raiding escalated and transformed into political violence.

Under these conditions, regional experiences and lessons learned from Eritrea and South Sudan about the relationship between identity politics, extractive industries, and rapid economic growth drove variation in state responses to local conflicts in Isiolo and Turkana (Kochore 2013). In areas where the state has interest in the extraction of economic resources, local violence becomes more disruptive and higher cost to the state. Rather than relying only upon ad hoc, short-term, and principally coercive modes of response to local conflict, as in periphery regions with minimal economic potential, the GoK invested in a broader range of both coercive and non-coercive preventive strategies to try to contain inter-group violence and improve local security.
In Isiolo and Turkana, the state supported and collaborated closely with District Peace Committees, NGOs, CSOs, and other local civic groups during dialogues and informal pact making processes. In contrast to other regions where local peacebuilding organizations received little to no support from the state, with economic gains at stake, the state provided more resources for the formation and institutionalization of local peacebuilding organizations. In particular, the state supported the efforts of the National Cohesion and Integration Commission to build inter-group unity through a narrative of “unity in diversity” within areas of higher potential for rapid economic growth. At the same time, DPCs in Lodwar and in Isiolo received considerable state support and backing, more so than other DPCs in more remote, low potential areas.

In Turkana and Isiolo, civic peacebuilding efforts became intertwined with the state’s interest in resource extraction within previously marginalized areas. Due to the lack of legitimacy and trust in external security actors, the responsibility for local peacebuilding falls upon civil society associations and private companies (Raeymaekers, Menkhaus, and Vlassenroot 2008). Peacebuilding efforts complimented the state’s interest in pacification and integration of periphery areas. Providing support for civic groups is much lower cost than the establishment and extension of formal security systems. State support for non-coercive strategies was designed to limit the possibility of
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secessionist movements forming in areas where long-term marginalization increased the likelihood of inter-ethnic group violence.

In the Turkana case, Tullow’s formal security practices had unintended consequences for local conflict dynamics. Private security firms contracted KPR to provide security for convoys working with oil companies and contractors, and as security guards for wealthy elites with new private residences. This is a similar feature of the context of Isiolo, where KPR and armed militia members were hired as private security guards for compounds of political elites relocating to the region to benefit from rapid economic growth. This had unintended consequences, however. As KPR left posts in more remote villages such as Kainuk, Loima, and Todonyang for local security positions within the oil industry, more remote villages were left more vulnerable to predatory attacks.

Extractive firms in the Turkana case instituted specific informal strategies designed to prevent conflict related to oil exploration and extraction process. Tullow Oil used community relations liaisons to avoid direct contact between external workers and local communities. The company carefully controlled narratives around hiring practices, avoided interaction with local youth militias, and systematically managed rhetoric around levels and types of services and development programming the company provided to the community. Controlling narratives related to the firm’s practices and creating distance between external staff and majority ethnic groups were primary strategies for conflict prevention.
Overall, increasing state investment in the local peacebuilding infrastructure occurred in parallel with direct economic gains for local militias and patrons. Internally, violence has not escalated between Turkana communities and external actors within Turkana County. However, violence is escalating between Turkana and Pokot communities along the Southern border of Turkana County. State responses have not contained predatory rent seeking and the escalation of violence along the contested border between Turkana and West Pokot.

State Action in Border Conflicts

Somalia is the world’s most failed state. This condition has caused long-term disorder and inter-ethnic group violence with direct spillover effects in Kenya. In the Mandera case, for example, in November and December of 2014, following clashes between the Garre and Degodia in Kenya, Al Shabaab used the escalation of local violence as an opportunity to conduct two deadly terrorist attacks—one on a bus and the other on a quarry operation in Mandera County. The two attacks caused over 75 casualties in the span of less than three weeks. In April of 2015, as-Shabaab attacked Garissa University College killing 148 people. Al Shabaab attributed the attacks to Kenya’s military intervention in Somalia. In response, police used indiscriminant force against local communities to try to apprehend culprits, which had unintended effects for local peacebuilding processes addressing Garre – Degodia conflict dynamics.

Spillovers from conflict in Somalia clearly impact patterns of escalation in Kenya. State failure in Somalia, however, is not the only explanatory factor. The large arc of
instability spans across conflict corridors running from West Pokot and the Karamoja Cluster, to Lodwar and Lokichoggio, Northern Marsabit, across to Mandera, and Wajir. Border dynamics, more generally, impact conflict patterns and affect the capacity of local peacebuilding organizations to effectively contain inter-ethnic group conflict.

In areas of the periphery where conflict dynamics spill across international borders, state responses differ from developmental and non-border areas. The Moyale, Mandera, and West Pokot cases all share similar cross-border dynamics. These areas are prone to spillovers from local conflicts triggered in another state, or to conflicts spilling over into a neighboring state. Ethnic conflict on one side of the border, may lead to mobilization, grievances, political claims, and violent attacks on the other side of the border. Conflict may escalate immediately, as in the Mandera case, or in the future due to cross-border population displacement, as in the Isiolo and Marsabit cases. With conflict dynamics among ethnic groups deeply intertwined and cutting across multiple borders, a small scale clash can serve to undermine inter-state relations if one state accuses other of failure to provide security in the area.

Across the inter-group conflicts that occurred along international borders, similar to all of the other settings, the state responded through disarmament campaigns, elite security teams, and public peace meetings. However, in order to prevent local conflicts from becoming national security issue, the state was more likely to intervene militarily along the border. Rather than rely on police, KPR, and hybrid policing missions, in response to local violence in Mandera, Moyale, and West Pokot, the state was more likely to deploy the KDF to respond to communal clashes.
Coercive state actions impacted local peacebuilding processes and conflict dynamics. Proximity to the border made disarmament campaigns and accurate information gathering increasingly difficult for state security officers. As a result, the most violent state sanctioned policing and information-gathering missions occurred along border areas. For example, in Mandera, the GoK coopted local peacebuilding organizations for intelligence gathering missions to try to apprehend militias, and used violent tactics against civilians to try to extract information. These actions undermined and broke down relationships between ethnic groups and peacebuilding organizations that had taken a long time to develop. Informal organizational structures take a long time to form—trust building and consensus building between diverse civic groups and local militias and their patrons is a long-term process. Coercive state intervention can rapidly fragment and undermine local peacebuilding constituencies.

In the Marsabit case, actors from Oromo rebel movement from Ethiopia sought sanctuary among Borana communities in Marsabit County. The presence of OLF rebels within the area also increased the use of indiscriminant policing tactics. Kenyan and Ethiopian police, for example, both conducted violent “sweeps” through Moyale and surrounding areas in order to intimidate OLF members in hiding. These conditions increased the likelihood of escalation following smaller scale outbreaks of violence, as in the Moyale, Mandera, and Turbi cases.

The link between the conflict between the Ethiopian government and the OLF also increased suspicion and fear between Borana and Gabra communities in Kenya. Gabra accused the Borana harboring rebels. Fear of the OLF using force against the
community to extract resources for the war effort increased communal capacity to protect, support, and rapidly mobilize youth militias along the Northern Marsabit border (see also, Weinstein 2007).

Across the border cases, civic groups had less control over conflict dynamics. For example, in the Todonyang case, multiple civic groups failed to convene inter-group negotiations due to restraints against civic group mobilization in Ethiopia. Constraints on civil society in Ethiopia were designed to prevent dissident groups from organizing against the state. However, they had the side effect of undermining peacebuilding associations in Kenya working to try to address and limit violence related to Turkana – Dassanetch and Borana – Gabra conflicts. Peacebuilders from the Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, for example, reported having to pretend to be representatives of the GoK in order to coordinate peacebuilding interventions in Ethiopia. Ethiopia’s restrictive policies against civic associations created difficult operating conditions for peacebuilders working to address Gabra – Borana conflicts along the Kenya – Ethiopia border.

Proximity to international borders also made state security efforts less effective in containing escalation. Armed actors who can cross borders can more easily evade Kenyan security actors by crossing the porous border, and seeking protection through intra-ethnic alliances residing in Ethiopia or Somalia. Sharing a border with Uganda, Ethiopia, or Somalia provides local militias with low cost access to arms through intra-
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ethnic trade networks, as well as the option of rapid reinforcement. If necessary, militia support can be called into a conflict in Kenya.

Cross-border intra-ethnic networks allow groups without strong militias to organize communal resources to pay for Somali or Ethiopian mercenaries. External actors linked to clan networks and family lineage groups with military experience in Somali and Ethiopia are better organized, well equipped, and have capacity to use violence with more accuracy and deadliness than local youth militias. Groups without access to cross-border mercenary networks, as in Samburu, Marsabit, and Isiolo do not have access to these forms of militia organization.

Populations living along border areas in Kenya also are less likely to identify with the larger national identity, and thus at higher risk of radicalization. Roba Shamaro states, “the state has not been very effective in addressing radicalization of youth in the North.” Mandera and Moyale are areas of Kenya highly marginalized by the state due to the Shifta War. With the persistence of Somali irredentism, the primary response of the state has been intentional isolation. Alienation of the area has created a large recruiting pool of very poor and disenfranchised male youth, who view joining local militias as one of the only ways to maintain subsistence. In the Mandera case, for example, weak state institutions, porous borders, illegal economic networks, and Somali irredentism contribute to the radicalization and mobilization of youth militias. Informal institutional arrangements and peace process meet their upper limits in these environments. Radical motivations, terrorist-like forms of killing, and the presence of armed actors that are able
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to evade detection or identification can quickly trigger escalatory dynamics that non-
violent, non-state actors have little capacity to contain.

Due to the porosity of borders, national security interests, and limited space for
civil society associations to operate within neighboring states, conflict episodes that
occurred along state borders had higher levels of political interest in local peacebuilding
processes. The state interacted and cooperated with local peacebuilding organizations in
border areas. The state had higher interest in securing international borders, yet at the
same time the state had little legitimacy due to the prior use of coercive governance
tactics, as in the Pokot, and Moyale cases. State co-optation of local peace structures
during process of state-led mediation can undermine the effectiveness of local
associations. In these cases, the state tended to co-opt local peace organizations as
frameworks for information gathering, conducting investigations, or coordinating and
conducting elite dialogues. These behaviors had the effect of undermining cooperative
relationships between local civic groups, militias, and militia patrons.

Border dynamics remain largely outside influence of local peacebuilding groups.
They cannot control recruitment of militias from across borders, or control illegal arms
flows. In the Mandera case, both groups sought external support from armed militias to
engage in the conflict. State borders allow groups the opportunity to retreat to safety and
develop in-group protection strategies. Limited mobility can increase pressure for groups
to negotiate. Borders provide an easy out for ethnic groups, undermining more difficult
negotiations and concessions required for more successful peacebuilding processes.
Despite major challenges for effective local peacebuilding along international border areas, there are examples of successful local associations in addressing cross-border conflict dynamics. Civic organizations in Wajir, Marsabit, and Moyale had had some success in containing violence. However, even if local peacebuilding organizations are comprised of genuine and motivated peacebuilding actors, and organizations are able to overcome complex organizational challenges, containing violence is difficult along border areas.

Heavily armed militias along international borders tend to have engaged in prior deadly clashes with state security actors, have organizational networks that cut across international boundaries, and work to protect vulnerable economic systems that are based on predation. In these settings, civic organizations face the largest challenges in these settings. International border regions are the most complex operating environments for civic organizations.
### Table 9: Preventive Actions across Sub-state Contexts

**Periphery**
- Security teams and eminent persons
- Shaming, threats, and punishment of local leaders
- Public *barazas* and peace ultimatums
- Armament and disarmament
- Low state support for CSOs and NGOs

**Developmental**
- National unity campaigns (NCIC)
- Formalization of peacebuilding bureaucracies (Extension of DPC system)
- Outsourcing to firms: private security, social accountability, and narrative control
- High support for CSOs, NGOs, and local peace associations

**Border**
- Military operations and civic group co-optation
- State-led 3rd party mediation and elite dialogues
- National negotiations and formal peace agreements
- Cooptation of CSOs, NGOs, and local peace associations
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**Cohesive Coalitions: Monitoring, Threat Response, and Brokering**

Under conditions of sub-state fragility, within certain parameters, informal coalitions of civic groups across Northern Kenya play significant roles in containing inter-group conflict. Resilience toward violence is related to the cohesiveness of civic coalitions. However, comparative analysis reveals that two types of coalitions play roles in fostering communal resilience. In the Samburu and Marsabit cases, for example, coalitions of civic groups unified around resisting threats from a particular rival ethnic out-group. In Isiolo, in contrast, civic organizations unified around long-term peacebuilding agendas.
Both types of coalitions helped to contain conflict and prevent the escalation of violence. This finding contradicts Varshney’s assumption that formal crosscutting civic groups are necessary for effective violence prevention (Varshney 2002). In some settings, ethnically homogenous organizational structures contribute to containing escalation by extending the capacity of local militias to monitor out-group movement, respond rapidly to threats, and use of force as a primary deterrent of opportunistic attacks.

The following section describes the capacities of informal coalitions of civic group across the cases. Across Northern Kenya, in the absence of state authority and legitimacy, civic organizations had better access to information related to conflict threats than police and military actors. Civic group coalitions contained escalation in all six counties. Informal coalitions formed in the wake of major massacres, such as Turbi, and in the wake of persistent aggression and insecurity, such as in the Nyiro Valley and Mandera. The mechanisms linking cohesive civic coalitions to local resilience include the establishment of threat monitoring and rapid response systems and supporting preventive negotiation and pact making processes.

Local peacebuilding actors used formal organizational platforms as the basis for extending threat monitoring networks that span across large geographical regions, maintaining access to resources and logistical systems necessary for coordinating and engaging in rapid response missions to address threats or rumors of rising insecurity, and providing multiple opportunities for negotiation. Findings from the study reveal that the extent to which civic associations contain violence depends upon trust between local associations and militia patrons, the severity of violence, and state actions.
Inter-group Coalitions and Resilience

In four cases, civic coalitions unified around long-term peacebuilding agendas specific to particular conflict settings, increasing civic capacity to coordinate effective threat response. In the case of Pokot – Turkana conflict, for example, reformed warriors groups, catholic churches, and elders groups united around a long-term peacebuilding agenda. The coalition comprised of Turkana and Pokot groups remained active even with increasingly violent attacks against government security actors, and shifting levels of interest and resources from various international donors and partner INGOs. In the Lorogon case, the coalition convened preventive negotiations with militia leaders dampen tension and contain escalation.

In the Isiolo case, a coalition of Borana, Gabra, Somali, and Rendille women’s groups, youth, and elders groups proved effective in convening pre-election processes of pact making to contain violence around high-risk local elections. Following initial evidence of success, support from domestic NGOs such as Saferworld and the NSC helped to expand the pact making process. Violence escalated following elections in Moyale and Mandera, but not in Isiolo. Similar to the West Pokot case, civic groups united around a long-term peacebuilding agenda. Even in the absence of a strong formal DPC structure, local civic groups led pre-emptive pact-making processes with very minimal external support. Cohesion among local organizations was critical in this case.

In Moyale, an informal network of peacebuilding actors unified around a long-term peacebuilding agenda. Following the Turbi massacre and the collapse of the formal
peacebuilding structure, local peacebuilding actors took up the cause of reconciliation and for three years convened bargaining processes between Gabra and Borana communities that eventually led to the re-integration of settlements. The long-term processes, itself, helped to form cross cutting relationships among elders groups that prevented severe violence in Moyale from spreading beyond the town center. The long-term, post-Turbi peacebuilding process constructed an informal coalition of actors that improved resilience in Northern Marsabit.

In the Turkana – Tullow Oil case, an informal coalition of peacebuilding actors that cuts across identity lines also plays a significant, complimentary role in containing violence related to tension between Turkana communities and external Kikuyu and Kalenjin from “down country.” A large coalition of civic groups including the Catholic Church, DPCs, local peace committees, and a broad range of NGOs with long-term presence in the area adopted a peacebuilding agenda that compliments the preventive approaches of Tullow Oil and the GoK. The high degree of complementarity proved effective in containing inter-group conflict within Turkana County. In all of these cases, civic groups united around long-term peacebuilding agendas and contributed significantly to limiting escalation.

Intra-group Coalitions and Resilience

The cases of conflict between Turkana and Samburu communities in the Nyiro Valley and between Gabra and Turkana communities in Western Marsabit, however, display a different pattern of cohesion among local associations. Civic group coalitions in
these areas contributed to conflict containment, but they were not crosscutting and unified around long-term peacebuilding agendas. Civic groups unified around resisting a particular threat. Guyo Elema describes, “the government marginalizes the area so much that communities do not share information with the government. They share it with local groups that have their best interests in mind. People say, ‘we have to deal with things on our own.’ Communities are the only ones who can stop their own people from killing.”

Critically, CSOs do not have a strong track record for integrating identity groups in Samburu and Marsabit. In the case of Marsabit, for example, even the most ostensibly neutral civic organizations constantly face accusations of tribalism from the community. Very few formal civic associations provide opportunities for routinized inter-group interactions—civil society organizations thus are not obviously crosscutting in Varshney’s terms. Schools and political parties are ethnically homogenous. Community-based organizations (CBOs) serve particular communities. Local CSOs, in contrast to INGOs, often rely on funding through identify-based support networks and dominant politicians who source financial support through international networks. Thus, most CSOs serve the interests of particular ethnic groups. Varshney’s theory of formal civic associations does not fully explain patterns of conflict in this case (Varshney 2007).

This, however, does not fully undermine the capacity of civic groups to limit escalation. Local peacebuilding actors with shared interests in resisting aggression from particular armed groups developed covert relationships and clandestine threat monitoring networks. Covert relationships among leaders of civic groups allowed for just enough inter-group cooperation to improve day-to-day threat-monitoring necessary to prevent
most conflicts from leading to more severe forms of escalation. For example, in the Nyiro Mountain case, missionary stations, elders groups, teachers unions, and catholic churches provided a broad range of preventive interventions. Militias leveraged civic groups to improve threat monitoring and the capacity to use coercive force to deter attacks from ethnic out-groups. The broad coalition of Samburu civic groups functioned to limit rapid escalation and dampen violence largely through restraining potential spoilers—or increasing the threat-monitoring and military capacity of local militias, raising the risk for ethnic out-groups to use violence in the area.

Layers of village-level organizations with links to civic associations serve as the foundation for inter-group collaboration for threat-response. Paul Galmagar describes, “even though the DPC is not working well, there are informal security institutions that keep violence low.”304 Community security practices and local scouting networks are the first layer. Then monitoring and reporting internally, within identity groups occurs. Information on possible threats is reported to civic organizations and not the police. From that point, information is shared across networks of informers that cut across identity groups, of actors who work across multiple civic groups in the area. “These are the people know every stone, and every pathway. They know what happens under the trees and how the militias move. This is why there is peace, because of these people, not because of the government.”305

305 Ibid.
Similarly, in Western Marsabit, elders groups, the KPR, and PISP, a local CSO coordinated preventive bargaining processes, pre-movement pact making and helped to enforce informal processes of restitution that served as the foundation for relatively peaceful inter-group relations between rival ethnic groups. Over time, even though civic groups remained divided along identity lines in the town, civic groups were unified in response to a shared threat of attack from the Dassanetch. Formal organizational structures were not integrated. Notwithstanding, informal informant networks formed that provided minimal information sharing that cut across Gabra – Borana, and Dassanetch divisions.

These cases raises a question—is it accurate to classify civic organizations as largely non-coercive or nonviolent actors? In some settings in Northern Kenya, civic associations directly and indirectly support local militias and extend their capacity to use or threaten to use force against rival groups. For example, in the Nyiro Mountain corridor civic organizations contain escalation following intergroup clashes largely through their ability to expand and extend communal support for a broad network of armed militias. Civic groups improved access to information about threats and provided organizational support for militias to respond more rapidly and directly to threats and warnings of impending attacks.

In some cases, the contribution of local organizations is not fostering crosscutting, inter-group cooperation as Varshney’s theory suggests, but in improving the capacity of local militias to employ coercive force as a deterrent. Local civic associations may rely upon and indirectly support local militias for protection and access to insecure regions.
Militias, in these cases, view peacebuilding activities as way to leverage organizational resources to protect the community and its scarce resources.

Coalitions and Threat Monitoring

Across the cases, including along Nyiro Mountain conflict corridor, Turkana County, Marsabit, and West Pokot, effective coalitions were comprised of grassroots leaders of civic groups including teachers unions, elders’ councils, local peace committees, mid-level managers of domestic and international NGOs, civil servants in the local government, and local faith-based organization leaders. As Tumal Orto states, “INGOs try to build peace, much more than the government. But they mostly do roadside peace work; we are still on our own to prevent revenge in the most difficult places.”

Local civic groups had the most direct contact with actors involved in militias, and the highest willingness to voluntarily intervene in uncertain and insecure conditions.

Local civic associations have moral authority necessary for coordinating collective action for rapid response and preventive bargaining in volatile conflict settings. This quality is essential as the extent to which civic associations are able to contain violence depends upon trust between peacebuilders and patrons and leaders of local youth militias. To maintain access to and influence over local militias, civic associations must be viewed as neutral or on one side. Peace elites with linkages to militia leaders provide channels to information about potential political threats to stability, and receive information that more formal peacebuilding organizations cannot access.
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This group of actors, therefore, has the capacity to engage in and support local peace processes outside of more formal roles within NGOs and other civic groups. In many cases, actors leading local peacebuilding efforts started out in careers with faith-based organizations, and then shifted into other organizations. Institution hopping provided opportunities for local peacebuilders to become involved in multiple, parallel peace programs. Engagement in processes of institution building improved peace actors’ knowledge of localized conflict dynamics, and, more importantly, expanded access to information related to various types of triggers that may increase the likelihood of conflict escalation in particular conflict settings. This class of actors displayed most capacity to construct and support broad threat monitoring networks, and rapid interventions to contain escalation of inter-ethnic violence, as they were less likely to be threatened than external actors.

In multiple cases, faith-based organizations operated as central points of coordination among multiple local associations. For example, in Samburu, for example, missionary compounds were major hubs for threat monitoring and crisis response. They become an integral part of the informal local security system. In Lodwar, the catholic diocese had more vehicles than the county government, more money, a larger constituency, and a large network of village-level peacebuilding groups spread out across the entire county. Faith-based organizational structures, therefore, increase mobilization capacity and the amount of local resources available for supporting peacebuilding processes.
Many coalitions formed around faith-based civic groups. Faith-based actors had a high level of local moral authority and were less likely to be targeted for sharing information related to conflicts. In many cases local peacebuilding actors were trained as pastors prior to becoming dominant peace elites. They were viewed as neutral, authoritative actors with a moral mandate for local peacebuilding. In West Pokot, actors from local church communities engaged in a long-running intervention to develop a group of reformed warriors (see chapter four). In the West Pokot cases, reformed warriors played significant roles in maintaining threat monitoring networks and convening preventive negotiations following actors of violence, where other organizations could not. Actors involved in armed violence in the past became active and effective participants in local peace organizations.

Within informal threat-monitoring systems, elders groups had power to help dampen violence because of their knowledge of the direct identity of conflict actors and spoilers operating in the area. When elders councils have support from other civic groups, such as women’s groups for faith based organizations, who also have direct knowledge of conflict actors, it becomes increasingly less likely that external spoilers, even if they are co-ethnic kinsmen will not be protected by the larger community. If youth militia leaders are aware that they have lost the support of civic groups and face the risk of being handed to the state justice system as criminals, they are increasingly likely to avoid engaging in acts or violence in those areas. Civic associations, in this way, can raise the cost of violence for local militias.
In the Samburu, Pokot, and Marsabit cases, civic coalitions mobilized resources rapidly to respond to meet basic needs of families and groups who lost animals or family members. This occurred even where more formal organizations such as DPCs lacked necessary resources. Access to logistical systems is necessary for coordinating rapid response missions to address threats or rumors of rising insecurity. They can also pool resources to be the first outside observers to enter a conflict setting, which increases accuracy of information about the conflict and the identity of actors involved, and can go direct to a particular village and engage in negotiations over compensation, providing outlets beyond revenge attacks.

Overall, effective local peacebuilding structures had following characteristics. Actors with direct access to the planning of violent events and willing to engage in preventive response under high risk of and threat of violence by militias, helped sustain long-term peace processes that dampened violence. Teams of direct responders to initial clashes tend to be comprised of peace actors that work for local civil society organizations and use personal networks to access the conflict environment. Informal peace actors then draw upon formal organizational resources and logical capacity to coordinate collective peace actions.

In the absence of state security, local peace actors regularly engage in responses to violence voluntarily and at great personal risk. Personal and social attachment to the conflict environment increases the willingness of peace elites to engage in high risk, direct responses to violent clashes. Cohesive coalitions increase the complexity of
strategies to contain violence, and are better able to develop solutions to conflicts within environments where state is absent and inefficient.

Table 10: Coalition Qualities for Effective Preventive Response

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>• Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Voluntary commitment to high-risk peace action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Local contact, insider information, and spoiler access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Moral authority and embeddedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Power to convene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>• Rapid Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o Informal response teams and ethno-specific stabilization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Resource pooling for victim support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Spoiler identification, returns and restitution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coalitions and Informal Pact-making

Prior analysis suggests many of the proposed mechanisms of informal institutional restraint are not fully effective controls on violence due to the integration of periphery, the proliferation of weapons, the rise of warlords and wealthy powerbrokers, and change in size and forms of militia organization (Duffield 1997; Mkutu 2001; Turton 2003; Boege 2006; Chapman and Kagaha 2009). However, in multiple cases local pacts based on customary codes of restitution limited escalation in the absence of effective state security. Where civic associations provided opportunities for negotiation and around traditional rules for restitution escalation was contained. Thus, regarding the debate around channels through which local civic coalitions dampen violence, the study confirms the importance of informal pact making processes and local resilience (Nilsson 2012; Kaplan 2013; Murdie 2014).
The logic of peace pacts across Northern Kenya is based on the idea that if individuals cannot pay for violent crimes, the entire community must pay. This rule incentivizes communities to adopt strategies to control armed actors and prevent them from engaging in indiscriminant violence. It raises the cost of violence for the entire community. In Fearon and Laitin’s terms, informal communal pacts improve intra-group policing (Fearon and Laitin 2002).

In contrast to Fearon and Laitin’s approach, however, comparative analysis suggests local peace processes have a secondary effect—the construction of inter-group civic coalitions. In Marsabit, Samburu, and Moyale informal pact making processes strengthened civic coalitions and stabilized institutional arrangements for conflict prevention. Long-term processes of negotiating and re-negotiating local peace agreements expand opportunities and platforms for inter-group negotiation and preventive bargaining and help to construct local peacebuilding constituencies.

In Northern Marsabit, for example, the process of pact making generated knowledge about the inconsistencies in rules or restitution and gaps in enforcement capacity across Northern Kenya. This, then, led to the development of cluster-based declarations to harmonize rules for restitution (Maina 2011; Modogashe Report 2011). Long-term bargaining following the Turbi Massacre unified civic organizations across Northern Marsabit, and strengthened threat monitoring and rapid response networks. It helped to construct a more robust local peacebuilding constituency that intervened and prevented Gabra – Borana violence from spreading beyond the town of Moyale in 2014.
In the Mandera case, the Umal Accord brought an end to the Garre – Murule conflict in 2005. It was based on traditional codes of restitution. The Accord clashed with constitutional mandates, but the GoK supported and helped enforce the agreement. It stood for three years. The next wave of escalation was related to increasingly complex political and economic conditions that civic organizations could not contain. In 2013, the Ramadan Accord helped contain the Garre – Degodia conflict mirrored traditional codes of restitution, with the government threatening to impose a large fine upon entire ethnic communities in the event of a broken ceasefire.

In Western Marsabit, prior to relocation, informal pact making between the Turkana and Gabra led to the formation of integrated settlements in the Sarimo valley. Pre-movement pact making created opportunities for inter-group cooperation around local resources and the establishment of new policing institutions. When tension began to increase related to militia conflict near Loiyangalani, in the absence of state security actors, civic actors helped to enforce the informal Accord. The restitution process was based on the terms of the Sarimo declaration, and helped stabilize inter-group relations. Respondents reported enforcement of the informal pact was a critical juncture that allowed for further negotiations around resource sharing that helped to maintain peaceful inter-group relations across Western Marsabit County.

In the Isiolo case, pre-election pact making reduced uncertainty of electoral outcomes, and contributed to the prevention of conflict after elections. Pact making provided routinized opportunities for strengthening local peacebuilding coalitions and provided a foundation for organizing inter-group negotiations following acts of violence.
Coalitions provided multiple platforms for brokering pacts between armed actors, communities, and political elites.

**Limitations of Civic Intervention**

Civic coalitions did not contain escalation in all settings. Varshney suggests prior organizational success often serves as a platform for further success in containing inter-ethnic group conflict (2002). In contrast, the study finds that prior organizational experience does not clearly yield future success. CSOs with prior success in containing intergroup conflict face common risks that can lead to fragmentation and ineffectiveness. In some cases, effective local peacebuilding coalitions had short lifespans.

Comparative analysis reveals conditions under which civic associations break down and lose capacity to contain inter-ethnic group violence. Building effective peacebuilding structures is already difficult under conditions of sub-state fragility. It is even more difficult, as described above, under developmental pressures and along international border regions. Holding together diverse groups of actors during peace processes is complex, especially with high expectations of voluntarism, high risk of personal insecurity, and inconsistent state responses to initial outbreaks of violence. Specifically, three factors commonly undermine the capacity of civic organizations to effectively coordinate preventive intervention—the type of violence, coercive state actions, and incentives within the local political economy that can lead local peace elites to shift into political roles.
Symbolic Violence

First, in contrast to theories that highlight exogenous pressures such as the absence of state authority, poverty and inequality, or elite predation, this finding suggests key triggers of escalation are commonly local-level factors (Smock 1997; Johansson 2011). Inter-group conflict did not escalate for long periods of time under increasing exogenous pressures and stress factors across multiple conflict settings. However, symbolic acts of violence triggered rapid escalation across cases with both minimal and significant preventive intervention. The following acts of violence served as the initial trigger for militia mobilization, revenge attacks, and escalatory dynamics, even in the presence of civic organizations that had previously proven successful in preventing conflict.

Table 11: Symbolic Violence as Escalation Trigger

- Acts of weakness, and “shooting people in the back” (Turbi)
- Assassination of nonviolent activists (Maralal Massacre; Moyale)
- Assassination of political leaders (Turbi case; Samburu – Turkana Range War)
- Hit and run by a political leader (Moyale)
- Murder of aid worker (Moyale)
- Targeting children, women, elders (Samburu – Pokot; Turkana – Pokot)
- Theft of animals belonging to the poor, following a murder (“morally reprehensible” action – Tuum Episode)

Theoretically, micro-level studies of intra-state conflict suggest episodes of violence have competing logics (Kalyvas 2006; Habyarimana et al. 2009; Kalyvas 2012). Across the conflicts under assessment, acts of violence had different logics and characteristics that influenced processes of escalation. However, one common feature
stands out across the cases. Acts of violence that broke social expectations and norms about how and when violence should be used triggered escalation. This was a common driver in multiple cases including Turbi, Moyale, Mandera, Tuum, and with the Samburu – Turkana and Turkana – Pokot range wars. Violence escalated after the murder of an aid worker in Mandera, the killing of a nonviolent activist in Maralal, and killings viewed as acts of weakness in Turbi.

Where particular types of violent action break the norm of reciprocity, violence is more likely to escalate. The norm of tit-for-tat justice is based on the assumption of reciprocity. Rothchild’s concept remains a very strong insight: in the absence of the shared norm of reciprocity, extreme collective fear emerges which then triggers conflict and violence (Rothchild 1973). Unexpected forms of aggression are most likely to trigger revenge attacks that result in high levels of causalities, as they increase uncertainty in the conflict system. Groups assume victims of acts of armed banditry will organize revenge attacks, are thus prepared to protect themselves from acts of revenge. A broad spectrum of social, political, and economic tactics and communal adaptations are in place at the village-level to thwart rapid revenge attacks.

Escalation tends to occur in relation to acts of violence that break social expectations. Acts of aggression that fall outside of the informal rules of the game are more likely to intensify conflict. Experiences of violence leave marks on societies and generate lasting grievances against perpetrators. After acts of symbolic violence, bonding tends to occur over bridging. In other words, as Ruth Aluoch states, “people
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revert to their ethnic identities as a source of protection during times of crisis and uncertainty.\textsuperscript{308} Grievances may remain latent for long periods of time. Symbolic acts of violence serve as fodder for escalation in relation to other conflict pressures. When an act of violence is a deeply symbolic affront to communal norms or symbolic of an intention to undermine the whole community, escalation is more likely to occur.

Coercive State Action

To what extent do state actions support or undermine the preventive efforts of peacebuilding organizations? The state used coercive force in response to multiple local conflicts in Northern Kenya to try to contain escalation, but this action had unintended consequences for local peace processes. In Asfaw Kumsaa’s terms, “if brute force worked, conflict in the North would have stopped long ago.”\textsuperscript{309} State-led responses to local conflicts frequently involved the use of indiscriminant violence against civilians, increasing the likelihood that groups would evade peacebuilding interventions involving state actors, and seek internal, community-based solutions to rising insecurity.

Bell et al. argue the state’s use of force against citizens, such as disappearances, deepen citizens’ disaffection with the state, which increases the likelihood of violence (Bell et al. 2013). This is part of the explanation in the Kenya context. Coercive state action can lead to disaffection with state actors and increasing support for local militias. However, the study finds evidence for a secondary impact. State-sanctioned violence
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undermines crosscutting civic coalitions. Across the Samburu, West Pokot, Moyale, and Mandera cases, where the state used force against communities, local associations broke down, creating windows for escalation.

State sanctioned violence increased citizen disaffection and increased communal support for local militias. As Geoffrey Lipale stated, “When the state criminalizes the whole community, this leads to mobilization of the whole community.” The expansion of local military capacity and communal protection of culprits of violence are both related to the state’s use of violence against pastoralist communities. Ethnic communities protect in-group militia members who conduct acts of violence, and patrons of local protection militias often pay bribes to release culprits from prison. Halkano Bukuno describes the dilemma for state security actors,

“There is no real information on perpetrators of violence in the periphery. Police ask elders and local politicians to identify criminals in their community, yet they always blame violence on other groups in the area. In response, the government punishes the whole group often very harshly, and violently.”

Second, the state’s use of indiscriminant violence in response to outbreaks of inter-group conflict narrows local civil society space. Where the state uses indiscriminant force, local peace actors have a more difficult time in engaging with armed militias, sustaining local peace processes, and maintaining covert informant networks for threat monitoring. Policing intervention undermines trust between local organizations and
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militia leaders, and reduces the capacity of local peace associations to coordinate preventive interventions.

For example, in Turkana, West Pokot, and Isiolo, informal organizational structures with prior success were less capable of containing escalation following heavy-handed state policing missions. After police used excessive force against civilians to extract information about militia groups, or co-opted local peace associations for gathering information about conflict actors, the loss of insider status undermined the ability of civic actors to function as neutral mediators and acquire accurate information from armed groups. Armed militias avoid engagement with organizations they believe may be working with the state to gather information for use in policing missions. In Todonyang, Mandera, and West Pokot militias targeted civic groups following the co-optation of peacebuilding associations for intelligence gathering and disarmament campaigns.

Similar dynamics occurred in Mandera. After state intervention to try to dampen inter-group violence, Garre and Degodia pooled communal resource to hire external mercenaries from Somalia and Ethiopia. Local political elites engaged in dialogues with police and state-level government officials to avoid heavy-handed state security tactics and accusations of ethnic incitement. At the same time, however, they remained deeply involved in processes of arming and supporting ethnic militias. As the state became more involved in peace processes, local militia leaders and their supporters became less willing to engage with actors and organizations working on peace interventions. If information on illegal arms or prior acts of violence reaches the state, it can be used to launch
disarmament campaigns or accusations of political incitement. Militias resisted cooperation and information sharing when civic groups aligned with the state.

Local Peacebuilders as Political Entrepreneurs

In some cases, effective peacebuilding organizations had short lifespans due to role shifting among peace elites. Successful leaders of peacebuilding institutions shifted into political roles leading to the loss of neutrality and the breakdown of previously successful organizational structures. Multiple coalitions collapsed after extended periods of success. This pattern occurred in the cases of West Pokot, Turkana, Isiolo, and Marsabit.

It is difficult for local peacebuilding actors to remain neutral during periods of heightened insecurity and increasingly contentious ethnic conflict. Politics and peacebuilding do not go together. Politics in Kenya is based on ethnic divisions. Collective identity is basis for the pursuit of individual power. Peacebuilding aims to accomplish the opposite—the construction of trans-ethnic organizations and inter-group relationships. Peace elites often develop political aspirations during local peacebuilding processes. Civic leaders gain status and prestige through developing skills and networks related to peacebuilding interventions.

The high cost of engaging in politics in the Kenya context, however, incentivizes corruption to gain access to resources necessary to compete in the local elections. Within the Turkana – Pokot conflict, Riam, Riam and POKATUSA, the two most prominent civic organizations in the area broke down after leaders embezzled funds to run political
campaigns. In the Isiolo case, the DPC was captured by political actors interested in using the organizations to access information on local conflict dynamics for use in campaign strategies. In Marsabit, due to increasing insecurity and tension between the Borana and Gabra prior to the Turbi Massacre, the DC directly disbanded the most effective peacebuilding institutions in Marsabit and Moyale in order to undermine organizations most likely to attain and reveal accurate information about planned attacks. In this case, political entrepreneurs working to gain power over contested territories directly disbanded civic organizations prior to escalating the conflict.

After inter-ethnic group clashes, politicians and political entrepreneurs become involved in conflict dynamics and enter into the negotiation space. This causes, “the peacebuilding space to become a forum for ethnic politics.”\(^{312}\) In Galgallo Tuye’s terms, “after clashes, politicians do not encourage us to live together, but to perish together as fools.”\(^{313}\) The outcome of negotiations impact on how a community judges local political actors. Whether or not a local political entrepreneur takes a hardline against a rival group, or supports a process of restitution and reconciliation, is a political calculation. Peace actors within intentions to make the shift into local politics become less likely to engage as neutral mediators.

Communities assume state mediators use local peace processes to forward the interests of a particular ethnic group, or political party. State actors are not viewed as


neutral third party mediators due to the politicization of security provision. This causes actors who, in the past, had power to convene across the conflict divide to become less capable of effectively mediating inter-group conflicts. This dilemma is even more intensive under the pressure of potential economic growth, as the stakes for winning elections increases. With Vision 2030, more investment, and rising land prices, political entrepreneurs across Northern Kenya operate under the assumption that county positions will come with access to even more rents from businesses and actors seeking access to the area. In these areas, it becomes increasing tempting for peace elites with large constituencies and broad based legitimacy to make the shift into politics.

As conflict escalates and peacebuilding actors shift into political roles, civic organizations often align with particular ethnic groups. Alignment with a particular ethnic group, however, increases the risk of targeting during conflicts, especially when civic groups lose the trust of local militias and their patrons. In the most severe cases of escalation, including along the West Pokot – Turkana border, Mandera, and Todonyang, militias targeted actors involved in local peace processes. Overall, these cases suggest a spectrum of processes of organizational capture, ranging from indirect shifting of peacebuilding actors into political roles, to direct organizational collapse related to dismantling of peacebuilding structures prior to organized ethnic violence.

**Communal Resilience and Militia Restraint**

Informal communal protection strategies played a minor role in containing escalation in some cases under analysis. In some cases, along the Nyiro conflict corridor
and in Western Marsabit, the factors that limited escalation were related to nonviolent adaptation. Communal protection strategies reduced suspicion of cooperation with external militias and made rapid, indiscriminant revenge attacks against particular out-group communities less likely.

Bunker argues that communities linked to outsider militias are more likely to be targeted (Bunker 2012). Findings from the Nyiro Mountain Corridor, however, indicate this is not always the case. Turkana villages, associated with outsider militias developed unique, non-violent adaptation strategies, and covert informant networks with local peace elites that made them unlikely targets of revenge attacks. In this case, communal adaptation reduced the likelihood of particular out-group villages being targeted following deadly attacks by out-group militias.

This finding confirms that information sharing between ethnic groups is a critical restraint. In Fearon and Laitin’s terms, “local information brokers” are key actors for preventing violence (Fearon and Laitin 1996; Varshney 2002; McMahon 2007). What differs, however, is the way in which information sharing does not necessarily require intergroup trust, or crosscutting civic networks, as Varshney suggests (2002). Information sharing still occurs under conditions of severe mistrust, suspicion, and fear, as a coping and survival mechanism. Basic threat monitoring reduces the likelihood of violence still occurs in the absence of inter-group trust.

In some cases, clandestine collective action limits escalation. Where groups, at least, come to expect that members of an out-group will share accurate information about threats of impending attacks, the in-group becomes more willing to reciprocate threat-
related information. Civic coalitions improve reciprocal sharing of information related to potential threats from armed groups. In cases where violence did not escalate following violent attacks, rival groups had complex, informal informant networks involving participants from multiple ethnic groups, even groups outside of the primary conflict dyad.

This strategy played a key role in containing escalation along the volatile borders between Samburu and Turkana, Turkana and Gabra, and Pokot and Turkana communities. Specifically, in the Parikati case, informant networks included actors from Samburu, Turkana, as well as Kalenjin, Kikuyu, and Pokot actors. Covert threat monitoring systems decreased the likelihood of suspicion that minority communities settled along the border were involved in processes of forming external alliances and granting access to external Turkana or Pokot militias to use village as a forward bases for conducting attacks. Providing Samburu militias with accurate information about militia support, mobilization, and movement and reduced the likelihood that Turkana villages would be targeted in revenge attacks following acts of violence.

Minority ethnic out-group settlements living along contested and highly vulnerable borders, in the words of respondents from Sarima, groups may choose to, “suffer to keep peace”—electing to abandon long-held territory, relocate to very harsh environments to evade insecure areas, and collaborate with local civic associations to negotiate informal institutional arrangements for resource sharing and community policing. Fear of escalation can drive increased vigilance and the emergence of informal institutional arrangements between groups living in highly insecure conflict zones.
In Parikati, Tuum, Sarima, Sarimo, and Moyale, groups conducted trade through youth intermediaries in neutral locations to avoid inter-ethnic group interaction and suspicion of spying. Based on social norms, youth who have not gone through initiation rites are not legitimate targets for militias, decreasing the likelihood of opportunistic attacks during inter-group exchanges. Minority out-groups settled along volatile borders reported over-accumulating livestock, diversifying livelihoods, dispersing communal resources for protection across multiple local militias, and developing informal identification and tracking systems. These strategies decreased the likelihood that a single family or clan would lose an entire stock to banditry, and thus less likely to mobilize a militia to engage in immediate revenge attacks following attacks or acts of predation.

Where minority groups face severe threats, forms of protection include circular encampments, ring fencing, triple ring fencing, or even digging foxholes within all homes in encampments. When such strategies prove insufficient for ensuring in-group protection, collective evasion and relocation is the most common alternative. In some cases such as the Turbi massacre and attacks along the Suguta Valley out-group communities relocated prior to attacks, indicative of advanced foreknowledge of the rising threat of retaliation. In the Sarimo case, civilian actors fled from more insecure areas. With the support of civic organizations, vulnerable out-groups avoided re-settlement along more vulnerable border areas.

Following clashes, the compounds of local political leaders and civic organizations are often open to civilians fleeing from insecurity, as in the cases of Mandera, Marsabit, and Todonyang. Over time, integrated settlements disintegrate with
civilians fleeing to urban areas with better government services, and militia actors establishing settlements in abandoned and more inaccessible terrains. Settlement of militias in areas with poor information networks and no infrastructure lowers the cost of protecting illegal weapons caches and evading police. Acts of ethno-communal violence remain more frequent in areas of state fragility, but escalation is not predetermined. Communal adaptation plays an important role in helping constitute secure spaces in highly insecure environments.

Table 12: Non-coercive Adaptation

- Clandestine informant networks and threat monitoring
- Informal resource sharing agreements
- Social disintegration, flight, and evasion via co-ethnic networks
- Over-accumulation, resource dispersion, and communal insurance
- Diversification of livelihoods
- Emissaries for inter-group trade
- Local elites and post-conflict resource mobilization

Coercive Communal Protection

Prior cases studies of civic organizations and the prevention of inter-ethnic group violence found norm change was a key mechanism that reduced the likelihood of escalation (Fein 1979; Longman 2009; Cameron et al. 2013). From this perspective, where civic groups promote human rights norms or religious norms around nonviolence, escalation is less likely due to the fact that militias may adopt non-coercive strategies to
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deal with conflict dynamics. Granted, norm change was a factor in a few cases. Reformed warriors in West Pokot and Turkana embraced nonviolent conflict resolution norms, and played important roles in the larger peacebuilding constituency. However, comparative findings indicate communal adaptation principally contributes to increasing the capacity of youth militias to use deadly force.

In the words of Samson Leriano, a patron a local militia along the Nyiro Valley conflict corridor, “we buy arms and we build peace.”315 Due to the long history of state-sanctioned violence against pastoralist populations, there is minimal evidence of norm change toward nonviolent conflict prevention tactics among local militias across the larger conflict system. State responses to inter-ethnic group violence increased communal adaptation related to militia support and increasing the capacity of local militias. Under conditions of sub-state fragility and persistent human insecurity, communities may embrace non-coercive conflict prevention strategies, without abandoning support for youth militias to threaten to use deadly force against rival ethnic out-groups as the primary communal protection strategy.

Participation in local militias requires living under highly insecure conditions in locations that are distant and disconnected from the large community. At the same time, militia participation provides an opportunity for youth to attain access to resources donated to the militia from the larger ethnic community. In the absence of educational or

---

other economic opportunities, youth remain willing recruits for local militias.\textsuperscript{316} Patronage networks within the community provide ethnic militias with arms, ammunition, military equipment, and increased opportunities for military training. They are sustained through contributions from the larger ethnic community.

Donations for militias come from wealthy ethnic elites—often very significant amounts of money that allow youth militias to access to more sophisticated military equipment and training. Youth militias receive advanced trainings in scouting, tracking, and tactical arms use through co-ethnic networks of actors with prior experience in state policing, military organizations, or rebel movements, as in the Marsabit and Mandera cases. In the wake of conflict escalation in the cases of Samburu, Pokot, Turkana, and Mandera, communities financed the purchase of arms through pooling of communal resources. Youth militias gained access to increasingly sophisticated arms through identity-based trade networks, and elder - youth collaboration increased in order to strengthen militia skills. Ethnic groups collectively pool resources for armed actors, and prevent the spread of information about militia activities to enemy groups.

In West Pokot and Turkana, communities formed complex commercialized raiding schemes to fund and expand militia capacity. In Mandera, illicit intra-ethnic group trade networks fund and support the expansion of militia capacity. Poaching, charcoal cartels, and organized crime all fund and support militias. Ports in Somalia are

\textsuperscript{316} Militia recruits often have personal motives for taking up arms, as well. From a young age, young boys are very well aware of who their enemies are. Through narrative histories, or very often through personal experience of having a father or family member killed by another group, young men in these areas grow up with very clear “enemy images,” which enhances their capacity to conduct very deadly forms violence against rival groups (Little 1994).
used to evade high tariffs on goods imported into Kenya. Cross border arbitrage and tariff evasion also provide resources for militias. Turkana communities developed informal donation schemes to pay bribes and hire lawyers to protect co-ethnic militia members from the formal judicial system.

The shift from communal livestock ownership among pastoralist groups toward private ownership created a new source of resources for local youth militias. Wealthy elites residing in Nairobi hire private militias to protect livestock and gain access to scarce water, land, and grazing resources. The Mandera case, for example, suggests that when the primary patrons of militias do not reside in the area and share risks related to instability, the likelihood of escalation is higher. Where militia patrons are distant from militias, there are fewer local-level checks against the use of violence. The Samburu case is the opposite—where militia patrons are part of the community, and involved across a broad network of local civic associations, the likelihood of escalation is lower.

Political elites play key roles in supporting and sustaining identity-based militias as the primary foundation for the protection of communal wealth. Militias not only help protect elite business interests livestock and other trade activities, in some cases, including Marsabit, Isiolo, and Moyale, political elites cooperated with elders and ethnic militias to engineer long-term strategies for territorial expansion and demographic change as political strategies. Local-level and national-level political actors contribute to increasing the capacity of ethnic militias to use violence or the threat of violence as a primary deterrent.
Politicians use handouts of arms, ammunition, and food supplies channeled through covert intra-ethnic networks to support youth militias. Deadly raids have been used to undermine the support base of political rivals. Political actors, in many cases, condone terrorist-like acts of raiding and violence designed to undermine potential political competitors. In Marsabit, Moyale, West Pokot, and Isiolo politicians directly collaborated with youth militias. Seemingly normal resource conflict cloaked elite strategies for maintaining political power. Political elites are often a key source of funding and supplies for militias, such that the consolidation of ethnic blocs also occurs through allocation of resources for communities to acquire weapons and ammunition.

In some cases, social change occurs in the wake of conflict escalation. Elders are deeply engaged with youth militias, more so than in the past. In traditional hierarchical, segmented forms of social organization, elders had little day-to-day contact with warriors. Traditional rituals and informal codes of conduct divided and separated age-sets, leaving youth militias with the responsibility of survival and protection of communal resources. Now, elders collaborate extensively with leaders of youth militia groups to determine when attacks should or should not occur and they collectively develop plans to carry out raids and counter-raids. Where youth militias failed in missions, elders intervened to provide additional support for organizing attacks and restore status and group esteem after failed attacks.

Overall, under these conditions, large-scale escalation still remains rare due to internal militia restraints. A significant amount research focuses on the proliferation of weapons across traditional cultures as a core condition for escalation (Mirzeler and
Young 2000; Mkutu 2003; Weiss 2004; Ndungu 2009; Wepundi 2012). Findings from the study, however, do not support this approach. Militias across are Northern Kenya are increasingly armed, better trained, and consistently learning new military tactics. Increasing military capacity across traditional pastoralist societies makes it increasingly difficult to form very large groups that can move freely across broad swaths of territory.

These adaptations make it more likely that armed groups will choose to engage in, at least, processes of more careful information seeking and thus more calculated attacks upon guilty parties rather than asymmetrical revenge killings. Very rapid, uncalculated acts of indiscriminant revenge could lead to very disastrous outcomes for pursuers, like the Baragoi massacre. Civic groups and threat monitoring networks developed through coalitions of civic groups compliment the process or restraint, making it increasingly difficult for larger militias to mobilize. These inter-related factors function as key restraints for rapid mobilization of large armed groups following conflict triggers.

Table 13: Coercive Adaptations

| • Outsourcing violence to co-ethnic militants  |
| • Investment in the expansion of illicit markets to fund militia organization  |
| • Communal resource pooling for militia support  |
| • Resource pooling for bribes, legal system evasion, and evasion of state security  |
| • Militia recruiting, reinforcement, and skills training via co-ethnic networks  |
| • Elder – youth collaboration and intra-group cohesion  |
| • Elite support for ethnic militias  |

Processes of communal adaptation increase the capacity of youth militias to use deadly force, with non-coercive communal protection strategies playing a minor role in
containing rapid revenge attacks against minority ethnic out-groups along highly volatile borders. Non-coercive tactics reduced the risk of targeting, reduced the risk of in-group mobilization, and increased the likelihood of inter-group negotiation. Covert informant networks, in particular, make villages along the border less likely targets of revenge, which makes it even more difficult for militias to organize attacks against distant and unknown locations. In this way, local coping restrains rapid mobilization and escalation. However, with all citizens well armed and in support of communal militias, collective violence still remains a possibility. It remains the unlikely outcome, but is always a possibility with ethnic groups constantly working to expand military capacity of local militias. When coalitions of civic groups break down, escalation becomes increasingly likely.
CONCLUSION

In periphery areas of developing states vulnerable to inter-ethnic group conflict, why does violence sometimes stop and armed groups decide to maintain peace? Do informal associations have the ability contain violence using non-coercive and informal modes of conflict prevention? The findings from this study are guardedly optimistic. Where the state is not capable of providing immediate security or effective rapid response to threats, coalitions of civic associations can contain violence using informal institutional arrangements. Within the most intensive inter-ethnic conflicts in Kenya, including along the Pokot – Turkana border, Mandera, and Samburu, local associations collaborated to take risks to establish and maintain complex, often covert informant networks for threat monitoring, to convene negotiations with militia actors responsible for organizing deadly attacks, and to enforce customary rules of restitution for violent crimes.

Through comparative cases studies, findings indicate civic associations in various configurations limit escalation between warring communities across the rural periphery of Northern Kenya. This primary finding, however, is contingent upon key scope conditions. Drawing from empirical evidence, the study finds that modes of state and non-state response to local inter-ethnic conflicts affect patterns of conflict escalation. In particular, the use of indiscriminant force by police and military forces commonly
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exacerbates conflict. The following chapter summarizes key findings related to the main propositions discussed in detail in chapter six, addresses potential limitations and challenges related to the research, and closes with implications for peace research in Kenya, African divided societies, and non-state conflict.

**Summary of Findings**

The project addresses the puzzle of why some ethnic conflicts escalate, whereas others do not. Even in the presence of multiple pressures that create very high levels of conflict vulnerability, violence may escalate, or it may not escalate. In particular, the primary questions examined are: Why does conflict not escalate when conditions are rife for allowing it to happen? What are the potential conditions at the state, civic, and communal level of analysis that help contain escalation of violence within non-state conflicts?

Six county-level cases studies were conducted to select and analyze fifteen different inter-ethnic group conflicts along with corresponding responses from local, civil society, and state actors to try to contain escalation. Comparative analysis of conflict episodes and corresponding responses reveal patterns of behavior across the cases, despite the presence of various conflict actors, conflict triggers, and contextual features. The study demonstrates how informal coalitions of civic organizations prove crucial for explaining why some conflicts escalate and others do not. It also demonstrates how interactive effects between state actions and local peace processes help explain why some conflicts are not contained and re-occur. Conditions that restrain escalation, and the
factors that breakdown and undermine potential restraints are as critical for understanding process of inter-ethnic violence as the core drivers.

Across the cases, two factors contained escalation. First, informal coalitions of civic associations comprised of actors with direct relationships to patrons and leaders of youth militia units built threat-monitoring networks and provided platforms for negotiating informal pacts that contained escalation. Second, informal communal protection strategies reduced suspicion of cooperation with external militias and made rapid indiscriminant revenge attacks against out-group communities less likely.

Notwithstanding the success of some informal organizational structures, state actions made it increasingly difficult for non-state organizations to prevent the re-escalation of violence. As Christopher Gitari stated, “The story of Northern Kenya cannot be told without looking not only at state failure to provide security, but at the very long history of state abuse and the direct use violence against its own population.”\(^{317}\) Coercive state actions following outbreaks of inter-ethnic violence reduced the capacity of local peace associations to contain violence and increased the likelihood of escalation. After state police used excessive force, or coordinated with local peace associations for gathering information about conflict actors, the loss of insider status reduced the likelihood of effective preventive intervention through non-state organizations. In some cases, local peacebuilding coalitions had short lifespans. Previously successful peace actors shifted into political roles leading to the breakdown and fragmentation of previously successful associations.

\(^{317}\) Christopher Gitari, ICTJ, Interview with the author, Nairobi, Kenya, August 8, 2014.
Table 14: Factors for Escalation and Restraint in Non-state Conflicts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors containing escalation</th>
<th>Factors facilitating escalation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Constraints</strong></td>
<td>• Coercive state action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cohesive civic coalition</td>
<td>• Perception of inequality in state response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Peace Elite – Militia Trust</td>
<td>• Symbolic violence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Threat Monitoring</td>
<td>• Fragmented civic coalition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Informal Pact-making</td>
<td>o Breakdown of trust between peace elites and militia leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restraints</strong></td>
<td>o Breakdown of informal pacts and institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Communal Adaptation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Coercive and Non-coercive)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Civic Coalitions and the Capacity to Contain

Organizing effective and rapid response to inter-group conflicts is a complex organizational challenge. Not all social organizations are up to the task. In some cases, in the absence of the state, informal coalitions of local civic associations play critical roles in conflict prevention. Local associations, in various forms, improve information sharing between groups, and provide platforms for inter-group bargaining, monitoring and enforcement of local pacts to contain violence. These actions, at least, reduce the likelihood of rapid, asymmetrical and indiscriminate attacks against nearby out-group communities.

Even with the absence of policing in remote regions, the presence of informal coalitions among local solidarity groups increases the likelihood that accurate knowledge of conflict events will be communicated to armed actors, allowing for more strategic responses among armed groups. Interventions coordinated through informal coalitions of
local peace actors increase the likelihood that bargaining will be selected over rapid, asymmetrical collective violence. Where cohesive solidarity group coalitions form, threat monitoring, inter-group bargain platforms, and informal pacts generate resilience to escalation.

Prior research analyzes the relationship between particular types of civic associations and ethnic conflict, such as business, religious organizations and political parties. Effective prevention, however, rarely hinges upon one particular organizational structure. Multiple organizations are involved in responses to localized, inter-ethnic group conflict. Local resilience depends upon relationships among multiple civic associations that align with a particular cause, as in the Moyale and Marsabit cases where long-term peacebuilding process, improved monitoring, and rapid response to threats of escalation. Local resilience also is achieved where civic groups align with resisting the threat of attack from particular violent actors, as in the Samburu, Turkana, and Pokot cases where local organizations unified and helped form high-risk, clandestine monitoring systems. Findings from this study, therefore, indicate that the types of civic associations are less important than the relationships among civic organizations, often held together by local peacebuilding actors.

In areas highly vulnerable to inter-ethnic group conflict, violence is less likely to escalate when there is a cohesive coalition of civic associations capable of coordinating rapid preventive actions directly related to the logic of violence. In these settings the extent to which local civic organizations are able to contain violence is based upon trust between armed actors and un-armed interveners. Civic organizations are more or less
capable of containing violence in particular locations depending upon the level of trust between leaders of civic associations and patrons of local militias. In areas where there is a high level of reciprocity between local civic associations and militias, escalation is less likely.

Trust between local peacebuilders, militias, and elites patrons is difficult to maintain and always quite fragile. Evidence across the cases suggests that a class of local actors, or peace elites, play significant roles in maintaining trust of militia leaders at critical moments of potential escalation. Peace elites tend to have been employed, at various times, across multiple civic organizations, including churches, schools, CSOs, NGOs, political parties, and local government, remain directly connected to local conflict settings via family or identity-based relationships, and have large networks with peace actors across multiple civic associations.

In moments of crisis, organizational types and affiliations matter less than informal coalitions built by local peace elites. Local peace elites draw upon multiple resources personal, communal, and organizational to contain conflict violence. This class of actors is thus critical for forming and holding together a coalition of diverse civic organizations operating within a given conflict setting. Where this class of local actors is able to maintain a strong coalition, the resources, strategies, and platforms available for negotiation increases, reducing the likelihood of conflict escalation.

Formal organizational boundaries between different CSOs matter little when conflict occurs. Roles within formal organizations matter less than roles in society. Key actors who respond directly and rapidly to threats do so as elders, community members,
and family members, rather than as CSO or NGO workers. Responses that dampen violence address grievances based on customary rules of restitution draw upon socially appropriate compensation schemes and forms of communal protection.

Local peace elites tend to develop trust and local authority based on associational ties that cut across multiple civic associations, and based upon their status within the community as relatively neutral actors committed to the cause of peacebuilding. Moral authority of peace elites gives them power to coordinate and engage in high-risk responses that other actors cannot. For example, peace elites are able to rapidly coordinate collective responses to crises, convince communities to donate resources to cover the cost of informal compensation and restitution after deaths, report accurate information across broad associational networks making it more likely that information will cross ethnic boundaries.

In the periphery, leaders of local solidarity groups quickly become embedded within networks of information sharing and rapid response that impact conflict patterns and shape what happens at the initial moment of crisis. Mid-level aid workers, NGOs, and CBOs as implementing partners, even religious and missionary organizations play important roles within informal systems of local conflict governance, that, at times, have helped to manage inter-group violence and prevent escalation. In sum, in localities where peace elites have formed strong informal relationships that cut across multiple civic groups, violence is less likely to escalate.
Scope Conditions

Findings from the study indicate that the capacity for informal civic coalitions to contain escalation depends upon three conditions. First, it depends upon the quality and severity of violence. Symbolic acts of violence spark the rapid disintegration of civic organizations and informal threat monitoring networks. Inter-group fear drives actors to pursue protection through bonding rather than bridging groups. Unexpected acts of violence that break social norms, such as the murder of aid workers, activists, political candidates, women and children, or the theft of resources belonging to the poorest members of a community can trigger the breakdown of informal coalitions, rapid militia mobilization, and asymmetrical acts of violent retribution. Widespread, rather than localized violence, then becomes more difficult for informal coalitions to contain.

While civic coalitions may be able to contain localized inter-ethnic group conflict, they may not be able to contain conflict that spans across larger regions and spills across borders. As evident in the Turkana – Pokot conflict, the direct targeting of police and local peace actors greatly decreased the ability of organizations with a lot of experience in conflict resolution from being able to intervene and prevent violence escalation along the border, especially with oil discoveries making the conflict far more complex.

Second, the extent to which civic associations are able to contain violence depends upon the nature of state response to the outbreak of local conflict. Violence is not pre-determined by state actions. State actions, however, make it more difficult for communities and civic organizations to contain violence. In areas highly vulnerable to inter-ethnic group conflict, violence is less likely to escalate when state actions do not
undermine civic coalitions. Where state agents use indiscriminant force, civic coalitions are more to fragment and break down. Where state actors co-opted civic associations for intelligence gathering civic coalitions are more likely to fragment and peacebuilding actors are more likely to be targeted by armed groups.

Third, when the potential for development gains increases, the likelihood of the fragmentation of peacebuilding coalitions is more likely. Local peace elites confront new incentives to shift roles and become political entrepreneurs. Past success in peacebuilding can, in some cases, led to the collapse of effective organizations. Some peacebuilding coalitions had short lifespans. CSOs were victims of their own success. The availability of more resources for peacebuilding activities incentivized corruption. Rising legitimacy and extensive communal support for leaders of peacebuilding organizations incentivized shifts into ethnic politics. For these reasons, prior organizational experience does not clearly predict future success. Success in local peacebuilding efforts can, in some cases, lead to the collapse of effective coalitions. As peacebuilders gain social status, build broad constituencies, and shift to become political entrepreneurs, coalitions can break down and fragment.

Communal Adaptation

Dominant theories of ethnic conflict tend to assume that communities have few options when weak states cannot protect them and armed actors choose to use violence. Data gathered indicates that this is not the case. Local communities are not passive victims in the face of insecurity and state weakness. Non-coercive strategic innovation
can, in some cases, increase communal capacity to respond to various types of conflict triggers and shocks, and foster resilience toward violence in the absence of the state.

Under increasingly complex conflict conditions with rising tension and threats of indiscriminant violence, local protection strategies, both coercive and non-coercive are adopted. Local communities bear the highest costs of violence, which creates an incentive to not only rely upon threat-based strategies for communal protection. In some cases non-coercive tactics, at least, made particular out-group communities less prone to indiscriminant targeting following outbreaks of violence in other locations, creating a dampening effect.

For example, along the Samburu – Turkana border, Turkana out-groups developed covert, inter-group threat monitoring systems that functioned to restrain violent actors from engaging in rapid asymmetrical attacks. Local adaptation reduces the likelihood of escalation through the introduction of diverse tactics for managing common conflict triggers. However, acts of violence create conditions of uncertainly which triggers communal adaptations, both coercive and non-coercive. Patrons of militias acquire more sophisticated weapons and training, and pursue informal negotiations with aggressors through elders, or local peace actors such as reformed warriors.

There is a very high level of coping and improvisation during the process of responding to conflict threats under conditions of uncertainty. Armed actors may use non-coercive strategies for nefarious purposes, such as sending emissaries to engage in peace negotiations or peacebuilding programming for the purpose of information gathering on rival groups. For this reason, communal adaptations and survival strategies,
alone, cannot explain variation in patterns of escalation. Interactive effects must be taken into account.

**Limitations of the Study**

The study faces limitations related to information sources, reliability, and comparability of qualitative data. First, precise data on fatalities in conflicts in periphery regions is very difficult to attain. During episodes of violence both armed actors and civilians lose lives, making it difficult for outside observers to accurately identify who is who, especially when insecurity remains very high. Attacks also always become political events with various actors working to control the narrative around violence.

Conflict reports are prone to errors due to police under-reporting in which high numbers would undermine their authority and garner severe reprimands from commanding authorities, or over-reporting by groups who use events to make claims of government failure, victimhood, or to undermine the legitimacy of incumbents or competing political leaders. Corruption also undermines the accuracy of data on local conflicts. Under reporting can be purchased. Some actors involved may want to cover up a severe act of violence to avoid police intervention or severe retribution by another ethnic militia. For these reasons, following the protocol of UCDP, the study relies on best estimates within each analytical narrative (UCDP 2015).

The study cannot definitively prove that cohesive peace coalitions are necessary for limiting escalation. Studies of few cases and multiple variables face the problem of multi-collinearity (Fearon 1991, 187). Many other spurious factors outside of the
assessment framework may contribute to escalation or non-escalation. However, to deal with this problem each case presents evidence of observable preventive action taking place that are most likely to have played a role in contributing to the non-occurrence of violence (Rubin 2002). Cases provide observable evidence of actors engaging in actions intentionally selected to prevent escalation (Rubin 2002). Actors believed the risk of escalation was high enough to undertake preventive efforts, overcoming the threat-response problem (George and Holl 1997).

Second, studying ethnic violence runs against the problem of reliability due to competing interpretations of its causes and consequences from different actors. High levels intervention and multiple NGOs and CSOs engaged in peacebuilding leads to the formation of scripts among leaders of organizations who aim to maintain narratives of effectiveness even when local peacebuilding efforts fail (see also, Eaton 2008a). To overcome this challenge, during the fieldwork component of the study, I collaborated with a variety of local institutions, including, Catholic Justice and Peace Commission, the Turkana County Peace Secretariat, Local Elders Networks, District Peace Committees, and the Pastoralist Integrated Support Program.

In some cases, I was invited by local peace actors to observe peace programs and interventions to address active violent conflicts, allowing access to face-to-face interviews with conflict actors who were more critical of local peacebuilding organizations and programming (see also, Haer and Becher 2011; Mazurana, Jacobsen, and Gale 2013). This provided me with an opportunity to employ direct participant observation methods, and to assess and compare various organizational responses to
conflict episodes that occurred during my fieldwork. Insights derived through participant observation have proven particularly useful for analysis of the ways in which informal forms of organizational collaboration occur at moments of crisis.

In each county, I worked with at least one research assistant with linkages to local security actors, peacebuilding organizations, and conflict actors. All research assistants had been involved in inter-group negotiations and other peacebuilding processes, and were trusted as relatively neutral actors in the community. Government officials and local chiefs were contacted first in each location to present my research permit and ensure approval. Overall, my research team engaged 327 research participants: 251 in the form of semi-structured interviews, 42 in the form of focus group dialogues, and 34 in the form of participant observation during inter-group negotiations I was invited to attend during the course of the field work.

Third, the study aims to compare multiple conflict events. However, there is variation in the depth and quality of information attained and variation in the spectrum of sources I was able to consult for analyzing particular conflicts, responses, and outcomes. For example, due to the lower level of insecurity, I was able to attain more data on local adaptation strategies at the village-level in Samburu than I was in Mandera where ongoing attacks prevented travel to conflict sites. Also, there is far more published material on conflict related to oil extraction in Turkana than fishing access in Todonyang. To try to overcome this problem, I draw from a variety of information sources, and assume that the relatively large number of episodes included in the data set helps to prevent distortions related to information asymmetry across the cases.
Empirically, local peacebuilding efforts have expanded across Northern Kenya. In particular, NGOs and CBOs have shown special interest in supporting customary institutions for conflict prevention and dispute resolution across multiple conflict settings. The cases provide a high level of variation on the dependent variable, escalation, as well as the main independent variable, cohesive coalitions.

Many local peacebuilding organizations assessed their work and published reports on engaging local institutions in the pursuit of peace. Even though information from NGO reports is unorganized with mixed findings, at least basic data from very remote and hard to reach conflict settings is available. Grey material is available on most of the cases and provides a secondary source for the construction of analytic narratives. Considering that Kenya remains open for international researchers (unlike Ethiopia, for example) and relatively safe, research can be conducted in remote, conflict-affected settings without too much concern for personal security. Kenya, therefore, is a good setting for analyzing theories of sub-state fragility and patterns of inter-ethnic group violence.

In the summer of 2014, during the fieldwork, security conditions in Mandera eroded due to heavy military intervention to curb al-Shabaab terrorist attacks. Insecurity in the area prevented me from traveling directly to Mandera to conduct research. To gain insights on the case, I collaborated with the National Steering Committee for Peacebuilding and Conflict Management and District Peace Committee chairmen to identify security actors and conflict actors. I also engaged Kenyan scholars working on the case, and hired two graduate student research assistants to translate and conduct
follow up phone interviews with peace and security actors in Mandera. Research assistants based in Nairobi also provided help conducting phone interviews with key contacts I was not able to meet directly during field trips.

Including the use of ethnographic methods, such as participant observation, embedded research, and working with actors directly involved in initiating and managing first responses to outbreaks of communal violence, I acquired data that many studies of ethnic conflict are not able to capture. Even though many respondents were willing to speak on the record, considering the level of insecurity in the areas under investigation, and the nature of data related to protection tactics, publishing some information could potentially incriminate research participants or put them at risk of being labeled whistleblowers. Some of the data is sensitive. Some informants feared identifying groups in their communities who have engaged in violence out of fear of local powerbrokers. To protect informants and informant networks, many insights and quotations are linked to pseudonyms, or even composite figures. Moreover, to protect the identity of informants, data from all interviews was initially handwritten, then typed and uploaded to a secure cloud-based site to protect personal data and the confidentiality of participants.

Many insights used within analytical narratives were attained through informant networks built while in the field, comprised of actors willing to speak openly and critically about illegal armament processes, processes of organization for retributive attacks, or direct evidence of corruption during responses to conflicts is particularly sensitive. Voluntary cooperation from respondents was attained through: 1) official approval from the National Commission for Science, Technology, and Innovation and
local officials; 2) my status as a graduate student (rather than NGO or aid worker), 3) contacting respondents through trusted networks established through research assistants and personal networks built through initial contacts in Nairobi, and; 4) upholding confidentiality as per the official IRB protocol.

Overall, Kenya provides a relatively strong setting for analyzing ethnic violence, informal institutions, and local organizational responses. Communities in Northern Kenya struggle with inter-group conflict and the risk of violence on a daily basis, and thus are generally quite willing to engage critically and openly with the topic. Local scholars, politicians, peace practitioners, and even members of youth militias tend to be interested in offering insights into the conflicts that impact their communities, even with external actors. Research participants were, on the whole, open and transparent in discussions of potentially sensitive topics such as causes of communal violence, attitudes toward ethnic out-groups, corruption, and the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of state and civic actors in restraining violence and mitigating conflicts.

**Implications for Kenya, Divided Societies, and Non-state Conflict**

Compared to its neighbors, Kenya has proven more resilient to outbreaks of inter-ethnic violence. The fact that more inter-group conflicts have not escalated across the highly vulnerable Northern periphery, the study suggests, is related, in part, to relatively robust civic organizations involved in day-to-day processes of conflict monitoring, threat response, and inter-group pact making. Forms of local communal adaptation compliment civic efforts to dampen the threat of rapid violence escalation. Across multiple conflict
corridors in Northern Kenya, many conflicts remain on the threshold of tipping toward violent conflict, but collective violence remains a rare outcome. The strength of communities and civic associations helps to control and contain violence under harsh and uncertain circumstances.

Findings from the study, however, imply for Kenya that outsourcing local security and peacebuilding functions to non-state actors has limitations. Civic coalitions and informal institutional arrangements work well enough in some conflict settings, but it is not clear that informal systems transfer other areas to the same effect. In other words, a particular informal peacebuilding system may only function well for a particular set of conflict conditions. The major problems that have been experienced with the DPC institution, originally established in Wajir, across Kenya, support this point. Home grown and largely organic peace constituencies, may not be able to be reproduced—they may be epiphenomenal, and unique to very particular conflict settings.

Compounding conflict pressures can overwhelm informal organizational structures and undermine local peace processes. Across Northern Kenya, local institutions are under great pressure, especially along international borders, and especially in relation to increasing developmental interests in previously marginalized areas. Communal resilience to inter-ethnic violence often breaks down following coercive state responses to local violence and symbolic acts of violence. Informal institutions may weaken over time as a conflict persists or spills across international borders. Kenya’s spectrum of local, informal institutional structures may be able to contain a majority of
threats; however, political and economic pressures related to rapid political and economic change in the Northern periphery often overwhelm informal peacebuilding organizations.

Cases selected for the study capture variation with a single state, across an environmental context similar to the larger Sahel region of Sub-Saharan. Findings likely are generalizable for other periphery regions where pastoralism and inter-group conflict are inter-related such as the Central African Republic, Mali, and Sudan. The primary implication for the larger region is that local peacebuilding processes may face similar scope conditions. Cohesive coalitions of local associations effectively contain escalation in most cases, yet the use of coercive force of state actors may fragment and undermine preventive efforts, and create windows for escalation.

Nigeria is a similar case where coercive state responses to local conflicts impact and potentially exacerbate inter-group conflict dynamics. In particular, dynamics similar to conditions in Turkana and Isiolo are playing out between the Ijaw and Itsekiri communities at the local level in Warri, Nigeria. Conflict is escalating in Warri South West district in relation to competition over access to local political positions with power to distribute resources from a new Export Processing Zone. Analysis suggests military intervention to try to control local clashes only further exacerbated the conflict, increasing grievances around inequality in the state’s response (see, Blyth 2015). Understanding the interactive effects of state and non-state response to local conflicts remains relevant across a broad range of developmental states in Sub-Saharan Africa.

Beyond the African context, further comparative work in other regions of the world with limited state presence, and high vulnerability to inter-ethnic clashes, such as
Afghanistan, Indonesia, Myanmar, or Nepal could help to test the robustness of the approach. Comparing various informal coalitions and patterns violence across multiple countries would help assess to the generalizability of the proposed relationship between state and non-state modes of response to local conflicts across different conflict settings.

Under storms of compounding conflict pressures, violence can spread even in areas with relatively strong civic organizations. Under conditions of state fragility, persistent human insecurity, and the indiscriminantly use of force by the state, relatively resilient communities still have breaking points. Notwithstanding, local institutions are critical for fostering resilience to violence. The core actors necessary for effective preventive actions are peace elites who function as local monitors, local security actors, and coordinators of rapid response to crises. Where the state is weak and not capable of providing immediate security, local, informal institutional arrangements are important for containing violence. Informal coalitions comprised of local peace committees, faith based organizations, and councils of elders, unified through local peace elites, make violence less likely to escalate in communities vulnerable to collective violence. Where security is largely in the hands of citizens, organizational capacity for preventive responses can save lives.
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Abdi, Osman Ibrahim. Chairman, Dadaab District Peace Committee (Nairobi: September 11, 2014).

Abdi, Abdishakur. Chairman, Moyale District Peace Committee (Nairobi: July 31, 2014).

Abdu, Philip. Peacebuilding Programs Manager, Sauti Moja Marsabit (Marsabit: September 10, 2014).
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Adano, Galgallo Tuye. Councilor (former) and Gabra Elder, North Horr District (North Horr: September 30, 2014).


Aftin, Maalim. Mandera Peacebuilding Programme Officer (former), United Nations Development Program (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).


All interview notes were transcribed and uploaded to password protected site. Position of participant is at time of interview or focus group. Full names and time and place of interview listed unless participant requested anonymity, or comments and contributions to research necessitate anonymity based on IRB protocol.

Alew, Simon. Chairman, Pokot North District Peace Committee (Kitale: July 21, 2014).
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Amfry, Amony. Program Manager, SAPCONE Turkana (Lodwar: August 6, 2014).


Atikine, Justine. Women’s Representative, Teso South District Peace Committee (Marsabit: September 17, 2014).

Biko, Ahmed. Program Manager, National Steering Committee for Peacebuilding and Conflict Management (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).


Bungei, John. Kenya Country Director, Finn Church Aid (Kitale: July 22, 2014).


Conflict Reporters, Turkana County Radio Station (anonymous) (Lodwar: July 29, 2014).


Dokhe, Aboran. County Executive Committee Member, Marsabit County Government (Marsabit: September 15, 2014).


Echele, Peter. Director, SAPCONE Turkana (Lodwar: July 31, 2014).


Ekadele, Patricia. Human Resources Officer, Turkana County Government (Lodwar: July 29, 2014).

Ekal, Joseph Areng. Chairman, Turkana County Peace Secretariat (Lodwar: July 30, 2014).


Elema, Guyo. Deputy Director, Sauti Moja Marsabit (Marsabit: September 10, 2014).


Eripete, Peter. Secretary, Turkana County Peace Secretariat (Nairobi: September 11, 2014).


Ewoi, Joseph. Program Officer, LOKADO Turkana (Nairobi: August 20, 2014).

Eyaran, Emekwi. Program Manager, LOKADO Turkana (Nairobi: August 18, 2014).


Galdibe, Tumal Orto. Founder, University of the Bush and (former) UNDP Peacebuilding Consultant (Marsabit: September 13, 2014).


Githaiga, Steve. CEO, Tana River Development Association (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).

Godana, Denge Otuku. Chairman, Sololo District Peace Committee (Marsabit: July 23, 2014).


Haji, Abdi. CEO, Supreme Council of Muslims (SUPKEM) (Nairobi: July 17, 2013).

Hokofunacha, Daniel. Chairman, Chalbi District Peace Committee (Nairobi: July 24, 2014).

Huka, Guyo Golicha. Program Officer for Commission on Cohesion, Integration, and the Coordination of Non-state Actors (Marsabit: September 9, 2014).

Hussein, Farhiya. Program Manager, CARE Kenya (Marsabit: September 13, 2014).


Irimati, Boniface. Chairman, Turkana Central District Peace Committee (Nairobi: July 30, 2014).

Farhia, Jaafar. Member, Isiolo Peace Network (Isiolo: July 25, 2014).


Kalunyu, Joseph. Bishop, Isiolo Diocese and Member of Isiolo Interfaith (Nairobi: August 21, 2014).


Kariyuki, Dominic. Director, Chemi Chemi ya Ukweli (Nairobi: July 18, 2014).


Kerrow, Kassim. Chairman, Mandera East District Peace Committee (Nairobi: July 29, 2014).

Kibet, Ruth. Program Manager, West Pokot Justice and Peace Centre (Kitale: July 23, 2014).


Kimengich, Dominic. Bishop, Diocese of Lodwar – Turkana County (Lodwar: August 1, 2014).


King’oro, Selah. Senior Research Officer, National Cohesion and Integration Commission (July 23, 2013).


Kiplagat, Bethuel, Former Chairman, National Truth, Justice, and Reconciliation Commission (TJRC) (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).


Kokei, Fredrick. Priest and Peacebuilding Programs Manager, Catholic Justice and Peace (Kitale: July 22, 2014).

Kumsaa, Asfaw. Program Manager, United Nations Centre for Regional Development (Nairobi: July 18, 2014).


Lalarar, Regina. Secretary, Samburu Women’s Association (South Horr: August 21, 2014).


Langaltei, Fred. Local Business Owner and Reformed Warrior (South Horr: August 14, 2014).

Lchagi, Amin. Community Health Manager, South Horr Dispensary (Kurungu: August 14, 2014).

Lealmusia, Hassan. Member, Reformed Warriors (Tuum: August 18, 2014).

Lekatap, Frank. Livelihoods Manager, South Horr Environmental Project (South Horr: August 15, 2014).

Lekenit, Ben. Unit Chairman, Rangers of Nyiro Community Conservancy (Kurungu: August 16, 2014).


Lekenit, Nabru. Officer, Kenya Police Reserve (Tuum: August 18, 2014).


Lekipana, Michael Basili. Chairman, Loiyangalani District Peace Committee (Loiyangalani: August 22, 2014).
Lemadada, Steven Lepaul. Teacher, Loongerin Primary School (Loongerin: August 15, 2014).

Lenaroshi, Moses. Chairman, Samburu South District Peace Committee (Nairobi: August 14, 2014).

Lenagaur, Jacob. Ex-Chief and Retired Army Major (Tuum: August 19, 2014).

Lengolos, Joseph. Priest, South Horr Diocese (South Horr: August 21, 2014).


Leokoe, Namugie. Teacher, Loongerin Primary School (Loongerin: August 15, 2014).

Lepuleilei, Dominic. Chief, South Horr Ward, Samburu County (South Horr: August 15, 2014).


Lesas, Patrick. Assistant Chief, Loiyangalani Sub-location (Loiyangalani: August 22, 2014).

Lesas, Raphael. Former Chief, South Horr Sub-location (South Horr: August 21, 2014).

Lesidka, Agnes. Local Business Owner and District Treasurer (Tuum: August 18, 2014).

Lipale, Geoffrey. CEO, POKATUSA (Makutano: July 21, 2014).

Locham, Raphael. Project Officer – Community Safety Programme, Danish Demining Group (Lodwar: July 30, 2014).

Lodinyo, John. Founder, POKATUSA and Advisor to the Governor of West Pokot (Kitale: July 23, 2014).

Lodukai, Lowa. Turkana Elder (Loiyangalani: August 22, 2014).

Lokinei, Johnathan Losokon. Turkana Elder and Chairman, Parikati Peace Committee (Tuum: August 18, 2014).

Loo, Muga. Program Advisor, Isiolo District Peace Committee (Isiolo: July 16, 2013).


Mamo, Molu. Cohesion and Integration Officer, Marsabit County Government and Former UNDP Peace Monitor (Marsabit: September 12, 2014).


Marmone, Giordano. Anthropologist, University of Paris (Tuum: August 18, 2014).


Members of Borana Militia (anonymous) (Marsabit: September 19, 2014).

Members of Gabra Militia (anonymous) (North Horr: September 17, 2014).

Members of Pokot Militia (anonymous) (Kitale: July 23, 2014).


Members of Samburu Militia (anonymous) (South Horr: August 14, 2014).

Members of Samburu Militia (anonymous) (Tuum: August 19, 2014).

Members of Turkana Militia (anonymous) (Parikati: August 18, 2014).

Members of Turkana Militia (anonymous) (Sarima: August 22, 2014).


Missionary. Seventeen Years Experience in Conflict-affected Community (anonymous) (Kenya: August 18, 2014).

Mlee, Mary. Chairwoman, West Pokot Peace Committee (Nairobi: September 12, 2014).

Mogaka, Stephen. Director, Fragility, Conflict and Violence (FCV) at Global Center for Conflict, Security and Development (GCCSD), World Bank (Nairobi: August 7, 2014).


Moses, Aiyen. Chairman, Loima District Peace Committee (Nairobi: July 26, 2014).


Mushtaq, Najum. Regional Policy Coordinator, the Life and Peace Institute (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).


Mutuku, Munini. Senior Program Officer, National Cohesion and Integration Commission (NCIC) (Nairobi: July 23, 2014).


Nderitu, Kevin. Program Officer, Peacebuilding, Horn of Africa Development Initiative (HODI) (Marsabit: September 12, 2014).


Ngala, Joseph. CEO, People for Peace Africa (Nairobi: July 19, 2013).

Nganga, Daniel. Priest and Peacebuilding Officer, Catholic Justice and Peace Crossborder Programme (Kitale: July 20, 2014).

Ngondi, Joseph. CEO, Climate Change Network Mandera (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).


Ofalo, Davis. Director, Agency for Pastoralist Development (APAD) (July 28, 2014).


Oil Exploration Company. Engineer (anonymous) (Lodwar: July 29, 2014).


Omar, Jeremiah. Former Marsabit District Peace Committee Chairman and Administrative Secretary for the Anglican Church of Kenya, Marsabit Diocese (Marsabit: September 11, 2014).

Ombaka, Brian. Program Manager, Strategic Applications International (SAI) Turkana (Lodwar: July 25, 2014).


Pierli, Francesco. Professor, Tangaza University, Catholic University of East Africa (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).


Shah, Rufaa. Chairman, Mandera District Peace Committee (Nairobi: September 1, 2014).

Shamala, Immaculate. CEO, West Pokot Justice and Peace Centre (Kitale: July 23, 2014).

Sharamo, Roba. Team Leader, Peacebuilding and Conflict Prevention Unit, United Nations Development Programme Kenya (Nairobi: August 6, 2014).

Sora, Ibrahim Adan. CEO, Community Initiative Facilitation and Assistance (CIFA) (Marsabit: September 12, 2014).

Suleiman, Isaac. Local Business Owner and Chairman, Loiyangalani Fisheries Market Board (Loiyangalani: August 22, 2014).
Taigong, Julius. Director, National Drought Management Authority (NDMA) Turkana (Lodwar: July 28, 2014).

Tari, Diba. Livelihoods Programme Officer, CARE Kenya (Marsabit: September 13, 2014).


Vasquez, Teresa. Head of Office, South Horr Catholic Health Centre (South Horr: August 21, 2014).

Wairagu, Francis. Senior Researcher, Regional Centre on Small Arms (RESCA) (Nairobi: July 18, 2014).

Wangamati, Sally. Professor of Peacebuilding and Conflict Resolution, Kisii University (Nairobi: August 8, 2014).

Wario, Sora Adano. Director, National Drought Management Authority (NDMA) (Marsabit: September 12, 2014).


Wasonga, James. Lecturer IR and Peace Studies, Kenyatta University (Nairobi: July 30, 2013).